

Fig. S1. The optimization of NMO-IgG mixture. (A) Measurement of NMO-IgG concentration, inflammatory induction by human complement and determination of injection volume (Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc, *p<0.05). (B) Measurement of AQP4 loss volume using various combinations of NMO-IgG and hC. (C) Induction of astrocyte depletion using a mixture of 50 mg NMO-IgG and 5% hC in Aldh1L1-eGFP-L10a TG mice. (D) Analysis of Iba1+ cell morphology based on the lesion location using Iba1+AQP4 immunostain. (E) High magnification images of the MBP and Iba1 stain. (F) Measurement process of axon bundle size.

Figure S2



Fig. S2. After 1 day and 7 days post-injury, brain lysates from the Normal, NMO, and NMO+CL groups were subjected to SDS-PAGE to detect MBP and β -actin. The molecular sizes of 15 kDa and 40 kDa were indicated by blue and red color, while the 17 kDa MBPs were indicated by red arrows. Original blots/membranes of MBP and β -actin were obtained from different parts of the same gel.



Fig. S3. Sorting of monocytes and microglia for RNA-seq analysis. (A) Immune cells were isolated from the blood and brain tissue of the Normal, NMO, and NMO+CL groups at 1 day post-injury. Monocytes and microglia were sorted based on Live/CD45+ cells, followed by gating of CD11b/P2ry12 cells and further gating of Ly6C/Ly6G cell populations from CD11b+P2ry12- cells. The transparent red squares represent sorted CD11b+P2ry12+ microglia and CD11b+P2ry12-Ly6C+Ly6G- monocytes. (B) Bar graph displaying differences in cell numbers for each cell type. (Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc, ****p<0.0001, three independent replicates from 3-4 samples).



Fig. S4. Volcano plots of differential gene expression profiles showing the magnitude on the x-axis (Log2 fold change) and significance on the y-axis (-Log10 adjusted p value) for NMO vs. Normal, NMO+CL vs. Normal and NMO+CL vs. NMO. Wnt signaling-related genes are highlighted. (B) Heatmap of *Il1b* expression shows no change with clodronate treatment. (C) Pro- and Anti-inflammatory signature genes in monocyte RNA-seq.

Experiments	Analysis	Groups —	Sample Number		
			1 day	3 days	1 week
Pathophysiology of NMO model	Immunofluorescence	Normal	3	3	3
		Control-IgG+hC	3	3	3
		NMO-IgG+hC	3	3	3
	PCR	Normal	3	3	3
		Control-IgG+hC	3	3	3
		NMO-IgG+hC	3	3	3
	Flow cytometry	Normal	e	j	
		Control-IgG+hC	e)	
		NMO-IgG+hC	e	5	
Monocyte/marcrophages depletion	Flow cytometry	Normal	e	<u>,</u>	
		NMO	e)	
		NMO+CL	5	i	
	PCR	Normal	3	;	
		NMO	3	1	
		NMO+CL	3	1	
	Immunofluorescence & LFB stain	Normal	4	i	5
		NMO	4	i	5
		NMO+CL	3	;	3
	Western blotting	Normal	3×3		$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$
		NMO	3×3	•	$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$
		NMO+CL	$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$		$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$
RNAseq of Monocytes	FACS	Normal	$5 \times 3^{\dagger}$		
		NMO	$5 \times 3^{\dagger}$		
RNAseq of Microglia	FACS	Normal	$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$		
		NMO	$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$		
		NMO+CL	$3 \times 3^{\dagger}$		

Table 1 Groups, analysis, and sample number in animal experiments

[†]Each pooled samples \times Number of independent experimental replicates