The Effects of Workplace Spirituality on Employee Engagement And Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Kilep Mariani[1], Thatok Asmony[2], Siti Nurmayanti[3]

[1]Magister of Management Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Mataram, Indonesia

[2,3]Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Mataram, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aims at examining the influence of workplace spirituality to employee engagement, and organizational citizenship behavior in three Technical Implementing Units of The Ministry of Education and Culture in West Nusa Tenggara. This research is a quantitative research with a causal approach. The population of this research is all employees at LPMP NTB, BP Paud and Dikmas NTB, and NTB Language Office as many as 150 people. The Data are collected using questionnaires. There are 126 returned questionnaires with the rate of return is by 84 percent. Data received through online and offline are analyzed using SmartPLS Version 3 software. The results show that workplace spirituality had positive and significant effect on the employee engagement and organizational citizenship behavior. The employee engagement also shows positive and significant influence on organizational citizenship behavior among civil servants in the three Technical Implementing Units of The Ministry of Education and Culture in West Nusa Tenggara.

Keywords: workplace spirituality, employee engagement, organizational citizenship behavior

INTRODUCTION

Workplace spirituality (WS) has nothing to do with organized religious practices. Workplace spirituality highlights that humans have an inner life that grows and is grown by meaningful jobs that takes place in a community context (Robbins & Judge, 2009). Organizations that support spiritual culture admit that people have mind and soul, try to find meaning and purpose in their work, and a desire to socialize with others, as well as be a part of a community. Workplace spirituality is a framework of organizational values that is proved in a culture that promotes employee transcendence experiences through work processes that facilitate their feelings of connecting with others in a way that provides complete and joyful feeling (Giacalone & Jurkiewicz, 2003 in Belwalkar & Vohra, 2016).

Ashmos and Duchon (2000) provide more systematic definition, and also develop an assessment tool of workplace spirituality based on contextual definition they made. Ashmos and Duchon (2000) identified three components of workplace spirituality; inner life, meaningful jobs, and community. Workplace spirituality may benefit to individuals and at the end to organizations, but empirical research in this area is still few (Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002). The results are in conformity with the theoretical evidence by (Duchon & Plowman, 2005; Garcia-Zamor, 2003; Gotsis & Kortezi, 2008) which show that when employees have deep meaning and purpose in their jobs, feel connected to others, and experience a sense of strong alignment between their personal values and the values of their

organizations, they are bound to be more satisfied, act in a more collaborative way, and apply their full potential to work and the organizations that employ them.

Saks (2011) has shown a link between workplace spirituality and employee engagement from various research results. The meaning of spirituality and workplace involvement shows a sense of completeness and wholeness (Milliman, et. al., 2003; Duchon & Ploughman, 2005; Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002; Kahn, 1990; and Kahn, 1992). Workplace spirituality and employee engagement involve employee fully in the performance of one's work role (Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002; Ashmos & Duchon, 2000; and Rich, Lepine, & Crawford, 2010). Connections are key component of workplace spirituality and employee engagement (Milliman, et. Al., 2003; Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002; and Kahn, 1990). Workplace spirituality and employee engagement have been linked to various outcomes related to individual work (Milliman, et al., 2003; Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002; Saks, 2006; and Rich et al., 2010). Finally, both workplace spirituality and employee engagement have been linked to organizational performance (Duchon & Plowman, 2005; Macey et.al., 2009; Markos & Sridevi, 2010; and Indayati, Thoyib, & Rofiaty, 2012).

Workplace spirituality and employee engagement have been proven to have many impacts on organizations, one of which is on OCB (Saks, 2006; Kazemipour, Amin, & Pourseidi, 2012; Ariani, 2013; Saks, 2019). The term OCB, which was first introduced by Bateman and Organ (1983), is mentioned as one of operational organization motors yet does not directly become part of task performance definition. OCB focuses on individual behavior and does not trace its underlying motives or motivations as OCB is not listed in employee job descriptions, yet is highly expected for its supports to the functioning of organization effectively (Robbins & Judge, 2009). OCB not only affects the unit/organization but also individuals in the organization (Podsakoff, Whiting, Podsakoff, & Blume, 2009). The OCB effect on organizations is positively related to desired outcomes such as unit performance, efficiency, profitability, customer satisfaction while negatively it is related to undesired outcomes such as unit costs and group and/or unit level turnover.

This study tries to look at the influence of workplace spirituality on employee engagement and OCB in government organizations of West Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia. Additionally, this study also examines models of relationship of workplace spirituality to the engagement of employees and OCB members of the organization.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

Ashmos and Duchon (2000) define workplace spirituality as an acknowledgment that employees have inner life that is fed and nourished by meaningful work that takes place in a community context. Spirituality at work is not related to organized religious practices although people may sometimes express their religious beliefs at workplace (Ashmos & Duchon, 2000; Robbins & Judge, 2009).

Workplace spirituality is an awareness that people have an inner life that grows and is grown by meaningful work that takes place in a community context (Robbins & Judge, 2009). Robbins and Judge further revealed that organizations that support spiritual culture find out that people have mind and soul, seek meaning and purpose in their work, and have a desire to socialize with others, as well as be a part of a community.

> *"The concept of workplace spirituality bases its discussion on previous topics such as values, ethics, motivation, leadership, and work/life balance. Spiritual organizations want to help people to develop and reach their full potency. Likewise, organizations that*

care about spirituality are more likely to directly respond problems created by work/life conflicts ".

Ashmos and Duchon (2000) identify three components of workplace spirituality, namely inner life, meaningful work, and community. Ashmos and Duchon developed and validated a three-level measurement instrument of analysis namely individuals, work teams, and organizations. In their analysis, Ashmos and Duchon extracted seven dimensions for the individual level, two for the work team level, and two for organizational levels. Based on Ashmos and Duchon's research, Milliman, Czaplewski, and Ferguson (2003 p. 429-430) focused on three dimensions of spirituality at work to use; first, meaningful work (individual level), fundamental aspects of spirituality at work that involves deep meaning and purpose in one's work. Second, Sense of community (group level), critical dimension of spirituality at work involving deep relationships with others that have been articulated as a sense of community. And third, Alignment of values (organizational level), the workplace spirituality is when individuals experience a strong sense of harmony between their personal values and the mission and goals of their organization.

Employee engagement is individual use of members of an organization for their work roles by using and expressing themselves physically, cognitively, and emotionally while carrying out their roles in the organization (Khan, 1990). Employee engagement refers to positive feelings that employees feel about work and the motivation and effort they give to the job. Employee engagement leads to positive employee behavior that leads to organizational success (Macey & Schneider, 2008).

Robbins and Judge (2009) say that the attitudes of individuals determine what they do. In his book, Robbins & Judge divide three main attitudes in organizational behavior, namely job satisfaction, job involvement, and organizational commitment, and two other work attitudes, namely perceived organizational support (POS) and employee engagement. Robbins and Judge (2009: 103) define that:

"Employee engagement is the involvement, satisfaction and enthusiasm of individuals with the work they do. The company found that business units that had high average level of employee engagement had higher levels of customer satisfaction, were more productive, had higher profits, and had lower employee turnover and accident rates. "

Employee engagement comprises of three dimensions according to the UWES measurement tools (Utrecht Employee engagement Scale): vigor, dedication, and absorption (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004). Vigor is high morale, mental toughness at work, a desire to put effort into work, and endurance to deal with adversity. Vigor is assessed through statements that refer to high energy and endurance, willingness to try more, not easily tired and persistence in facing difficulties. Dedication is a sense of enthusiasm, inspiration, pride, and challenge. Dedication is measured through statements that refer to significance at work, a sense of enthusiasm and pride at work, and a sense of inspiration and challenge by the work he does. While absorption is full concentration, happy to be involved in a job so that it feels time running fast though facing problems. Absorption is measured through statements that refer to totality, feeling pleasure at work, and hard to get away from work so that time passes quickly (Hui, Xiang, & Jing-lun, 2009).

Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) is individual behavior in workplace that is discretionary, and is not directly or explicitly recognized by a formal reward system, and aggregately promotes the effective function of organizations (Organ, 1988). Organ (1997) then redefined OCB as a contribution to maintenance and improvement of social and psychological context that support task performances. Overall, OCB helps improve efficiency and effectiveness of organizations by contributing to transformation of resources, innovation, and adaptability (Organ, 1988).

OCB is defined by Kreitner and Kinicki (2014, p. 173) as extra role behavior consisting of behaviors outside work. The examples are "attitude as a constructive statement about the department, disclosure of personal interest of others work, suggestions for improvement, training new employees, respect for enthusiasm and written rules of building maintenance, care for company property, and above the standard of attendance or levels that can be implemented". Robbins and Judge (2009, p. 40; 2015, p. 19) define OCB as behavioral choice that is not part of an employee formal obligations, but supports the functioning of organization effectively. Organizations want and need employees who are willing to perform tasks that are not listed in their job descriptions. The facts show that organizations that have such employees have better performance than other organizations.

According to Organ (1988), OCB consists of five dimensions, namely Altruism, which is the behavior of helping coworkers in completing their work; helping coworkers who do not understand the task or helping new coworkers. Willing to help coworkers who get overload tasks and coworkers who are off the office. Courtesy is attitudes to problems related to work; Attitudes of respecting coworkers rights, and making no problems with coworkers. Sportsmanship is attitudes of accepting conditions or circumstances that are less pleasant or less ideal, no complaining and thinking positive. Civic virtue is responsibility attitude to participate in activities of the company life, for example attending meetings that are not necessary for him but beneficial to the organization, willing to follow or obey changes that occur in the organization having initiatives to increase organizational productivity. Conscientiousness is a dedication to work and to achieve results above standards set, for example not wasting time, obeying all organizational regulations, and being responsible even without supervision.

Workplace spiritualityhas also been shown to have positive influence on OCB (Charoensukmongkol, Daniel, & Chatelain-Jardon, 2015; Ghorbanifar & Azma, 2014; Kazemipour, Amin, & Pourseidi, 2012). The results indicate that people or employees who have workplace spirituality take more OCB actions. General research results have also shown results that are relevant to theoretical evidences (Duchon & Plowman, 2005; Garcia-Zamor, 2003; Gotsis & Kortezi, 2008) such as employees have deep meaning and goals in their work, feel connected to others, experience strong sense of harmony between their personal values and the values of their organizations, are bound to be more satisfied, act in a more collaborative way, and apply their full potential to work and the organizations that employ them.

Positive and significant results were also shown in several studies with different industrial backgrounds. In education sector (Charoensukmongkol, Daniel, & Chatelain-Jardon, 2015), banking sector (Ghorbanifar & Azma, 2014), and health sector (Kazemipour, Amin, & Pourseidi (2012) found that all three aspects of workplace spirituality are positive and significantly related to OCB which means that individuals who have a high level of workplace spirituality have a greater tendency to do more OCB.

Interest in the topic of workplace spirituality and employee engagement has been increasing rapidly over the past decade (Saks, 2011). The focus of the two topics lies in the importance of enthusiasm at workplace, both of which have emerged independently with little attention to how the two are related. Both may exist together, so organizations that embrace workplace spirituality can facilitate and enable employees to be fully involved in their work roles. Several studies on relationship of workplace spirituality toward employee engagement have shown positive and significant influence (Setiabudhi & Rachmah, 2016; Walt, 2018; and Makiah, Asmony, & Nurmayanti, 2018). These results reveal that workplace spirituality may have become a new important supporter in employee engagement model.

Researchers and practitioners have also built relationships between employee engagement and OCB in various sectors. Rurkkhum (2010) in his research results confirmed positive relationship that exists between employee engagement and each component of OCB where the courtesy dimension

showed the smallest contribution results and the results also did not support the proposed moderation effect. The same results were also shown from a research by George and Joseph (2015) who conducted research on employees working in travel organizations where employee engagement positively affected OCB.

Ullah, Jamal, and Naeem (2018) in their research built relationship between employee engagement, organizational commitment, and OCB and the results showed that employee engagement positively significantly related to organizational commitment and OCB. These results are in line with a study by Allen and Meyer (1990) and Saks (2006) who find that employee engagement has significantly positive relationship with OCB. A slightly different result was found by Saradha and Patrick (2011) who tested relationship between OCB and employee engagement in the IT industry. The results showed that employee engagement had low significant relationship with OCB. Therefore, the hypothesis can be concluded as follows:

H1: Workplace spirituality has significantly positive effect on OCB.

H2: Workplace spirituality has positive and significant effect on employee engagement.

H3: Employee engagement has positive and significant effect on OCB

METHODE
Sample

This research study on the Technical Implementation Unit Staffs of The Ministry of Education and Culture of West Nusa Tenggara and uses a questionnaire distributed offline and online. The questionnaire was intended to obtain information regarding respondents profile such as gender, age, education, years of service, and responses to 62 positive statements for three variables that were the focus in this study. The research survey took about six weeks. The samples are consisted of 126 (with a response rate of 84 percent) out of 150 civil servants at the Technical Implementation Unit (UPT) of Ministry of Education and Culture of West Nusa Tenggara. Characteristics of respondents can be seen in the following table.

Table 1. Respondents Demographic Data

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender:	(persons)	
Male	71	56.30
Female	55	43.70
Total	126	100.00
Age Group:	(persons)	
≤30 years	14	11.10
31 – 40 years	39	31.00
41 – 50 years	61	48.40
≥50 years	12	9.50
Total	126	100.00
Education:	(persons)	
SHS	9	7.10
Diploma	4	3.20
S1	75	59.50
S2	38	30.20
Total	125	100.00
Working Period:	(persons)	

≤10 years	25	19.80
11 – 20 years	71	56.30
21 – 30 years	25	19.90
≥30 years	5	4.00
Total	125	100.00

Source: Primary Arranged Data (2020)

Instruments of Analyses

Instruments are designed for individual level analysis. Each respondent was asked sequentially to give responses over OCB, employee engagement, and workplace spirituality. The OCB instrument consists of 24 statement items developed by Organ et. al. (2006), workplace spirituality consists of 21 statements developed by Milliman et. al. (2003 p. 429-430) based on the research of Ashmos and Duchon (2000). The instrument used for employee engagement consists of 17 statements based on the Utrecht Employee engagement Scale (UWES) developed by Schaufeli and Bakker (2004). Respondents will be given alternative qualitative answers that are converted into scores based on a 5-point Likert Scale. Furthermore, the responses of respondents collected will be processed using Smart PLS 3 software.

Analyses of Reliability and Validity

The instrument reliability test results show that the three variables have a reliability value above the 0.6 standard recommended by Ghozali (2016). The test using SPSS version 23 shows that Alpha Cronbach value is 0.930 for workplace spirituality, 0.888 for employee engagement, and 0.915 for OCB. While, validity test in this study uses SPSS version 23 application with Pearson product moment correlation technique by calculating the value of r. Item validity is determined if the calculated r value obtained is greater than r table, and vice versa, if the r calculated value is smaller than r table then the item is declared invalid. According to Solimun (2002), items are declared valid if there is a positive correlation, it is expected that the correlation value r is greater than r table adjusted to the number of n (number of respondents) used, or the value of the Corrected Indicator-Total Correlation in the SPSS program output is greater than .300 r table. The scale was tested to 30 respondents with similar characteristics to the target population and the results of the test showed values between 0.363 and 0.911.

Study Results

The convergent validity value is loading factor value on the latent variable with its indicators. Loading factor is a coefficient that explains relationship level of indicators with latent variables. In general, the higher the loading factor the better, and values below 0.30 are not interpreted. Loading above 0.71 is excellent, 0.63 is very good, 0.55 is good, 0.45 is sufficient, and 0.32 is poor (Tabachnik & Fidel, 2007). Hair, et al (2006) recommend that the outer loading coefficient value is seen based on the sample size needed for significance where for the sample size of 150, the tolerance value of the outer loading coefficient is <0.45. Based on this, it can be illustrated that the results of the calculation of the outer loading value for all items in the three variables studied have values above 0.45 with the lowest value of 0.486 for statement item Y2.16 and the highest value of 0.888 for statement item X1.20.

The validity test results show that valid variable with a value of average variance extracted (AVE) above 0.5 is 0.782 for spirituality at work, 0.736 for employee engagement, and 0.715 for OCB. The reliability test also showed reliable results with Cronbach Alpha value is > 0.6 and composite reliability value is > 0.7. Workplace spirituality shows Cronbach Alpha value of 0.968 and composite reliability of 0.971. Employee engagement shows that Cronbach Alpha is 0.946 and composite reliability is 0.952. OCB shows Cronbach Alpha value of 0.958 and composite reliability of 0.961.

Structural model test is done to predict causal relationships between variables or test the hypothesis, and determine the significance value and R-Square research models. Model assessment begins by looking at the R-square for each latent dependent variable. Changes in R-square values can be used to assess the effect of certain exogenous latent variables on endogenous latent variables with substantive effects. The following table shows the results of R-square using SmartPLS application calculation.

Table 2. R-Square Value

Variable	R-Square	Adjusted R Square
Employees Engagement (Y1)	0.760	0.758
Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y2)	0.755	0.751

Source: Primary Arranged Data (2020)

Table 2 shows the adjusted R Square value for employee engagement variable is 0.758 and OCB is 0.751. The model in this study has a predictive relevance value higher than zero so that it is declared feasible to be used in predictions. It means that 75 percent of spirituality variables at workplace and employee engagement are able to describe OCB variables, while the other 25 percent are described by other variables outside this research model such as organizational commitment, organizational culture, job satisfaction, perceived organizational support, social capital, and psychological contracts fulfillment.

Hypothesis test using SmartPLS application can be seen in path coefficient results in testing inner model using bootstrapping process. Hypothesis testing results can be described from the value of path coefficient and the value of T-statistics. The following table provides an overview of the test results.

Table 3. Path Coefficient on Structural Assessment

Variable Relationship	Coefficient Value	Mean	STDEV	T-statistic	P. Values
Spritualty at workplace (X) → OCB (Y2)	0.402	0.397	0.091	4.400	0.000
Spritualty at workplace (X) → Employees Engagement (Y1)	0.872	0.864	0.040	21.540	0.000
Employees Engagement (Y1) → OCB (Y2)	0.496	0.492	0.085	5.864	0.000

Source: Primary Arranged Data (2020)

The correlation between workplace spirituality and OCB is positive and significant (r = 0.402, p <0.01). As shown in the table, hypothesis 1 is supported. The correlation between workplace spirituality and employee engagement is positive and significant (r = 0.872, p <0.01). As shown in the table, hypothesis 2 is supported. The correlation between employee engagement and OCB is positive and significant (r = 0.496, p <0.01). As shown in the table, hypothesis 3 is also supported.

DISCUSSION

The notion that employees not only have physical, emotional, and cognitive life but also have inner life that must not be separated from the world of work has been revealed by Duchon and Plowman (2005). This is then proven in the research results which show that when employees have

deep meaning and purpose in their work, feel connected to others, and experience a strong sense of harmony between their personal values and the values of their organization, they are bound to become more satisfied, act in a more collaborative way, and apply their full potential to work and to the organizations that employ them (Duchon & Plowman, 2005; Garcia-Zamor, 2003; Gotsis & Kortezi, 2008).

The positive and significant influence of workplace spirituality on OCB in this study supports the study results of Anvari, Barzaki, Amiri, Irum, and Shapourabadi (2017) who found that nurses who found meaningful assignments, had better relationships with their colleagues, and agreed with organizational (hospital) values, they also show more positive actions that help organization even without any reward system. The results of this study are also in line with the results of research by Makiah, et. al. (2018) who found that teachers who have perception of spirituality in workplace such as safe, comfortable, and tranquil at workplace will encourage them to do things over their responsibilities. The results of this study are slightly different from the research results of Nasrudin, et. al. (2013) who found that sense of togetherness in the community (sense of community) and the alignment of personal values with the organization (alignment of values) had insignificant relationship with one indicator or OCB dimension, namely conscientiousness.

The average response of respondents to each statement item is described at a high average value with the sense of community indicator is at the highest average value. It shows that employees have found great meaning and purpose from their work, and the most important thing is feeling of being connected with colleagues. This is strengthen by the average response to courtesy dimension on OCB variables that are "very high" for all items. The courtesy dimension is behavior that reflects the concern over coworkers. A deep relationship with colleagues (sense of community) is then reflected in the behavior of employees who maintain good relationship with coworkers, do not interfere the rights of coworkers, and respect colleagues.

Connected with community and coworkers is one aspect of spirituality that basically exists within employees. Interrelated work, long work hours, and other demographic supports factors can encourage employee sensitivity to pay more attention to the needs of coworkers, which in turn encourages helpful behavior to achieve common goals. This fact supports the view that workplace spirituality is able to encourage someone to be more helpful, respectful to others, and tends to be a better example of OCB (Avey, Wernsing, & Luthans, 2008). Tepper (2003). The high workplace spirituality is also in line with one of the work principles expressed in the initial interview that work is a pray so that the attitudes and behavior of daily work reflect the noble values of the faith.

The next fact is performances of organizations at three Technical Implementation Unit of Ministry of Education and Culture of NTB is direct accumulation of the performance of working groups formed during the current fiscal year, so that team collaboration within the group is needed. These groups do not only need individuals who have right competencies, but they also need teams that are willing to work together in an atmosphere of mutual respect, help, and complement each other to achieve common goals. So that workplace spirituality needs to be created, maintained, and developed to have major impact on OCB in order to get high performance results from groups and organizations.

The results of this study provide empirical evidence in the conceptual study of Pawar (2009) that employees who get greater meaning and purpose from their work will feel more connected to their coworkers. This is also in line with the research results by Kazemipour, et. al. (2012) that nurses who obtain greater meaning and purpose from their experience at work often perform OCB actions such as behavior that benefits their coworkers. Even employees involve in a larger context of work and economic issues, namely values of belief (religion) that lead them to frequently take OCB actions, one of which is helping colleagues and doing work beyond formal duties.

Spirituality and engagement in workplace show a sense of completeness and wholeness. Employees will feel complete and very ready to work if they present as a whole physically and spiritually (Duchon & Plougman, 2010; Krishnakumar & Neck, 2002). Additionally, Employee engagement, Rich et al. (2010) explain that engagement involves holistic investment of oneself into a role in his work that distinguishes it from other constructs. Those view of Rich et al. can be seen in the results of this study in which mentally healthy employees finding meaning in their work, having healthy relationships with colleagues, and having common vision with the organization will show high engagement in their duties and roles at workplace.

Saks (2011) states that workplace spirituality and employee engagement will fully engage employee in a performance of one's role. Krishnakumar and Neck (2002) also revealed that spirituality is an important dimension of human personality, so that organizations that encourage spirituality actually encourage people to bring themselves entirely to work. Employees who have high spirituality will tend to involve themselves as a whole in their work. Connection is also a key component of workplace spiritualityand employee engagement (Saks, 2011). When people engaged, they are connected with their work and others (Khan, 1990) so that people who experience psychological meaningfulness and engagement will have beneficial interpersonal interactions with coworkers and/or clients. The results of this study are also relevant to studies conducted by Setiabudhi and Rachmah (2016) and Walt (2018) which state that spirituality in workplace has a significant effect on employee engagement.

High employee engagement encourages employees to complete their non-formal tasks which greatly support the effectiveness of overall organizational performance (Robbin & Judge, 2009). Employee engagement is to what extent do people enjoy and believe what they do and feel valued doing it (Ariani, 2013). Employees engaged tend to perform extra role behaviors, perhaps because they are able to achieve goals and carry out their tasks efficiently and that allows them to pursue activities that are not part of their job description. The motive of doing OCB is making someone pride in their organization. This is supported by the fact that the average respondents answer to the item "I am proud of the work I do" is 4.25 or the category "very high".

The results of this study are also related to the fact that most of the respondents age characteristics are between 31 and 50 years old and have an adequate level of education with long years of work. Kreitner and Kinicki (2014) states that a stable attitude during mid-adulthood is influenced by certainty of personality, amount of knowledge received, and needs for a strong attitude. Employees have shown a high attitude engagement in formal tasks. Employees who are fully involved in their work will be enthusiastic, dedicated, and will complete the formal tasks with pleasure. When individual formal tasks completed properly, employees tend to exhibit OCB such as helping co-workers on their duties, attending more work meetings, having time to update information, being more grateful and not complaining, being aware of staying honest, and being more compliant with organizational regulations.

The results of this study support the results of Rurkhum's (2010) research which confirmed that employee engagement has positive relationship with OCB. This result is also in line with Ariani's (2013) study which found that employees who showed a higher level of engagement were found to contribute higher level of individual OCB to their organization. The same results were also shown from the research of George and Joseph (2015) who conducted research on employees working in travel organizations where employee engagement positively affected OCB. These results are also consistent with the results of research by Ullah, et. al (2018) and Hadinata, et. al. (2019), where employee engagement has positive and significant effect on OCB.

The results of this study also support a theory by Robbins and Judge (2009) which says that the attitudes of individuals determine what they do. Gibson et.al (2000) also found that individual behavior is influenced by the individual itself (including attitude, age, and experience) and environment (work

and non-work). So that the attitude of high employee engagement will have significant influence on OCB in civil servants in the three Technical Implementing Units of the Ministry of Education and Culture in NTB.

THEORETICAL IMPLICATION

The results of this study develop and provide an explanation that focuses on the influence of workplace spiritualityon the engagement of employees and on OCB of employees (PNS) in three UPT of Ministry of Education and Culture in NTB. The results of this study theoretically support the theory expressed by Podsakoff, et.al (2000) stating that the higher the quality of spirituality in one's workplace, the more likely he will have behavior outside of his formal work (OCB). The results of this study have also been proven by several previous studies, including Kazemipour, et al (2012), Ahmadi, et. al. (2013), Ghorbanifar and Azma (2014), Charoensukmongkol, et al (2015) and Makiah, et al. (2018) which states that the higher the person's workplace spirituality, the higher the OCB that is shown.

The results of this study also strengthen a concept expressed by Saks (2011) which say that workplace spiritualitycan facilitate and enable employees to be fully involved in their work roles. The concept was then supported by several previous research results, including Setiabudhi and Rachmah (2016) and Walt (2018) which stated that workplace spirituality had a significant effect on employee engagement.

The theory proposed by Robbins and Judge (2009) saying that attitudes of individuals determine what they do is proven in the results of this study. The more employees are involved in their work roles, the higher the OCB behavior they have. This result was also strengthened through the results of previous studies, including Ariani (2013) and Hadinata, et al (2019) who revealed that employee engagement had significant influence on OCB. Saks (2019) then revealed that in addition to have an impact on performance, employee vacancies also had consequences on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, intention to quit, organizational citizenship behavior, stress, and burnout.

The results of this study contribute to science in the field of human resource management by providing empirical evidence that workplace spirituality has positive and significant impact on employee engagement and OCB so that it can be used as a basis for further research development with focus of research in OCB in different organizations.

The results of this study become new knowledge for writers as the importance of growing workplace spirituality. Therefore it is important to keep in mind that every individual is a person who not only has a working life but also has an inner life that grows and is grown by meaningful work in a harmonious work environment. The concept of workplace spirituality is in line with a concept stating that work is worship, so that the work carried out is able to give meaning to life as a whole both physically and spiritually.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATION

The practical implications of the results of this study are addressed to the three Technical Implementation Units of the Ministry of Education and Culture in NTB. By the results of this study organization is expected to create an organizational atmosphere that encourages spirituality and engagement for employees. Hendrawan (2009) mentions that besides through self-strengthening, organizations can be strengthen through building structures, systems, and procedures that facilitate the formation of more spiritual organizational atmosphere. This atmosphere is needed to create condition and accelerate the growth of individual spirituality and collective awareness that direct the efforts of individuals and groups towards broader and longer-term shared goals.

Robbins and Judge (2009) revealed three main forms of employee engagement that can encourage employee engagement in organizations, namely participatory management, representative management, and quality circles. Participatory management provides opportunities for everyone in a group to be actively engaged in joint decision making and use. Whereas representative management allows decision making to be carried out only by small representing groups. The quality circle emphasizes engagement of a group with limited members who meet regularly to discuss various problems, recommend solutions, as well as take corrective actions.

The organization can also encourage employee engagement (source: dailysocial.id) through placement of right position to avoid competency mismatches as well as evidence that organization has tried to arrange employee positions according to their abilities. In addition to measuring and providing new knowledge, training programs will also make employees feel cared for and this will increase engagement with the team and organization in general. Giving meaningful assignments is a powerful way to show that the organization requires excellent performance of the workforce while at the same time giving impact of more engagement so that employees will exert their best abilities. And finally, Being a leader who has good relationship with employees, the Head of UPT must carry out periodic performance checks while holding discussions about each other engagement with the organization.

CONCLUSION

Workplace spirituality is positive value and employee engagement is positive attitude that every employee believes and holds over the organization and its values. High spirituality and the practice of engagement among employees can enhance OCB behavior. The results of this study indicate that high level of spirituality and engagement at workplace was found to contribute positively and significantly to organizations with high individual OCB levels. Workplace spirituality is positively and significantly related to employee engagement and OCB. Employee engagement is also positively and significantly related to OCB. The relationship between these three variables requires further test to really comprehend and explain the relationship between the three in encouraging OCB. The limitation of this study lies in the small sample size with homogeneous objects background. Both the limitations limit the generalization of the results found. Job characteristics can be proposed in the future as an important factor influencing OCB. Future research is also expected to add research focus to the indirect relationship which is likely to occur in the relationship of the three variables in this study.

REFERENCES

Allen, N. J. & Meyer, J. P. 1990. The Measurement and Antecedents of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment to the Organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63. pp. 1-18.

Anvari, R., Barzaki, A. S., Amiri, L., Irum, S., dan Shapourabadi, S., (2017) The Mediating Effect of Organizational Citizenship Behavior on The Relationship Between Workplace Spirituality and Intention to Leave. *Intangible Capital*. 13(3), 615-639

Ariani, D. W. (2013). The Relationship between Employee Engagement, Organizational Citizenship Behavior, and Counterproductive Work Behavior. *International Journal Of Business Administration, 4* (2), 46-56.

Ashmos, D. P., & Duchon, D. (2000). Spirituality at Work: A Conceptualization and Measure. *Journal of Management Inquiry, 9* (2), 134-145.

Avey, J.B., Wernsing, T. S., Luthan, F. (2008) Can Positive Employees Help Positive Organizational Change? Impact of Psychological Capital and Emotions on Relevant Attitudes and Behavior. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 44(1), 48-70.

Belwalkar, S., & Vohra, V. (2016). Workplace Spirituality, Job Satisfaction and Organizatinal Behavior: A Theoritical Model. *International Journal of Business Management, 11* (8), 256-262.

Charoensukmongkol, P., Daniel, J.-L., & Chatelain-Jardon, R. (2015). The Contribution of Workplace Spirituality to Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Advances in Business Research, 6*, 32-45.

Duchon, D. & Plowman, D.A. 2005. 'Nurturing the spirit at work: Impact on work unit performance', *The Leadership Quarterly,* 16(5): 807-833

Ferdinand, A. (2014). *Metode Penelitian Manajemen.* Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

Gallup (2004) Employee Engagement Index Survey. *Gallup Management Journal*

Garcia-Zamor, J.C. 2003. 'Workplace spirituality and organizational performance', *Public Administration Review*, 63(3): 355-363

George, G., & Joseph, B. (2015). A study on the relationship between Employee engagement and organizational citizenship with reference to employees working in travel organizations. *Atna-Journalof Tourism Studies*, *10*(2), 33-44.

Ghorbanifar, M., & Azma, F. (2014). Workplace Spirituality and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Evidence from Banking Industry. *Management Science Letters, 4*, 1685-1692.

Gibson, J. L., Ivancevich, J. M., &Donnelly Jr, J. H. (2000). *Organisasi Jilid 1.* (N. Adiarni, Trans.) Tangerang: Binarupa Aksara Publisher.

Ghozali, Imam. 2011.*Aplikasi Ananlisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 19 (Edisi ke 5).* Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro

Ghozali, I., Latan, H. 2015. *Konsep, Teknik, dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Smart PLS 3.0 untuk Penelitian Empiris*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Dipnegoro

Ghozali, Imam. 2016. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariete dengan Program IBM SPSS 23 (Edisi 8) Cetakan ke-VIII*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro

Gotsis, G. & Kortezi, Z. (2008) 'Philosophical foundations of workplace spirituality: A Critical Approach', *Journal of Business Ethics*, **78**(4): 575-600

Hadinata, L. J., Surati, & Suparman, L. (2019). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Transformasional dan Iklim Organisasi terhadap Employee Engagement serta Dampaknya Terhadap Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Jurnal Magister Manajemen Universitas Mataram, 8* (4), 393-406.

Hendrawan, Sanerya. (2009). *Spiritual Management: From Personal Enlightenment Towards God Corporate Governance*. Bandung: PT Mizan Pustaka

Kasali, R. (2017). *Disruption.* Jakata: Penerbit PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

Kazemipour, F., Amin, S. M., & Pourseidi, B. (2012). Relationship Betwen Workplace Spirituality and Organizational Citizenship Behavior Among Nurses Through Mediation of Affective Organizational Commitment. *Journal Of Nursing Scholarship* , 1-9.

Khan, W. A. (1990). Psychological Condition of Personal Engagement and Disengagement at Work. *Academy of Management Journal, 33* (4), 692-724.

Kahn, W. A. (1992). To Be Fully There: Psychological Presence at Work. *Human Relations, 45(4),* 321–349.

Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2014). *Perilaku Organisasi.* Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Krishnakumar, S. & Neck, C.P. 2002. 'The "what", "why" and "how" of workplace spirituality', *Journal ofManagerial Psychology*, 17(3): 153-164.

Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The Meaning of Employee Engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (1), 3-30.

Mansoor, F., Hassan, Z. (2016) Factors Influencing Employee Engagement: A Study on a Telecommunication Network Provider in Maldives. *International Journal of Accounting & Business Management, 4(1):* 50-64

Makiah, Asmony, T., Nurmayanti, S. (2018) Effect of WorkLife Balance,Workplace Spirituality of Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Through Organizational Commitment as Interveing Variables (Study on Teacher Generation Y in Islamic Boarding School District West Lombok, Indonesia). *International Journal of Economics, Commerce, and ManagementI.* VI (7), 776-800

Markos, S., & Sridevi, M. S. (2010). Employee Engagement: The Key to Improving Performance. *International Journal of Business and Management, 5* (12), 89-96.

Milliman, J., Czaplewski, A. J., & Ferguson, J. (2003). Workplace Spirituality and Employee Work Attitudes An Exploratory Empirical Assessment. *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 16* (4), 426-447.

Organ, D. (1997). Organizational Citizenship Behavior: It's Construct Clean-Up Time. *Human Performance, 10* (2), 85-97.

Organ, D. (1988). *Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Good Soldier Syndrome.* Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

Organ, D., & Bateman, T. S., & (1983). Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee "citizenship." *Academy of Management Journal, 26,* 587-595.

Organ, D.W,. *et al.* (2006)*, Organizational Citizenship Behavior. Its Nature, Antecendents, and Consequences*. California: Sage Publications, Inc

Pawar, B.S. 2009. 'Workplace spirituality facilitation: A comprehensive model', *Journal of Business Ethics*, 90(3): 375-386

Podsakoff, N. P., Whiting, S. W., Podsakoff, P. M., & Blume, B. D. (2009). Individual- and Organizational-Level Consequences of Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 94* (1)

Podsakoff, P. M., Ahearne, M., & Mackenzie, S. B. (1997). Organizatinal Citizenship Behavior and Quantity dan Quality of Work Group Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82* (2), 262-270.

Podsakoff, P. M.; MacKenzie, S. B.; Paine, J. B.; and Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational Citizenship Behavior : Critical Review of The Theoretical and Empirical Literature and Suggestions for Future Research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3): 513 – 563.

Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job Engagement: Antesedents and Effects on Job Performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 53* (3), 617-635.

Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2009). *Perilaku Organisasi* (Vol. 12). (D. Angelica, Trans.) Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Rurkkhum, S. (2010). The relationship between employee engagement and organizational citizenship behavior in Thai organizations. Retrieved from the University of Minnesota Digital Conservancy, http://hdl.handle.net/11299/100890.

Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and Consequences of Employee Engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7): 600-619.

Saks, A. M. (2011) Workplace Spirituality and Employee Engagement. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion,* 8(4): 317-340

Saks, A. M. (2019). Antecedent and Consequences of Employee Engagement Revisited. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance* , 2051-6614.

Saradha, H., & Patrick, H. A. (2011). Employee engagement in relation to organizational citizenship behavior in information technology organizations. *Journal of Marketing and Management*, *2*(2), 74-90.

Schaufeli, W. B.; Salanova, M.; Gonzales-Roma, V.; and Bakker, A. B. (2002). The Measurement of Engagement and Burnout: A Two Sample Confirmatory factor Analytic Approach. *Journal of Happines Studies*, 3(1): 71-92

Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. (2004). Utrecht Employee engagement Scale Preliminary Manual. *Occupational Health Psychology Unit Utrecht University* , 1-58.

Sekaran, U. (2006). *Research Methods for Business.* Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Setiabudhi, & Rachmah, E. N. (2016). Pengaruh Social Capital dan Workplace Spirituality terhadap Employee Engagement. *Prosiding Seminas Nasional Psikologi Indigenous Indonesia* (pp. 375-386). Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.

Silalahi, U. (2010). *Metode Penelitian Sosial.* Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.

Solimun (2002) *Multivariate Analysis: Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) LISREL dan AMOS*. Malang: Fakultas MIPA Universitas Brawijaya

Tepper, B.J., (2003), Organisational Citizenship Behaviour and the Spiritual Employee. In:*Handbook of Workplace Spirituality and Organizational Performance"*, Giacalone, R.A. and C.L. Jurkiewicz (Eds.), Shubhi Publications, Gurgaon, pp. 181-190.

Ullah, P. S., Jamal, W., & Naeem, M. (2018) The Relationship of Employee Engagement, Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior.*Jinnah Business Review. 6(1)*,pp. 35-41

Walt, F. v. (2018). Workplace Spirituality, Employee engagement, and Thriving at Work. *SA Journal Of Industrial Psychology, 44*, 1-10.

https://dailysocial.id/post/meningkatkan-keterlibatan-karyawan