# **Supplementary II**

#### Wildfire exacerbates high-latitude soil carbon losses from climate warming

Zelalem A. Mekonnen <sup>1,\*</sup>, William J. Riley <sup>1</sup>, James T. Randerson <sup>2</sup>, Ian A. Shirley <sup>1</sup>, Nicholas J. Bouskill <sup>1</sup>, Robert F. Grant <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley,

California, USA

## **Model Development**

#### General

Ecosys is an hourly time-step model with multiple canopy and soil layers that provide a framework for simulated plant and microbial populations to acquire, transform and exchange resources (energy, water, C, N and P). The model is constructed from algorithms representing basic physical, chemical and biological processes that determine process rates in plant and microbial populations interacting within complex biomes. These algorithms interact to simulate complex ecosystem behaviour across a wide range of spatial and biological scales. The model is designed to represent terrestrial ecosystems under range of natural and anthropogenic disturbances and environmental changes at patch (spatially homogenous one-dimensional) and landscape (spatially variable two- or three-dimensional) scales. A comprehensive description of ecosys with a detailed listing of inputs, outputs, governing equations, parameters, results and references can be found in Grant (2001). A more detailed description of model algorithms and parameters most relevant to simulating temperature, water and nutrient effects on plant carbon uptake, soil carbon decomposition and net ecosystem carbon exchange is given below, with reference to equations and variable definitions in S1-S8 below.

# S1: Soil C, N and P Transformations

#### Decomposition

Organic transformations in *ecosys* occur in five organic matter–microbe complexes (coarse woody litter, fine non-woody litter, animal manure, particulate organic matter (POM), and humus) in each soil layer. Each complex consists of five organic states: solid organic matter S, dissolved organic matter Q, sorbed organic matter A, microbial biomass M, and microbial residues Z, among which C, N, and P are transformed. Organic matter in litter and manure complexes are partitioned from proximate analysis results into carbohydrate, protein, cellulose, and lignin components of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, California, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

differing vulnerability to hydrolysis. Organic matter in POM, humus, microbial biomass and microbial residues in all complexes are also partitioned into components of differing vulnerability to hydrolysis.

The rate at which each component of each organic state in each complex is hydrolyzed during decomposition is a first-order function of the decomposer biomass M of all heterotrophic microbial populations [A1]. Decomposer biomasses are redistributed among complexes from active biomasses according to biomass – substrate concentration differences (priming) [A3]. The rate at which each component is hydrolyzed is also a Monod function of substrate concentration [A3,A5], calculated from the fraction of substrate mass colonized by M [A4]. Hydrolysis rates are controlled by  $T_s$  through an Arrhenius function [A6] and by soil water content ( $\theta$ ) through its effect on agueous microbial concentrations [M] [A3,A5] in surface litter and in a spatially resolved soil profile.  $T_s$  and  $\theta$  are calculated from surface energy balances and from heat and water transfer schemes through canopy-snow-residue-soil profiles as described in *Energy* Exchange above. Release of N and P from hydrolysis of each component in each complex is determined by its N and P concentrations [A7] which are determined from those of the originating litterfall as described in Autotrophic Respiration and Growth above. Most non-lignin hydrolysis products are released as dissolved organic C, N and P (DOC, DON, and DOP) which are adsorbed or desorbed according to a power function of their soluble concentrations [A8 - A10].

#### Microbial Growth

The DOC decomposition product is the substrate for heterotrophic respiration ( $R_h$ ) by all M in each substrate-microbe complex [A13]. Total  $R_h$  for all soil layers [A11] drives  $CO_2$  emission from the soil surface through volatilization and diffusion.  $R_h$  may be constrained by microbial N or P concentrations,  $T_s$ , DOC and  $O_2$  [A12 - A14].  $O_2$  uptake by M is driven by  $R_h$  [A16] and constrained by  $O_2$  diffusivity to microbial surfaces [A17], as described for roots in *Autotrophic Respiration and Growth* above. Thus  $R_h$  is coupled to  $O_2$  reduction by all aerobic M according to  $O_2$  availability.  $R_h$  not coupled with  $O_2$  reduction is coupled with the sequential reduction of  $O_3$ ,  $O_3$ ,  $O_4$ , and  $O_2$  and  $O_4$  by heterotrophic denitrifiers, and with the reduction of organic  $O_3$  by fermenters and acetotrophic methanogens. In addition, autotrophic nitrifiers conduct  $O_4$  and  $O_4$  reduction, and  $O_4$  reduction, and autotrophic methanogens and methanotrophs conduct  $O_4$  production and oxidation.

All microbial populations undergo maintenance respiration  $R_m$  [A18,A19], depending on microbial N and  $T_s$  as described earlier for plants.  $R_h$  in excess of  $R_m$  is used in growth respiration  $R_g$  [A20], the energy yield  $\Delta G$  of which drives growth in biomass M from DOC uptake according to the energy requirements of biosynthesis [A21, A22].  $R_m$  in excess of  $R_h$  causes microbial decay. M also undergoes first-order decay  $D_m$  [A23]. Internal retention and recycling of microbial N and P during decay [A24] is modelled whenever these nutrients constrain  $R_h$  [A12]. Changes in M arise from differences between gains from DOC uptake and losses from  $R_m + R_g + D_m$  [A25].

During these changes, all microbial populations seek to maintain set minimum ratios of C:N or C:P in *M* by mineralizing or immobilizing NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> [A26], thereby controlling solution [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>], [NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] and [H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>] that determine root and mycorrhizal uptake in *Nutrient Uptake and Translocation* above. If immobilization is inadequate to maintain these minimum ratios, then biomass C:N or C:P may rise, but *R<sub>h</sub>* is constrained by N or P present in the lowest concentration with respect to that at the minimum ratio [A12]. Non-symbiotic heterotrophic diazotrophs can also fix aqueous N<sub>2</sub> [A27] to the extent that immobilization is inadequate to maintain their set minimum C:N, but at an additional respiration cost [A28]. Changes in microbial N and P arise from DON and DOP uptake plus NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> immobilization and N<sub>2</sub> fixation, less NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> mineralization and microbial N and P decomposition [A29].

#### Humification

C, N and P decomposition products in each organic matter-microbe complex are gradually stabilized into more recalcitrant organic forms with lower C:N and C:P ratios. Products from lignin hydrolysis [A1,A7] combine with some of the products from protein and carbohydrate hydrolysis in the litterfall and manure complexes and are transferred to the POM complex [A31-A34]. Microbial decomposition products [A23, A24] from all complexes are partitioned between the humus complex and microbial residues in the originating complex according to soil clay content [A35, A36].

#### **S2: Soil-Plant Water Relations**

#### Canopy Transpiration

Canopy energy exchange in *ecosys* is calculated from an hourly two-stage convergence solution for the transfer of water and heat through a multi-layered multi-population soil-root-canopy system. The first stage of this solution requires convergence to a value of canopy temperature  $T_c$  for each plant population at which the first-order closure of the canopy energy balance (net radiation  $R_n$ , latent heat flux LE [B1a,b,c], sensible heat flux H [B1d], and change in heat storage G) is achieved. These fluxes are controlled by aerodynamic  $(r_a)$  [B3] and canopy stomatal  $(r_c)$  [B2] resistances. Two controlling mechanisms are postulated for  $r_c$  which are solved in two successive steps:

- (1) At the leaf level, leaf resistance  $r_1$  [C4] controls gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion through each leaf surface when calculating CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C1] from concurrent solutions for diffusion  $V_g$  [C2] and carboxylation  $V_c$  [C3]. The value of  $r_1$  is calculated from a minimum leaf resistance  $r_{\text{lmin}}$  [C5] for each leaf surface that allows a set ratio for intercellular to canopy CO<sub>2</sub> concentration  $C_i$ : $C_b$  to be maintained at  $V_c$  under ambient irradiance, air temperature  $T_a$ ,  $C_a$  and zero canopy water potential ( $\psi_c$ ) ( $V_c$ ). This ratio will be allowed to vary diurnally as described in *Gross Primary Productivity* below when  $\psi_c$  is solved in the second stage of the convergence solution, described under *Water Relations* below. Values of  $r_{\text{lmin}}$  are aggregated by leaf surface area to a canopy value  $r_{\text{cmin}}$  for use in the energy balance convergence scheme [B2a].
- (2) At the canopy level,  $r_c$  rises from  $r_{cmin}$  at zero  $\psi_c$  from step (1) above through an exponential function of canopy turgor potential  $\psi_t$  [B2b] calculated from  $\psi_c$  and

osmotic water potential  $\psi_{\pi}$  [B4] during convergence for transpiration vs. water uptake.

#### Root and Mycorrhizal Water Uptake

Root and mycorrhizal water uptake U [B5] is calculated from the difference between canopy water potential  $\psi_c$  and soil water potential  $\psi_s$  across soil and root hydraulic resistances  $\Omega_s$  [B9] and  $\Omega_r$  [B10 – B12] in each rooted soil layer [B6]. Root resistances are calculated from root radial [B10] and from primary [B11] secondary [B12] axial resistivities using root lengths and surface areas from a root system submodel [B13] driven by exchange of nonstructural C, N and P along concentration gradients generated by uptake vs. consumption of C, N and P in shoots and roots (Grant, 1998).

#### Canopy Water Potential

After convergence for  $T_c$  is achieved, the difference between canopy transpiration  $E_c$  from the energy balance [B1] and total root water uptake  $U_c$  [B5] from all rooted layers in the soil is tested against the difference between canopy water content from the previous hour and that from the current hour [B14]. This difference is minimized in each iteration by adjusting  $\psi_c$  which in turn determines each of the three terms in [B14]. Because  $r_c$  and  $T_c$  both drive  $E_c$ , the canopy energy balance described under *Canopy Transpiration* above is recalculated for each adjusted value of  $\psi_c$  during convergence.

# S3: Gross Primary Productivity, Autotrophic Respiration, Growth and Litterfall

### $C_3$ Gross Primary Productivity

After successful convergence for  $T_c$  and  $\psi_c$  (described in *Plant Water Relations* above),  $V_c$  is recalculated from that under zero  $\psi_c$  ( $V_c$ ') to that under ambient  $\psi_c$ . This recalculation is driven by stomatal effects on  $V_g$  [C2] from the increase in  $r_{\rm lmin}$  at zero  $\psi_c$  [C5] to  $r_c$  at ambient  $\psi_c$  [C4], and by non-stomatal effects  $f_v$  [C9] on CO<sub>2</sub>- and light-limited carboxylation  $V_b$  [C6] and  $V_j$  [C7] (Grant and Flanagan, 2007). The recalculation of  $V_c$  is accomplished through a convergence solution for  $C_i$  and its aqueous counterpart  $C_c$  at which  $V_g$  [C2] equals  $V_c$  [C3] (Grant and Flanagan, 2007). The CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate of each leaf surface at convergence is added to arrive at a value for gross primary productivity (GPP) by each plant population in the model [C1]. The CO<sub>2</sub> fixation product is stored in nonstructural C pools  $\sigma_C$  in each branch.

GPP is strongly controlled by nutrient uptake  $U_{\rm NH_4}$ ,  $U_{\rm NO_3}$  and  $U_{\rm PO_4}$  [C23], products of which are added to nonstructural N ( $\sigma_{\rm N}$ ) and P ( $\sigma_{\rm P}$ ) in root and mycorrhizal layers where they are coupled with  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  to drive growth of branches, roots and mycorrhizae as described in *Growth and Senescence* below. Low  $\sigma_{\rm N}$ :  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  or  $\sigma_{\rm P}$ :  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  in branches indicate excess CO<sub>2</sub> fixation with respect to N or P uptake for phytomass growth. Such ratios in the model have two effects on GPP:

- (1) They reduce activities of rubisco [C6a] and chlorophyll [C7a] through product inhibition [C11], thereby simulating the suppression of  $CO_2$  fixation by leaf  $\sigma_C$  accumulation widely reported in the literature.
- (2) They reduce the structural N:C and P:C ratios at which leaves are formed because  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  are the substrates for leaf growth. Lower structural ratios cause a proportional reduction in areal concentrations of rubisco [C6b] and chlorophyll [C7b], reducing leaf CO<sub>2</sub> fixation.

#### Autotrophic Respiration

The temperature-dependent oxidation of these nonstructural pools  $(R_c)$  [C14], plus the energy costs of nutrient uptake [C23], drive autotrophic respiration  $(R_a)$  [C13] by all branches, roots and mycorrhizae.  $R_c$  by roots and mycorrhizae is constrained by  $O_2$ uptake U<sub>02</sub> [C14b] calculated by solving for aqueous O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at root and mycorrhizal surfaces [O<sub>2r</sub>] at which convection + radial diffusion through the soil aqueous phase plus radial diffusion through the root aqueous phase [C14d] equals active uptake driven by O<sub>2</sub> demand from R<sub>c</sub> [C14c] (Grant, 2004). These diffusive fluxes are in turn coupled to volatilization - dissolution between aqueous and gaseous phases in soil and root [D14]. The diffusion processes are driven by aqueous O<sub>2</sub> concentrations sustained by transport and dissolution of gaseous O<sub>2</sub> through soil and roots (Grant 2004), and are governed by lengths and surface areas of roots and mycorrhizae (Grant, 1998). Thus  $R_c$  is coupled to  $O_2$  reduction by all root and mycorrhizal populations according to  $O_2$  availability.  $R_c$  is first used to meet maintenance respiration requirements  $(R_m)$ , calculated independently of  $R_c$  from the N content in each organ, and a function of  $T_c$  or  $T_s$  [C16]. Any excess of  $R_c$  over  $R_m$  is expended as growth respiration  $R_g$ , constrained by branch, root or mycorrhizal  $\psi_t$  [C17]. When  $R_{\rm m}$  exceeds  $R_{\rm c}$ , the shortfall is met by the respiration of remobilizable  $C(R_s)$  in leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae [C15].

#### Growth and Litterfall

 $R_{\rm g}$  drives the conversion of branch  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  into foliage, twigs, branches, boles and reproductive material according to organ growth yields  $Y_{\rm g}$  and phenology-dependent partitioning coefficients [C20], and the conversion of root and mycorrhizal  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  into primary and secondary axes according to root and mycorrhizal growth yields. Growth also requires organ-specific ratios of nonstructural N ( $\sigma_{\rm N}$ ) and P ( $\sigma_{\rm P}$ ) from  $U_{\rm NH_4}$ ,  $U_{\rm NO_3}$  and  $U_{\rm PO_4}$  [C23] which are coupled with  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  to drive growth of branches, roots and mycorrhizae.

The translocation of  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  among branches and root and mycorrhizal layers is driven by concentration gradients generated by production of  $\sigma_C$  from branch GPP and of  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  from root and mycorrhizal uptake vs. consumption of  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  from  $R_c$ ,  $R_g$  and phytomass growth (Grant 1998). Low  $\sigma_N$ :  $\sigma_C$  or  $\sigma_P$ :  $\sigma_C$  in mycorrhizae and roots indicates inadequate N or P uptake with respect to  $CO_2$  fixation. These ratios affect translocation of  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  by lowering mycorrhizal – root – branch concentration gradients of  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  while raising branch – root – mycorrhizal concentration gradients of  $\sigma_C$ . These changes slow transfer of  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  from root to

branch and hasten transfer of  $\sigma_C$  from branch to root, increasing root and mycorrhizal growth at the expense of branch growth, and thereby raising N and P uptake [C23] with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. Conversely, high  $\sigma_N$ :  $\sigma_C$  or  $\sigma_P$ :  $\sigma_C$  in roots and mycorrhizae indicate excess N or P uptake with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. Such ratios reduce specific activities of root and mycorrhizal surfaces for N or P uptake through a product inhibition function as has been observed experimentally. These changes hasten transfer of  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  from root to branch and slow transfer of  $\sigma_C$  from branch to root, increasing branch growth at the expense of root and mycorrhizal growth, and thereby slowing N and P uptake Thus the modelled plant translocates  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  among branches, roots and mycorrhizae to maintain a functional equilibrium between acquisition and use of C, N and P by different parts of the plant.

 $R_{\rm g}$  is limited by  $\psi_t$  [C17], and because branch  $\psi_t$  declines relatively more with soil drying than does root  $\psi_t$ , branch  $R_{\rm g}$  also declines relatively more with soil drying than does root  $R_{\rm g}$ , slowing oxidation of  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  in branches and allowing more translocation of  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  from branches to roots. This change in allocation of  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  enables more root growth to reduce  $\Omega_{\rm s}$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm r}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm a}$ , and hence increase U [B6], thereby offsetting the effects of soil drying on  $\psi_t$ . Thus the modelled plant translocates  $\sigma_{\rm C}$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm N}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm P}$  among branches, roots and mycorrhizae to maintain a functional equilibrium between acquisition and use of water.

 $R_s$  [C15] drives the withdrawal of remobilizable C, N and P (mostly nonstructural protein) from leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae into  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$ , and the loss of associated non-remobilizable C, N and P (mostly structural) as litterfall [C18, C19a,b]. Provision is also made to withdraw remobilizable N or P from leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae when ratios of  $\sigma_N$ :  $\sigma_C$  or  $\sigma_P$ :  $\sigma_C$  become smaller than those required for growth of new phytomass [C19c,d]. This withdrawal drives the withdrawal of associated remobilizable C, and the loss of associated non-remobilizable C, N and P as litterfall. Environmental constraints such as water, heat, nutrient or  $O_2$  stress that reduce  $\sigma_C$  and hence  $R_c$  with respect to  $R_m$  therefore hasten litterfall. In addition, concentrations of  $\sigma_C$ ,  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  in roots and mycorrhizae drive exudation of nonstructural C, N and P to DOC, DON and DOP in soil [C19e-i].

 $R_a$  of each branch or root and mycorrhizal layer is the total of  $R_c$  and  $R_s$ , and net primary productivity (NPP) is the difference between canopy GPP [C1] and total  $R_a$  of all branches and root and mycorrhizal layers [C13]. Phytomass net growth is the difference betweenden gains driven by  $R_{\rm g}$  and  $Y_{\rm g}$ , and losses driven by  $R_{\rm s}$  and litterfall [C20]. These gains are allocated to leaves, twigs, wood and reproductive material at successive branch nodes, and to roots and mycorrhizae at successive primary and secondary axes, driving leaf expansion [C21a] and root extension [C21b]. Losses from remobilization and litterfall in shoots start at the lowest node of each branch at which leaves or twigs are present, and proceed upwards when leaves or twigs are lost. Losses in roots and mycorrhizae start with secondary axes and proceeds to primary axes when secondary axes are lost.

#### Root and Mycorrhizal Nutrient Uptake

Root and mycorrhizal uptake of N and P  $U_{\rm NH_4}$ ,  $U_{\rm NO_3}$  and  $U_{\rm PO_4}$  is calculated by solving for solution [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>], [NO<sub>3</sub>] and [H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>] at root and mycorrhizal surfaces at which radial transport by mass flow and diffusion from the soil solution to these surfaces [C23a,c,e] equals active uptake by the surfaces [C23b,d,f]. Path lengths and surface areas for  $U_{\rm NH_4}$ ,  $U_{\rm NO_3}$  and  $U_{\rm PO_4}$  are calculated from a root and mycorrhizal growth submodel driven by exchange of nonstructural C, N and P along concentration gradients generated by uptake vs. consumption of C, N and P in shoots and roots (Grant, 1998). A product inhibition function is included to avoid uptake in excess of nutrient requirements [C23g].

### *C*<sub>4</sub> *Gross Primary Productivity*

#### $C_4$ Mesophyll

In  $C_4$  plants, the mesophyll carboxylation rate is the lesser of  $CO_2$ - and light-limited reaction rates [C26] (Berry and Farquhar, 1978). The  $CO_2$ -limited rate is a Michaelis-Menten function of PEP carboxylase (PEPc) activity and aqueous  $CO_2$  concentration in the mesophyll [C29] parameterized from Berry and Farquhar (1978) and from Edwards and Walker (1983). The light-limited rate [C30] is a hyperbolic function of absorbed irradiance and mesophyll chlorophyll activity [C31] with a quantum requirement based on 2 ATP from Berry and Farquhar (1978). PEPc [C32] and chlorophyll [C33] activities are calculated from specific activities multiplied by set fractions of leaf surface N density, and from functions of  $C_4$  product inhibition (Jiao and Chollet, 1988; Lawlor, 1993) [C34],  $\psi_c$  ([C35] as described in Grant and Flanagan, 2007) and  $T_c$  [C10]. Leaf surface N density is controlled by leaf structural N:C and P:C ratios calculated during leaf growth from leaf non-structural N:C and P:C ratios arising from root N and P uptake (Grant, 1998) vs.  $CO_2$  fixation.

#### *C*<sub>4</sub> *Mesophyll-Bundle Sheath Exchange*

Differences in the mesophyll and bundle sheath concentrations of the  $C_4$  carboxylation product drive mesophyll-bundle sheath transfer (Leegood, 2000) [C37]. The bundle sheath concentration of the  $C_4$  product drives a product-inhibited decarboxylation reaction (Laisk and Edwards, 2000) [C38], the  $CO_2$  product of which generates a concentration gradient that drives leakage of  $CO_2$  from the bundle sheath to the mesophyll [C39].  $CO_2$  in the bundle sheath is maintained in 1:50 equilibrium with  $HCO_3$  (Laisk and Edwards, 2000). At this stage of model development, the return of a  $C_3$  decarboxylation product from the bundle sheath to the mesophyll is not simulated. Parameters used in Eqs. [C37 - C39] allowed mesophyll and bundle sheath concentrations of  $C_4$  carboxylation products from [C40 - C41] to be maintained at values consistent with those in Leegood (2000), bundle sheath concentrations of  $CO_2$  (from Eq. [C42]) to be maintained at values similar to those reported by Furbank and Hatch (1987), and bundle sheath  $CO_2$  leakiness [C39]), expressed as a fraction of PEP carboxylation, to be maintained at values similar to those in Williams et al. (2001), in sorghum as described in Grant et al. (2004).

#### $C_4$ Bundle Sheath

A C<sub>3</sub> model in which carboxylation is the lesser of CO<sub>2</sub>- and light-limited reaction rates (Farquhar et al., 1980) has been parameterized for the bundle sheath of C<sub>4</sub> plants

[C43] from Seeman et al. (1984). The  $CO_2$ -limited rate [C44] is a Michaelis-Menten function of RuBP carboxylase (RuBPc) activity and bundle sheath  $CO_2$  concentration [C42]. The light-limited rate [C45a] is a hyperbolic function of absorbed irradiance and activity of chlorophyll associated with the bundle sheath with a quantum yield based on 3 ATP [C46]. The provision of reductant from the mesophyll to the bundle sheath in NADP-ME species is not explicitly simulated. RuBPc [C47] and chlorophyll [C48] activities are the products of specific activities and concentrations multiplied by set fractions of leaf surface N density, and from functions of  $C_3$  product inhibition (Bowes, 1991; Stitt, 1991) [C49],  $\psi_c$  (Eq. A12 from Grant and Flanagan, 2007) and  $T_c$  [C10].

Rates of  $C_3$  product removal are controlled by phytomass biosynthesis rates driven by concentrations of nonstructural products from leaf  $CO_2$  fixation and from root N and P uptake. If biosynthesis rates are limited by nutrient uptake, consequent depletion of nonstructural N or P and accumulation of nonstructural C will constrain specific activities of RuBP and chlorophyll [C47 – C49], and thereby slow  $C_3$  carboxylation [C43], raise bundle sheath  $CO_2$  concentration [C42], accelerate  $CO_2$  leakage [C39], slow  $C_4$  decarboxylation [C38], raise  $C_4$  product concentration in the bundle sheath [C41], slow  $C_4$  product transfer from the mesophyll [C37], raise  $C_4$  product concentration in the mesophyll [C40], and slow mesophyll  $CO_2$  fixation [C32 – C35]. This reaction sequence simulates the progressive inhibition of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  carboxylation hypothesized by Sawada et al. (2002) following partial removal of C sinks in  $C_4$  plants.

#### Shoot – Root - Mycorrhizal C, N, P Transfer

Shoot – root C transfers  $Z_{sC}$  are calculated such that concentrations of  $\sigma_C$  with respect to structural phytomass in each branch and root layer approach equilibrium according to conductances  $g_{sC}$  calculated from shoot – root distances and axis numbers in each root layer [C50] (Grant, 1998). Because  $\sigma_C$  is generated by  $CO_2$  fixation in branches [C1],  $g_{sC}$  cause shoot-to-root gradients of  $\sigma_C$  that drive  $Z_{sC}$ . Shoot – root N and P transfers  $Z_{sN,P}$  are calculated such that concentrations of  $\sigma_{N,P}$  with respect to  $\sigma_C$  in each branch and root layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants  $g_{sN,P}$  [C51]. Because  $\sigma_{N,P}$  are generated by uptake in roots [C23],  $g_{sN,P}$  cause root-to-shoot gradients of  $\sigma_{N,P}$  that drive  $Z_{sN,P}$ .

Similarly, root - mycorrhizal C transfers  $Z_{rC}$  are calculated such that concentrations of  $\sigma_C$  with respect to structural phytomass in each root and mycorrhizal layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants  $g_{rC}$  [C52] (Grant, 1998). Because  $\sigma_C$  is maintained by  $Z_{sC}$  [C50],  $g_{rC}$  cause root-to-mycorrhizal gradients of  $\sigma_C$  that drive  $Z_{rC}$ . Root - mycorrhizal N and P transfers  $Z_{rN,P}$  are calculated such that concentrations of  $\sigma_{N,P}$  with respect to  $\sigma_C$  in each root and mycorrhizal layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants  $g_{rN,P}$  [C53]. Because mycorrhizal  $\sigma_{N,P}$  are generated by uptake with greater surface area and length with respect to phytomass [C23],  $g_{rN,P}$  cause mycorrhizal-to-root gradients of  $\sigma_{N,P}$  that drive  $Z_{rN,P}$ .

### S4: Soil Water, Heat, Gas and Solute Fluxes

#### Surface Water Flux

Surface runoff is modelled using Manning's equation [D1] with surface water velocity v [D3] calculated from surface geometry [D5a] and slope [D5b], and with surface water depth d [D2] calculated from surface water balance [D4] using kinematic wave theory.

#### Subsurface Water Flux

Subsurface water flow [D7] is calculated from Richard's equation using bulk soil water potentials  $\psi_s$  of both cells if both source and destination cells are unsaturated [D9a], or Green-Ampt equation using  $\psi_s$  beyond the wetting front of the unsaturated cell if either source or destination cell is saturated [D9b] (Grant et al., 2004). Subsurface water flow can also occur through macropores using Poiseulle-Hagen theory for laminar flow in tubes (Dimitrov et al., 2010), depending on inputs for macropore volume fraction.

#### Exchange with Water Table

If a water table is present in the model, subsurface boundary water fluxes between saturated boundary grid cells and a fixed external water table are calculated from lateral hydraulic conductivities of the grid cells, and from elevation differences and lateral distances between the grid cells and the external water table [D10]. These terms are determined from set values for the depth  $d_t$  of, and lateral distance  $L_t$  to, an external water table.

#### Surface Heat Flux

Surface heat fluxes (G) arising from closure of the energy balance at snowpack, surface litter and soil surfaces [D11] (Grant et al., 1999) drive conductive – convective fluxes among snowpack, surface litter and soil layers [D12]. These fluxes drive freezing – thawing  $(Q_f)$  and changes temperatures (T) in snowpack, surface litter and soil layers [D13].

#### Gas Flux

All gases undergo volatilization – dissolution between the gaseous and aqueous phases in the soil [D14a] and root [D14b], and between the atmosphere and the aqueous phase at the soil surface [D15a], driven by gaseous – aqueous concentration differences calculated from solubility coefficients and coupled to diffusive uptake by roots [C14] and microbes [A17]. Gases also undergo convective - conductive transfer among soil layers driven by gaseous concentration gradients and diffusivities [D16a,b,c] calculated from air-filled porosities [D17a,b,c], and from each rooted soil layer directly to the atmosphere through roots driven by gaseous concentration gradients and diffusivities [D16d] calculated from root porosities [D17d]. Gases may also bubble upwards from soil zones in which the total partial pressure of all aqueous gases exceeds atmospheric pressure [D18].

#### Solute Flux

All gaseous and non-gaseous solutes undergo convective - dispersive transfer among soil layers and through roots in each soil layer driven by aqueous concentration

gradients and dispersivities [D19] calculated from water-filled porosity [D20] and water flow length [D21].

#### **S5: Solute Transformations**

# Precipitation - Dissolution Equilibria

Solution [NH<sub>4</sub>], [NO<sub>3</sub>] and [H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>] that drive  $U_{\rm NH_4}$ ,  $U_{\rm NO_3}$  and  $U_{\rm PO_4}$  [C23] are controlled by precipitation, adsorption and ion pairing reactions (Grant et al., 2004; Grant and Heaney, 1997), including precipitation-dissolution of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, CaSO<sub>4</sub>, AlPO<sub>4</sub>, FePO<sub>4</sub>, Ca(H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, CaHPO<sub>4</sub>, and Ca<sub>5</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH [E1 – E9], cation exchange between Ca<sup>2+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and other cations [E10 – E15], anion exchange between adsorbed and soluble H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and OH [E16 – E20], and ion pairing [E22 – E55].

Key governing equations for simulating net ecosystem productivity in *ecosys*. Variables input to the model appear in bold with values given in the **Definition of Variables** below.

## S6: N<sub>2</sub> Fixation

#### Microbial Growth

Modelling the activity of symbiotic  $N_2$  fixing bacteria in roots (e.g. *Rhizobia*) and branches (e.g. cyanobacteria) follows a protocol similar to that of non-symbiotic  $N_2$  fixing bacteria in soil. Respiration demand is driven by specific activity, microbial biomass  $M_n$ , and nonstructural C concentration  $[\chi_n]$  in root or branch nodules [F1], and is constrained by temperature [F2] and microbial N or P status [F3]. Nodule respiration R in roots is constrained by the extent to which  $O_2$  uptake meets  $O_2$  demand [F4] imposed by respiration demand [F5].  $O_2$  uptake is in turn constrained by rhizosphere  $[O_{2r}]$  [F6a] which is controlled by radial diffusion of  $O_2$  through soil water to roots and nodules [F6b]. Soil water  $[O_2]$  is maintained by dissolution of  $O_2$  from soil air which is in turn maintained by soil-atmosphere gas exchange and vertical diffusion (Grant, 2004).  $R_h$  is first allocated to maintenance respiration  $R_m$  [F7 – F8] and the remainder if any is allocated to growth respiration  $R_g$  [F9]. If  $R_m$  exceeds  $R_h$ , the shortfall is made up from respiration of microbial protein C, forcing senescence and litterfall of associated non-protein C [F10 – F11].

#### N<sub>2</sub> Fixation

 $N_2$  fixation  $V_{N_2}$  is driven by  $R_g$  [F12], but is constrained by accumulation of nonstructural N  $v_n$  with respect to nonstructural C and P also required for microbial growth in the root or branch nodule [F13]. Nonstructural N  $v_{nd}$  is the product of  $V_{N_2}$ , so that [F12] simulates the inhibition of  $N_2$  fixation by its product (Postgate, 1998). The value of  $V_{N_2}$  is also limited by the additional N needed to maintain bacterial N content  $[N_n']$  of  $M_n$  [F12] (typically 1/8 that of C), so that  $N_2$  fixation is constrained by the need of nodule bacteria for N not met from other sources (Postgate, 1998). Respiration

required for  $N_2$  fixation  $R_{N_2}$  [F14] is subtracted from  $R_g$  [F15] when calculating microbial growth [F16 – F18]. Microbial senescence drives N and P litterfall [F19 – F20].

### *Nodule – Root Exchange*

Exchange of nonstructural C, N and P between roots or branches and nodules is driven by concentration gradients [F21 – F23] created by generation, transfer and consumption of nonstructural C, N and P in shoots, roots, mycorrhizae and nodules. Nonstructural C is generated in branches and transferred along concentration gradients to roots and thence to nodules [F21]. Nonstructural P is generated in roots and transferred along concentration gradients to branches and nodules [F23]. Nonstructural N is generated in roots through mineral uptake and in nodules through gaseous fixation [F22]. Nonstructural C, N and P in nodules is determined by root-nodule and branch-nodule exchange, by nodule respiration and fixation, and by remobilization from nodule litterfall [F24 – F26].

Root nonstructural N ( $v_r$ ) may rise if high mineral N concentrations in soil sustain rapid N uptake by roots. Large  $v_r$  suppresses or even reverses the transfer of  $v_n$  from nodule to root or branch [F22], raising  $v_n$  [F25] and hence suppressing  $V_{N_2}$  [F12 – F13]. Large  $v_r$  also accelerates the consumption of  $\chi_r$ , slowing its transfer to nodules [F21], reducing  $\chi_n$  [F24] and hence slowing nodule growth [F1]. Conversely, slow root N uptake caused by low soil mineral N concentrations would lower  $v_{rt}$  and raise  $\chi_{rt}$ , hastening the transfer of  $v_n$  from nodule to root or branch and of  $\chi_{rt}$  from root or branch to nodule, lowering  $v_n$ , raising  $\chi_n$ , and accelerating  $v_{N_2}$ . However [F13] also allows  $v_{N_2}$  to be constrained by nonstructural C and P concentrations arising from branch  $v_n$  fixation and root P uptake. All equations in S6 are solved for nodules in roots  $v_n$  and branches  $v_n$  atthough only those for roots are given.

# S7: CH<sub>4</sub> Production and Consumption

#### Anaerobic Fermenters and H<sub>2</sub> Producing Acetogens

The states  $S_{i,j,k}$ ,  $B_{i,k}$  and  $Z_{i,j,k}$  in *ecosys* are substrates for hydrolysis by all active (j = a) heterotrophic biomass communities  $M_{i,n,a}$  (Eqs. [1 - 7] of Grant et al., 1993a), which include fermenters plus acetogens. Hydrolysis products are transferred to soluble organic matter  $DOC_{i,k}$  which is the substrate for respiration and uptake by microbial biomass  $M_{i,n,j}$  as described for aerobic heterotrophs in Eq. [11] of Grant et al., (1993a). Respiration  $R_{i,f}$  of  $DOC_{i,c}$  by fermenters plus acetogens (n = f) is a Michaelis-Menten function of  $[DOC_{i,c}]$  inhibited by  $O_2$  (Eq. [G1]). Respiration products are partitioned among  $A_{i,c}$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G2]).  $R_{i,f}$  beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional  $DOC_{i,c}$  (Eq. [G3]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield  $Y_f$  of fermentation (Eq. [G4]). The growth yield from fermentation is calculated by dividing the free energy change of fermentation, adjusted for  $H_2$  product concentration (Eq. [G5]), by the energy required to transform soluble organic C into microbial C (Eq. [G4]). Change in  $M_{i,f,i}$  is thus the difference between uptake and

respiration of  $DOC_{i,c}$ , less decomposition (Eq. [G6]). This change determines  $M_{i,f,a}$  used in the following calculation of  $R_{i,f}$  (Eq. [G1]). Ratios of  $M_{i,f,f,c}$  to  $M_{i,f,f,n}$  determine mineralization-immobilization of N (Eq. [23] in Grant et al., 1993a). Decomposition products  $D_{i,f,f,k}$  are partitioned to microbial residues  $Z_{i,f,k}$  and soil organic matter  $S_{i,f,k}$  (where i = passive soil organic matter) ( Eqs. [26-28] in Grant et al., 1993a) which undergo further hydrolysis.

#### Acetotrophic Methanogens

The fermenter product  $A_{i,c}$  (Eq. [G2]) is the substrate for respiration  $R_{i,m}$  by acetotrophic methanogens (n = m) (Eq. [G7]). Respiration products are partitioned between CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G8]).  $R_{i,m}$  beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional  $A_{i,c}$  (Eq. [G9]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield  $Y_m$  of acetotrophic methanogenesis (Eq. [G10]). This growth yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of acetotrophic methanogenesis (Brock and Madigan, 1991) by the energy required to transform acetate into microbial C. Acetogenic methanogens in the model use acetate as their sole carbon and energy source (Smith and Mah, 1980). Change in  $M_{i,m,j}$  is thus the difference between uptake and respiration of  $A_{i,c}$ , less decomposition (Eq. [G11]). This change determines  $M_{i,m,a}$  used in the following calculation of  $R_{i,m}$  (Eq. [G7]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

### Hydrogenotrophic Methanogens

The fermenter products  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  (Eq. [G2] are the substrates for  $CO_2$  reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens (n = h) which are assumed to be autotrophic (Eq. [G12]). Respiration products are partitioned between  $CH_4$  and  $H_2O$  according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G13]).  $R_h$  beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional  $CO_2$  (Eq. [G14]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield  $Y_h$  of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (Brock and Madigan, 1991) (Eq. [G15]). This growth yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, adjusted for  $H_2$  substrate concentration (Eq. [G16]), by the energy required to transform  $CO_2$  into microbial C. Change in  $M_{h,j}$  is thus the difference between uptake and respiration of  $CO_2$ , less decomposition (Eq. [G17]). This change determines  $M_{h,a}$  used in the following calculation of  $R_h$  (Eq. [G12]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

#### Autotrophic Methanotrophs

Methane generated by acetotrophic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens is the substrate for  $CH_4$  oxidation by autotrophic methanotrophs (n=t) (Eq. [G18]). The stoichiometry and energetics of the methanotrophic reactions (Eqs. [G22 – G24]) are based on those of  $CH_4$  to  $CO_2$  in Brock and Madigan (1991). The oxidation of  $CH_4$  to  $CO_2$  is coupled through an energy yield with the oxidation of  $CH_4$  to organic C used in microbial respiration (Eq. [G19]). The energy yield from  $CH_4$  oxidation is calculated by dividing the free energy change of  $CH_4$  oxidation by the energy required to transform  $CH_4$  into organic C (Eq. [G20]). Oxygen requirements to sustain  $CH_4$  oxidation rates are then calculated from the

stoichiometries of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation (Eq. [G22 and G23]) and aerobic microbial respiration (Eq. [G24]). The O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at methanotrophic microsites are then found at which active O<sub>2</sub> uptake driven by requirements for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation equals spherical O<sub>2</sub> diffusion to the microsites from the soil solution. These microsites are considered to be uniformly distributed on soil surfaces and are separated from the soil atmosphere (if present) by a water film of uniform thickness that depends upon soil water potential. The O2 uptake by each aerobic microbial population in the model competes with that by all other aerobic microbial populations (e.g. Grant, 1995; Grant and Rochette, 1994), and is constrained by O<sub>2</sub> transfer rates through the gaseous and aqueous phases of the soil profile. The ratio of O2 uptake to O2 requirement  $f_{02}t$  is then used to constrain CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates (Eq. [G21]) so that CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation is stoichiometrically coupled to O2 uptake. Growth respiration by methanotrophs is calculated as the difference between total respiration  $R_t$  from Eq. [G21b] and maintenance respiration R<sub>mt</sub> from Eqs. [18-19] of Grant et al. (1993a). Growth respiration drives the uptake and transformation of additional CH<sub>4</sub> into microbial biomass M<sub>t,c</sub> (Eq. [G25]) according to the growth yield. This yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation (Brock and Madigan, 1991) (Eq. [G18]) by the energy required to construct new microbial biomass from CH<sub>4</sub> (Eq. [G26]). Net growth of the methanotrophic population  $M_{t,j,C}$  is calculated as the uptake of  $CH_4 - C$  minus respiration and decomposition of assimilated C (Eq. [G27]). This change determines  $M_{t,a}$  used in the following calculation of X'<sub>t</sub> (Eq. [G18]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

This submodel of autotrophic methanotrophy has been used to simulate methanotrophic growth yields, specific growth rates, CH<sub>4</sub> concentration profiles and the sensitivity of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake to temperature and water content in soil columns (Grant, 1999). The combined submodels of anaerobic fermentation, acetotrophic methanogenesis, hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis and autotrophic methanotrophy have been used to simulate methanogenic growth yields, specific growth rates, and the time course of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from differently amended soil columns at different temperatures (Grant, 1998b). All input parameter values used in Eqs. [G1] to [G27] (Table 1) were derived from the microbiological literature and remain unchanged from those used in Grant (1998b) and in Grant (1999).

# S8: Inorganic N Transformations

Mineralization and Immobilization of Ammonium by All Microbial Populations

Each functional component j (j = labile or resistant) of each microbial population m (m = obligately aerobic bacteria, obligately aerobic fungi, facultatively anaerobic denitrifiers, anaerobic fermenters plus  $H_2$ -producing acetogens, acetotrophic methanogens, hydrogenotrophic methanogens and methanotrophs,  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_2^-$  oxidizers, and non-symbiotic diazotrophs) in each substrate-microbe complex i (i = animal manure, coarse woody plant residue, fine non-woody plant residue, particulate organic matter, or humus) seeks to maintain a set C:N ratio by mineralizing  $NH_4^+$  ([H1a]) or by immobilizing  $NH_4^+$  ([H1b]) or  $NO_3^-$  ([H1c]). Provision is made for C:N ratios to rise

above set values during immobilization, but at a cost to microbial function. These transformations control the exchange of N between organic and inorganic states.

Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Oxygen by Heterotrophs Constraints on heterotrophic oxidation of DOC imposed by  $O_2$  uptake are solved in four steps:

- 1) DOC oxidation under non-limiting O<sub>2</sub> is calculated from active biomass and DOC concentration ([H2]),
- 2)  $O_2$  reduction under non-limiting  $O_2$  is calculated from 1) using a set respiratory quotient ([H3]),
- 3) O<sub>2</sub> reduction under ambient O<sub>2</sub> is calculated from radial O<sub>2</sub> diffusion through water films of thickness determined by soil water potential ([H4a]) coupled with active uptake at heterotroph surfaces driven by 2) ([H4b]). O<sub>2</sub> diffusion and active uptake is population-specific, allowing the development of more anaerobic conditions at microbial surfaces associated with more biologically active substrates. O<sub>2</sub> uptake by heterotrophs also accounts for competition with O<sub>2</sub> uptake by nitrifiers, roots and mycorrhizae,
- 4) DOC oxidation under ambient  $O_2$  is calculated from 2) and 3) ([H5]). The energy yield of DOC oxidation drives the uptake of additional DOC for construction of microbial biomass  $M_{i,h}$  according to construction energy costs of each heterotrophic population (Eqs. [7] to [13] in Grant and Pattey, 2003). Energy costs of denitrifiers are slightly larger than those of obligate heterotrophs, placing denitrifiers at a competitive disadvantage for growth and hence DOC oxidation if electron acceptors other than  $O_2$  are not used.

Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Nitrate, Nitrite and Nitrous Oxide by Denitrifiers
Constraints imposed by NO<sub>3</sub> availability on DOC oxidation by denitrifiers are solved in five steps:

- 1) NO<sub>3</sub> reduction under non-limiting NO<sub>3</sub> is calculated from a fraction of electrons demanded by DOC oxidation but not accepted by O<sub>2</sub> because of diffusion limitations ([H6]),
- 2) NO<sub>3</sub> reduction under ambient NO<sub>3</sub> is calculated from 1) [([H7]),
- 3) NO<sub>2</sub> reduction under ambient NO<sub>2</sub> is calculated from demand for electrons not met by NO<sub>3</sub> in 2) [([H8]),
- 4) NO<sub>2</sub> reduction under ambient NO<sub>2</sub> is calculated from demand for electrons not met by NO<sub>2</sub> in 3) [([H9]),
- 5) additional DOC oxidation enabled by  $NO_x$  reduction in 2), 3) and 4) is added to that enabled by  $O_2$  reduction from [H5], the energy yield of which drives additional DOC uptake for construction of  $M_{i,n}$ . This additional uptake offsets the disadvantage incurred by the larger construction energy costs of denitrifiers.

Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers
Constraints on nitrifier oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> imposed by O<sub>2</sub> uptake are solved in four steps:

- 1) substrate (NH<sub>3</sub>) oxidation under non-limiting O<sub>2</sub> is calculated from active biomass and from NH<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations ([H11]),
- 2)  $O_2$  reduction under non-limiting  $O_2$  is calculated from 1) using set respiratory quotients ([H12]),
- 3) O<sub>2</sub> reduction under ambient O<sub>2</sub> is calculated from radial O<sub>2</sub> diffusion through water films of thickness determined by soil water potential ([H13a]) coupled with active uptake at nitrifier surfaces driven by 2) ([H13b]). O<sub>2</sub> uptake by nitrifiers also accounts for competition with O<sub>2</sub> uptake by heterotrophic DOC oxidizers, roots and mycorrhizae,
- 4) NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation under ambient O<sub>2</sub> is calculated from 2) and 3) ([H14]). The energy yield of NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation drives the fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> for construction of microbial biomass  $M_{i,n}$  according to construction energy costs of each nitrifier population (Eqs. [32] to [34] in Grant and Pattey, 2003).

#### Oxidation of Nitrite and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers

Constraints on nitrifier oxidation of  $NO_2$  imposed by  $O_2$  uptake ([H15] to [H18]) are solved in the same way as are those of  $NH_3$  ([H11] to [H14]). The energy yield of  $NO_2$  oxidation drives the fixation of  $CO_2$  for construction of microbial biomass  $M_{i,o}$  according to construction energy costs of each nitrifier population.

Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Nitrite by Nitrifiers

Constraints on nitrifier oxidation imposed by NO<sub>2</sub> availability are solved in three steps:

- 1) NO<sub>2</sub> reduction under non-limiting NO<sub>2</sub> is calculated from a fraction of electrons demanded by NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation but not accepted by O<sub>2</sub> because of diffusion limitations ([H19]),
- 2) NO<sub>2</sub> reduction under ambient NO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> is calculated from step (1) [([H20]), competing for NO<sub>2</sub> with [H18],
- 3) additional NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation enabled by NO<sub>2</sub> reduction in 2) [H21] is added to that enabled by O<sub>2</sub> reduction from [H14]. The energy yield from this oxidation drives the fixation of additional CO<sub>2</sub> for construction of  $M_{i,n}$ .

# S1: Microbial C, N and P Transformations

# Decomposition

$D_{Si,j,l,C} = D'_{Si,j,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (S_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of litter, POC, humus	[A1a]
$D_{Zi,j,l,C} = D'_{Zi,j,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (Z_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of microbial residues	[A1b]
$D_{Ai,l,C} = D'_{Ai,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (A_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of adsorbed SOC	[A1c]
$S_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} = \Sigma_j \ S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	total C in all kinetic components of litter, POC, humus	[A2a]
$Z_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} = \Sigma_j \ Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	total C in all kinetic components of microbial residues	[A2b]
$G_{i,l,C} = S_{i,l,C} + Z_{i,l,C} + A_{i,l,C}$	total C in substrate-microbe complexes	[A2c]
$M_{i,d,l,C} = M_{i,a,l,C} + q_m \left( M_{i,a,l,C} \ G_{ix,l,C} - M_{ix,a,l,C} \ G_{i,l,C} \right) / \left( G_{ix,l,C} + G_{i,l,C} \right)$	redistribution of active microbial biomass populations from each	[A3a]
$M_{i,a,l,C} = \sum_{n} M_{i,n,a,l,C}$	substrate-microbe complex <i>i</i> to other substrate-microbe complexes <i>ix</i> according to concentration differences (priming)	[A3b]
$D'_{Si,j,l,C} = \{ \mathbf{D}_{Sj,C} [S_{i,j,l,C}] \} / \{ [S_{i,j,l,C}] + \mathbf{K}_{mD} (1.0 + [\Sigma M_{i,d,l,C}] / \mathbf{K}_{iD}) \}$	substrate and water constraint on <i>D</i> from colonized litter, POC and	[A4a]
$D'_{Zi,j,l,C} = \{ \mathbf{D}_{Zj,C} [Z_{i,j,l,C}] \} / \{ [Z_{i,j,l,C}] + \mathbf{K}_{mD} (1.0 + [M_{i,d,l,C}] / \mathbf{K}_{iD}) \}$	humus, microbial residues and adsorbed SOC	[A4b]
$D'_{Ai,l,C} = \{ \mathbf{D}_{A,C} [A_{i,l,C}] \} / \{ [A_{i,l,C}] + \mathbf{K}_{mD} (1.0 + [M_{i,d,l,C}] / \mathbf{K}_{iD}) \}$		[A4c]
$\delta S_{i,j,k,l,C}/\delta t = \beta \sum_{n} (U_{i,n,lC} - R_{hi,n,l}) (S'_{i,j,k,l,C}/S'_{i,j,l,C}) \{ (S'_{i,j,l,C}/S_{i,j,l,C}) / (S'_{i,j,l,C}/S_{i,j,l,C} + \mathbf{K}_{iS}) \}$	colonized litter increases with microbial growth into uncolonized litter	[A5]
$f_{\text{tg}l} = T_{\text{s}l} \left\{ e^{[B \cdot H_{\mathbf{a}}/(R T_{\text{s}l})]} \right\} / \left\{ 1 + e^{[(H_{\mathbf{d}l} \cdot ST_{\text{s}l})/(R T_{\text{s}l})]} + e^{[(ST_{\text{s}l} \cdot H_{\mathbf{d}h})/(R T_{\text{s}l})]} \right\}$	Arrhenius function for $D$ and $R_h$	[A6]

$$D_{Sij,LNP} = D_{Sij,LC}(S_{ij,LNP}/S_{ij,LC}) & \text{decomposition of N and P are driven by that of C in litter, POC. humus, microbial residues and adsorbed SOC} \\ D_{Lij,NNP} = D_{Lij,C}(Z_{ij,NNP}/Z_{ij,LC}) & \text{Freundlich sorption of DOC} & [A8] \\ Y_{Li,C} = K_{B_1}(G_{i,LC}F_{S_1}[Q_{i,LC}]^{b} - X_{i,LC}) & \text{Freundlich sorption of DOC} & [A8] \\ Y_{LiN,P} = Y_{LiC}(Q_{LiN,P}/Q_{Li,C}) & Q_{LiC}(Q_{LiN,P}/Q_{Li,C}) & \text{adsorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = Y_{LiC}(Q_{LiN,P}/Q_{Li,C}) & Q_{LiC}(Q_{LiN,P}/Q_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = Y_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = Y_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = Y_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiC}(X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ Y_{LiN,P} = X_{LiN,P}/X_{Li,C}) & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li},P_{Li},P_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} = X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}} & \text{desorption of DON, DOP} \\ X_{R_{Li},P_{Li,C}}$$

$$U_{NR,NR,P} = U_{IR,I}Q_{UNR}/Q_{UNR}/Q_{ULC} \qquad \text{first-order decay of microbial C}, \qquad [A22]$$

$$D_{Min,p,l,RP} = D_{Mi,j}M_{I,n,j,l,RP} f_{ilp,RNP} f_{ilp,RNP} f_{ilp,RNP} \qquad \text{decay of microbial N, P} \qquad [A24]$$

$$D_{Min,p,l,RP} = D_{Mi,j}M_{I,n,j,l,RP} f_{ilp,RNP} f_{ilp$$

Humification

$H_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l,C} = D_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l,C}$	decomposition products of litter substrate added to POC depending	[A31
$H_{Sij= ext{lignin},l, ext{N,P}} = D_{Sij= ext{lignin},l, ext{N,P}}$	on lignin	[A32
$H_{Si,j ext{-lignin},l,C} = H_{Si,j ext{-lignin},l,C}  oldsymbol{L}_{oldsymbol{h}j}$		[A33
$H_{Si,j\text{-lignin},l,N,P} = H_{Si,j\text{-lignin},l,C} S_{i,l,N,P} / S_{i,l,C}$		[A34
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,C} = D_{Mi,n,j,l,C} \; \boldsymbol{F_h}$	fraction of microbial decay	[A35
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,N,P} = H_{Mi,n,j,l,C} M_{i,n,j,l,N,P} / M_{i,n,j,l,C}$	products added to humus	[A36
$F_{\mathbf{h}} = 0.167 + 0.167 F_{\text{clay}} + 0.167 \times 10^{-6} G_{i,l,C}$	fraction of $D_M$ added to humus	[A37
$H_{Zi,n,j,l,C} = D_{Mi,n,j,l,C} - H_{Mi,n,j,l,C}$	depends on clay and SOC remainder of microbial decay products added to microbial	[A38
$H_{Zi,n,i,l,N,P} = D_{Mi,n,i,l,N,P} - H_{Mi,n,i,l,N,P}$	residues	[A39

Definition of Variables in S1					
Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
i	substrate-microbe complex: coarse woody litter, fine non-woody litter, POC, humus				
İ	kinetic component: labile $l$ , resistant $r$ , active $a$				
l	soil or litter layer				
n	microbial functional type: heterotrophic (bacteria, fungi), autotrophic (nitrifiers, methanotrophs), diazotrophic, oblig aerobe, facultative anaerobes (denitrifiers), obligate anaero (methanogens)				
		variables			
$A_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of adsorbed SOC	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A1c,A2c]		
$[A_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	concentration of adsorbed SOC in soil	g C Mg-1	[A4c]		
a	microbial surface area	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[A26]		
В	parameter such that $f_{tg} = 1.0$ at $T_l = 298.15$ K		[A6]	26.235	
ь	Freundlich exponent for sorption isotherm		[A8]	0.85	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
β	specific colonization rate of uncolonized substrate	-	[A5]	2.5	Grant et al. (201)
$C_{\mathrm{N,P}i,n,a,l}$	ratio of $M_{i,n,a,N,P}$ to $M_{i,n,a,C}$	g N or P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[A12]		

$C_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}j}$	maximum ratio of $M_{i,n,j,N,P}$ to $M_{i,n,j,C}$ maintained by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	g N or P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[A12,A26,A27]	0.22 and 0.13 (N), 0.022 and 0.013 (P) for <i>j</i> = labile and resistant, respectively	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Ai,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,C}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$ producing $Q$ in [A13]	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A1c,A7c,A31c]	respectively	
$oldsymbol{D}_{Aj,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,C}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$ at 25°C and saturating $[A_{i,l,C}]$	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A4c]	0.025	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Ai,j,\;l,{ m N,P}}$	decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,N,P}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A7c]		(17734,0)
$D'_{Ai,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g \ C \ g \ C^{_{\scriptscriptstyle -} 1} \ h^{_{\scriptscriptstyle -} 1}$	[Ala,A4c]		
$oldsymbol{D}_{Mi,j}$	specific decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j}$ at 30°C	g C g C-1 h-1	[A23,A24]	$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ and $8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ for $j =$ labile and resistant, respectively	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j,l,C}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A23,A25,A35,A 38]	respectively	
$D_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j,l,N,P}$	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A24,A29,A39]		
$D_{Si,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ producing $Q$ in [A13]	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A1a,A7a,A31a]		
$oldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{Sj,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C and saturating $[S_{i,l,C}]$	g C g C.1 h.1	[A4a]	1.0, 1.0, 0.15, and 0.025 for $j =$ protein, carbohydrate, cellulose, and lignin, 0.009 for POC, and 0.009 and 0.003 for active and passive humus.	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{\mathit{Si,j,l,N,P}}$	decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,N,P}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A7a, A32]		

$D'_{\mathit{Si,j,l,C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g \ C \ g \ C^{_1} \ h^{_1}$	[A1a,A4a]		
$D_{sO2l}$	aqueous dispersivity—diffusivity of O2 during microbial uptake in soil	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[A17]		
$D_{Zi,j,l,\mathbb{C}}$	decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ producing $Q$ in [A13]	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A1b,A7b]		
$D_{Zi,j,\mathrm{N,P}}$	decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,N,P}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A7b]		
$oldsymbol{D}_{Zj,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $Z_{i,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C and saturating $[Z_{i,l,C}]$	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A4b]	0.25 and $0.05$ for $j = $ labile and resistant biomass	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D^{\prime}{}_{Zi,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g \ C \ g \ C^{\_1} \ h^{\_1}$	[A1b,A4b]	resistant biomass	
$\Delta G_x$	energy yield of C oxidation with different reductants $x$	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[A21]	$37.5 (x = O_2),$ 4.43 (x = DOC)	
$E_{\mathrm{m}}$	energy requirement for growth of $M_{i,n,a,l}$	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[A21]	25	
$E_{\bullet}$	energy requirement for non-symbiotic $N_2$ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs ( $n = f$ )	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[A28]	5	Waring and Running (1998)
$F_{ m clay}$	fraction of mineral soil as clay	Mg Mg <sup>-1</sup>	[A37]		Running (1998)
$F_{h}$	fraction of products from microbial decomposition that are humified (function of clay content)		[A35, A37]		Sørenson (1981)
$F_1$	fraction of microbial growth allocated to labile component $M_{i,n,l}$		[A25,A29,A30]	0.55	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$F_{\rm r}$	fraction of microbial growth allocated to resistant component $M_{i,n,r}$		[A25,A29,A30]	0.45	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$F_{ m s}$	equilibrium ratio between $Q_{i,l,C}$ and $H_{i,l,C}$		[A8]		(1993a,0)
$f_{\mathrm{d}i,n,l\mathrm{N,P}}$	fraction of N or P released with $D_{Mi,n,j,l,C}$ during decomposition	dimensionless	[A24]	0.33 $U_{\text{NH4}} > 0$ 1.00 $U_{\text{NH4}} < 0$ 0.33 $U_{\text{PO4}} > 0$ 1.00 $U_{\text{PO4}} < 0$	
$f_{ m tg}$	temperature function for microbial growth respiration	dimensionless	[A1,A6,A13]	1104	

$f_{{ m tm}l}$	temperature function for maintenance respiration	dimensionless	[A18,A19]		
$f_{{}^{\scriptscriptstyle ec y}\! \mathrm{g} l}$	soil water potential function for microbial, root or mycorrhizal growth respiration	dimensionless	[A13,A15]		Pirt (1975)
$oldsymbol{\Phi}_{i,n=f,j,l}$	non-symbiotic $N_2$ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs $(n = f)$	g N $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A27,A28,A29]		
$G_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	total C in substrate-microbe complex	g C Mg <sup>-1</sup>	[A1,A2c,A3a,A8, A37]		
$[\mathrm{H_2PO_4}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-}}]$	concentration of H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> in soil solution	g P m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]		
$H_{\mathrm{a}}$	energy of activation	J mol-1	[A6,C10]	$65 \times 10^3$	Addiscott (1983)
$H_{ m dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	J mol-1	[A6,C10]	$225 \times 10^3$	
$H_{ m dl}$	energy of low temperature deactivation	J mol <sup>-1</sup>	[A6,C10]	$195 \times 10^3$	
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathbb{C}}$	transfer of microbial C decomposition products to humus	g C m $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A35,A36,A38]		
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,N,P}$	transfer of microbial N or P decomposition products to humus	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A36,A39]		
$H_{Si,j,l,\mathbb{C}}$	transfer of C hydrolysis products to particulate OM	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A31,A32,A33, A34]		
$H_{Si,j,l,N,P}$	transfer of N or P hydrolysis products to particulate OM	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A32,A34]		
$H_{Zi,n,j,l,\mathbb{C}}$	transfer of microbial C decomposition products to microbial residue	$g \ C \ m \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$	[A38]		
$H_{Zi,n,j,l,N,P}$	transfer of microbial N or P decomposition products to microbial residue	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A39]		
$K_{iS}$	inhibition constant for microbial colonization of substrate	-	[A5]	0.5	Grant et al. (2010)
$K_{ m NH_4}$	M-M constant for NH <sub>4</sub> uptake at microbial surfaces	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]	0.40	
$K_{NO_3}$	M-M constant for NO <sub>3</sub> -uptake at microbial surfaces	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]	0.35	
$K_{PO_4}$	M-M constant for H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> uptake at microbial surfaces	g P m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]	0.125	
$K_{iD}$	inhibition constant for $[M_{i,n,a}]$ on $S_{i,C}$ , $Z_{i,C}$	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[A4]	25	Grant et al.

$K_{\mathrm{m}D}$	Michaelis–Menten constant for $D_{Sij,C}$	g C Mg <sup>-1</sup>	[A4]	75	(1993a,b); Lizama
$K_{\mathrm{m}Q_{\mathrm{C}}}$	Michaelis–Menten constant for $R'_{hi,n}$ on $[Q_{i,C}]$	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[A13]	36	and Suzuki (1990)
$K_{\mathrm{O}_2}$	Michaelis–Menten constant for reduction of $O_{2s}$ by microbes, roots and mycorrhizae	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]	0.064	Griffin (1972)
$k_{\rm ts}$	equilibrium rate constant for sorption	$\mathbf{h}^{-1}$	[A8]	0.01	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$oldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{h}j}$	ratio of nonlignin to lignin components in humified hydrolysis products		[A33]	0.10, 0.05, and 0.05 for <i>j</i> = protein, carbohydrate, and cellulose, respectively	Shulten and Schnitzer (1997)
M	molecular mass of water	g mol <sup>-1</sup>	[A15]	18	
$M_{i,d,l,C}$	heterotrophic microbial C used for decomposition	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A1,A3a,A4]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	microbial C	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A13,A17A23,A2 5,A26, A30,A36]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{N}}$	microbial N	$g N m^{-2}$	[A18,A27,A29]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{P}}$	microbial P	g P m <sup>-2</sup>	[A24,A29,A26, A36]		
$M_{i,n,a,l,\mathrm{C}}$	active microbial C from heterotrophic population $n$ associated with $G_{i,l,C}$	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A3,A13,A17, A30]		
$[M_{i,n,a,l,\mathbb{C}}]$	concentration of $M_{i,n,a}$ in soil water = $M_{i,n,a,l,C}/\theta_l$	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[A3, A5]		
n	number of microbial microsites	$m^{-2}$	[A17b]		
$[\mathrm{NH}_{4^{}i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> at microbial surfaces	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]		
$[\mathrm{NH_{4^{\raisebox{-0.5ex}{$^{\raisebox{-0.5ex}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> at microbial surfaces below which $U_{\mathrm{NH_4}} = 0$	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[A26]	0.0125	
$[\mathrm{NO}_{3\bar{}i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> · at microbial surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]		

$[NO_{3^{-}mn}]$	concentration of NO <sub>3</sub> at microbial surfaces below which $U_{NO_3}$ = 0	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]	0.03	
$[\mathrm{H_2PO_4}^{-}_{i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> at microbial surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]		
$[H_2PO_4^{mn}]$	concentration of $H_2PO_4$ at microbial surfaces below which $U_{PO_4} = 0$	g N $m^{-3}$	[A26]	0.002	
$[\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{m}i,n,l}]$	O <sub>2</sub> concentration at heterotrophic microsites	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]		
$[\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{s}l}]$	O <sub>2</sub> concentration in soil solution	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]		
$Q_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	<i>DOC</i> from products of $D_{Si,j,l,C}$ [A3] and $D_{Zi,j,l,C}$ ) [A5]	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A8,A13,A22]		
$[Q_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	solution concentration of $Q_{i,l,C}$	g C Mg <sup>-1</sup>	[A8,A13]		
$Q_{i,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	<i>DON</i> and DOP from products of $(D_{Si,j,l,N,P} + D_{Zi,j,l,N,P})$	g N or P m <sup>-2</sup>	[A9,A22]		
$q_m$	rate constant for reallocating $M_{i,a,l,\mathbb{C}}$ to $M_{i,d,l,\mathbb{C}}$	h <sup>-1</sup>	[A3a]	0.5	
R	gas constant	J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	[A6,A15,C10]	8.3143	
$R_{*i,n=f,j,l}$	respiration for non-symbiotic $N_2$ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs ( $n = f$ )	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[A28]		
$R_{\mathrm{g}i,n,l}$	growth respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ on $Q_{i,l,C}$ under nonlimiting $O_2$ and nutrients	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A20]		
$R_{ m h}$	total heterotrophic respiration of all $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient DOC, O <sub>2</sub> , nutrients, $\theta$ and temperature	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A11]		
$R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l}$	heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient DOC, $O_2$ , nutrients, $\theta$ and temperature	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A5,A11,A14,A2 0, A21,A25]		
$oldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l}$	specific heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting $O_2$ , DOC, $\theta$ and 25°C	$g \ C \ g \ C^{\_1} \ h^{\_1}$	[A12,A13]		
${m R_{ m h}}'_n$	specific heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting DOC, O <sub>2</sub> , nutrients, $\theta$ and 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A12]	0.125	Shields et al. (1973)
$R_{\mathrm{h}'i,n,l}$	heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting $O_2$ and ambient DOC, nutrients, $\theta$ and temperature	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A13,A14,A16]		(1713)
$R_{ m m}$	specific maintenance respiration at 25°C	$g C g N^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A18]	0.0115	Barnes et al. (1998)

$R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l}$	maintenance respiration by $M_{i,n,j,l}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A18,A20,A21,A 25]		
$r_{\mathrm wl}$	radius of $r_{\rm m}$ + water film at current water content	m	[A17]		
$r_{ m m}$	radius of heterotrophic microsite	m	[A17]	$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	
$r_{\mathrm wl}$	thickness of water films	m	[A17]		
S	change in entropy	J mol-1 K-1	[A6,C10]	710	Sharpe and DeMichelle (1977)
$[S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	concentration of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ in soil	g C Mg- <sup>1</sup>	[A4a]		(1977)
$S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of colonized litter, POC or humus C	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A2a,A5,A7a,A33		
$S'_{i,j,l,C}$	mass of uncolonized litter, POC or humus C	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[A5]		
$S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	mass of litter, POC or humus N or P	g N or P m <sup>-2</sup>	[A7a,A33]		
$T_{sl}$	soil temperature	K	[A6,A15.A19]		
$U_{i,n,l\mathrm{C}}$	uptake of $Q_{i,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ under limiting nutrient availability	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A5,A21,A22,A2 5]		
$U_{i,n,\mathrm{N,P}}$	uptake of $Q_{i,l,N,P}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ under limiting nutrient availability	g N or P $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A22,A29]		
$U_{{ m NH4}{\it i},\it n,\it j,\it l}$	NH <sub>4'</sub> uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26, A27,A29]		
$U'_{ m NH_4}$	maximum $U_{\rm NH_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting ${\rm NH_{4^{\circ}}}$	g N $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A26]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
$U_{{ m NO3}{\it i,n,j,l}}$	NO <sub>3</sub> uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26,A27,A29]		
$U'_{ m NO_3}$	maximum $U_{\rm NO_3}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting ${ m NO_3}^{-}$	g N $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A26]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
$U_{\mathrm{O2}i,n}$	$O_2$ uptake by $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient $O_2$	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A14,A17]		
$U'_{\mathrm{O2}i,n}$	$O_2$ uptake by $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting $O_2$	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A14,A16,A17]		

$U_{{ m PO4}{\it i},n,j,l}$	H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26,A27,A29]	
$U'_{ m PO_4}$	maximum $U_{PO_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting $H_2PO_4$	g N $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26]	5.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
$X_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	adsorbed C hydrolysis products	g C Mg-1	[A8,A10]	
$X_{i,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	adsorbed N or P hydrolysis products	g P Mg <sup>-1</sup>	[A10]	
y	selected to give a $Q_{10}$ for $f_{tm}$ of 2.25		[A19]	0.081
$\psi_s$	soil or residue water potential	MPa	[A15]	
$Y_{i,l,C}$	sorption of C hydrolysis products	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[A8,A9,A10]	
$Y_{i,l,N,P}$	sorption of N or P hydrolysis products	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A9,A10]	
$[Z_{i,j,l,\mathbb{C}}]$	concentration of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ in soil	g C Mg-1	[A4b]	
$Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of microbial residue C in soil	$g C m^{-2}$	[A2b,A7b]	
$Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	mass of microbial residue N or P in soil	$g P m^{-2}$	[A7b]	

# **S2: Soil-Plant Water Relations**

# Canopy Transpiration

$Rn_{ci} + LE_{ci} + H_{ci} + G_{ci} = 0$	17 1	canopy energy balance	[B1a]
$LE_{ci} = L \left( e_{a} - e_{ci(T_{Ci, vci})} \right) / r_{ai}$		LE from canopy evaporation	[B1b]
$LE_{ci} = L (e_a - e_{ci(T_{ci,v_{ci}})}) / (r_{ai} + r_{ci}) - LE_{ci} \text{ from [B1b]}$		LE from canopy transpiration	[B1c]
$H_{ci} = \rho C_{p} (T_{a} - T_{ci}) / r_{ai}$		H from canopy energy balance	[B1d]
$r_{\text{cmin}i} = 0.64 \left( C_{\text{b}} - C_{\text{i}'i} \right) / V_{\text{c}'i}$		$r_{\rm c}$ driven by rates of carboxylation $vs$ . diffusion	[B2a]
$r_{\rm ci} = r_{\rm cmini} + (\mathbf{r_{\rm cmaxi}} - r_{\rm cmini}) e^{(-\boldsymbol{\beta} \psi_{\rm ti})}$		$r_{\rm c}$ constrained by water status	[B2b]
$r_{ai} = \{ (\ln((z_u - z_{di}) / z_{ri})^2 / (\mathbf{K}^2 u_a)) \} / (1 - 10 Ri) $		$r_{\rm a}$ driven by windspeed, surface	[B3a]
$Ri = \{g(z_u - z_{ri}) / (u_a^2 T_a)\} (T_a - T_c)$		$r_{\rm a}$ adjusted for stability $vs$ . buoyancy	[B3b]
$\psi_{ ext{t}i}$ = $\psi_{ ext{c}i}$ - $\psi_{ ext{s}i}$		buoyancy	[B4]
	Root and Mycorrhizal Water Uptake		
$U_{\mathrm{w}i} = \sum_{l} \sum_{\mathrm{r}} U_{\mathrm{w}i,r,l}$			[B5]
$U_{\mathrm{w}i,r,l}$ = $(\psi_{\mathrm{c}'i}^{\prime}$ - $\psi_{\mathrm{s}'l}^{\prime})$ / $(\Omega_{\mathrm{s}i,r,l}$ + $\Omega_{\mathrm{r}i,r,l}$ + $\Sigma_{x}$ $\Omega_{\mathrm{a}i,r,l,x})$		$U_{ m w}$ along hydraulic gradient	[B6]
$\psi_{\mathrm{c'}i} = \psi_{\mathrm{c}i} + 0.01 \ z_{\mathrm{b}i}$			[B7]
$\psi_{\mathrm{s}'l} = \psi_{\mathrm{s}l} - 0.01 \ z_l$			[B8]
$\Omega_{{ m s}i,r,l} = \ln \{ (d_{i,r,l} / r_{i,r,l}) / (2\pi L_{i,r,l} \kappa_{{ m r}i,r,l}) \} \; \theta_{{ m w}l} / \theta_{{ m p}l}$			[B9]

$$\Omega_{i,r,l} = \Omega_{i,r,l} / L_{i,r,l} \qquad [B10]$$

$$\Omega_{ai,r,l,x=l} = \Omega_{ai,r} / \{n_{i,r,l,l} / r_{i,r,l} / r_{i,r} / k\} + \gamma \Omega_{ai,r} / t_{bi} / \{n_{i,r,l,l} (r_{bi} / r_{bi} / k)\} \Sigma_{i,r,l} (M_{i,r,l}) / M_{i,r,l}$$

$$\Omega_{ai,r,l,x=2} = \Omega_{ai,r} (L_{i,r,l,2} / n_{i,r,l,2}) / \{n_{i,r,l,2} (r_{i,r,l,2} / r_{i,r} / k)\}$$

$$\delta L_{i,r,l,l} / \delta t = \delta M_{i,r,l,l} / \delta t \nabla_r / \{\rho_r (1 - \theta_{p_{i,r}}) (\pi r_{i,r,l,l} / k)\}$$

$$Canopy Water Potential$$

$$(e_a - e_{i(l_{c_i})}) / (r_{ai} + r_{ci}) [B1] = \Sigma_l \sum_r (\psi_{c'i} - \psi_{s'l}) / (\Omega_{si,r,l} + \Omega_{ri,r,l} + \sum_x \Omega_{ai,r,l,x}) + X_{ci} \delta \psi_{ci} / \delta t$$

$$[B14]$$
[B14]
$$[B15]$$

# **Definition of Variables in S2**

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
i	plant species or functional type: conifer perennial, C <sub>3</sub> , C <sub>4</sub> , monocot, dicot etc.	rous, deciduous, annual,			
j	branch or tiller				
k	node				
l	soil or canopy layer				
m	leaf azimuth				
n	leaf inclination				
o	leaf exposure (sunlit vs. shaded)				

r root or myce	orrhizae
----------------	----------

variables						
β	stomatal resistance shape parameter	MPa <sup>-1</sup>	[B2b,C4,C9]	-5.0	Grant and	
$C_{b}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy air	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[B2,C2,C5]		Flanagan (2007)	
$C_{i'i}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy leaves at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[B2]	$0.70 C_{\rm b}$	Larcher (2001)	
$d_{i,r,l}$	half distance between adjacent roots	m	[B9]			
$E_{\mathrm{c}i}$	canopy transpiration	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B1,B14]			
$e_{\rm a}$	atmospheric vapor density at T <sub>a</sub> and ambient humidity	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[B1]			
$e_{\mathrm{c}i(T_{\mathrm{c}i,^{v}ci})}$	canopy vapor density at $T_{c_i}$ and $\psi_{c_i}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[B1]			
$G_{\mathbf{c}i}$	canopy storage heat flux	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]			
$H_{\mathbf{c}i}$	canopy sensible heat flux	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]			
K	von Karman's constant		[B3a]	0.41		
$K_{{ m r}i,r,l}$	hydraulic conductivity between soil and root surface	$m^2 MPa^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \ h^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}$	[B9]			
γ	scaling factor for bole axial resistance from primary root axial resistance	-	[B11]	$1.6 \times 10^4$	Grant et al. (2007)	
$\boldsymbol{L}$	latent heat of evaporation	$\mathrm{J}~\mathrm{g}^{ ext{-}1}$	[B1]	2460		
$m{L}E_{ci}$	latent heat flux between canopy and atmosphere	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]			
$L_{i,r,l}$	length of roots or mycorrhizae	m m <sup>-2</sup>	[B9,B10,B12,B13			
$M_{i,r,l}$	mass of roots or mycorrhizae	g m <sup>-2</sup>	[B11,B13]			
$n_{i,r,l,x}$	number of primary $(x = 1)$ or secondary $(x = 2)$ axes	$m^{-2}$	[B11,B12]			

$oldsymbol{arOmega}'_{\mathrm{a}i,r}$	axial resistivity to water transport along root or mycorrhizal axes	MPa h m <sup>-4</sup>	[B11,B12]	4.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> deciduous 1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup> coniferous	Larcher (2001)
$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{a}\mathit{i},r,\mathit{l},x}$	axial resistance to water transport along axes of primary $(x = 1)$ or secondary $(x = 2)$ roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m <sup>-1</sup>	[B6,B11,B12]	Comicions	
$oldsymbol{arOmega}'_{{ m r}i,r}$	radial resistivity to water transport from surface to axis of roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m <sup>-2</sup>	[B10]	$1.0 \times 10^4$	Doussan et al. (1998)
$arOlimits_{{ m r}i,r,l}$	radial resistance to water transport from surface to axis of roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m <sup>-1</sup>	[B6,B10]		(1330)
${\it \Omega}_{{ m s}i,r,l}$	radial resistance to water transport from soil to surface of roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m <sup>-1</sup>	[B6,B9]		
$ heta_{\mathrm wl}$	soil water content	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[B9]		
$ heta_{\mathrm pl}$	soil porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[B9]		
$ heta_{\mathrm{P}_{i,r}}$	root porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[B13]		
Ri	Richarson number		[B3a,B3b]		van Bavel and
$Rn_{ci}$	canopy net radiation	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]		Hillel (1976)
$r_{ai}$	aerodynamic resistance to vapor flux from canopy	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[B1,B3a]		
$r_{\mathrm{b}i}$	radius of bole at ambient $\psi_{c_i}$	m	[B11]		
$r_{\mathrm{b}i}$	radius of bole at $\psi_{c_i}$ = 0 MPa	m	[B11]		
$r_{\mathrm{c}i}$	canopy stomatal resistance to vapor flux	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[B1,B2b]		
$r_{\mathrm{cmax}i}$	canopy cuticular resistance to vapor flux	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[B2b]	$5.0 \times 10^3$	Larcher (2001)
$r_{\mathrm{cmin}i}$	minimum $r_{c_i}$ at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[B2,B2b]		
$r_{i,r,l,x}$	radius of primary ( $x$ =1) or secondary ( $x$ =2) roots or mycorrhizae at ambient $\psi_{r_{i} l,z}$	m	[B9,B11,B12,B13 ]		

<b>r'</b> <sub>i,r</sub>	radius of secondary roots or mycorrhizae at $\psi_{\Gamma_{i,l,z}} = 0$ MPa	m	[B11,B12]	2.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> tree 1.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> bush 0.05 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
$\rho_r$	root specific density	g C g FW <sup>-1</sup>	[B13]	mycorrhizae 0.05	Grant (1998)
$T_{\rm a}$	air temperature	K	[B3b]		
$T_{ m c}$	canopy temperature	K	[B3b]		
$U_{\mathrm wi}$	total water uptake from all rooted soil layers	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B5,B14]		
$U_{\mathrm{w}\emph{i},\emph{r},\emph{l}}$	water uptake by root and mycorrhizal surfaces in each soil	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B5,B6]		
$u_{\rm a}$	layer wind speed measured at $z_{\rm u}$	$m s^{-1}$	[B3a,B3b]		
$V_{\mathrm{c}'i}$	potential canopy $CO_2$ fixation rate at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[B2]		
$\mathbf{v}_r$	root specific volume	$m^3 g FW^{-1}$	[B13]	10 <sup>-6</sup>	Grant (1998)
$X_{\mathrm{c}i}$	canopy capacitance	$\mathrm{m^3~m^{-2}~MPa^{-1}}$	[B14]		
$\psi_{{ m c}i}$	canopy water potential	MPa	[B4,B7,B14]		
$\psi_{\mathrm{c}'i}$	$\psi_{ci}$ + canopy gravitational potential	MPa	[B6,B7]		
$\psi_{^{\!\scriptscriptstylest}i}$	canopy osmotic potential	MPa	[B4]		
$\psi_{ ext{s}l}$	soil water potential	MPa	[B8]		
$\psi_{ ext{s}'l}$	$\psi_{sl}$ + soil gravitational potential	MPa	[B6,B8]		
$\psi_{ti}$	canopy turgor potential	MPa	[B2b,B4]	1.25 at $\psi_{\rm c} = 0$	
$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{b}i}$	length of bole from soil surface to top of canopy	m	[B7,B11]		
$z_{\mathrm{d}i}$	canopy zero-plane displacement height	m	[B3a]		Perrier (1982)

$z_l$	depth of soil layer below surface	m	[B8,B11]	
$z_{\rm r}$	canopy surface roughness	m	[B3a,B3b]	Perrier (1982)
$z_{\mathrm{u}}$	height of wind speed measurement	m	[B3a,B3b]	

# S3: Gross Primary Productivity, Autotrophic Respiration, Growth and Litterfall

C<sub>3</sub> Gross Primary Productivity

es di ossi i rintar y i roductivity		
$GPP = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \left( V_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{\text{g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \right) A_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	solve for $C_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ at which	[C1]
$V_{gi,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_b - C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}) / r_{li,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	$V_{\text{ci,j,k,l,m,n,o}} = V_{\text{gi,j,k,l,m,n,o}}$ diffusion	[C2]
$V_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = \min\{V_{\text{b}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}, V_{\text{j}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}\}$	carboxylation	[C3]
$r_{\mathrm{l}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} + (r_{\mathrm{lmax}i} - r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) e^{(-m{\beta} \ \psi_{\mathrm{t}i})}$	$r_1$ is leaf-level equivalent of $r_c$	[C4]
$r_{\text{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_b - C_{i'i}) / V_{c'i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	minimum $r_1$ is driven by carboxylation	[C5]
$V_{\text{bi},j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{\text{bmax},i,j,k} (C_{\text{ci},j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{i,j,k}) / (C_{\text{ci},j,k,l,m,n,o}) + K_{c_i}) f_{*i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	$CO_2$ and water $f_*$ constraints on $V_b$	[C6a]
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}_{i,j,k}} = V_{\mathbf{b}'_{i}} F_{\mathbf{rubisco}_{i}} M_{\mathbf{L}_{i,j,k,prot}} / A_{i,j,k} f_{\mathrm{tb}i} f_{\mathrm{i}Ci}$	temperature $f_{\rm tb}$ and nutrient $f_{\rm iC}$ constraints on $V_{\rm bmax}$	[C6b]
$\Gamma_{i,j,k} = 0.5 \ O_{c} \ V_{\text{omax}_{i,j,k}} \ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{c}_{i}} / (V_{\text{bmax}_{i,j,k}} \ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{o}_{i}})$	CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point	[C6c]
$V_{\mathrm{omax}_{i,j,k}} = V_{\mathbf{o}'_i} F_{\mathbf{rubisco}_i} M_{\mathbf{L}_{i,j,k,prot}} / A_{i,j,k} f_{\mathrm{to}i}$	oxygenation	[C6d]
$K_{c_i} = K_{c_i} f_{tkci} \left( 1 + O_c / \left( K_{o_i} f_{tkoi} \right) \right)$ $V_{ji,j,k,l,m,n,o} = J_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} Y_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} f_{v,i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	M-M constant for $V_b$ water constraints on $V_j$	[C6e] [C7a]
$Y_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{ci,j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{i,j,k}) / (4.5 C_{ci,j,k,l,m,n,o} + 10.5 \Gamma_{i,j,k})$ $J_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max i,j,k} - ((\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max i,j,k})^{2} - 4\alpha\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} J_{\max i,j,k})^{0.5}) / (2\alpha)$	carboxylation efficiency of $V_j$ irradiance constraints on $J$	[C7b] [C8a]
$J_{\max_{i,j,k}} = V_{\mathbf{j'}_i} F_{\mathbf{chlorophyll}_i} M_{\mathbf{L}_{i,j,k,prot}} / A_{i,j,k} f_{\mathbf{t} i} f_{\mathbf{i}Ci}$	temperature and nutrient	[C8b]
$f_{vij,k,l,m,n,o} = (r_{\text{lmin}_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}} / r_{\text{l}_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}})^{0.5}$	constraints on $J_{\text{max}}$ non-stomatal effect related to stomatal effect	[C9]

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_v - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_v - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_v - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})]\}$$

$$f_{0ij} = \exp[B_k - H_{av} / (RT_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(H_{di} - ST_{ci}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H_{dib}) / (RT_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - H$$

$$R_{kl,l} = -\min\{0.0, R_{cl,l} - R_{ml,l}\}$$
 remobilization in branchs, roots and mycorrhizae when  $R_m > R_c$  and  $R_m > R_c$ 

$$\begin{split} \delta M_{Bi,ij}/\delta t &= \sum_{z} [R_{gi,t} (1-Y_{gz})/Y_{gi,t}] - R_{gi,t} - I_{ci,t}C \\ \delta M_{Bi,tij}/\delta t &= \sum_{z} [R_{gi,t} (1-Y_{gi,t})/Y_{gi,t}] - R_{ui,t} - I_{ci,t}C \\ \delta M_{Bi,tij}/\delta t &= \left[ R_{gi,t} (1-Y_{gi,t})/Y_{gi,t}] - R_{ui,t} - I_{ci,t}C \\ \delta M_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( M_{Bi,tij,k,l}/\delta t + \sum_{z} (M_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t + \sum_{z} (M_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t + M_{ini}) (1, \max\{0, \psi_{i} - \psi_{i}^{t}\}) \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}^{t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}) (\pi_{Pi,t,l}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}/\beta_{Pi,t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}/\beta_{Pi,t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\{p_{1}(1-\theta_{Pi,t}/\beta_{Pi,t}/2)\} \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\beta_{Pi,t,l}/\delta t ) \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\beta_{Pi,t,l}/\delta t ) \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\beta_{Pi,t,l}/\delta t ) \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t \right) (y_{1}v_{1}/\beta_{Pi,t,l}/\delta t ) \\ \delta L_{Lij,k,l}/\delta t &= \left( \delta M_{Bi,t,l}/\delta t$$

$$V_{\text{con-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} = \min\{V_{\text{tor-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{fin-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}}\} e^{C\beta} V_{\text{to}}\}$$

$$[C26]$$

$$P_{\text{lij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} = P_{\text{tim-nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + (P_{\text{tim-nio}} - P_{\text{tim-nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}}) e^{C\beta} V_{\text{to}}\}$$

$$[C27]$$

$$P_{\text{tim-nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} = (C_5 - C_{\text{tor-Nij}}) / V_{\text{cgn-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} - F_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}}) e^{C\beta} V_{\text{to}}\}$$

$$V_{\text{fin-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} = V_{\text{bunst(m-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{ton-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} - F_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}}) + K_{\text{cen-Nij}}\}$$

$$V_{\text{fin-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} = V_{\text{ton-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{ton-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} - F_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + 10.5 P_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{ton-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} - F_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + 10.5 P_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{ton-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} + I_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o}} V_{\text{cen-Nij,k,k,l,m,n,o$$

### C<sub>4</sub> Bundle Sheath

$V_{c(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = min\{V_{b(b4)i,j,k}, V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}\}$	bundle sheath carboxylation	[C43]
$V_{b(b4)i,j,k} = V_{bmax(b4)i,j,k} \left( C_{c(b4)i,j,k} - \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k} \right) / \left( C_{c(b4)i,j,k} \right) + K_{c(b4)i,j,k}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -limited carboxylation	[C44]
$V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = J_{(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} Y_{(b4)i,j,k}$	light- limited carboxylation	[C45a]
$Y_{(b4)i,j,k} = \left( C_{c(b4)i,j,k} - \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k} \right) / \left( 4.5 \ C_{c(b4)i,j,k} + 10.5 \ \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k} \right) $ $J_{(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = \left( \varepsilon \ I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max(b4)i,j,k} - \left( \left( \varepsilon \ I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max(b4)i,j,k} \right)^2 \right 4\alpha\varepsilon \ I_{i,l,m,n,o} J_{\max(b4)i,j,k} \right)^{0.5} \right) / \left( 2\alpha \right)$	carboxylation efficiency of $V_{\rm j(b4)}$ irradiance response function	[C45b] [C46]
$V_{\text{bmax}(\text{b4})i,j,k} = V_{\text{bmax}(\text{b4})}' [N_{\text{rub}(\text{b4})i,j,k}]' N_{\text{lfi},j,k} A_{\text{lfi},j,k} f_{\text{C(c3)}i,j,k} f_{*i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	RuBPc activity	[C47]
$J_{\max(\text{b4})i,j,k} = J_{\max}{'} [N_{\text{chl}(\text{b4})i,j,k}]{'} N_{\text{lf}i,j,k} A_{\text{lf}i,j,k} f_{\text{C(c3)}i,j,k} f_{\text{v}i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	chlorophyll activity	[C48]
$f_{C(c3)i,j,k} = \min\{ [\nu_{lfi,j}] / ([\nu_{lfi,j}] + [\chi_{c3(b4)i,j}] / K_{l^{-}lf}), [\pi_{lfi,j}] / ([\pi_{lfi,j}] + [\chi_{c3(b4)i,j}] / K_{l^{-}lf}) \}$	C <sub>3</sub> product inhibition	[C49]
Shoot – Root - Mycorrhizal C, N, P Transfer		
$Z_{sCi,j-i,r,l} = g_{sCi,j-i,r,l} \left( \sigma_{Ci,j} \ M_{Ri,r,l} - \ \sigma_{Ci,r,l} \ M_{Bi,j} \right) / \left( M_{Ri,r,l} + M_{Bi,j} \right)$	shoot – root C transfer driven by $\sigma_{\rm C}$ concentration gradients	[C50]
$Z_{sN,Pi,j-i,r,l} = \mathbf{g}_{sN,Pi,j-i,r,l} \left( \sigma_{N,Pi,j} \ \sigma_{Ci,r,l} - \sigma_{N,Pi,r,l} \ \sigma_{Ci,j} \right) / \left( \sigma_{Ci,r,l} + \sigma_{Ci,j} \right)$	shoot – root N,P transfer driven by $\sigma_{N,P}$ concentration gradients	[C51]
$Z_{rCi,j-i,r,l} = g_{rCi,j-i,r,l} \left( \sigma_{Ci,r,l} \ M_{Mi,r,l} - \ \sigma_{Ci,m,l} \ M_{Ri,r,l} \right) / \left( M_{Mi,r,l} + M_{Ri,r,l} \right)$	root – mycorrhizal C transfer driven by $\sigma_C$ conc'n gradients	[C52]
$Z_{r\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,j\text{-}i,r,l} = \boldsymbol{g_{r\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,j\text{-}i,r,l}} \left( \sigma_{\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,r,l} \ \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,m,l} - \ \sigma_{\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,m,l} \ \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} \right) / \left( \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,m,l} + \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} \right)$	root – mycorrhizal N,P transfer driven by $\sigma_{N,P}$ conc'n gradients	[C53]

### **Definition of Variables in S3**

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
cc C	pecies or functional type: evergreen, oniferous, deciduous, annual, perennial, 3, C4, monocot, dicot, legume etc.				
3	ranch or tiller ode				

l	soil or canopy layer
m	leaf azimuth
n	leaf inclination
0	leaf exposure (sunlit vs. shaded)
z	organ including leaf, stem, root r,
	mycorrhizae <i>m</i>

	VG	ıriables			
A	leaf, root or mycorrhizalsurface area	m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup>	[C1,C6b,C6d,C8b, C21,C23,C32,C33 ,C47]		
β	shape parameter for stomatal effects on CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion and	MPa <sup>-1</sup>	[C4 C27,C35,]	-5.0	Grant and
$B_{\rm j}$	non-stomatal effects on carboxylation parameter such that $f_{iji} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10c]	17.354	Flanagan (2007)
$B_{\mathrm{kc}}$	parameter such that $f_{\text{tkc}i} = 1.0$ at $T_{\text{c}} = 298.15 \text{ K}$		[C10d]	22.187	
$B_{\mathrm{ko}}$	parameter such that $f_{\text{tko}i} = 1.0$ at $T_{\text{c}} = 298.15 \text{ K}$		[C10e]	8.067	
$B_{0}$	parameter such that $f_{\text{to}i} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10b]	24.212	
$B_{\rm v}$	parameter such that $f_{\text{tv}i} = 1.0$ at $T_{\text{c}} = 298.15 \text{ K}$		[C10a, C22]	26.229	
$C_{\mathrm{b}}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy air	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[C2,C5 C25,C28]		
$C_{\mathrm{c}}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy chloroplasts in equilibrium with $C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	μΜ	[C6a,C7b]		
$C_{c(b4)}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	μМ	[C38,C39,C42,C4 4,C45b]		
$C_{c(m4)}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll in equilibrium with $C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	μΜ	[C29,C30b,C39]		
$C_{i}'$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy leaves when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[C5]	$0.70 \times C_b$	Larcher (2001)
$C_{\rm i}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in canopy leaves	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[C2]		
$C_{i(m4)}$ '	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll air when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[C28]	$0.45 \times C_{\rm b}$	

$C_{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{m4})}$	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll air	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	[C25]		
$C_{i,j,z=l}$	C content of leaf $(z = l)$	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C18]		
$D_{\mathrm{e}\mathrm{NH}_{4l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of NH <sub>4</sub> during root uptake	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$D_{\mathrm{eNO}_{3l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of NO <sub>3</sub> during root uptake	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$D_{\mathrm{e\ PO}_{4l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> -during root uptake	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$D_{ m rO2}$	aqueous diffusivity of O <sub>2</sub> from root aerenchyma to root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C14d]		
$D_{ m sO2}$	aqueous diffusivity of O <sub>2</sub> from soil to root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C14d]		
$d_{i,r,l}$	half distance between adjacent roots assumed equal to uptake path length	m	[C23]	$(\pi L_{s,z}/\Delta z)^{-1/2}$	Grant (1998)
$E_{ m N,P}$	energy cost of nutrient uptake	g C g $N^{-1}$ or $P^{-1}$	[C13]	2.15	Veen (1981)
$f_{\mathrm{C(c3)}}$	$C_3$ product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in $C_4$ bundle sheath or $C_3$ mesophyll	-	[C47,C48,C49]		
$f_{ m C(m4)}$	C <sub>4</sub> product inhibition of PEP carboxylation activity in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	-	[C32,C33,C34]		
$oldsymbol{F_{\mathrm{chl}}}$	fraction of leaf protein in chlorophyll	-	[C8b]	0.025	
$f_{ m iC}$	N,P inhibition on carboxylation, leaf structural N,P growth	-	[C6a,C7,C11,C12]		
$f_{ m iN}$	N inhibition on root N uptake	-	[C23g]		
$f_{ m iP}$	P inhibition on root P uptake	-	[C23h]		
$f_{^{\lambda}\mathrm{N}}$	fraction of $X_{ m mx}$ N translocated out of leaf or root before litterfall	_	[C19a,c]		
$f_{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda P}$	fraction of $X_{\mathrm{mx}}$ P translocated out of leaf or root before litterfall	-	[C19b,d]		

$oldsymbol{F_{ ext{rubisco}}}$	fraction of leaf protein in rubisco	-	[C6b,d]	0.125	
$f_{ta}$	temperature effect on $R_{ai,j}$ and $U$	_	[C14,C22,C23]		
$f_{ m tb}$	temperature effect on carboxylation	_	[C6b,C10a]		
$f_{ m tj}$	temperature effect on electron transport		[C8b,C10c]		
$f_{ m tkc}$	temperature effect on $K_{c_i}$		[C6e,C10d]		Bernacchi et al.
$f_{ m tko}$	temperature effect on $K_{o_i}$		[C6e,C10e]		(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al. (2001,2003)
$f_{ m tm}$	temperature effect on $R_{mi,j}$	_	[C16, C22b]	$Q_{10} = 2.25$	(2001,2003)
$f_{to}$	temperature effect on oxygenation		[C6d,C10b]		
$f_{ m tv}$	temperature effect on carboxylation	-	[C32,C33,C36,C4 7,C48]		
$f_{ m xN}$	inhibition of root or mycorrhizal N exudation	_	[C19f,h]		
$f_{ m xP}$	inhibition of root or mycorrhizal P exudation	_	[C19g,i]		
$f_{vm{i}}$	non-stomatal water effect on carboxylation	-	[C6a,C7a,C9]		Medrano et al. (2002)
$f_{voldsymbol{i}}$	non-stomatal water effect on carboxylation	-	[C32,C33,C35C47 ,C48]		
$g_{s\mathrm{C}}$	conductance for shoot-root C transfer	h <sup>-1</sup>	[C50]	calculated from root depth, axis number	Grant (1998)
$oldsymbol{g}_{s ext{N,P}}$	rate constant for shoot-root N,P transfer	h <sup>-1</sup>	[C51]	0.1	Grant (1998)
$g_{r\mathrm{C}}$	rate constant for root-mycorrhizal C transfer	$h^{-1}$	[C52]	0.1	Grant (1998)
$g_{r m N,P}$	rate constant for root-mycorrhizal N,P transfer	h <sup>-1</sup>	[C53]	0.1	Grant (1998)
$H_{ m aj}$	energy of activation for electron transport	J mol-1	[C10c]	$43 \times 10^3$	Bernacchi et al. (2001,2003)

$H_{ m akc}$	parameter for temperature sensitivity of $K_{c_i}$	J mol-1	[C10d]	$55 \times 10^3$	Bernacchi et al. (2001,2003)
$H_{ m ako}$	parameter for temperature sensitivity of $K_{o_i}$	J mol-1	[C10e]	$20 \times 10^3$	Bernacchi et al.
$H_{ m ao}$	energy of activation for oxygenation	J mol-1	[C10b, C22]	$60 \times 10^3$	(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al.
$H_{\mathrm{av}}$	energy of activation for carboxylation	J mol-1	[C10a, C22]	$65 \times 10^3$	(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al.
$H_{ m dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	J mol-1	[C10, C22]	$222.5 \times 10^3$	(2001,2003)
$H_{ m dl}$	energy of low temperature deactivation	J mol-1	[C10, C22]	$197.5 \times 10^3$	
$[\mathrm{H_2PO}_{4i,r,l}]$	concentration of H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> root or mycorrizal surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]		
$[\mathrm{H_2PO_4}^{mn}]$	concentration of $H_2PO_4$ at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{PO_4} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.002	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
I	irradiance	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C8a,]		
J	electron transport rate in C <sub>3</sub> mesophyll	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C7a,C8a]		
$J_{ m (b4)}$	electron transport rate in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C45a,C46]		
$J_{ m (m4)}$	electron transport rate in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C30a,C31]		
$J_{ m max}{}'$	specific electron transport rate at non-limiting $I$ and $25^{\circ}$ C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	$\mu mol g^{-1} s^{-1}$	[C33,C48]	400	
$J_{ m max(b4)}$	electron transport rate in $C_4$ bundle sheath at non-limiting $I$	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C46,C48]		
$J_{ m max(m4)}$	electron transport rate in $C_4$ mesophyll at non-limiting $I$	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C31,C33]		
$J_{ m max}$	electron transport rate at non-limiting $I, \psi_{\mathrm{c}i}$ , temperature and N,P	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C8a,C8b]		
$K_{c(b4)}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation in $C_4$ bundle sheath	μΜ	[C44]	$30.0$ at $25^{\circ}$ C and zero $O_2$	Lawlor (1993)
$K_{\rm c(m4)}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation in $C_4$ mesophyll	μΜ	[C29]	3.0 at 25°C	Lawlor (1993)

$K_{\rm c}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation at zero $\mathrm{O}_2$	μΜ	[C6c,C6e]	12.5 at 25 °C	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$K_{\rm c}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation at ambient $\mathrm{O}_2$	μΜ	[C6e]		(1700)
$K_{iC_N}$	inhibition constant for growth in shoots from $\sigma_{C}$ vs. $\sigma_{N}$	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C11]	100	Grant (1998)
$K_{iCp}$	inhibition constant for growth in shoots from $\sigma_C$ vs. $\sigma_P$	g C g P <sup>-1</sup>	[C11]	1000	Grant (1998)
$K_{\text{\tiny L-C4(b4)}}$	constant for $CO_2$ product inhibition of $C_4$ decarboxylation in $C_4$ bundle sheath	μΜ	[C38]	1000.0	
$K_{ m L_{C4(m4)}}$	constant for $C_4$ product inhibition of PEP carboxylation activity in $C_4$ mesophyll	μΜ	[C34]	5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	
$K_{ m I_{^{\prime}}lf}$	constant for $C_3$ product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in $C_4$ bundle sheath or $C_3$ mesophyll caused by $[\nu_{lf_{i,j}}]$	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C49]	100	
$K_{\mathrm{I_{^s}lf}}$	constant for $C_3$ product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in $C_4$ bundle sheath or $C_3$ mesophyll caused by $[\pi_{lfi,l}]$	g C g P <sup>-1</sup>	[C49]	1000	
$K_{iN_C}$	inhibition constant for N uptake in roots from $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ vs. $\sigma_{Nj}$	$g N g C^{-1}$	[C23]	0.1	Grant (1998)
$K_{iP_{C}}$	inhibition constant for P uptake in roots from $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ vs. $\sigma_{Pi,j}$ roots	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C23]	0.01	Grant (1998)
$K_{\lambda N}$	inhibition constant for remobilization of leaf or root N during senescence	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C19c]	0.1	
$K_{iP}$	inhibition constant for remobilization of leaf or root P during senescence	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C19d]	0.01	
$K_{ m NH_4}$	M-M constant for NH <sub>4</sub> ·uptake at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[C23]	0.40	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$K_{ m NO_3}$	M-M constant for NO <sub>3</sub> -uptake at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[C23]	0.35	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$K_{PO_4}$	M-M constant for H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> uptake root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g P m <sup>-3</sup>	[C23]	0.125	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$K_{\mathrm{O}_2}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for root or mycorrhizal O <sub>2</sub> uptake	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[C14c]	0.064	Griffin (1972)

$K_{0}$	inhibition constant for O2 in carboxylation	$\mu M$	[C6c,C6e]	500 at 25 °C	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$K_{xN}$	inhibition constant for exudation of root or mycorrhizal N	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C19h]	1.0	(1900)
$K_{xP}$	inhibition constant for exudation of root or mycorrhizal P	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C19i]	10.0	
L	root length	m m <sup>-2</sup>	[C14d,C21b,C23]		
$l_{\mathrm{C}}$	C litterfall from leaf or root	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C18,C19a,b,C20]		
$l_{\mathrm{N,P}}$	N or P litterfall from leaf or root	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19a,b]		
$M_{ m B}$	branch C phytomass	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C20,C50]		
$M_{ m L}$	leaf C phytomass	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C12,C21]		
$M_{\mathrm{L_{N}}}, M_{\mathrm{L_{R}}}$	non-remobilizable, remobilizable (protein) leaf C phytomass	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C12,C18]		
$M_{ m M}$	mycorrhizal C phytomass	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C52]		
$M_{ m R}$	root C phytomass	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C20,C21,C50,C5		
$M_{\mathrm{L}_{iprot}}$	leaf protein phytomass calculated from leaf N, P contents	g N m <sup>-2</sup>	2] [C6b,C6d,C8b]		
N,P	N or P content of organ z	$g N m^{-2}$	[C16, C19]		
$N_{ m leaf}$	maximum leaf structural N content	g N g $C^{-1}$	[C12]	0.10	
$N'_{ m leaf}$	minimum leaf structural N content	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C12]	$0.33 \times N_{\text{leaf}}$	
$N_{ m lf}$	total leaf N	g N m <sup>-2</sup> leaf	[C32,C33,C47,C4 8]		
$N_{prot}$	N content of protein remobilized from leaf or root	g N C <sup>-1</sup>	[C12,C19a]	0.4	
$[N_{ m chl(b4)}]'$	ratio of chlorophyll N in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath to total leaf N	g N g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C48]	0.05	
$[N_{\mathrm{chl}(\mathrm{m4})}]'$	ratio of chlorophyll N in $C_4$ mesophyll to total leaf N	g N g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C33]	0.05	

$[\mathrm{NH}_{4^{\scriptscriptstyle +}i,r,l}]$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> at root or mycorrizal surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]		
$[NH_{4^{\bullet}mn}]$	concentration of $NH_{4}$ at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{NH_{4}} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.0125	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$[NO_{3\bar{i},r,l}]$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> · at root or mycorrizal surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]		,
[NO <sub>3 mn</sub> ]	concentration of NO <sub>3</sub> at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{NO_3} = 0$	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[C23]	0.03	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$[N_{\mathrm{pep(m4)}}]'$	ratio of PEP carboxylase N in $C_4$ mesophyll to total leaf N	$g N g N^{-1}$	[C32]	0.025	
$[N_{\text{rub(b4)}}]'$	ratio of RuBP carboxylase N in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath to total leaf N	g N g N <sup>-1</sup>	[C47]	0.025	
$\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{q}}$	aqueous $O_2$ concentration in root or mycorrhizal aerenchyma	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[C14c,d]		
$O_{2r}$	aqueous O <sub>2</sub> concentration at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$g m^{-3}$	[C14c,d]		
${ m O}_{2{ m s}}$	aqueous O2 concentration in soil solution	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[C14c,d]		
$O_{\mathrm{c}}$	$\left[ \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ in canopy chloroplasts in equilibrium with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in atm.	μΜ	[C6c,C6e]		
$P_{\text{leaf}}$	maximum leaf structural P content	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C12]	0.10	
P' <sub>leaf</sub>	minimum leaf structural P content	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C12]	0.33 x <b>P</b> <sub>leaf</sub>	
P <sub>prot</sub>	P content of protein remobilized from leaf or root	g P C <sup>-1</sup>	[C12,C19b]	0.04	
$[\pi_{ m lf}]$	concentration of nonstructural root P uptake product in leaf	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C49]		
$\theta_P$	root or mycorrhizal porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[C21b]	0.1 - 0.5	
R	gas constant	J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	[C10, C22]	8.3143	
$R_{\rm a}$	total autotrophic respiration	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C13]		
$R_{\rm a}{}'$	$R_a$ under nonlimiting $O_2$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14]		
$R_{\mathrm{c}}{}'$		g C g C <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			

$R_{\rm c}$	autotrophic respiration of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ or $\sigma_{Ci,r,l}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C13,C14,C17, C15]		
$R_{ m g}$	growth respiration	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C17,C20]		
$r_{ m lf}$	leaf stomatal resistance	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[C25,C27,C39]		
$r_{\mathrm{lfmax}i}$	leaf cuticular resistance	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[C27]		
$r_{\mathrm{lfmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $r_{\mathrm{l}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf stomatal resistance when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ leaf stomatal resistance	s m <sup>-1</sup> s m <sup>-1</sup>	[C27,C28,C35 [C2,C4,C9]		
$r_{\mathrm{lmax}i}$	leaf cuticular resistance	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[C4]		
$r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf stomatal resistance when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	s m <sup>-1</sup>	[C4,C5,C9]		
$R_{ m m}'$	specific maintenance respiration of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ at $T_{ci} = 25$ °C	$g \ C \ g \ N^{\text{-}1} \ h^{\text{-}1}$	[C16]	0.0115	Barnes et al.
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,j}$	above-ground maintenance respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C16,C17,C15]		(1998)
$r_{\mathrm{q}i,r,l}$	radius of root aerenchyma	m	[C14d]		
$r_{{ m r}i,r,l}$	root or mycorrhizal radius	m	[C14d,C21b,c,C23	$1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ or } 5.0 \times 10^{-6}$	
$R_{\mathrm{s}i,j}$	respiration from remobilization of leaf C	g C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	a,c,e] [C13,C15,C18, C20]	10 <sup>-6</sup>	
$r_{\mathrm sl}$	thickness of soil water films	m	[C14d]		
$r_x$	rate constant for root or mycorrhizal exudation	h <sup>-1</sup>	[C19f,g,h]	0.001	
$\rho_r$	dry matter content of root biomass	g g <sup>-1</sup>	[C21b]	0.125	
S	change in entropy	$J \; mol^{-1} \; K^{-1}$	[C10, C22]	710	Sharpe and DeMichelle (1977)
$\sigma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle  m C}$	nonstructural C product of CO <sub>2</sub> fixation	g C g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C11,C19c,d,e,h,i, C23g,h,C50-53]		

$\sigma_{\! N}$	nonstructural N product of root uptake	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C11, C19c,f,h,i C23g,h,C51,C53]		
<b>О</b> Р	nonstructural P product of root uptake	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C11, C19d,g,h,i		
$T_{ m c}$	canopy temperature	K	C23g,h,C51,C53] [C10, C22]		
$U_{{ m NH4}\it{i,r,l}}$	NH <sub>4</sub> · uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	g N $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$U'_{ m NH_4}$	maximum $U_{\rm NH_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting NH <sub>4</sub> ·	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	Barber and
$U_{{ m NO}3\it{i,r,l}}$	NO <sub>3</sub> uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		Silberbush, 1984
$U'_{ m NO_3}$	maximum $U_{\rm NO_3}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting ${\rm NO_3}$	g N $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$U_{{ m PO4}\it{i,r,l}}$	H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		Shoeroush, 1984
$U'_{ m PO_4}$	maximum $U_{PO_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting $H_2PO_4$	g N $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	Barber and
$U_{{ m O2}\it{i,r,l}}$	$\mathrm{O}_2$ uptake by roots and mycorrhizae under ambient $\mathrm{O}_2$	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14b,c,C23b,d,f]		Silberbush, 1984
$U^{\prime}_{{\rm O2}\it{i},l.r}$	$\mathrm{O}_2$ uptake by roots and mycorrhizae under nonlimiting $\mathrm{O}_2$	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14b,c,C23b,d,f]		
$U_{\mathrm{w}_{i,r,l}}$	root water uptake	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14d,C23]		
$V_{ ho(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k}$	CO <sub>2</sub> leakage from C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath to C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C39,C42]		
$V_{ m b}'$	specific rubisco carboxylation at 25 °C	μmol g <sup>-1</sup> rubisco s <sup>-1</sup>	[C6b]	45	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$V_{\mathrm{b(b4)}i,j,k}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -limited carboxylation rate in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	$\mu mol~m^{-2}~s^{-1}$	[C43,C44]		
$V_{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -limited carboxylation rate in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C26]		
$V_{{\mathrm{b}}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -limited leaf carboxylation rate	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C3,C6]		
$V_{\rm bmax(b4)}'$	RuBP carboxylase specific activity in $C_4$ bundle sheath at $25^{\circ}$ C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	$\mu mol g^{-1} s^{-1}$	[C47]	75	

$V_{\mathrm{bmax}(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -nonlimited carboxylation rate in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C44,C47]		
$V_{\rm bmax(m4)}'$	PEP carboxylase specific activity in $C_4$ mesophyll at 25°C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	$\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C32]	150	
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k}$	CO <sub>2</sub> -nonlimited carboxylation rate in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C29,C32]		
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}i,j,k}$	leaf carboxylation rate at non-limiting CO <sub>2</sub> , $\psi_{ci}$ , $T_c$ and N,P	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C6a,C6b,C6c]		
$V_{c(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> fixation rate in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C43]		
$V_{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{m}4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> fixation rate in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C24,C26,C40,C4 1]		
$V_{c_0(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	$CO_2$ fixation rate in $C_4$ mesophyll when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ MPa	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C28]		
$V_{{ m c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO <sub>2</sub> fixation rate	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C3]		
$V_{{ m c'}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf $CO_2$ fixation rate when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C5]		
$V_{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate into C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C24,C25]		
$V_{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{\mathrm{g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate into C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll leaf CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate	$\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> $\mu$ mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C24,C25] [C1,C2]		
		•		450	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$V_{\mathrm{g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> μmol g <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C2]	450	
$V_{{ m g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{ m j}'$	leaf CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate specific chlorophyll e transfer at 25 °C	μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> μmol g <sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll s <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C2] [C8b]	450	
$V_{\mathrm{gi},j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{\mathbf{j}}'$ $V_{\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO <sub>2</sub> diffusion rate specific chlorophyll e <sup>-</sup> transfer at 25 °C irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol g <sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C2] [C8b] [C43,C45a]	450	
$V_{gi,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{\mathbf{j}'}$ $V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{j(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf $CO_2$ diffusion rate specific chlorophyll e transfer at 25 °C irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in $C_4$ bundle sheath irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in $C_4$ mesophyll	µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol g <sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C2] [C8b] [C43,C45a] [C26,C30a]	<ul><li>450</li><li>9.5</li></ul>	(1980)  Farquhar et al.
$V_{gi,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{\mathbf{j}'}$ $V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{j(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{ji,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf $CO_2$ diffusion rate specific chlorophyll e transfer at 25 °C irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in $C_4$ bundle sheath irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in $C_4$ mesophyll irradiance-limited leaf carboxylation rate	µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol g <sup>-1</sup> chlorophyll s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	[C1,C2] [C8b] [C43,C45a] [C26,C30a] [C3,C7a]		(1980)

V <sub>xC4(m4)</sub>	transfer of $C_4$ fixation product between $C_4$ mesophyll and bundle sheath	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C37]		
$[ u_{ m lf}]$	concentration of nonstructural root N uptake product in leaf	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C49]		
$v_r$	specific volume of root biomass	$m^3 g^{-1}$	[C21b]		
$W_{\rm lf(b4)}$	C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath water content	g m <sup>-2</sup>	[C37,C39]		
$W_{\rm lf(m4)}$	C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll water content	g m <sup>-2</sup>	[C37]		
$X_{mx}$	maximum fraction of remobilizable N or P translocated out of leaf or root during senescence	-	[C19a,b]	0.6	Kimmins (2004)
$x_{i,r,l,C}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19e]		
$x_{i,r,l,N}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	$g\ N\ m^{2}h^{1}$	[C19f]		
$\chi_{i,r,l,P}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	g P m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C19g]		
Y	carboxylation yield from electron transport in C <sub>3</sub> mesophyll	μmol CO <sub>2</sub> μmol e <sup>-1</sup>	[C7a,b]		
$Y_{(b4)}$	carboxylation yield from electron transport in $C_4$ bundle sheath	μmol CO <sub>2</sub> μmol e <sup>-</sup>	[C45a,b]		
$Y_{(m4)}$	carboxylation yield from electron transport in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	μmol CO <sub>2</sub> μmol e <sup>-</sup>	[C30a,b]		
$Y_{ m g}$	fraction of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ used for growth expended as $R_{gi,j,z}$ by organ $z$	g C g C <sup>-1</sup>	[C20]	0.28 ( $z = leaf$ ), 0.24 ( $z = root$ and other non-foliar), 0.20 ( $z = wood$ )	Waring and Running (1998)
y	plant population	$m^{-2}$	[C21]	0.20 (2 wood)	
$Z_{sC}$	shoot-root C transfer	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C50]		
$Z_{sN,P}$	shoot-root N,P transfer	g N,P m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[C51]		

$Z_{rC}$	root-mycorrhizal C transfer	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[C52]		
$Z_{r m N,P}$	root-mycorrhizal N,P transfer	g N,P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C53]		
Γ	CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point in C <sub>3</sub> mesophyll	μМ	[C6a,C6c,C7b]		
$arGamma_{ ext{(b4)}}$	CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	μΜ	[C44,C45b]		
$\Gamma_{ m (m4)}$	CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	μΜ	[C29,C30b]		
$\alpha$	shape parameter for response of $J$ to $I$	-	[C8a]	0.7	
$\alpha$	shape parameter for response of $J$ to $I$	-	[C31,C46]	0.75	
x	area:mass ratio of leaf growth	m g <sup>-3</sup>	[C21]	0.0125	Grant and Hesketh (1992)
<b>X</b> C4(b4)	non-structural C <sub>4</sub> fixation product in C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C37,C38,C41]		
<b>X</b> C4(m4)	non-structural C <sub>4</sub> fixation product in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[C37,C40]		
[ $\chi_{c3(b4)}$ ]	concentration of non-structural $C_3$ fixation product in $C_4$ bundle sheath	g g <sup>-1</sup>	[C49]		
$[\chi_{\text{C4(m4)}}]$	concentration of non-structural C <sub>4</sub> fixation product in C <sub>4</sub> mesophyll	μΜ	[C34]		
ε	quantum yield	μmol e <sup>-</sup> μmol quanta <sup>-1</sup>	[C8a]	0.45	Farquhar et al. (1980)
ε	quantum yield	μmol e μmol quanta 1	[C31,C46]	0.45	Farquhar et al., (1980)
$\kappa_{\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{b4})}$	conductance to CO <sub>2</sub> leakage from C <sub>4</sub> bundle sheath	$h^{-1}$	[C39]	20	
$\psi_{t}$	canopy turgor potential	MPa	[C4]	1.25 at $\psi_{\rm c} = 0$	

## **S4: Soil Water, Heat, Gas and Solute Fluxes**

## Surface Water Flux

$Q_{\mathrm{rx}(x,y)} = v_{x(x,y)} d_{\mathrm{mx},y} L_{y(x,y)}$	2D Manning equation in $x$ (EW) and $y$ (NS) directions	[D1]
$Q_{\text{ry}(x,y)} = v_{y(x,y)} d_{\text{mx},y} L_{x(x,y)}$	and y (1.6) an outlend	
$d_{x,y} = \max(0, d_{w(x,y)} + d_{i(x,y)} - d_{s(x,y)}) d_{w(x,y)} / (d_{w(x,y)} + d_{i(x,y)})$	surface water depth	[D2]
$v_{x(x,y)} = R^{0.67} s_{x(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over E slope	[D3]
$v_{y(x,y)} = R^{0.67} s_{y(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over S slope	
$v_{x(x,y)} = -R^{0.67} s_{x(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over W slope	
$v_{y(x,y)} = -R^{0.67} s_{y(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over N slope	
$\Delta(d_{w(x,y)}A_{x,y}) / \Delta t = Q_{r,x(x,y)} - Q_{r,x+1(x,y)} + Q_{r,y(x,y)} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} + P - E_{x,y} - Q_{wz(x,y,l)}$	2D kinematic wave theory for overland flow	[D4]
$R = s_{\rm r} d_{\rm m} / [2 (s_{\rm r}^2 + 1) 0.5]$	wetted perimeter	[D5a]
$s_{x(x,y)} = 2 abs[(Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y} - (Z + d_s + d_m)_{x+1,y}] / (L_{x(x,y)} + L_{x(x+1,y)})$	2D slope from topography and pooled surface water in $x$ (EW)	[D5b]
$s_{y(x,y)} = 2 abs[(Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y} - (Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y+1}] / (L_{y(x,y)} + L_{y(x,y+1)})$	and $y$ (NS) directions	
$LE_{l} = L \left( e_{a} - e_{l(T_{l, \forall l})} \right) / r_{al}$	evaporation from surface litter	[D6a]
$LE_{s} = L \left( e_{a} - e_{s(T_{s, s})} \right) / r_{as}$	evaporation from soil surface	[D6b]
Subsurface Water Flux		
$Q_{wx(x,y,z)} = K'_{x} (\psi_{sx,y,z} - \psi_{sx+1,y,z})$	3D Richard's or Green-Ampt	[D7]
$Q_{wy(x,y,z)} = K'_{y} (\psi_{sx,y,z} - \psi_{sx,y+1,z})$	equation depending on saturation of source or target cell in <i>x</i> (EW),	
$Q_{wz(x,y,z)} = K'_z (\psi_{sx,y,z} - \psi_{sx,y,z+1})$	y (NS) and $z$ (vertical) directions	

### Solute Flux

$Q_{s_{X}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{wx(x,y,z)} \left[ \gamma_{ss} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{s_{X}(x,y,z)} \left( \left[ \gamma_{s} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[ \gamma_{s} \right]_{x+I,y,z} \right) / \left( L_{x(x,y,z)} + L_{x(x+I,y,z)} \right)$	3D convective - dispersive solute flux among soil layers in $x$ (EW), $y$	[D19a]
$Q_{\text{sry}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{\text{wy}(x,y,z)} \left[ \gamma_{\text{ss}} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{\text{sry}(x,y,z)} \left( \left[ \gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[ \gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y+I,z} \right) / \left( L_{y(x,y,z)} + L_{y(x,y+I,z)} \right)$	(NS) and $z$ (vertical) directions	[D19b]
$Q_{S_{Z}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{wz(x,y,z)} \left[ \gamma_{SS} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{S_{Z}(x,y,z)} \left( \left[ \gamma_{S} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[ \gamma_{S} \right]_{x,y,z+l} \right) / \left( L_{z(x,y,z)} + L_{z(x,y,z+l)} \right)$		[D19c]
$Q_{\text{r}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{\text{wr}(x,y,z)} [\gamma_{\text{SS}}]_{x,y,z} + 2\pi L_{i,r} D_{\text{S}^{\vee}} ([\gamma_{\text{SS}}] - [\gamma_{\text{rr}i,r}]) \ln\{(r_{\text{S}} + r_{\text{ri},r}) / r_{\text{ri},r}\} + 2\pi L_{i,r} D_{\text{r}^{\vee}} ([\gamma_{\text{Sr}i,r}] - [\gamma_{\text{rr}i,r}]) \ln(r_{\text{q}i,r}) / r_{\text{ri},r})$	convective - dispersive solute flux between soil and root aqueous phases	[D19d]
$D_{\text{Svx}(x,y,z)} = D_{\text{qx}(x,y,z)} \mid Q_{\text{wx}(x,y,z)} \mid + \boldsymbol{D'}_{\text{S}'} f_{\text{Sx},y,z} \left[ 0.5 (\theta_{\text{wx},y,z} + \theta_{\text{wx}+I,y,z}) \right] \tau$	aqueous dispersivity in soil as functions of water flux and water-	[D20a]
$D_{\text{Sry}(x,y,z)} = D_{\text{qy}(x,y,z)} \mid Q_{\text{wy}(x,y,z)} \mid + \boldsymbol{D'}_{\mathbf{s}_{1}} f t_{\text{Sx},y,z} \left[ 0.5 (\theta_{\text{wx},y,z} + \theta_{\text{wx}+I,y,z}) \right] \tau$	filled porosity in $x$ , $y$ and $z$ directions	[D20b]
$D_{\text{Sz}(x,y,z)} = D_{\text{qz}(x,y,z)} \left[ Q_{\text{wz}(x,y,z)} \right] + \boldsymbol{D'}_{s}, \text{ ft}_{s_x,y,z} \left[ 0.5(\theta_{\text{w}x,y,z} + \theta_{\text{w}x+1,y,z}) \right] \tau$	anconons	[D20c]
$D_{\text{r}(x,y,z)} = \boldsymbol{D'}_{qr} \mid Q_{\text{wr}(x,y,z)} \mid + \boldsymbol{D'}_{s_r} f t_{s_x,y,z} \theta_{\text{w}x,y,z} \tau$	aqueous dispersivity to roots as functions of water flux and water- filled porosity	[D20d]
$D_{qx(x,y,z)} = 0.5 \boldsymbol{\alpha} \left( L_{x(x,y,z)} + L_{x(x+l,y,z)} \right)^{r}$	dispersivity as a function of water	[D21a]
$D_{\text{qy}(x,y,z)} = 0.5  \boldsymbol{\alpha} \left( L_{y(x,y,z)} + L_{y(x,y+l,z)} \right) $	flow length	[D21b]
$D_{\mathrm{qz}(x,y,z)} = 0.5 \; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \left( \; L_{z \; (x,y,z)} + L_{z \; (x,y,z+I)}  ight)$		[D21c]

### **Definition of Variables in S4**

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference

		subscripts			
x	grid cell position in west to east direction				
y	grid cell position in north to south direction				
Z	grid cell position in vertical direction			<ul><li>z = 0: surface</li><li>residue, z = 1 to</li><li>n: soil layers</li></ul>	
		variables			
A	area of landscape position	$m^2$	[D17c]		
$A_{\rm r}$	root cross-sectional area of landscape position	$m^2$	[D17c]		
$a_{ m gr}$	air-water interfacial area in roots	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[D14b]		
$a_{\mathrm{gs}}$	air-water interfacial area in soil	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[D14a,D15b]		Skopp (1985)
α	dependence of $D_{\rm q}$ on $L$	-	[D21]	0.20	
β	dependence of $D_{\rm q}$ on $L$	-	[D21]	1.07	
c	heat capacity of soil	$MJ m^{-2} {}^{o}C^{-1}$	[D13]		
$c_w$	heat capacity of water	$MJ m^{-3} {}^{o}C^{-1}$	[D12]	4.19	
$D_{d^{\scriptscriptstyle{\gamma}}}$	volatilization - dissolution transfer coefficient for gas $\gamma$	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D14,D15a]		
$D_{gr_{7}}$	gaseous diffusivity of gas $\gamma$ in roots	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D16d,D17d]		Luxmoore et al.
$D_{ m gs}$	gaseous diffusivity of gas $\gamma$ in soil	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D15a,D16a,b,c,D		(1970a,b) Millington and
<b>D'</b> g,	diffusivity of gas $\gamma$ in air at 0 °C	$m^2 h^{-1}$	17a,b,c] [D17]	6.43 x $10^{-2}$ for $\gamma = O_2$	Quirk (1960) Campbell (1985)
$D^{\prime}_{ m qr}$	dispersivity in roots	m	[D20d]	0.004	
$D_{q}$	dispersivity in soil	m	[D20,D21]		

$D_{ m r_{\scriptscriptstyle Y}}$	aqueous diffusivity of gas or solute $\gamma$ in roots	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D19d,D20d]		
$D_{s^{\scriptscriptstyle{\prime}}}$	aqueous diffusivity of gas or solute $\gamma$ in soil	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D19,D20]		
$D'_{s_{i}}$	diffusivity of gas $\gamma$ in water at 0 °C	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D20]	$8.57 \times 10^{-6}$ for $\gamma =$	Campbell (1985)
$d_{ m m}$	depth of mobile surface water	m	[D1,D2,D5a,D6]	$O_2$	
$d_{\mathrm{i}}$	depth of surface ice	m	[D2]		
$d_{\rm s}$	maximum depth of surface water storage	m	[D2,D5b]		
$d_{t}$	depth of external water table	m	[D10]		
$d_{ m w}$	depth of surface water	m	[D1,D2]		
$d_{\rm z}$	depth to mid-point of soil layer	m	[D10]		
E	evaporation or transpiration flux	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D4,D11]		
$e_{\rm a}$	atmospheric vapor density	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D6]		
$e_{\mathrm{l}(T_{l,}^{v}l)}$	surface litter vapor density at current $T_1$ and $\psi_1$	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D6a]		
$e_{s(T_{S, s})}$	soil surface vapor density at current $T_{ m s}$ and $\psi_{ m s}$	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D6b]		
$ft_{d_{r}}$	temperature dependence of $S'$ ,	-	[D14,D15b,D18]		Wilhelm et al. (1977)
$f_{\rm g}$	temperature dependence of $D'_{g}$	-	[D17]		(1977) Campbell (1985)
$ft_s$	temperature dependence of $D'_{s}$	-	[D20]		Campbell (1985)
G	soil surface heat flux	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D11]		
$G_x$ , $G_y$ , $G_z$	soil heat flux in $x$ , $y$ or $z$ directions	$MJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D12,D13]		
$g_{\mathrm{a}}$	boundary layer conductance	m h <sup>-1</sup>	[D15a]		

γ	gas (H <sub>2</sub> O, CO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, N <sub>2</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> ) or solute (from S5)		[D14,D15]		
$[\gamma_a]$	atmospheric concentration of gas $\gamma$	$g m^{-3}$	[D15,D16d]		
$[\gamma_{ m gr}]$	gasous concentration of gas $\gamma$ in roots	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D14b,D16d]		
$[\gamma_{ m gs}]$	gasous concentration of gas $\gamma$ in soil	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D14a,D15a,D16a ,D16b,D16c]		
$[\gamma_{ m sr}]$	aqueous concentration of gas $\gamma$ in roots	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D14b, D19d]		
$[\gamma_{rr}]$	aqueous concentration of gas $\gamma$ at root surface	g m-3	[D19b]		
$[\gamma_{ m ss}]$	aqueous concentration of gas $\gamma$ in soil	g m <sup>-3</sup>	[D14a,D15b,D18,		
Н	sensible heat flux	MJ m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	D19] [D11]		
K	hydraulic conductivity	$m^2 MPa^{-1} h^{-1}$	[D9,D10]		Green and Corey
$K'_x$ , $K'_y$ , $K'_z$	hydraulic conductance in $x$ , $y$ or $z$ directions	$m MPa^{-1} h^{-1}$	[D7,D9]		(1971)
κ	thermal conductivity	$MJ m^{-1} h^{-1} {}^{0}C^{-1}$	[D12]		de Vries (1963)
$L_i$	root length	m m <sup>-2</sup>	[D19d]		
$L_{t}$	distance from boundary to external water table in $x$ or $y$ directions	m	[D10]		
$L_x$ , $L_y$ , $L_z$	length of landscape element in $x$ , $y$ or $z$ directions	m	[D1,D5b,D8,D9,D 10,D12,D15a,D16		
$\boldsymbol{L}E_1$	latent heat flux from surface litter	[D6a]	,D19] MJ m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		
$\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$	latent heat flux from soil surface	[D6b]	MJ m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		
L	latent heat of evaporation	$MJ m^{-3}$	[D6,D11,D13]	2460	
$M_{\scriptscriptstyle  au}$	atomic mass of gas $\gamma$	g mol <sup>-1</sup>	[D18]		

P	precipitation flux	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D4]		
$Q_{b_{^{ec{\prime}}Z}}$	bubbling flux	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D18]		
$Q_{ m dr_7}$	volatilization – dissolution of gas γ between aqueous and	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D14b]		
$Q_{ m ds_{\scriptscriptstyle 7}}$	gaseous phases in roots volatilization – dissolution of gas γ between aqueous and gaseous phases in soil	g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[D14a,D15b]		
$Q_f$	freeze-thaw flux (thaw +ve)	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D8,D13]		
$Q_{ m gr_{\scriptscriptstyle 7}}$	gaseous flux of gas $\gamma$ between roots and the atmosphere	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D16d]		
$Q_{gs^{\scriptscriptstyle{\gamma}}}$	gaseous flux of gas $\gamma$ in soil	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D15a,D16a,b,c]		
$Q_{ m rx}$ $Q_{ m ry}$	surface water flow in $x$ or $y$ directions	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D1,D4]		
$Q_{S^{\scriptscriptstyle{Y}}}$	aqueous flux of gas or solute $\gamma$ in soil	g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[D19a,b,c]		
$Q_{ m r_{\scriptscriptstyle Y}}$	aqueous flux of gas or solute $\gamma$ from soil and root aqueous	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D19d]		
$Q_{\mathrm{t}}$	phases to root surface water flux between boundary grid cell and external water table in <i>x</i> or <i>y</i> directions	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D10]		
$Q_{\mathrm wr}$	root water uptake	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D19d, D20d]		
$Q_{\mathrm{w}x},Q_{\mathrm{w}y},Q_{\mathrm{w}z}$	subsurface water flow in $x$ , $y$ or $z$ directions	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D4,D7,D8,D12,D		
$ heta_{ m g}$	air-filled porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	16,D19,D20] [D17a,b,c]		
$ heta_{ m pr}$	root porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D17d]	dryland spp. 0.10	Luxmoore et al.
$ heta_{ m ps}$	soil porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D17a,b,c]	wetland spp. 0.20	(1970a,b)
$ heta_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{W}}$	water-filled porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D8,D18,D20]		
R	ratio of cross-sectional area to perimeter of surface flow	m	[D3,D5a]		
$R_n$	net radiation	$MJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D11]		

$r_{\mathrm al}$	surface litter boundary layer resistance	m h <sup>-1</sup>	[D6a]		
$r_{as}$	Soil surface boundary layer resistance	$m h^{-1}$	[D6b]		
$r_{\mathrm{q}i,r}$	radius of root or mycorrhizal aerenchyma	m	[D19d]		
$r_{ri,r}$	root or mycorrhizal radius	m	[D19d]	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ or $5.0 \times 10^{-6}$	
$r_{\rm s}$	thickness of soil water films	m	[D19d, D21d]	10	
<b>S</b> ′,	Ostwald solubility coefficient of gas $\gamma$ at 30 °C	-	[D14,D15b,D18]	0.0293 for $\gamma = O_2$	Wilhelm et al.
$S_{r}$	slope of channel sides during surface flow	m m <sup>-1</sup>	[D5a]		(1977)
$S_x$ , $S_y$	slope in $x$ or $y$ directions	$m m^{-1}$	[D3,D5b]		
T	soil temperature	°C	[D12,D18]		
τ	tortuosity	-	[D20]		
$v_x$ , $v_y$	velocity of surface flow in $x$ or $y$ directions	$m h^{-1}$	[D1,D3]		
$\psi$ '	soil water potential at saturation	MPa	[D10]	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
$\psi_{ extsf{s}}$	soil water potential	MPa	[D7,D10]		
Z	surface elevation	m	[D5b]		
$z_{\mathrm{r}}$	Manning's roughness coefficient	$m^{-1/3} h$	[D3]	0.01	

### **S5: Solute Transformations**

Precipitation - Dissolution Equilibria

3+	Trecipitation - Dissolution Equitiona	••	1
$Al(OH)_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Al_{3}^{3+}) + 3 (OH_{3}^{2})$	(amorphous Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> )	-33.0	[E1] <sup>1</sup>
$Fe(OH)_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Fe^{3+}) + 3 (OH)$	(soil Fe)	-39.3	[E2]
$CaCO_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (CO_3^{2-})$	(calcite)	-9.28	[E3]
$CaSO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (SO_4^{2-})$	(gypsum)	-4.64	[E4]
$AIPO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + (PO_4^{3-})$	(variscite)	-22.1	$[E5]^2$
$FePO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Fe^{3+}) + (PO_4^{3-})$	(strengite)	-26.4	[E6]
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_{2(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + 2(H_2PO_4)$	(monocalcium phosphate)	-1.15	$[E7]^3$
$CaHPO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (HPO_4^{2-})$	(monetite)	-6.92	[E8]
$Ca_5(PO_4)_3OH_{(s)} \Leftrightarrow 5(Ca^{2+}) + 3(PO_4^{3-}) + (OH^{-})$	(hydroxyapatite)	-58.2	[E9]
	Cation Exchange Equilibria <sup>4</sup>		
$X-Ca + 2(NH_4^+) \Leftrightarrow 2X-NH_4 + (Ca^{2+})$		1.00	[E10]
$3 \text{ X-Ca} + 2 (\text{Al}^{3+}) \Leftrightarrow 2 \text{ X-Al} + 3 (\text{Ca}^{2+})$ \text{X-Ca} + (\text{Mg}^{2+}) \Rightarrow \text{X-Mg} + (\text{Ca}^{2+})		1.00	[E11]
$X-Ca + (Mg^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow X-Mg + (Ca^{2+})$		0.60	[E12]
$X-Ca + 2(Na^+) \Leftrightarrow 2X-Na + (Ca^{2+})$		0.16	[E13]
$X-Ca + 2(K^+) \Leftrightarrow 2X-K + (Ca^{2+})$		3.00	[E14]
$X-Ca + 2(H^{+}) \Leftrightarrow 2X-H + (Ca^{2+})$		1.00	[E15]
$3 X-Al + 2 (X-Ca + X-Mg) + X-NH_4 + X-K + X-N$	a + X-H = CEC		[E16]

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Round brackets denote solute activity. Numbers in italics denote  $\log K$  (precipitation-dissolution, ion pairs), Gapon coefficient (cation exchange) or  $\log c$  (anion exchange).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All equilibrium reactions involving N and P are calculated for both band and non-band volumes if a banded fertilizer application has been made. These volumes are calculated dynamically from diffusive transport of soluble N and P.

May only be entered as fertilizer, not considered to be naturally present in soils.

X- denotes surface exchange site for cation or anion adsorption.

#### Anion Adsorption Equilibria $X-OH_2^+ \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (H^+)$ -7.35 [E17] $X-OH \Leftrightarrow X-O^{-} + (H^{+})$ -8.95 [E18] $X-H_2PO_4 + H_2O \Leftrightarrow X-OH_2^+ + (H_2PO_4^-)$ [E19] -2.80 $X-H_2PO_4 + (OH_1) \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (H_2PO_4)$ $X-HPO_4 + (OH_1) \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (HPO_4)$ 4.20 [E20] 2.60 [E21] $X-OH_{2}^{+} + X-OH + X-O' + X-H_{2}PO_{4} + X-HPO_{4}^{-} + X-COO' = AEC$ [E22] Organic Acid Equilibria X-COOH $\Leftrightarrow X$ -COO $^{-}$ + ( $H^{+}$ ) [E23] -5.00 Ion Pair Equilibria $(NH_4^+) \Leftrightarrow (NH_3)_{(g)} + (H^+)$ -9.24 [E24] $H_{2}O \Leftrightarrow (H^{+}) + (OH^{-})$ -14.3 [E25] $(CO_{2})_{(g)} + H_{2}O \Leftrightarrow (H^{+}) + (HCO_{3}^{-})$ $(HCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (H^{+}) + (CO_{3}^{-})$ $(AlOH^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + (OH^{-})$ $(Al(OH)_{2}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (AlOH^{2+}) + (OH^{-})$ $(Al(OH)_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Al(OH)_{2}^{-}) + (OH^{-})$ $(Al(OH)_{4}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Al(OH)_{3}^{-}) + (OH^{-})$ -6.42 [E26] -10.4 [E27] -9.06 [E28] -10.7 [E29] -5.70 [E30] -5.10 [E31] $(AISO_{4}^{-1}) \Leftrightarrow (AI^{3+}) + (SO_{4}^{-2})$ $(FeOH^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow (Fe^{3+}) + (OH^{-})$ $(Fe(OH)_{2}^{-+}) \Leftrightarrow (FeOH^{2+}) + (OH^{-})$ -3.80 [E32] -12.1 [E33] -10.8 [E34] $(Fe(OH)_3^0) \Leftrightarrow (Fe(OH)_2^+) + (OH^-)$ $(Fe(OH)_4^0) \Leftrightarrow (Fe(OH)_3^0) + (OH^-)$ -6.94 [E35]

[E36]

-5.84

$(\text{FeSO}_4^+) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (\text{SO}_4^{2-})$	-4.15	[E37]
$ (CaOH^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (OH^{-}) $ $ (CaCO_{3}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (CO_{3}^{2-}) $	-1.90	[E38]
$(CaCO_3^0) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (CO_3^{2-})$	-4.38	[E39]
$(CaHCO_3^+) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (HCO_3^-)$	-1.87	[E40]
$(\operatorname{CaSO}_{4}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}) + (\operatorname{SO}_{4}^{2-})$	-2.92	[E41]
$(MgOH^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2+}) + (OH^{-})$	-3.15	[E42]
$(MgCO_3^0) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2+}) + (CO_3^{2-})$	-3.52	[E43]
$(MgHCO_3^+) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2+}) + (HCO_3^-)$	-1.17	[E44]
$(MgSO_4^0) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2+}) + (SO_4^{2-})$	-2.68	[E45]
$(NaCO_3^-) \Leftrightarrow (Na^+) + (CO_3^{2-})$	-3.35	[E46]
$(NaSO_4^-) \Leftrightarrow (Na^+) + (SO_4^{2-})$	-0.48	[E47]
$(KSO_4^-) \Leftrightarrow (K^+) + (SO_4^{2^-})$	-1.30	[E48]
$(H_3PO_4) \Leftrightarrow (H^+) + (H_2PO_4)$	-2.15	[E49]
$(H_2PO_4) \Leftrightarrow (H^+) + (HPO_4^{2-})$	-7.20	[E50]
$(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2}) \Leftrightarrow (\mathrm{H}^{+}) + (\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3})$	-12.4	[E51]
$(\text{FeH}_{2}\text{PO}_{4}^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (\text{H}_{2}\text{PO}_{4})$	-5.43	[E52]
$(\text{FeHPO}_4^{\frac{1}{4}}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}^{\frac{3+}{4}}) + (\text{HPO}_4^{\frac{2-}{4}})$	-10.9	[E53]
$(\operatorname{CaH}_2\operatorname{PO}_4^+) \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}) + (\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{PO}_4^-)$	-1.40	[E54]
$(CaHPO_4^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (HPO_4^{2-})$	-2.74	[E55]
$(CaPO_4^{2}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (PO_4^{3-})^{4}$	-6.46	[E56]
$(MgHPO_4^0) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2+}) + (HPO_4^{2-})$	-2.91	[E57]
		. ,

# S6: Symbiotic $N_2$ Fixation

## Microbial Growth

$R_{\text{max}i,l} = M_{\text{n}i,l} R' \left[ \chi_{\text{n}i,l} \right] / \left( \left[ \chi_{\text{n}i,l} \right] + K_{\text{n}} \right) f_{\text{t}} f_{\text{NP}}$	respiration demand	[F1]
$f_{t} = T_{l} \left\{ \exp[B - H_{a}/(R T_{l})] \right\} / \left\{ 1 + \exp[(H_{dl} - ST_{l})/(RT_{l})] + \exp[(ST_{l} - H_{dh})/(R T_{l})] \right\}$	Arrhenius function	[F2]
$f_{\text{NP}} = \min\{[N_{\text{n}i,l}] / [N_{\text{n}'}], [P_{\text{n}i,l}] / [P_{\text{n}'}]\}$	N or P limitation	[F3]
$R_{i,l} = R_{\max,l} \left( V_{O_2i,l} / V_{O_2\max,l} \right)$	O <sub>2</sub> limitation	[F4]
$V_{\mathcal{O}_{2} \max_{i,l}} = 2.67 \ R_{\max_{i,l}}$	O <sub>2</sub> demand	[F5]
$V_{O_{2^{i},l}} = V_{O_{2^{\max i,l}}} \left[ O_{2\tau i,l} \right] / \left( \left[ O_{2\tau i,l} \right] + K_{O_{2^{\tau}}} \right)$	equilibrate O <sub>2</sub> uptake with	[F6a]
$= 2\pi L_{ri,l} D_{sO_2} ([O_{2l}] - [O_{2ri,l}]) / \ln((r_{ri,l} + r_{wl})) / r_{ri,l})$	supply	[F6b]
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,l} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \ N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} \ f_{\mathrm{tm}}$	maintenance respiration	[F7]
$f_{\rm tm} = e^{[y (T_l - 298.16)]}$	temperature function	[F8]
$R_{gi,l} = \max\{0.0, R_{i,l} - R_{mi,l}\}$	growth + fixation respiration	[F9]
$R_{\text{s}i,l} = \max\{0.0, R_{\text{m}i,l} - R_{i,l}\}$	microbial senescence	[F10]
$L_{Ci,l} = R_{si,l} \min\{M_{ni,l} / (2.5 N_{ni,l}), M_{ni,l} / (25.0 P_{ni,l})\}$	microbial C litterfall	[F11]
$N_2$ Fixation		
$V_{N_{2}i,l} = \min \{ R_{gi,l} E_{N_{2}}' f_{CP}, M_{ni,l} [N_{n}'] - N_{ni,l} \} [N_{2ri,l}] / ([N_{2ri,l}] + K_{N_{2}r})$	rate of N <sub>2</sub> fixation	[F12]
$f_{\text{CP}} = \min\{ \left[ \chi_{\text{n}i,l} \right] / \left( 1.0 + \left[ \nu_{\text{n}i,l} \right] / K_{\text{L}_{\text{n}}} \right), \left[ \pi_{\text{n}i,l} \right] / \left( 1.0 + \left[ \nu_{\text{n}i,l} \right] / K_{\text{L}_{\text{n}}} \right) \}$	product inhibition of N <sub>2</sub> fixation	[F13]
$R_{N_2i,l} = V_{N_2i,l} / E_{N_2}'$	fixation respiration	[F14]
$U_{i,l} = (R_{gi,l} - R_{N_2i,l}) / (1 - Y_n')$	growth respiration	[F15]

$\delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = U_{i,l} Y_{\mathrm{n}}' - L_{Ci,l}$	microbial C growth		[F16]
$\delta N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t \min\{v_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}, [N_{\mathrm{n}}']\}$	microbial N growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t \geq 0$	[F17a]
$\delta N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}\delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t$	microbial N growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t \leq 0$	[F17b]
$\delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t \min\{\pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}, [P_{\mathrm{n}}']\}$	microbial P growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t \geq 0$	[F18a]
$\delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}  \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t$	microbial P growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t \leq 0$	[F18b]
$L_{Ni,I} = abs(\delta N_{ni,I}/\delta t)$	microbial N litterfall	$\delta N_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t < 0$	[F19]
$L_{Pi,l} = \operatorname{abs}(\delta P_{\operatorname{n}i,l}/\delta t)$	microbial P litterfall	$\delta P_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t < 0$	[F20]
Nodule – Root Exchange			
$V_{i,l} = \kappa \left( \chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} - \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} M_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right) / \left( M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} + M_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right)$	nodule-root C exchange		[F21]
$V_{i,l} = \kappa \left( v_{\text{r}i,l} \chi_{\text{n}i,l} - v_{\text{n}i,l} \chi_{\text{r}i,l} \right) / \left( \chi_{\text{n}i,l} + \chi_{\text{r}i,l} \right)$	nodule-root N exchange		[F22]
$V_{*i,l} = \kappa \left( \pi_{\mathrm{r}i,l}  \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} - \pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}  \chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right) / \left( \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} + \chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right)$	nodule-root P exchange		[F23]
$\delta \chi_{\text{n}i,l} / \delta t = V_{xi,l} - \min\{R_{\text{m}i,l}, R_{i,l}\} - R_{N_2i,l} - U_{xi,l} + F_{LCl} L_{Ci,l}$	nodule nonstructural C		[F24]
$\delta v_{\text{n}i,l}/\delta t = V_{\cdot i,l} - \delta N_{\text{n}i,l}/\delta t + V_{\text{N}_2i,l} + F_{LN}L_{Ni,l}$	nodule nonstructural N		[F25]
$\delta\pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = V_{\cdot i,l}$ - $\delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t + F_{LPl}L_{Pi,l}$	nodule nonstructural P		[F26]

Definition of Variables in S6					
Variable	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference
В	parameter such that $f_t = 1.0$ at $T_t = 298.15$ K		F2	17.533	

$\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural C	g m <sup>-2</sup>	F17a,F18a,F21,F2 2,B23,B24		
$[\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule nonstructural C concentration	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F1,F13		
$\chi_{{ m r}i,l}$	root nonstructural C	g m <sup>-2</sup>	F21,F22,F23		
$D_{ m sO_2}$	diffusivity of aqueous O2	$m^2 h^{-1}$	F6b		
$E_{\mathrm{N_2}'}$	direct energy cost of N <sub>2</sub> fixation	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	F12,F14	0.25	Gutschick, (1981), Voisin et al., (2003)
$F_{LCl}$	fraction of nodule C litterfall remobilized as nonstructural C	-	F24		
$F_{LNl}$	fraction of nodule N litterfall remobilized as nonstructural N	-	F25		
$F_{LPl}$	fraction of nodule P litterfall remobilized as nonstructural P	-	F26		
$f_{ m CP}$	effect of nodule nonstructural C or P content on N <sub>2</sub> fixation	-	F12,F13		
$f_{ m NP}$	effect of nodule N or P content on respiration	-	F1,F3		
$f_{t}$	temperature function for nodule respiration	-	F1,F2		
$f_{ m tm}$	temperature function for nodule maintenance respiration	-	F7,F8		
$H_{\rm a}$	energy of activation	J mol <sup>-1</sup>	F2	$57.5 \times 10^3$	
$H_{dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	J mol <sup>-1</sup>	F2	$220 \times 10^3$	
$H_{ m dl}$	energy of low temperature deactivation	J mol-1	F2	$190 \times 10^3$	
$K_{n}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule respiration of $\chi_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}$	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F1	0.01	
$K_{\mathrm{I}_{\!$	inhibition constant for nonstructural N:C on N2 fixation	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F13	10	
$K_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}}$	inhibition constant for nonstructural N:P on N2 fixation	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F13	1000	

$K_{\mathrm{N_2r}}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule $N_2$ uptake	$g N m^{-3}$	F12	0.14
$K_{\mathrm{O_2r}}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule O2 uptake	g O m <sup>-3</sup>	F6a	
К	rate constant for nonstructural C,N,P exchange between root and nodule	h <sup>-1</sup>	F21,F22,F23	
$L_{{ m r}i,l}$	root length	m m <sup>-2</sup>	F6b	
$L_{Ci,l}$	nodule C litterfall	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F11,F16,F24	
$L_{Ni,l}$	nodule N litterfall	g N $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	F19,F25	
$L_{Pi,l}$	nodule P litterfall	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F20,F26	
$M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule structural C	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	F1,F11,F12,F16	
			,F17,F18,F21	
$M_{{ m r}i,l}$	root structural C	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	F21	
$[N_{ m n}{}^{\prime}]$	maximum nodule structural N concentration	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	F3,F12	0.1
$N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule structural N	g N m <sup>-2</sup>	F7,F11,F12,F17,F 19,F25	
$[N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule structural N concentration	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	F3,F17a	
$[N_{2ri,l}]$	rhizosphere aqueous N <sub>2</sub> concentration	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	F12	
$oldsymbol{ u}_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural N	g N m <sup>-2</sup>	F17a,F22,F25	
$oldsymbol{ u}_{{ m r}i,l}$	root nonstructural N	$g N m^{-2}$	F22	
$[v_{ni,l}]$	nodule concentration of nonstructural N	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F13,F17a	
$[\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{r}i,l}]$	rhizosphere aqueous O2 concentration	g O m <sup>-3</sup>	F6a,b	
$[O_{2l}]$	soil aqueous O <sub>2</sub> concentration	g O m <sup>-3</sup>	F6b	

$[P_n]$	maximum nodule structural P concentration	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	F3,F18a	0.01
$P_{ni,l}$	nodule structural P	g P m <sup>-2</sup>	F18a,F20,F26	
$[P_{{ m n}i,l}]$	nodule structural P concentration	g P g C <sup>-1</sup>	F3,F11	
$\pi_{{ m n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural P	g P m <sup>-2</sup>	F18a,F23,F26	
$\pi_{{\scriptscriptstyle{T}}i,l}$	root nonstructural P	$g P m^{-2}$	F23	
$[\pi_{ni,l}]$	nodule concentration of nonstructural P	g g <sup>-1</sup>	F13	
R	gas constant	J mol-1 K-1	F2	8.3143
$R_{\mathrm{g}i,l}$	nodule growth respiration	g C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F9,F12,F15	
R'	specific nodule respiration at 25°C, and non-limiting $O_{2,}$ $\chi_{ndi,l}$ , $\nu_{ndi,l}$ and $\pi_{ndi,l}$	h <sup>-1</sup>	F1	0.125
$R_{i,l}$	nodule respiration under ambient $O_2$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	F4,F9,F10,F24	
$R_{\rm m}$	specific nodule maintenance respiration at 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	F7	
$R_{\max i,l}$	nodule respiration under non-limiting $O_2$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F1,F4,F5	
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,l}$	nodule maintenance respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F7,F9,F10,F24	
$R_{\mathrm{N}_{2}i,l}$	nodule respiration for N <sub>2</sub> fixation	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	F14,F15,F24	
$R_{\mathrm{s}i,l}$	nodule senescence respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F9,F11	
$r_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root radius	m	F6b	
$r_{\mathrm wl}$	radius of soil water films	m	F6b	
S	change in entropy	J mol-1 K-1	F2	710
$T_l$	soil temperature	K	F2,F8	

$U_{{\scriptscriptstyle{ extit{z}}}i,l}$	uptake of nodule nonstructural C for growth	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	F15,F16,F24	
$V_{{\scriptscriptstyle z}i,l}$	nonstructural C transfer between root and nodule	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	F21,F24	
$V_{\cdot i,l}$	nonstructural N transfer between root and nodule	g N $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	F22,F25	
$V_{{ m N}_2i,l}$	N <sub>2</sub> fixation	$g\;N\;m^{\text{-}2}\;h^{\text{-}1}$	F12,F14,F25	
$V_{{\rm O}_2{\rm max}i,l}$	$O_2$ uptake by nodules under non-limiting $O_2$	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F4,F5,F6a	
$V_{{ m O}2i,l}$	$O_2$ uptake by nodules under ambient $O_2$	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F4,F6	
$V_{{\scriptscriptstyle st}i,l}$	nonstructural P transfer between root and nodule	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F23,F26	
$Y_n'$	nodule growth yield	g C g C <sup>-1</sup>	F15,F16	0.67
y	shape parameter for $f_{\rm tm}$	-	F8	0.081

## S7: CH<sub>4</sub> Production and Consumption

## Anaerobic Fermenters and $H_2$ Producing Acetogens

$R_{i,f} = \{ \mathbf{R'}_{f} M_{i,f,a}[Q_{i,c}] / (\mathbf{K}_{f} (1+[O_{2}] / \mathbf{K}_{i}) + [Q_{i,c}]) \} f_{t}$	respiration by fermenters		[G1]
$Q_{i,c} \rightarrow 0.67 \text{ A}_{i,c} + 0.33 \text{ CO}_2\text{-C} + 0.11 \text{ H}_2$	partition respiration products		[G2]
$U_{i,f,c} = Rm_{i,f} + (R_{i,f} - Rm_{i,f}) (1.0 + Y_f)$	uptake of DOC by fermenters [F	$R_{i,f} > Rm_{i,f}$	[G3a]
$\mathbf{U}_{i,f,c} = \mathbf{R}_{i,f}$	[1	$R_{i,f} < Rm_{i,f}$	[G3b]
$\mathbf{Y}_f = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_f / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$	growth yield of fermentation		[G4]
$\Delta G_f = \Delta G'_f + \{ \mathbf{R} \operatorname{T} \ln([H_2] / [H_2'])^4 \}$	free energy change of fermentation		[G5]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{i,f,j,c}/\delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \ \mathbf{U}_{i,f,c} - \mathbf{F}_j \ \mathbf{R}_{i,f} - \mathbf{D}_{i,f,j,c}$	growth of fermenters [l	$R_{i,f} > Rm_{i,f}$	[G6a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{i,f,j,c}/\delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \ \mathbf{U}_{i,f,c} - \mathbf{R}_{mi,f,j} - \mathbf{D}_{i,f,j,c}$	[1	$R_{i,f} < Rm_{i,f}$	[G6b]
Acet	totrophic Methanogens		
$R_{i,m} = \left\{ \mathbf{R'_m} M_{i,m,a} \left[ A_{i,c} \right] / \left( \mathbf{K_m} + \left[ A_{i,c} \right] \right) \right\} f_t$	respiration by acetotrophic		[G7]
$A_{i,c} \rightarrow 0.50 \text{ CH}_4\text{-C} + 0.50 \text{ CO}_2\text{-C}$	methanogens partition respiration products		[G8]
$U_{i,m,c} = R_{mi,m} + (R_{i,m} - R_{mi,m}) (1.0 + Y_m)$		$i,m > R_{mi,m}$	[G9a]
$U_{i,m,c} = R_{i,m}$	methanogens [R	$i,m < R_{mi,m}$	[G9b]
$-Y_m = -\Delta G'_m / E_M$	growth yield of acetotrophic		[G10]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{i,m,j,c}$ / $\delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{i,m,c}$ - $\mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{R}_{i,m}$ - $\mathbf{D}_{i,m,j,c}$	methanogenesis growth of acetotrophic [R methanogens	$i,m > R_{mi,m}$	[G11a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{i,m,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{i,m,c} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}i,m,j} - \mathbf{D}_{i,m,j,c}$		$i,m < R_{mi,m}$	[G11b]

## Hydrogenotrophic Methanogens

$R_{h} = \left\{ \mathbf{R'_{h}} M_{h,a} \left[ H_{2} \right] / \left( \mathbf{K_{h}} + \left[ H_{2} \right] \right) \left[ CO_{2} \right] / \left( \mathbf{K_{c}} + \left[ CO_{2} \right] \right) \right\} f_{t}$	respiration by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	[G12]
$CO_2$ -C + 0.67 $H_2 \rightarrow CH_4$ -C	transform respiration products	[G13]
$U_{h,c} = R_{mh} + (R_h - R_{mh}) (1.0 + Y_h)$	uptake by hydrogenotrophic $[R_h > R_{mh}]$ methanogens	[G14a]
$\mathbf{U}_{h,c} = \mathbf{R}_h$	$[R_h < R_{mh}]$	[G14b]
$\mathbf{Y}_h = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_h / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{C}}$	growth yield of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis	[G15]
$\Delta G_h = \Delta G'_h - \{R \operatorname{T} \ln([H_2] / [H_2'])^4\}$	free energy change of	[G16]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{h,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j  \mathbf{U}_{h,c} - \mathbf{F}_j  \mathbf{R}_h - \mathbf{D}_{h,j,c}$	hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis growth of hydrogenotrophic $[R_h > R_{mh}]$	[G17a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{h,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{h,c} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}h,j} - \mathbf{D}_{h,j,c}$	methanogens $[R_h < R_{mh}]$	[G17b]
	Autotrophic Methanotrophs	
$X'_{t} = \left\{ X'_{t} \ M_{t,a} \left[ CH_{4} \right] / \left( K_{t} + \left[ CH_{4} \right] \right) \right\} f_{t}$	$CH_4$ oxidation by methanotrophs under non-limiting $O_2$	[G18]
$\mathbf{R'}_t = \mathbf{X'}_t \ \mathbf{Y}_{t_{\mathbf{R}}}$	respiration by methanotrophs under non-limiting $O_2$	[G19]
$\mathbf{Y}_{t_{\mathbf{R}}} = -\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{G'}_{t} / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}}$	energy yield from CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation	[G20]
$X_t = X'_t f_{o_2 t}$	$CH_4$ oxidation by methanotrophs under ambient $O_2$	[G21a]
$R_t = R'_t f_{o_2 t}$	respiration by methanotrophs under	[G21b]
$CH_4-C + 4.0 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2-C + 1.5 H_2O + 0.167 H^+$	ambient $O_2$ $O_2$ requirements for $CH_4$ oxidation by methanotrophs	[G22]
$CH_4-C + 1.33 O_2 \rightarrow CH_2O-C + 0.167 H^+$	$O_2$ requirements for growth by methanotrophs	[G23]

$CH_2O - C + 2.67 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 - C + 1.5 H_2O$	O <sub>2</sub> requirements for respiration by	[G24]
$U_{t,c} = R_{mt} + (R_t - R_{mt}) (1.0 + Y_{t_G})$	methanotrophs uptake by methanotrophs $[R_t > R]$	[G25a]
$\mathbf{U}_{t,c} = \mathbf{R}_t$	$[R_t < R]$	[G25b]
$Y_{t_G} = -\Delta G'_c / E_M$	growth yield of methanotrophy	[G26]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{t,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{t,c} - \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{R}_t - \mathbf{D}_{t,j,c}$	$[R_t > R]$	[G27a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{t,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j  \mathbf{U}_{t,c} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}t,j} - \mathbf{D}_{t,j,c}$	$[R_t < R]$	[G27b]

### **Definition of Variables in S7**

Variable	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference
A	acetate	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G2]		
[A]	aqueous concentration of acetate	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G7]		
a	descriptor for $j = active$ component of $Mi$				
[CH <sub>4</sub> ]	aqueous concentration of CH <sub>4</sub>	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G18]		
$[CO_2]$	aqueous concentration of CO <sub>2</sub>	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G12]		
$\mathrm{D}h,j,c$	decomposition of hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G17]		
$\mathrm{D}i,f,j,c$	decomposition of fermenters and acetogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G6]		
$\mathrm{D}i,m,j,c$	decomposition of acetotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G11]		
$\mathrm{D}t,j,c$	decomposition of autotrophic methanotrophs	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G27]		
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{C}}$	energy required to construct new M from CO <sub>2</sub>	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[G15]	75	

$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}}$	energy required to transform CH <sub>4</sub> into organic C	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[G20]	23.5	Anthony (1982)
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$	energy required to construct new M from organic C	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[G4,G10,G26]	25	
Fj	partitioning coefficient for $j$ in $Mi, n, j$		[G6,G11,G17,G2 7]		
f	descriptor for fermenters and acetogens in each $M_i$		•		
$fo_2t$	ratio of $O_2$ uptake to $O_2$ requirement for $CH_4$ oxidation		[G21a,b]		
$f_t$	temperature function for growth-related processes (dimensionless)		[G1,G7,G12]		
$\Delta G'_c$	free energy change of C oxidation-O <sub>2</sub> reduction	kJ g C <sup>-1</sup>	[G26]	-37.5	Brock and Madigan (1991)
$\Delta G_f$	free energy change of fermentation plus acetogenesis	kJ g $Q_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G4,G5]		
$\Delta \mathrm{G}' f$	$\Delta G_f$ when $[H_2] = [H_2']$	kJ g $Q_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G5]	-4.43	Brock and Madigan (1991), Schink (1997)
$\Delta G_h$	free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis	kJ g CO <sub>2</sub> -C <sup>-1</sup>	[G15,G16]		
$\Delta G'_h$	free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis when $[H_2] = [H_2']$	kJ g CO <sub>2</sub> -C <sup>-1</sup>	[G16]	-0.27	Brock and Madigan (1991)
$\Delta G'_m$	free energy change of acetotrophic methanogenesis	kJ g $A_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G10]	-1.03	Brock and Madigan (1991), Schink (1997)
$\Delta G'_t$	free energy change of CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs	kJ g CH <sub>4</sub> -C <sup>-1</sup>	[G20]	-9.45	Brock and Madigan (1991)

$[H_2]$	aqueous concentration of H <sub>2</sub>	g H m <sup>-3</sup>	[G5,G12,G16]		
$[\mathrm{H_2}']$	aqueous concentration of $H_2$ when $\Delta G_h = \Delta G'_h$ and $\Delta G_f = \Delta G'_f$	g H m <sup>-3</sup>	[G5,G16]	2.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	Brock and Madigan (1991)
h	descriptor for hydrogenotrophic methanogens in each $M_i$				(1771)
i j	descriptor for organic matter-microbe complex ( $i = \text{plant}$ residue, manure, particulate OM, or humus) descriptor for structural or kinetic components for each				
	functional type within each $M_i$ (e.g. $a = active$ ) M-M constant for uptake of $CO_2$ by hydrogenotrophic	3			
$K_c$	methanogens	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G12]	0.12	
$\mathbf{K}_{f}$	M-M constant for uptake of $DOC_{i,c}$ by fermenters and acetogens	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G1]	12	McGill et al. (1981)
$\mathbf{K}_{i}$	inhibition constant for $O_2$ on fermentation	g O m <sup>-3</sup>	[G1]	0.32	
$K_h$	M-M constant for uptake of H <sub>2</sub> by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g H m <sup>-3</sup>	[G12]	0.01	Mosey (1983), Robinson and Tiedje (1982)
$K_m$	M-M constant for uptake of $A_{i,c}$ by acetotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G7]	12	Smith and Mah (1978), Zehnder et al.
$\mathbf{K}_t$	M-M constant for uptake of CH <sub>4</sub> by methanotrophs	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G18]	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	(1980) Conrad (1984)
k	descriptor for elemental fraction within each $j$ ( $j = c$ , $n$ or $p$ )				
M	microbial communities	g C m <sup>-2</sup>			
$M_h$	hydrogenotrophic methanogen community	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G12,G17]		
$M_{i,f}$	fermenter and acetogenic community	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G1,G6]		

$M_{i,m}$	acetotrophic methanogen community	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G7,G11]		
$M_t$	autotrophic methanotrophic community	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G18,G27]		
m	descriptor for acetotrophic methanogens in each $M_i$				
Q	dissolved organic matter (DOC)	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[G2]		
[ <i>Q</i> ]	aqueous concentration of DOC	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[G1]		
R	gas constant	kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	[G5,G16]	8.3143 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
R'f	specific respiration by fermenters and acetogens at saturating $[P_{i,c}]$ , 25 °C and zero water potential	g C g $M_{if,a}^{-1}$ $h^{-1}$	[G1]	0.1	Lawrence (1971), Wofford et al. (1986)
$R_h$	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G12,G13,G14,G 17,G18]		
$R'_h$	specific $CO_2$ reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens at saturating $[H_2]$ and $[CO_2]$ , and at 25 °C and zero water potential	g C g $M_{h,a}^{-1}$ $h^{-1}$	[G12]	0.12	Shea et al. (1968), Zehnder and Wuhrmann (1977)
$R_{i,f}$	respiration of hydrolysis products by fermenters and acetogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G1,G2,G3,G6]		,
$R_{i,m}$	respiration of acetate by acetotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G7,G8,G9,G11]		
$R'_m$	specific respiration by acetotrophic methanogens at saturating $[A_{i,c}]$ , 25 °C and zero water potential	$g C g M_{i,m,a}^{-1} h^{-1}$	[G7]	0.20	Smith and Mah (1980)
$R_{mh,j}$	maintenance respiration by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G14,G17]		(-2 5 5)
$R_{mi,f,j}$	maintenance respiration by fermenters and acetogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G3,G6]		
$R_{mi,m,j}$	maintenance respiration by acetotrophic methanogens	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G9,G11]		

$R_{m^{t},j}$	maintenance respiration by methanotrophs	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G25,G27]		
$R_t$	CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs for respiration	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G21b,G23,G24, G25,G27a]		
$R'_t$	$CH_4$ oxidation by methanotrophs for respiration at saturating $O_2$	g C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G19,G21b]		
T	soil temperature	K	[G5,G16]		
t	descriptor for autotrophic methanotrophs				
$\mathrm{U}_{h,c}$	rate of $CO_2$ uptake by $M_h$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G14,G17,G18]		
$\mathrm{U}_{i,f,k}$	rate of $DOC_{i,k}$ uptake by $M_{i,f}$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G3,G6]		
$\mathrm{U}_{i,m,c}$	rate of $A_{i,c}$ uptake by $M_{i,m}$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G9,G11]		
$\mathbf{U}_{t,c}$	rate of $CH_4$ uptake by $M_t$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G25,G27]		
$X_t$	CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G21a,G22]		
$X'_t$	$\mathrm{CH_4}$ oxidation by methanotrophs at saturating $\mathrm{O_2}$	g C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G1,G2,G4a]		
$X'_t$	specific CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs at saturating O <sub>2</sub> , 30 °C and zero water potential	g C g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[G18]	0.5	Conrad (1984)
$Y_f$	biomass yield from fermentation and acetogenic reactions	$g M_{i,f} g Q_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G3,G4]		
$\mathbf{Y}_h$	biomass yield from hydrogenotrophic methanogenic reaction	g M <sub>h</sub> g $CO_2$ - $C^{-1}$	[G14,G15,G18]		
$Y_m$	biomass yield from acetotrophic methanogenic reaction	$g M_{i,m} g A_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G9,G10]		
${ m Y}_{t_{ m G}}$	biomass yield from methanotrophic growth respiration	g $M_t$ -C g $CH_4$ - $C^{-1}$	[G25a,G26]		
$Y_{t_R}$	ratio of CH <sub>4</sub> respired vs. CH <sub>4</sub> oxidized by methanotrophs	g C g C <sup>-1</sup>	[G19,G20]		

## **S8: Inorganic N Transformations**

Mineralization and Immobilization of Ammonium by All Microbial Populations  $(I_{\mathrm{NH}_A i, n, j} < 0)$ [H1a]  $I_{\text{NH}_{A}i,n,i} = (M_{i,m,i,C} C_{\text{N}i} - M_{i,m,i,N})$  $(I_{\mathrm{NH}_4i,n,j} > 0)$  $I_{NH_{4}i,n,j} = (M_{i,m,j,C} C_{Nj} - M_{i,m,j,N}) [NH_{4}] / ([NH_{4}] + K_{NH_{4}m})$ [H1b]  $(I_{NO_3i,n,j} > 0)$  $I_{\text{NO}_{3}i,n,j} = (M_{i,m,j,\text{C}} C_{\text{N}j} - (M_{i,m,j,\text{N}} + I_{\text{NH}_{4}i,n,j})) [\text{NO}_{3}^{-}] / ([\text{NO}_{3}^{-}] + K_{\text{NO}_{3}m})$ [H1b] Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Oxygen by Heterotrophs  $X'_{\text{DOC}i,h} = \{X'_{\text{DOC}} M_{i,h,a} [\text{DOC}_i] / ([\text{DOC}_i]) + K_{Xh}\} f_t$ [H2]  $R'_{\text{O}_{2}i,h} = \mathbf{RQ}_{\mathbf{C}} X'_{\text{DOC}i,h}$ [H3]  $R_{\text{O}_{2}i,h} = 4\pi \ n \ M_{i,h,a} D_{\text{sO}_2} ([\text{O}_{2\text{s}}] - [\text{O}_{2\text{m}i,h}]) [r_{\text{m}} r_{\text{w}} / (r_{\text{w}} - r_{\text{m}})]$ [H4a] [H4b]  $=R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,h}\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{2m}i,h}\right]/\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{2m}i,h}\right]+K_{\mathrm{O}_{2}h}\right)$  $X_{\text{DOC}i,h} = X'_{\text{DOC}i,h} R_{\text{O}_2i,h} / R'_{\text{O}_2i,h}$ [H5] Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Nitrate, Nitrite and Nitrous Oxide by Denitrifiers  $R'_{\text{NO}_2i,d} = E_{\text{No}_{\text{v}}} f_{\text{e}} (R'_{\text{O}_2i,d} - R_{\text{O}_2i,d})$ [H6]  $R_{\text{NO}_{2}i,d} = R'_{\text{NO}_{2}i,d} [\text{NO}_{3}] / ([\text{NO}_{3}] + K_{\text{NO}_{2}d})$ [H7] [H8]  $R_{\text{NO}_2i,d} = (R'_{\text{NO}_2i,d} - R_{\text{NO}_2i,d}) [\text{NO}_2] / ([\text{NO}_2] + K_{\text{NO}_2d})$  $R_{\text{N}_2\text{O}i,d} = 2 \left( R'_{\text{NO}_3i,d} - R_{\text{NO}_2i,d} - R_{\text{NO}_2i,d} \right) \left[ \text{N}_2\text{O} \right] / \left( \left[ \text{N}_2\text{O} \right] + K_{\text{N}_2\text{O}d} \right)$ [H9]  $X_{\text{DOC}i,d} = X_{\text{DOC}i,d} \text{ (from [H5])} + F_{\text{NO}_{x}} (R_{\text{NO}_{2}i,d} + R_{\text{NO}_{2}i,d}) + F_{\text{N2O}} R_{\text{N2O}i,d}$ [H10] Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers  $X'_{NH_3i,n} = X'_{NH_3} M_{i,n,a} \{ [NH_{3S}] / ([NH_{3S}] + K_{NH_3n}) \} \{ [CO_{2S}] / ([CO_{2S}] + K_{CO_2}) \} f_t$ [H11]  $R'_{O_{2i,n}} = \mathbf{RQ}_{\mathbf{NH_3}} X'_{\mathbf{NH_2}i,n} + \mathbf{RQ}_{\mathbf{C}} X'_{\mathbf{C}i,n}$ [H12]  $R_{\text{O}_{2}i,n} = 4\pi n M_{i,n,a} D_{\text{sO}_{2}} (r_{\text{m}} r_{\text{w}} / (r_{\text{w}} - r_{\text{m}})) ([\text{O}_{2}\text{S}] - [\text{O}_{2}\text{m}i,n}])$ [H13a] [H13b]  $= R'_{\mathcal{O}_{2}i,n} \left[ \mathcal{O}_{2mi,n} \right] / \left( \left[ \mathcal{O}_{2mi,n} \right] + K_{\mathcal{O}_{2}n} \right)$  $X_{{
m NH}_3i,n} = X'_{{
m NH}_3i,n} R_{{
m O}_2i,n} / R'_{{
m O}_2i,n}$ [H14] Oxidation of Nitrite and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers  $X'_{\text{NO}_{2i,0}} = X'_{\text{NO}_{2}} M_{i,o,a} \{ [\text{NO}_{2}] / ([\text{NO}_{2}] + \mathbf{K}_{\text{NO}_{2}o}) \} \{ [\text{CO}_{2S}] / ([\text{CO}_{2S}] + \mathbf{K}_{\text{CO}_{2}}) \} f_{t}$ [H15]  $R'_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} = \mathbf{RQ_{NO_2}} X'_{\text{NO}_{2i,o}} + \mathbf{RQ_C} X'_{\text{C}i,o}$ [H16]

$$R_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} = 4\pi \text{ n } M_{i,o,a} D_{\text{SO}_{2}} (r_{\text{m}} r_{\text{w}} / (r_{\text{w}} - r_{\text{m}})) ([\text{O}_{2\text{s}}] - [\text{O}_{2\text{mi},o}]) \\ = R'_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} [\text{O}_{2\text{mi},o}] / ([\text{O}_{2\text{mi},o}] + K_{\text{O}_{2o}}) \\ X_{\text{NO}_{2i,o}} = X'_{\text{NO}_{2i,o}} R_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} / R'_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} \\ X_{\text{NO}_{2i,o}} = X'_{\text{NO}_{2i,o}} R_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} / R'_{\text{O}_{2i,o}} \\ R'_{\text{NO}_{2i,n}} = E_{\text{NO}_{x}} f_{\text{e}} (R'_{\text{O}_{2i,n}} - R_{\text{O}_{2i,n}}) \\ R_{\text{NO}_{2i,n}} = R'_{\text{NO}_{2i,n}} \{ [\text{NO}_{2}] / ([\text{NO}_{2}] + K_{\text{NO}_{2n}}) \} \{ [\text{CO}_{2\text{S}}] / ([\text{CO}_{2\text{S}}] + K_{\text{CO}_{2}}) \} \\ X_{\text{NH}_{4i,n}} = X_{\text{NH}_{4i,n}} (\text{from } [\text{H14}]) + 0.33 R_{\text{NO}_{2i,n}} \\ [\text{H21}]$$

Definition of Variables in S8							
Name	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference		
		Subscripts					
a	active component of $M_{im}$	•					
d	heterotrophic denitrifier population (subset of <i>h</i> )	)					
h	heterotrophic community (subset of <i>m</i> )						
i	substrate-microbe complex						
j	kinetic components of $M_{i,m}$						
m	all microbial communities						
n	autotrophic ammonia oxidizer population (subse						
0	autotrophic nitrite oxidizer population (subset of	f <i>m</i> )					
		Variables					
$C_{\mathrm{N}j}$	maximum ratio of $M_{i,m,j,N}$ to $M_{i,m,j,C}$ maintained by $M_{i,m,j}$	g N g C <sup>-1</sup>	[H1]	0.22 and $0.13$ for $j =$ labile and resistant			
$[CO_{2S}]$	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in soil solution	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[H11,H15,H20]				
$[DOC_i]$	concentration of dissolved decomposition	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[H2]				
	products	g c m					
$D_{ m sO_2}$	aqueous dispersivity-diffusivity of O <sub>2</sub>	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[H4,H13,H17]				
$E_{NO_{\mathbf{x}}}$	e accepted by NO <sub>x</sub> vs. O <sub>2</sub> when oxidizing	g N g O <sub>2</sub> -1	[H6,H19]	28/32 = 0.875			
· - <b>X</b>	DOC	S	. , ,				

$F_{ m NO_{_{ m X}}}$	e donated by C vs. e accepted by NO <sub>x</sub> when	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[H10]	12/28 = 0.43	
	oxidizing DOC				
$F_{ m N_2O}$	e donated by C vs. e accepted by N <sub>2</sub> O when oxidizing DOC	g C g N <sup>-1</sup>	[H10]	6/28 = 0.215	
$f_{ m e}$	fraction of electrons not accepted by O <sub>2</sub> transferred to N oxides	-	[H6,H19]	0.25	Koike and Hattori (1975)
$f_{t}$	temperature function for microbial processes	-	[H2,H11]		` ,
$I_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}i,n,j}$	mineralization ( $I_{NH_4i,n,j} < 0$ ) or immobilization ( $I_{NH_4i,n,j} > 0$ ) of NH <sub>4</sub> · by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H1]		
$I_{{ m NO}_3i,n,j}$	immobilization ( $I_{NO_3i,n,j} > 0$ ) of NO <sub>3</sub> by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	$g~N~m^{-2}~h^{-1}$	[H1]		
$K_{\mathrm{CO}_2}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for reduction of $CO_{2S}$ by $M_{i,n,a}$ and $M_{i,o,a}$	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[H11,H15,H20]	0.15	
$K_{\mathrm{NH}_3^n}$	M-M constant for oxidation of NH <sub>3S</sub> by nitrifiers	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H11]	0.01	Suzuki et al. (1974)
$K_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}m}$	M-M constant for microbial NH <sub>4</sub> ·uptake	$g N m^{-3}$	[H1]	0.35	
$K_{{ m NO}_2 d}$	M-M constant for reduction of NO <sub>2</sub> by denitrifiers	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H8]	3.5	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2^{n}}}$	M-M constant for reduction of NO <sub>2</sub> by nitrifiers	$g N m^{-3}$	[H20]	3.5	
$K_{{ m NO}_2o}$	M-M constant for oxidation of NO <sub>2</sub> by nitrifiers	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H15]	10	
$K_{{ m NO}_3 d}$	M-M constant for reduction of NO <sub>3</sub> by denitrifiers	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H7]	3.5	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
$K_{\mathrm{N_2O}d}$	M-M constant for reduction of N <sub>2</sub> O by denitrifiers	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H9]	0.35	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
$K_{\mathcal{O}_2h}$	M-M constant for reduction of $O_{2s}$ by heterotrophs	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H4b]	0.064	Griffin (1972)
$K_{\mathcal{O}_{2^n}}$	M-M constant for reduction of O <sub>2s</sub> by NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H13b]	0.32	Focht and Verstraete (1977)
$K_{{ m O}_2{}^o}$	M-M constant for reduction of O <sub>2s</sub> by NO <sub>2</sub> oxidizers	$\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{O}_2~\mathrm{m}^{\text{-}3}$	[H17b]	0.32	Focht and Verstraete (1977)

$K_{Xh}$	M-M constant for oxidation of DOC by heterotrophs	g C m <sup>-3</sup>	[H2]	12	(McGill et al., 1981)
$M_{i,h,a}$	active biomass of heterotrophs	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[H2,H7]		
$M_{i,n,a}$	active biomass of NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[H11,H13]		
$M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{C}}$	C biomass of microbial population $M_{i,m,j}$	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[H1]		
$M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{N}}$	N biomass of microbial population $M_{i,m,j}$	g N m <sup>-2</sup>	[H1]		
$M_{i,o,a}$	active biomass of NO <sub>2</sub> oxidizers	g C m <sup>-2</sup>	[H15,H17]		
$[NH_{3s}]$	concentration of NH <sub>3</sub> in soil solution	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H11]		
$[\mathrm{NH_{4^{ ilde{+}}}}]$	concentration of NH <sub>4</sub> in soil solution	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H1]		
$[NO_2]$	concentration of NO <sub>2</sub> in soil solution	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H8,H15,H20]		
$[NO_3]$	concentration of NO <sub>3</sub> in soil solution	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H7]		
$[N_2O]$	concentration of N <sub>2</sub> O in soil solution	g N m <sup>-3</sup>	[H9]		
n	number of microbes	g-1	[H13,H17]		
$[\mathrm{O}_{2\mathrm{m}i,h}]$	O <sub>2</sub> concentration at heterotrophic surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H7]		
$[O_{2mi,n}]$	O <sub>2</sub> concentration at NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizer surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H13]		
$[O_{2mi,o}]$	$O_2$ concentration at $NO_2$ oxidizer surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H17]		
$[O_{2s}]$	O <sub>2</sub> concentration in soil solution	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H7,H13,H17]		
$R_{{ m NO}_2i,d}$	NO <sub>2</sub> reduction by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H8,H9,H10]		
$R'_{{ m NO}_2i,n}$	rate of NO <sub>2</sub> reduction by NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers under non-limiting [NO <sub>2</sub> ] and [CO <sub>28</sub> ]	$g~N~m^{\text{-}2}~h^{\text{-}1}$	[H19,H20]		
$R_{{ m NO}_2^{i,n}}$	rate of NO <sub>2</sub> reduction by NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers under ambient [NO <sub>2</sub> ] and [CO <sub>28</sub> ]	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H20,H21]		
$R'_{{{\mathrm{NO}}_3}i,d}$	NO <sub>3</sub> reduction by denitrifiers under non- limiting [NO <sub>3</sub> ]	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6,H7,H8,H9]		
$R_{{ m NO}_3i,d}$	NO <sub>3</sub> reduction by denitrifiers under ambient [NO <sub>3</sub> ]	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H7,H8,H9,H10]		
$R_{\mathrm{N_2O}i,d}$	N <sub>2</sub> O reduction by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H9,H10]		
$R'_{\mathcal{O}_{2}i,d}$	rate of O <sub>2S</sub> reduction by denitrifiers under non-	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6]		
~ <sub>2</sub> .,	limiting [O <sub>2S</sub> ]	-			
$R_{{ m O}_2{}^{i,d}}$	rate of $O_{28}$ reduction by denitrifiers under ambient $[O_{28}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6]		

	$R'_{{\rm O}_2i,h}$	rate of $O_{2S}$ reduction by heterotrophs under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H3,H4,H5]		
-	$R_{\mathrm{O}_2i,h}$	rate of $O_{2S}$ reduction by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{O}_2~\mathrm{m}^{\text{-}2}~\mathrm{h}^{\text{-}1}$	[H4,H5]		
	$R'_{{\rm O}_{2^{i,n}}}$	rate of $O_{2S}$ reduction by NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H12,H13.H14,H19]		
	$R_{{ m O}_2i,n}$	rate of $O_{2S}$ reduction by NH <sub>3</sub> oxidizers under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H13,H14,H19]		
	$R'_{{ m O}_{2^{i,o}}}$	rate of $O_{2S}$ reduction by $NO_2^-$ oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H16,H17,H18]		
	$R_{{ m O}_2i,o}$	rate of $O_{28}$ reduction by $NO_2^-$ oxidizers under ambient $[O_{28}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H17,H18]		
	$\mathbf{RQ}_{\mathrm{C}}$	respiratory quotient for reduction of O <sub>2</sub> coupled to oxidation of C	$g O_2 g C^{\text{-}1}$	[H3,H12,H16]	2.67	Brock and Madigan (1991)
	$RQ_{ m NH_3}$	respiratory quotient for reduction of O <sub>2</sub> coupled to oxidation of NH <sub>3S</sub>	$g O_2 g N^{-1}$	[H12]	3.43	Brock and Madigan (1991)
	$RQ_{NO_2}$	respiratory quotient for reduction of O <sub>2</sub> coupled to oxidation of NO <sub>2</sub>	$g O_2 g N^{-1}$	[H16]	1.14	Brock and Madigan (1991)
	$r_{ m m}$	radius of microbial sphere	m	[H4,H13,H17]		
	$r_{ m w}$	radius of $r_{\rm m}$ + water film at current soil water potential	m	[H4,H13,H17]		from $\psi_s$ according to Kemper (1966)
	$X'_{\mathrm{C}i,n}$	rate of C oxidation by $NH_3$ oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{28}]$	g C $m^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$	[H12]		Kemper (1700)
	$X'_{{ m C}i,o}$	rate of C oxidation by $NO_2^-$ oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{28}]$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H16]		
	$X'_{ m DOC}$	specific rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs at 25 °C under non-limiting [DOC] and $[O_{2S}]$	g C g C <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[H2]	0.125	Shields et al. (1973)
	$X'_{\mathrm{DOC}i,h}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under non-limiting $[O_{28}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H2,H3,H5]		
-	$X_{\mathrm{DOC}i,h}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H5]		

$X_{\mathrm{DOC}i,d}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$ and $[NO_x]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H10]		
$X'_{ m NH_3}$	specific rate of $NH_3$ oxidation by $NH_3$ oxidizers at 25 °C under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[H11]]	0.625	Belser and Schmidt (1980)
$X_{{ m NH}_3i,n}$	rate of $NH_3$ oxidation by $NH_3$ oxidizers coupled with reduction of $O_2 + NO_2^-$ under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H14,H21]		
$X'_{\mathrm{NH}_3i,n}$	rate of $NH_3$ oxidation by $NH_3$ oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H11,H12,H14]		
$X'_{{ m NO}_2{i,o}}$	rate of $NO_2^-$ oxidation by $NO_2^-$ oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H15,H16,H18]		
$X_{{ m NO}_{2^{i,o}}}$	rate of $NO_2^-$ oxidation by $NO_2^-$ oxidizers coupled with reduction of $O_2$ under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H18]		
$X'_{ m NO_2}$	specific rate of $NO_2$ oxidation by $NO_2$ oxidizers at 25 °C under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[H15]	2.5	Belser (1977)

## References

- Addiscott, T.M. 1983. Kinetics and temperature relationships of mineralization and nitrification in Rothamsted soils with differing histories. Journal of Soil Science 34:343-353.
- Anthony, C. 1982. The Biochemistry of Methylotrophs. Academic Press. London, U.K.
- Barber, S.A. and M. Silberbush. 1984. Plant root morphology and nutrient uptake. pp. 65-87. *In* S.A. Barber and D.R. Bouldin (eds.). Roots, Nutrient and Water Influx, and Plant Growth. Amer. Soc. Agron. Spec. Publ. no. 49. Madison, WI.
- Barnes, B.V., D.R. Zak, S.R. Denton and S.H. Spurr. 1998. Forest Ecology 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Wiley and Sons. N.Y.
- Belser, L.W. 1977. Nitrate reduction to nitrite, a possible source of nitrite for growth of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Appl. Environ. Micro. 34:403-410.
- Belser, L.W. and E.L. Schmidt. 1980. Growth and oxidation kinetics of the three genera of ammonia oxidizers. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 7:213-216.
- Bernacchi, C.J., E.L. Singsaas, C. Pimentel, A.L. Portis and S.P. Long. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of rubisco-limited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell and Environment 24:253-259.
- Bernacchi, C.J., C. Pimentel. and S.P. Long. 2003. In vivo temperature response functions of parameters required to model RuBP-limited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell and Environment 26:1419–1430.
- Berry J.A. and G.D. Farquhar. 1978. The CO2 concentrating function of photosynthesis: a biochemical model. pp. 119-131 In: Proceedings of the 4th Interntl. Congress on Photosynthesis. Hall D., Coombs J. and Goodwin T. eds. Biochemical Society. London.
- Brock, T.D. and Madigan, M.T. 1991. Biology of Microorganisms (6th. Ed.). Prentice Hall, NJ.
- Campbell, G.S. 1985. Soil Physics with BASIC. Elsevier, Netherlands. 185 pp.
- Conrad, R. 1984. Capacity of aerobic microorganisms to utilize and grow on atmospheric trace gases (H<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>). In *Current Perspectives in Microbial Ecology*. Klug M.J. and Reddy C.A. (eds). Amer. Soc. Microbiol. Wash. DC. pp. 461-467.
- de Vries, D.A. 1963. Thermal properties of soils. pp 210-235 In: van Wijk, R. (ed). *Physics of Plant Environment*. North Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam, Netherlands
- Dimitrov, D.D., Grant, R.F., LaFleur, P.M. and Humphreys, E. 2010. Modelling subsurface hydrology of Mer Bleue bog. Soil Science Society of America Journal 74:680-694.
- Doussan C., G. Vercambre and L. Pagès. 1998. Modelling of the hydraulic architecture of root systems: An integrated approach to water absorption distribution of axial and radial conductances in maize. Annals of Botany 81:225-232.
- Edwards, G. and D. Walker. 1983. C3, C4: Mechanisms, and Cellular and Environmental Regulation of Photosynthesis. Univ. of California Press, Berkely CA.
- Farquhar G.D., S. von Caemmerer and J.A. Berry. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. Planta 149:78-90.
- Focht, D.D. and W. Verstraete.1977. Biochemical ecology of nitrification and denitrification. Adv. Micro. Ecol. 1:135-214.
- Furbank, F.T. and M.D. Hatch. 1987. Mechanism of C4 photosynthesis. The size and composition of the inorganic carbon pool in bundle sheath cells. Plant Physiol. 85:958-964.
- Grant, R.F. 1995. Mathematical modelling of nitrous oxide evolution during nitrification. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **27**, 1117-1125.
- Grant, R.F. 1998. Simulation in *ecosys* of root growth response to contrasting soil water and nitrogen Ecological Modelling 107: 237-264.
- Grant, R.F. 1998b. Simulation of methanogenesis in the mathematical model *ecosys*. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **30**,883-896.
- Grant, R.F. 1999. Simulation of methanotrophy in the mathematical model *ecosys*. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **31**, 287-297.
- Grant, R.F. 2004. Modelling topographic effects on net ecosystem productivity of boreal black spruce forests. Tree Physiol. 24:1-18.

- Grant, R.F. 2001. A review of the Canadian ecosystem model *ecosys*. pp. 173-264 in: Modeling Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics for Soil Management. Shaffer M. (ed). CRC Press. Boca Raton, FL
- Grant, R.F. and Hesketh, J.D. 1992. Canopy structure of maize (*Zea mays L.*) at different populations: simulation and experimental verification. *Biotronics*. 21: 11-24.
- Grant, R.F. and Rochette, P. 1994. Soil microbial respiration at different temperatures and water potentials: Theory and mathematical modelling. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **58**, 1681-1690.
- Grant, R.F., M. Amrani, D.J. Heaney, R. Wright and M. Zhang. 2004. Mathematical modelling of phosphorus losses from land application of hog and cattle manure. Journal of Environmental Quality 33:210-233.
- Grant, R.F., T.A. Black, E.R. Humphreys, and K. Morgenstern. 2007. Changes in net ecosystem productivity with forest age following clearcutting of a coastal Douglas fir forest: testing a mathematical model with eddy covariance measurements along a forest chronosequence. Tree Physiology. 27:115-131.
- Grant, R. F. and L. B. Flanagan. 2007. Modeling stomatal and nonstomatal effects of water deficits on CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in a semiarid grassland. Journal of Geophysical Research 112:G03011, doi:10.1029/2006JG000302.
- Grant, R.F. and D.J. Heaney. 1997. Inorganic phosphorus transformation and transport in soils: mathematical modelling in *ecosys*. Soil Science Society of America Journal 61:752-764.
- Grant, R.F., N.G. Juma, and W.B. McGill. 1993a. Simulation of carbon and nitrogen transformations in soils. I. Mineralization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27:1317–1329.
- Grant, R.F., N.G. Juma, and W.B. McGill. 1993b. Simulation of carbon and nitrogen transformations in soils. II. Microbial biomass and metabolic products. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27:1331–1338.
- Grant, R.F., G.W. Wall, B.A. Kimball, K.F.A. Frumau, P.J. Pinter Jr., D.J. Hunsaker, and R.L. Lamorte. 1999. Crop water relations under different CO<sub>2</sub> and irrigation: testing of ecosys with the free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) experiment. Agricultural & Forest Meteorology 95:27-51.
- Grant, R.F., B.A. Kimball, G.W. Wall, J.M. Triggs, T.J. Brooks, P.J. Pinter Jr., M.M. Conley, M.J. Ottman, R.L. Lamorte, S.W. Leavitt, T.L. Thompson and A.D. Matthias. 2004. How elevated CO2 affects water relations, water use and growth of irrigated sorghum: testing a model with results from a Free Air CO2 Enrichment (FACE) experiment Agron. J. 96: 1693-1705.
- Grant, R.F., Barr, A.G., Black, T.A., Margolis, H.A., McCaughey, J.H. and Trofymow, J.A. 2010. Net ecosystem productivity of temperate and boreal forests after clearcutting a Fluxnet-Canada synthesis. *Tellus B*. 62B: 475-496.
- Green, R.E., and R.B. Corey. 1971. Calculation of hydraulic conductivity: A further evaluation of some predictive methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 35:3–8.
- Griffin, D.M. 1972. Ecology of Soil Fungi. Syracuse Univ. Press, Syracuse N.Y. 193 pp.
- Jiao, J.A. and R. Chollet. 1988. Light/dark regulation of maize leaf phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase by in vivo phosphorylation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 261:409-417.
- Kemper, W.D. and J.B. Rollins. 1966. Osmotic efficiency coefficients across compacted clays. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30:529-534.
- Kimmins, J.P. 2004. Forest Ecology. Pearson Prentice Hall, NJ.
- Koike, I. and A. Hattori. 1975. Growth yield of a denitrifying bacterium, *Pseudomonas denitrificans*, under aerobic and denitrifying conditions. J. Gen. Microbiol. 88:1-10.
- Laisk, A. and G.E. Edwards. 2000. A mathematical model of C4 photosynthesis: The mechanism of concentrating CO2 in NADP malic enzyme type species. Photosyn. Res. 66:199-224.
- Larcher, W. 2001. Physiological Plant Ecology 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Springer-Verlag. Berlin
- Lawlor, D. 1993. Photosynthesis: molecular, physiological and environmental processes. Longman Group, Essex, UK.
- Lawrence A.L. 1971. Anaerobic biological treatment processes. In Advances in Chemistry Series 105. Gould R.F. (ed.). Amer. Chem. Soc. Wash. D.C. pp. 163-189.
- Leegood, R.C. 2000. Transport during C4 photosynthesis. pp. 449-469. In Advances in Photosynthesis: 9. Photosynthesis: Physiology and Metabolism. Leegood, R.C., Sharkey, T.D. and von Caemmerer, S. (eds). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

- Lizama H.M. and Suzuki I. 1990. Kinetics of sulfur and pyrite oxidation by *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. Competitive inhibition by increasing concentrations of cells. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 37, 182-187.
- Luxmoore R.J., L.H. Stolzy and J. Letey. 1970a Oxygen diffusion in the soil-plant system. I. a model. Agron. J. 62, 317-322.
- Luxmoore R.J., L.H. Stolzy and J. Letey. 1970b Oxygen diffusion in the soil-plant system. II. respiration rate, permeability, and porosity of consecutive excised segments of maize and rice roots. Agron. J. 62, 322-324.
- McGill W.B., Hunt H.W., Woodmansee R.G. and Reuss J.O. (1981) Phoenix, a model of the dynamics of carbon and nitrogen in grassland soils. In Terrestrial Nitrogen Cycles. (F.E. Clark and T. Rosswall eds) Ecological Bulletins 33, 49-115.
- Medrano, H., J.M. Escalona, J. Bota, J. Gulías and J. Flexas, Regulation of photosynthesis of C<sub>3</sub> plants in response to progressive drought: stomatal conductance as a reference parameter. *Ann. Bot.*, 89, 895-905, 2002.
- Mosey F.E. 1983. Kinetic descriptions of anaerobic digestion. In *Third International Symposium on Anaerobic Digestion* Boston Univ. Cambridge, MS. pp. 37-52.
- Millington, R.J. and J.M. Quirk. 1960. Transport in porous media. pp. 97-106 In: Van Beren, F.A. et al. (eds). 7<sup>th</sup> Trans. Int. Congr. Soil Sci. vol. 1. Madison, WI. 14-24 Aug. 1960. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Perrier, A. 1982. Land surface processes: vegetation. pp. 395-448 In: *Atmospheric General Circulation Models*. Eagleson P.S. (ed.). Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, U.K.
- Pirt S.J. 1975. Principles of Microbe and Cell Cultivation. Blackwell Scientific. Oxford, U.K.
- Postgate, J. 1998. Nitrogen Fixation (3rd Ed.). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK. 112 pp.
- Robinson J.A. and Tiedje J.M. 1982. Kinetics of hydrogen consumption by rumen fluid, digestor sludge and sediment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **44**, 1374-1384.
- Sawada, S., T. Sakamoto, M. Sato, M. Kasai and H. Usuda. 2002. Photosynthesis with single-rooted Amaranthus leaves. II. Regulation of Ribulose-1,5-Bisphosphate Carboxylase, Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxylase, NAD-Malic Enzyme and NAD-Malate Dehydrogenase and coordination between PCR and C4 photosynthetic metabolism in response to changes in the source-sink balance. Plant Cell Physiol. 43(11):1293-301.
- Schink B. 1997. Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. **61**, 262-280.
- Seeman, J.R., M.R. Badger and J.A. Berry. 1984. Variations in the specific activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase between species utilizing differing photosynthetic pathways. Plant Physiol. 74:791-794.
- Sharpe, P.S.H. and D.W. DeMichelle. 1977. Reaction kinetics of poikilothermic development. Journal of Theoretical Biology 64:649-670.
- Shea T.G., Pretorius W.E., Cole R.D. and Pearson E.A. 1968. Kinetics of hydrogen assimilation in the methane fermentation. *Water Research* **2**, 833-848.
- Shields J.A., E.A. Paul, W.E. Lowe and D. Parkinson. 1973. Turnover of microbial tissue in soil under field conditions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 5:753-764.
- Shulten, H.-R., and M. Schnitzer. 1997. Chemical model structures for organic matter and soils. Soil Science 162:115–130.
- Skopp, J. 1985. Oxygen uptake and transfer in soils: analysis of the air-water interfacial area. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 49:1327-1331.
- Smith M.R. and Mah R.A. 1978. Growth and methanogenesis by *Methanosarcina* strain 227 on acetate and methanol. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* **36**, 870-879.
- Sørenson L.H. (1981) Carbon-nitrogen relationships during the humification of cellulose in soils containing different amounts of clay. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **13**, 313-321.
- Suzuki, I., U. Dular and S.C. Kwok. 1974. Ammonia or ammonium ion as substrate for oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europeae* cells and extracts. J. Bacteriol. 120:556-558.
- van Bavel, C.H.M., and D.I. Hillel. 1976. Calculating potential and actual evaporation from a bare soil surface by simulation of concurrent flow of water and heat. Agric. Meteorol. 17:453-476.
- Veen, B.W. 1981. Relation between root respiration and root activity. Plant Soil 63: 73-76.
- Waring, R.H. and S.W. Running. 1998. Forest Ecosystems: Analysis at Multiple Scales. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Academic Press. London U.K.

- Wilhelm, E., R. Battino and R.J. Wilcock. 1977. Low-pressure solubility of gases in liquid water. Chem. Rev. 77:219-262.
- Williams D.G., V. Gempko, A. Fravolini, S.W. Leavitt, G.W. Wall, B.A. Kimball, P.J. Pinter Jr., R. LaMorte and M. Ottman. 2001. Carbon isotope discrimination by Sorghum bicolor under CO2 enrichment and drought. New Phytol. 150:285-293.
- Wofford N.G., Beaty P.S. and McInerey M.J. 1986. Preparation of cell-free extracts and the enzymes involved in fatty acid metabolism in *Syntrophomonas wolfei*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **167**, 189-195.
- Yoshinari. T., R. Hynes and R. Knowles. 1977. Acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction and measurement of denitrification and nitrogen fixation in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9:177-183.
- Zehnder A.J.B. and Wuhrmann K. 1977. Physiology of a *Methanobacterium* strain AZ. *Archives of Microbiology* **111**, 199-205.
- Zehnder A.J.B., Huser B.A., Brock T.D. and Wuhrmann K. 1980. Characterization of an acetate-decarboxylating, non-hydrogen-oxidizing methane bacterium. *Archives of Microbiology* **124**, 1-11.