

How Civilians Survive Violence: A Preliminary Inventory



Casey A. Barrs
The Cuny Center
November 2010

**© The Cuny Center
Arlington, Virginia
November, 2010**

*This paper was prepared under the auspices of The Cuny Center. The Center is an applied research and educational institute that pursues practical solutions for the needs of societies affected by conflict. It was founded by Fred Cuny, the renowned aid worker who disappeared in Chechnya in 1995, and later renamed in his honor. More information can be obtained by contacting the author and Protection Research Fellow, Casey A. Barrs at: cbarrs@mt.gov. **Cite only with permission.***

Note to the Reader

There will always be mass violence. There will always be horrific times when we “outsiders” cannot shield civilians despite our avowed responsibility to protect them. Millions died amid internal conflicts in the last 15 years, and there is absolutely no guarantee that the next 15 years will be any better. That is the starting point of this paper: *our* capacity to protect is very much in doubt. Even when we do “save” civilians it is very often only because they saved themselves first—surviving violence by their wits and wiles for months or years, and then running a deadly gauntlet to reach our camps or safe havens.

Many observers note the critical importance of civilian self-protection, but it appears there have been very few systematic attempts to inventory their tactics and strategies. By and large we “appreciate” civilians’ remarkable capacity for self-preservation but do not act upon it. This preliminary inventory cites protections that civilians the world over have learned time and again as they survive and serve others alone amid violence. Some types of actions cited here have alone saved millions of lives.

This document has three sections: local safety, local sustenance, and local services. Life-critical *sustenance* and *services* are an inseparable part of this because civilians often see them as central to their security, often take physical risks to obtain them, and often die in far greater numbers from the collapse of such elemental things than from direct physical violence. The biggest killers amid conflict are not guns or blades but malnutrition and disease—which at times can be anticipated and prepared for.

Each section begins with conventional local efforts to *engage* dangerous actors and *influence* events. These good efforts have limits “⊘”. (In 2009, the ICRC interviewed four thousand people in eight war-torn countries. When asked what civilians living in areas of armed conflict need the most, only 3% chose “to influence decisions that affect them.”¹) Each section then progresses toward the less conventional actions that civilians attempt. They are often efforts to survive the actors and events that they cannot influence. These too have limits—but tend to be deliberate, balanced, sequenced calculations by the people themselves.

Many of these actions have at time received ad-hoc support by outside agencies, and many others could be supported by well-situated agencies that hire certain additional expertise. (This is the subject of another Cuny Center paper, *Preparedness Support*, describing how qualified aid agencies can in some situations help brace beneficiaries, local staff and partners for violence while working under the gun.) ***This document does not urge the support of any particular action; as an inventory it only illustrates what civilians at times do.*** Self-protection is not a panacea, nor is it easy to support. Yet of all protections, those for physical safety as well as life-critical sustenance and services depicted here will be the last ones standing because they rely on the abilities of the very people who are left standing alone as violence shuts the world out.

This inventory is not comprehensive and the taxonomy used is just one of many plausible ways to organize this discussion. Much here could be debated and rearranged, but the big picture is this: *we often lack the capacity to protect, they often learn this*

capacity on their own, and we can at times help them with this lethal learning curve.

How Civilians Survive Violence

PHYSICAL SAFETY	
	Accommodation[†]
Formal engagement	
<p><i>Influence violent powers and events through organized focus on</i></p> <p>Good governance, rule of law, human rights, autonomy, etc. Conflict mediation, negotiation, reconciliation, prevention, etc. Complaint, lobbying, advocacy, media, etc. Protest, nonviolent action</p> <p>Open opposition as long as possible When open opposition too dangerous and accommodation not deemed possible</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Disengage - Transition underground: political struggle - Transition underground: political and armed struggle - Transition back: very common to continue probing for reengagement and a formal end to violence 	<div style="background-color: #d9ead3; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Formal engagement can involve civil society activism, appeal to duty-bearers, forms of dialogue and expression, etc. Locally based early warning at times trigger such engagement. </div> <div style="background-color: #f4cccc; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Engaging may ultimately prove ineffective or too dangerous. </div> <div style="background-color: #f4cccc; padding: 5px;"> Disengaging, going underground (whether armed or not) can be a fundamental act of protection, but carries obvious risks. </div>
Traditional engagement	
<p><i>Customary processes for justice and peace</i></p> <p>Custom law Traditional authorities/arbiters of law Traditional processes for redress, restitution, intergroup mediation, etc. This spills over into many social norms (like public shunning as sanction or deterrent), religious rites (for reconciliation that ends blood feuds or for forgiveness that brings those with blood on their hands back into the community fold), etc.</p>	
Non-formal engagement	
<p><i>Persuade threatening powers that populace is compliant[◇]</i></p> <p>Comply with demands for intelligence, manpower and material, etc. Bend to the breaking point Do not overtly organize, speak out or act out If compliance becomes too harmful to oneself or endangers others, locals often fake or exaggerate their cooperation: Delay, deceit, misreporting, underperforming, selective obedience, etc. Find ways to flatter power’s vanity; tout “party line”</p> <p><i>Persuade threatening powers that one is helpful or harmless</i></p> <p>Find ways to make yourself valuable or indispensable to your abusers Feign passivity or stupidity</p>	<div style="background-color: #d9ead3; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;"> Millions survive by managing unequal power relations in non-formal ways, probing for tradeoffs and exemptions to violence and oppression. </div> <div style="background-color: #d9ead3; padding: 5px;"> [◇] This means compliance in the pragmatic, not partisan, sense. Civilians try to keep concessions survivable while preserving some autonomy and dignity. Family and village-level efforts to engage power-holders tend to be non-formal and localized, far below more official domains in which governments and civil society “engage” each other. They may have little to do with </div>

[†] Accommodation here means an effort, ranging from engagement to appeasement, to contain dangerous situations and improve physical safety.

<p>Present threatening powers with a false identity Fake identity documents; alter accent, attire, mannerisms, etc.</p>	<p>civic processes between citizens and duty-bearers redressing grievances within the rule of law. Instead, <i>they occur between unequal parties, with the weaker calculating which concessions are the least harmful.</i></p> <p>Feigned (partial) submission is often seen as a way of being at once compliant <i>and</i> defiant.</p> <p>At the breaking point, locals often disengage from and avoid abusive powers.</p> <p>Outsiders promoting civil society or nonviolent action might urge locals to “speak truth to power”, not fully recognizing the dangers of continued engagement.</p>
<p>Persuade followers to remain nonaligned and peaceful Leaders engage those in populace most at risk of being cowed, incited, attracted, or feeling no choice but to “take sides in a conflict” Counter belligerent fear or hate propaganda, slanderous myths, etc. Dampen ardor of young males about real nature of war and warriors Provide young males outlets (both real and symbolic) for a sense of duty, manhood, respect, honor that might be luring them to violence Address <i>safety</i> and life-critical <i>sustenance</i> and <i>services</i> so as to reduce the push/pull forces that can lead to desperate enlistment, premature flight, or preemptive attacks on others Make an exaggerated show of self-policing</p>	<p>Such self-policing requires social mobilization—communication of persuasive information—to reinforce a community identity against violence.</p> <p>Psychological and material forces stoking conscription can at times be reduced. This is conflict management where people live, work and sleep.</p> <p>Nonalignment or nonviolence may prove unrealistic or even dangerous.</p>
<p>Cut deals with threatening powers, most often for Recognition of neutrality / inviolability of a designated site or sanctuary (a peace community or zone, hospital, church, etc.) Separation of combatants from civilians Reprieve from conscription Time-limited reprieve to get inoculations, evacuate specified vulnerable groups, etc. Waiver of “taxes” Freedom of movement</p> <p>----- <i>Note: Civilians might assure this deal-making with a payment</i></p>	<p>Dominant powers and social blocs are not monolithic. Persecuted civilians often find useful ties to well-placed moderates, sympathizers (or pragmatic opportunists). This creates opportunities to eke out “humanitarian space”.</p> <p>Such engagement poses risks. At times, a “good deal” is not being killed in return for cash, food, conscripts and intelligence. This lends more fuel to the conflict.</p>
Avoidance	
Mobilization and preparation	
<p>Mobilization (requires a mindset) Trusted influentials among the populace turn peoples’ thinking toward more vigilance and planning “Go & see” visits to, or “come & tell” survivor testimonials from, adjacent areas of conflict provide proof or persuasion of certain protection risks or opportunities “Bamboo telegraphs” (local systems of gathering news the world over) provide convincement of the need (or not) to mobilize Culture, experience, and trusted influentials mix in ethereal ways to</p>	<p>Millions save their lives by physically avoiding violence. Their tactics and strategies become safer and more effective with increased experience.</p> <p>It is <i>abnormal</i> for people to plan their own displacement, especially if habit, instinct and simple cues fail to detect that violence has reached a dangerous new threshold. For this reason,</p>

<p>persuade civilians that they have the cultivable capacities to survive</p>	<p>mental readiness is as vital as tactical preparedness. Credible leaders and facts can alter a mindset of complacency or denial and clear the way for serious planning. Chance favors the prepared mind.</p>
<p>Preparation (requires a skill set) Certain crisis skill sets are continually reinvented across cultures and epochs. Here is an incomplete and imperfect listing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information Communication Safe sites Safe movement Threat response <p>-----</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These skill sets comprise hundreds of optional tactics. They also are the building blocks of strategies like community policing, warning and flight. Though not the focus of this document, such skill sets also undergird civilian efforts in analogous situations, whether that of civil society brutally forced underground, or communities learning skills and making plans in advance of natural disaster. All these efforts reveal civilian inclinations and mastery in the face of deadly threats.</i> 	<p>Whether civilians respond to danger by staying or going; whether they react at a community, household or individual level; whether in response to machetes or monsoons, raids or rains—preparation always matters and certain strategies and tactics consistently seem to apply. Preparedness is largely about shortening the deadly learning curve.</p> <p>Many of the <u>optional</u> tactics listed below entail risk. On a case-by-case basis, people must decide the greater risk: getting systematically prepared for violence—or not?</p>
<p>Skills and tactics by which communities avoid violence</p>	
<p>Information</p> <p><i>Information gathering</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Radio: public service broadcasts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incident bulletins warning of areas to avoid; moderates urging calm, dispelling rumors, etc.; lost persons tracing hour; skits on situational awareness; commentary on the purpose of aid or peacekeeping missions; features on preparing ORS treatment, food security tips, contents of a flight kit; etc. Other mass media (print, cassettes, video) from leaders conveying calm or solidarity or instructions, etc., if advisable Discreet word-of-mouth networks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Classic grapevine or “bamboo telegraph” Paid informants Family member in armed group learns about its intentions and strategies, impending operations, death lists, etc. Travelers’ networks, especially merchants Discreet observation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor belligerents’ preparations, movements, placement of landmines, etc.) “Go & see” visits; “come & tell” survivor testimonials. Tapping groups with extraterritorial scope and mobility (national NGOs, INGOs, missionaries, etc.). Commercially available radio scanners Public domain satellite imagery (like Google Earth) confirm damage to Infrastructure, aid route planning, etc. Short and long-range patrolling or scouting. 	<p>Nothing is more essential to civilians amid violence than timely and accurate information. With it they may be forewarned of violence. They get life-saving advice, whether to quickly act or stay calmly in place. They learn of economic opportunity (labor, barter, lending, remittance). Information brought to those who are “cut off” brings comfort. It gets word of their suffering to the outside world. It enables the coordination required for what is often the safest asymmetrical response to violence: dispersed networks.</p> <p>Public service broadcasts can have limits and risks. Stations can be shut down; staff harmed. Seemingly benign messages on political/military situations can have unintended consequences. Word of a distribution can lead predators to their prey. Content needs to be carefully considered, and plans for mobile or remote broadcasting perhaps prepared.</p>

<p>Track or spoor recognition</p> <p><i>Information assessment</i></p> <p>Awareness of <i>priority</i> threat indicators</p> <p>Shifts in military behavior—changes in command, strength, and morale; alterations in patrol; movement of fresh equipment and supply; unusual intelligence activity; increases in garrison size, upgrading of roads or extension of outposts; laying more mines, etc.</p> <p>Shifts in political behavior—many indicators, but most often: rise of powers with dangerous backgrounds, passage of restrictive laws, vilification of supposed “enemies”, clamp down on media and civil society, etc.</p> <p>Cross-verify reports from varied sources</p> <p>Access first-hand accounts deemed reliable from conflict areas</p> <p>Access sources of news deemed reliable for interpretation of events</p> <p><i>Information protection</i></p> <p>Compartmentalization and need-to-know protocols</p> <p>Simple codes and other ways to reduce eavesdropping</p> <p>Cover stories</p> <p>Avoid infiltration</p> <p>Protocols to evaluate or interdict transients or newcomers</p> <p>Passwords to determine “friend or foe” when encountering others</p> <p>If apolitical informants spy due to intimidation or desperate need, consider if the threats they face can be lowered</p> <p>Populations segmented into close-knit groups are well-suited to detect attempted infiltration</p> <p><i>Disinformation</i></p> <p>Deterrent rumors of powerful patronage, rampant STDs, etc.</p> <p>Alternated time and/or place of market, school, and worship activities</p> <p>Fake documents, misleading communiqués, bogus landmine markers, false trail signs, and other ruses to keep civilians out of harm’s way</p> <p>False impression that properties are abandoned, pillaged or uninhabitable</p>	<p>Civilians need quick verification of micro-level events more than analysis of macro-level trends based on math and computer models. They need ongoing real-time facts about threats and must push that awareness from the edge of their residences as far out as possible.</p> <p>Outsiders can save lives by listening to civilians, then by sharing advice and experience (often based on lessons learned by <i>other civilians</i> in other conflicts.)</p> <p>Outsiders may help bolster local information strategies and structures—and if deemed risky, STOP THERE, leaving locals to draw their own conclusions and take their own actions.</p>
<p>Communication</p> <p>Paths of communication</p> <p><u>Lines</u>: kinship lines are most confidential communication nets</p> <p><u>Circles</u>: concentric circles of trusted contacts</p> <p><u>Cells</u>: nonhierarchical network with strict compartments</p> <p><u>Relays</u>: cross-factional cooperation; requires discreet “hand-off”</p> <p><u>Wired in</u>: outside watchdog groups wire warning in; need nexus with local communication networks</p> <p><u>Wired out</u>: alarm wired out to trigger prevention or intervention †</p> <p>Compartmentalization and need-to-know protocols</p> <p>Various forms of radio</p> <p>Basic operation</p> <p>Ways to avoid eavesdropping and jamming</p> <p>Operation of other telecom platforms, if feasible</p> <p>Internet, sat phone, mobile phone, Flickr, Twitter, Ushahidi, etc</p> <p>Off-the-grid mobile power sources (hand crank, foot pedal, truck battery</p>	<p>Chain of reporting that interlaces a safety net with a social web is particularly strong.</p> <p>Any ICT platform intended to aid local warning (are many now emerging) must be plugged into a willing and organized local response. If it is not, it may be as unhelpful as a blind airdrop onto an unprepared drop zone.</p> <p>† Alarm wired out often includes coordination with external responders (police, army, peacekeepers) that synchronizes first response by locals with follow-on response by outsiders.</p>

Pods, electricity kiosks, etc.) for telecom
Low-tech signaling (line-of-sight or range-of-hearing in relays: mirror, shuttered light, flags, fires, whistles, foghorn, burning tires, etc.)
Courier systems
Broken communication: families agree upon a specific newspaper and day of the month in which they can discreetly post their location if they have become hopelessly separated

Safe sites

Optimal locations; sites often chosen on basis of
 Secure ingress and secondary egress
 Reasonable access to life-critical sustenance
Optimal site formations
 Consolidated: when deterrence and communal action are priority
 Dispersed: when low-profile evasion is priority
 Networked: when low-profile and occasional communal action are priority
Observation or listening posts (along likely ground or air approaches)
Perimeter alert (manned but perhaps enhanced with tripwire alarms); concentric rings and relays
 Simple system of spotters and runners
Dogs for home alert and deterrence; perimeter tracking, etc.
Variable-range patrols (interdiction is an option decided by community)
Blast walls, trenches, pits, tunnels, caves, and bunkers
Improvised secondary/night shelters
Concealment; traceless encampment, crop colors and canopies that are less noticeable from the air
Avoid creating visible paths to settlement
Avoid being followed to settlement
Discipline with light, cook smoke, and noise (human and animal)
Discreet daily access to foraging, firewood, water, etc.
Early warning / response—evacuation plans practiced
[See: “Community policing”]

Safe movement

Advance relocation of slow-moving elderly or infirm
Optimal travel group size, formation, and composition
 Larger if aim is deterrence, smaller if aim is evasion
 May include males or armed individuals if aim is deterrence
Route selection
 Longer, more difficult route is often chosen
 Small party scouting of routes, especially just prior to transit
 Routes used on a frequent basis (commuting to work or sleep locations) are alternated
Cached food and medical supplies en route
Skills for living off the land (acquiring minimum of food, water, shelter)
Stolen or counterfeited forms, cards, and stamps for
 False identity that reduces harassment or harm
 False “safe passage” documents
Agreed-upon locations to reunite (rally points for individuals, families and subgroups)

Most often, movement through dangerous territory, whether amid evacuation or repatriation, is organized by civilians themselves.

<p>Map and grid coordinate reading and other forms of orienteering Off-road travel and night travel Day travel often includes cash for bribes Practice traversing difficult terrain Take advantage of inclement weather to move Blend in the terrain and avoid being tracked Noise discipline (silence, hand signals) Use the escape services of experienced smugglers/traffickers Landmine clues; retracing steps; marking, warning and informing Mobile phones to call in support Recognize layout of ambush and blocking points typical to combatants</p>	
<p>Threat response (<i>reaction “on contact”</i>) Lightweight evacuation supplies at the ready Rehearsed response to varied forms of bombardment or incoming fire Automatic sound alarm (megaphone, pyrotechnics, etc.) to disorient, delay, or deter lightly-armed night raiders Early warning / response—evacuation plans practiced [See: “Community policing”] Broken evacuation: fall back dispersal and regrouping plans (down to family level) Evading infantry sweeps or encirclement Rapid and semi-rapid hiding practices (nesting in ground cover or climbing trees; building blinds, spider holes, covers, camouflage, etc.) Delaying, decoying, or diverting hostile pursuit Recognizing and reacting to ambush sites Extrication from mined areas Skill in first aid and simple surgical fixes</p>	
<p>Community policing—early warning—early response (Draws on many of the building block skill sets cited above) Rudimentary patrolling, monitoring, reporting, community liaison, on-the-spot mediation, etc.: Codes of conduct Several models: <i>Unarmed</i> neighborhood watches, concerned citizen groups, crime prevention committees, and volunteer community police, etc. Analogous situations: <i>unarmed</i> civilian auxiliaries to undergrounds and resistance groups Different purposes / responses: Warning wired to conflict prevention mechanisms, civil society and “duty bearers” Warning wired up and out to “rescuers” Warning wired to community [tripwire: See “Community flight”] Warning wired to armed community members (home defense) or armed patrons [see “Arming”]</p>	<p><i>Community policing has a very long history, elements of which can be found in most traditions across the world. UNHCR and aid NGOs have fostered it in many conflict settings.</i></p> <p>The ability of such groups must be matched to the threats they are policing against. Outsiders at times think such action entails whistles and wearing tee-shirts; or fences and white flags. In reality, they often need upgraded skills for coping not just with lawlessness—but with war.</p> <p>Warning wired to external duty-bearers or rescuers too rarely yields an effective response.</p>
<p>Community flight Unplanned flight Planned flight—triggered by attack and early warning tripwire Planned flight—preemptive self-displacement, absent an direct attack</p>	<p>Many suffer human and material losses in <i>unplanned</i> flight—and, if they could “go back in time,” would surely plan ahead. This affirms the whole concept of</p>

(The last two draw on many of the building block skill sets cited above)

preparedness support.
Planned flight does not denigrate civilians or aid belligerents. It can help civilians retain some control and deny belligerents conscripts and contraband.



Note: *Within such efforts by communities to avoid violence (above) can be nested efforts by or on behalf of at-risk individuals to avoid violence (below).*

Many choose to stay in place despite great physical risks. The reason is that in flight one can lose many existing securities—and be exposed to new dangers.

Skills and tactics by which individuals avoid violence

Women

- Discuss locations and situations to avoid
 - Situational awareness/planned threat response: homes, fields, roads
- Consolidate housing, within cultural norms and economic dictates (Might deter smaller assaults in which GBV is opportunistic)
 - Family home extensions or community compounds
- Disperse or hide housing, within cultural norms and economic dictates (Might foil larger assaults in which GBV is strategic)
- Men take on women's work roles inasmuch as cultural norms and work needs allow
- Reduce nonessential movement
- Optimal travel group size, formation, and composition (See above)
- Deterrent escorts while in transit
- Optimize or alter resource gathering to lessen exposure to danger
 - Build water points at closer or more optimal locations
 - Increase water catchment
 - Buy firewood; use less wood (cook stoves, food w/ less cook time)
- Shift towards livelihoods that lessen exposure to danger
- Women's microenterprise mobile telephony doubles as communications net for safety purposes
- Change appearance
 - Dress and walk as a male or an old woman
 - Cut hair to resemble a male
 - Hold someone's baby so as to appear not a virgin
 - Be unattractive—dirty one's face, wear foul-smelling clothes; persuade attacker one is menstruating, has a rash, etc.
- Fabricate rumors of rampant STDs
- Sexual relationships with male "protectors"
- Stockpile post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) kits to neutralize HIV infection and pregnancy resulting from rape
- Discuss dilemmas of sexual violence so as to reduce *secondary assault* visited upon victims: social rejection, economic marginalization, etc.
 - Discuss any culturally grounded ways to reduce stigma
 - Prepare local nets to immediately assist victims of sexual violence
- Prepare community contacts/nets for widows
- Women participate in community policing, support peacekeeper operations, inform the design of camp layout, etc., thereby reducing incidence of gender-based violence

Gender-based violence occurs in differing contexts each of which shape steps for avoidance. GBV attempted by a few lightly armed me might be foiled by communal work and housing arrangements. But GBV attempted by larger armed groups opportunistically amid attacks on the community calls for women's precautions nested within larger community preparedness.

<p>Women may bring unique advantages to diffusing tense situations and (re)building trust across factions</p>	
<p>Children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preemptively relocate to safer areas, often with kin Develop situational awareness; discuss situations and locations to avoid <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Learn the habits of recruiters, traffickers, etc. Discourage nonessential movement; discuss smart movement School as a safety focal point: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use safe movement practices in transiting home and school Teachers impart basic safety messages at school Waive school fees to keep more children in school and out of danger Add income generation into school to keep more children attending Establish alternative sites: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hidden classrooms, worship services, sleeping shelters, etc. Agree upon what to do and where to meet if separated Make identification bracelets for infants and children, if advisable Prepare community contacts/nets to do temporary adoption Discuss the purpose of peace operations in the region and of mandates that they may have to protect children Raise landmine awareness Discuss dilemmas of abduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss forced soldiering and killing, mental and spiritual survival, family and community forgiveness, escape, etc. 	<p>Much is known about the unique vulnerabilities <i>and capacities</i> of women and children in conflict. Their experiences as conscripts, voluntary or not, prove their ability to partake in violence—which in turn prove their tactical ability to prepare for and avoid violence.</p>
<p>Young (military-age) men</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If duties like travel to market expose men to suspicion, conscription, etc., women may take their place Communication net to warn of recruitment sweeps Fein disability; appear unsuitable for conscription Men dress as women 	
<p>Elderly & infirm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preemptively relocate vulnerable or slow-moving individuals Elders with previous crisis experience advise others on ways of improving safety and attaining life-critical sustenance Older men and women at times hold social stature that enables them to intercede and diffuse violence 	
<p>Exposed leaders, service providers, activists</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Though physically alone, raise profile (if advisable) in media, in the advocacy of local and global civil society, INGOs, etc. Conversely, lower profile (if advisable) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lay low, blend in Low-profile operations [see Life-Critical Services] Seek backing of powerful patrons Safe houses (single or network) and alternate sleeping quarters Alternate identity documents Adequate communications at residence Standard precautions for movement/commuting Use the escape services of experienced smugglers/traffickers 	<p>Exposed leaders include those in formal public or institutional structures (elected officials, civil society figures), professionals like doctors, teachers, religious leaders, or others who stand up for their people. Outside support of such dynamic leaders fosters their self-awareness, skills and activism and often contributes to positive change in society and governance.</p> <p>Self-awareness and activism can, however, <i>also</i> increase leaders' vulnerability. Engagement means exposure. Outsiders do</p>

<p>Proactive information-collection on threats such as bogus arrest warrants, road blocks, death lists, etc.</p>	<p>not often foresee unintended consequences; we seldom help leaders brace for reprisal. Yet with a false sense of security in our presence and imprimatur, they delay preparation for their own survival.</p>
--	--



Affinity Groups	
------------------------	--

Protective social units and networks

<p><i>Stay together, pull together</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan for keeping the group intact in the face of pending violence Plan for regrouping if involuntarily separated by violence Plan for staying linked even while <i>voluntarily</i> separated (a very common method of pursuing safety, sustenance, and services) Plan for safety of exposed leaders who are lynchpins of affinity groups Resist the fear and hate mongering that demagogues use to fabricate new affinity groups based on violence toward others; friends/neighbors <i>across the new communal divide</i> agree to risk sheltering one another Reinforce spiritual strength, solidarity, and dignity Collaborate for safety <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primordial groups and networks of affinity provide safe refuge when governments, institutions and society are polarized or atomized by mass violence Collaborate for sustenance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This social architecture undergirds innumerable strategies for obtaining and sharing life-critical sustenance Collaborate for services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> These units and networks are distribution pathways for life-critical services and the primal motivation to serve others regardless of risk 	<p>Affinity groups save the lives of millions. They become safer and more effective with increased experience.</p> <p>Affinity groups are households, social nets, customary subgroups and communities apart from formal state or institutional structures. Such social units and networks are often as important to survival as material resources; often civilians' first asylum, first line of protection.</p> <p>Many survivors attest that, more than anything else, spiritual strength and sense of solidarity enabled them to endure.</p> <p>Kin relations and social ties can exclude marginal groups. They may condone discrimination by gender, age, faith, caste, etc. Such affinity groups may even foster communal violence, a risk that any outside agency must be aware of and avoid.</p> <p>IDPs forced into cities, urbanites forced into the countryside, and returnees back from long absences often do not benefit from such relations or ties.</p>
--	---



Useful ties to patronage powers

<p><i>Patrons intercede with threatening powers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Church, mosque, monastery, etc. provides buffer Business sector and threatening powers foster calm for profit's sake <p><i>Seek patronage—perhaps for a price</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activate or create these lines of support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partial list: appeal or censure based on cultural norms of obligation; intermarry into patron lines; curry favor with threatening power, etc. Seek safety <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patron provides life-saving armed deterrence. The trade-off might 	<p>Patron's motives based on social unit or social contract can range from altruistic to paternalistic and calculated. Benevolence may be principled—or conditional.</p> <p>For civilians who may otherwise face violence alone, a potential patron does not need to be pretty. Patrons can be self-aggrandizing, unelected, autocratic—and can exact a price for their support. It is a calculation that belongs to the civilians themselves.</p>
---	--

<p>be obligation to support the patron’s armed activity</p> <p>Seek sustenance Patron provides life-critical sustenance to those in need. As in a classic lord-serf relationship, trade-off might be deeper indebtedness</p> <p>Seek services Patron provides or pays for life-critical services</p>		<p>Proximity to power is inequitable—some in society have more useful ties than others.</p> <p>Quid pro quo for winning patronage might be too harmful.</p> <p>Affiliation with the power may change to liability.</p>
Armed Groups		
<p><i>Safety through arms</i></p> <p>Note: this document emphasizes <i>alternatives</i> to armed action</p> <p>Civilians carry personal arms</p> <p>Civilians lay landmines outside their communities</p> <p>Communities form self-defense groups †</p> <p>Civilians or communities gain protection through payment, liaison, or allegiance with an armed group</p>		<p>† Geneva Conventions state “Civil defense organizations have humanitarian tasks... that must be respected and protected. They are intended to protect the civilian population against the dangers of hostilities... and to ensure the conditions necessary for its survival [such as] warning, evacuations, shelters, rescue, public services, etc. ... the carrying of light individual weapons by civilian personnel for the purpose of maintaining order and for self-defense [is] not considered a harmful act.”²</p> <p>Armed activity carries obvious deadly risks.</p>

LIFE-CRITICAL SUSTENANCE ^a

Vital Influences

Policies, institutions, and processes

Engage forces that affect access to assets and choice of strategies

- Advocate government support for land tenure, food and agricultural subsidies, labor standards, etc.
- Protest harmful private banking practices
- Use traditional mechanisms to resolve dispute over resources
- Challenge customs disallowing to women to accrue wealth or property
- Reach accommodation with rival communities in resource disputes
- Appeal to authorities about land grabbing
- Demand police protect roads and markets
- Cut deals with threatening powers to remove restrictions on livelihood
- Use personal ties to win exemption from illicit taxation
- Make deals with middlemen who can assume risks of market activity (i.e. black marketers, or entrepreneurs from a non-persecuted group; can include doing business with the “enemy”- a fairly common type of social “capital” or “bridging”)

Emergency livelihood studies reveal a lot about *formal* and *informal* environments that often govern civilian choices amid conflict. Much has little to do with technical aspects of food production or income generation. Measures cited here are *only a limited sampling*.

As law and order decline, such engagement very often proves ineffective or too dangerous.

Conservation and Cushioning

Household consumption and expenditure

Adjust to reduced production, income, and market access

- Alter consumption
 - Eat fewer meals, watered-down meals (this might include deliberate unequal impacts per age and gender)
 - Turn to foods that are or more safely attainable or affordable
 - Inventive recipes and processes for food preparation
 - Greater reliance on foraged foods and medicines
 - Keep children malnourished to qualify for to feeding programs
- Reduce expenditure
 - Buy cheaper items or forgo nonessential purchases
 - Sell/trade high-value foods for larger quantity of cheaper foods
 - Send household members to eat elsewhere
 - Triage spending cuts outside of food security (i.e. education, etc.)
- Delay expenditure
 - Purchase on credit
- Reduce investment
 - Postpone deferrable improvements on real property
 - Purchase fewer inputs (fertilizer, veterinary care, etc.)
 - Forgo terracing, weeding, etc. when too dangerous
- Other adjustments

Household adjustments can *delay more dangerous choices*. Those cited here are only a limited sampling.

There are limits to what can be squeezed from household-level asset management.

There are longer-term costs to financial and human capital in some of these measures.

Humanitarians may view cuts and conservation as retrograde. Our focus is **forward** on ‘*post conflict*’, ‘*developmental relief*’ and ‘*early recovery*’. We may be aware that the future might hold more violence, yet still promote development-type activity as being “protective” because it restores production, inventory, and income—thus a “cushion” against more shocks.

^a This refers not to “barely making a living” but to barely staying alive. Data overwhelmingly shows that during conflict, direct violence is far less of a risk than the indirect effects of violence such as the collapse of *life-critical sustenance* and *services*. Only 0.4% of the millions of deaths across DR Congo from were attributed directly to violence. In conflicts the world over, the majority die **preventable** deaths due to the disruptions caused by violence (which to some extent can be anticipated and **prepared** for). This means millions die away from the shooting.

<p>Recycle resources of every kind Conserve water and wood (efficient stoves, food w/ less cook time) Sell assets for food Pool assets and spread out risk within affinity groups Postpone or accelerate marriages depending upon the dowry delayed or accrued; new couples postpone living independently</p> <p><i>Attempt to rebuild cushion of production and income</i> As conditions may allow, attempt typical development activity to help rebuild a life-critical buffer, most especially in terms of food security</p>	<p>But amid chronic instability, our efforts to help locals restore livelihoods and assets as they existed prior to conflict might be a <i>maladapted</i> response. People may be better served by “conflict-resistant” livelihood strategies and assets—ones that withstand a slide backward.</p>
<p>Additional Strategies and Assets</p>	
<p><i>Adjust income activity</i> Change time of work Do more agricultural work at night Change location of work Move services from store front to back room Make sale of wares mobile or discreet Change currency of work Move from unstable paper currency to barter items</p>	
<p><i>Subsistence agriculture (and gardening)</i> Farming and animal husbandry for self-sufficiency, though not necessarily full autarky Agricultural methods like dibble sticking, hoe farming, slash and burn, shifting cultivation, intensive gardening, etc.</p>	<p>This activity saves the lives of millions. It becomes safer and more effective with increased experience.</p> <p>Subsistence farming and gardening tend to be more “conflict-resistant” because they do not rely on systems or inputs disrupted by conflict like cash transactions, markets, trucking, storage, fertilizer, veterinary services, and more. Subsistence is also a tactical step: non-market sustenance is acquired at scattered and discreet locations, reducing the incidence of predation.</p>
<p><i>Foraging</i> Forage for food items Gathering, hunting, fishing Forage for natural products that are marketable Salvage foods left in fields, valuables left in conflict zones (may or may not belong to the salvager)</p>	<p>This activity saves the lives of millions. It becomes safer and more effective with increased experience. It is also more effective when people are still in customary areas about which they are most knowledgeable.</p>
<p><i>Diversifying or substituting</i> Rental or day/wage labor arrangements that free one up from ownership <i>Note: Ownership ties one to a place (like a farm), to a process (like marketing),</i></p>	

<p><i>and to visible assets—all of which are vulnerable amid violence</i></p> <p>Livelihood and marketing that entail less exposure to risky travel</p> <p>Shorter harvest cycle crops that improves the chance of getting food out of the ground and sold or cached more quickly</p> <p>Livestock that is more mobile</p> <p>Livestock that is hardier; more adapted to ecology where one is fleeing</p> <p>Livestock that is less ‘unappealing’ to looters</p> <p>New seed stocks adapted to ecology where one is fleeing</p> <p>Service skills, especially those meeting needs actually spurred by conflict, that pose a portable and profitable interim livelihood</p> <p>Remote and diverse locales, new caching techniques, livestock holdings that are more difficult targets</p>	<p>Civilians often seek livelihood alternatives that both meet their minimum needs and also are less exposed or inviting, are elusive or quickly mobile, are well-adapted to new conditions, and may capitalize on economic needs generated by conflict.</p>
<p>Emergency movement</p> <p>Shorter-term commuting</p> <p>The “commute” may be predicated upon safety in a shadow settlement or aid camp at night and movement to work sites by day.</p> <p>The commute may be daily or much less frequent, as dictated by agricultural cycle and safety concerns</p> <p>Deliberate flight / evacuation / displacement</p>	<p>These activities save the lives of millions. They become safer and more effective with increased experience.</p>
<p>Emergency separation</p> <p>Able-bodied family members leave the home area to pursue earnings that can be sent back to the family</p> <p>Able-bodied family members stay behind and continue working and watching the family’s assets, while the other members are sent to safer or better-provisioned locales</p>	
<p>Social networks</p> <p>Social norms and structures of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shared resources Shared financial risk Collective laboring Hosting traditions Kinship exchange / reciprocity processes Indigenous social welfare mechanisms (for more “institutional” mechanisms see section on Life-Critical Services) 	<p>These networks save the lives of millions and become more effective with increased experience.</p> <p>These networks become exhausted under prolonged stress, especially without advance planning.</p>
<p>Money networks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personal borrowing Commercial borrowing (banks, merchants) Locally pooled money for conflict-induced emergencies Remittances Remittance systems are protected against disruption by <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying fallback cash transfer agents Planning alternate carrier/courier systems Rebuild communications, as in mobile phone systems Identifying default remittance destinations Keeping necessary documents Finding proxies to do transactions 	<p>These activities save the lives of millions. They become safer and more effective with increased experience.</p> <p>Remittances exceed all private flows of investment and official development assistance and are countercyclical in that diasporas give more just when aid agencies, donors, and investors withdraw due to imminent crisis.</p> <p>Borrowing may be a maladapted response if it leads to harmful indebtedness.</p>

External relief

[For locally led relief, see section Life-Critical Services]

This activity saves the lives of millions—when civilians can access it. Sometimes they must first survive alone for months or years and then run a deadly gauntlet to reach foreign aid.

External relief becomes a maladapted response if it leads to debilitating dependency.



Foreign relief operations frequently shut down in the face of danger when they are most needed.

Pay offs

Pay fees, fines, taxes, or bribes in order to pursue livelihood activities unmolested

Buying off local enforcers assures the survival of many.

Buying off local enforcers can leech war mobilization policy. Strategies to strip civilian assets (food, labor, supply) are sapped when, for a bribe, soldiers or political cadres do not enforce demands for contraband and conscripts. This means less fuel for conflict.



Another view holds that pay offs can reinforce the violence and corruption afflicting a society. This would hold true when extortion benefits a violent spoiler as opposed to a simple unpaid soldier.

Shadow & Coping economies

- All the above arguably are actions in the coping economy. More are cited below. There are many ways to frame this. Wartime economies operate at varied connected levels with varied but overlapping actors. The tipping point between licit and illicit, or sustainable and unsustainable, is often unclear. Never—even in a failed state—has everything failed. Economic functions get reinvented; every need gets commoditized. Some profit while others more downstream stay barefoot—but alive. As used here, “petty” means subsistence level and “larger-scale” refers to something more syndicated and profitable.

Marginal production: crafts, bricks, charcoal, etc. ⇒ grain alcohol

Registration for relief aid ⇒ multiple (bogus) registrations

Consumption of relief aid ⇒ unauthorized sale of relief aid

Petty, small-scale resource extraction ⇒ larger-scale resource extraction

Petty, small-scale smuggling ⇒ larger-scale smuggling

Petty trade in undeclared (cross-border) goods ⇒ larger-scale trade

Hoarding ⇒ price speculation and rate gouging

Petty corruption ⇒ larger-scale corruption

Begging

⇒ Prostitution; exposure to STDs/AIDS

⇒ Cultivation of outlawed products (poppy, etc.)

⇒ Drug dealing

Violent exploitation

⇒ Protection rackets

These activities save the lives of millions. They become safer and more effective with increased experience.


Wartime economy experts often stress that distinctions should be made between those who violently profit from conflict and those trying to survive amid it. This distinction is rarely found in the aid community which takes a rather “hands off” view of the shadow and coping economies. But as Fred Cuny noted, “In many situations, understanding and manipulating market forces can be far more important and effective than classic relief operations.”³



Some of these actions are illicit or innately harmful to oneself or others, others can become maladapted to the point of harm. They are indicated by “⇒” here.

<p>⇒ Armed checkpoint taxation</p> <p>⇒ Compulsory labor</p>	
<p>Remote & cross-border markets</p> <p>Organize jungle or bush markets or trading sites</p> <p>Commute cross border to safe markets</p>	
<p>Strip and Transfer Assets ^b</p>	
<p>Redeeming</p> <p>Collect debts or rent due</p> <p>Withdraw savings, loans, or shares locked up in an enterprise</p>	<p>Anything withdrawn/divested can later be re-deposited and reinvested.</p> <p>Unless done carefully, such action can affect the solvency of institutions and stir panic.</p>
<p>Caching</p> <p>Food surpluses (esp. salted, smoked, or dried foods), medicines, shelter sheeting, seed stock, cash, documents, and valuables hidden near probable flight routes and rally points</p>	
<p>Liquidating</p> <p>Assets are converted into portable or concealable currency such as small amounts of gold, silver, or jewelry</p> <p>Assets are converted (whether sold, pawned, or put up as collateral) for cash that is mailed, wired, or electronically transferred to safe repositories or to first responders in one's affinity network</p>	<p>Amid violence, physical assets can be a liability. So too, <i>in countless ways survival can be bought</i>. Liquidating assets is thus an extremely common tactic. Indeed, civilians often liquidate the commodities that aid agencies give them for the sake of safety, mobility, and discretionary pay-offs.</p> <p>The predatory instincts of buyers can be aroused by "distress sales" (a hallmark of forced removal) resulting in prices depressed by having a lot of the same kinds of possessions and properties on the market at the same time.</p>
<p>Dismantling</p> <p>Strip, bury, sell or haul roofing and other building materials</p>	
<p>Temporarily forfeiting</p> <p>In anticipation of needing to flee, civilians secure any documentation (titles, deeds, birth certificates, identity cards, etc.) that will later help them reclaim their land or fixed properties</p>	

^b Today's "emergency livelihoods" discourse stresses that overt ownership of assets can *increase* one's vulnerability. Thus in many "conflicts" (often better described as asset-stripping enterprises), civilians try to strip first, converting or transferring their assets. Affinity groups usually serve as "first responders" amid crises. Transferring assets to this support network has multiple benefits. First, it protects family wealth. Second, it removes resources that actually invite attack and harm. Third, it keeps that wealth out of the hands of criminals and belligerents, giving less encouragement and strength to their asset stripping; less fuel for the fire. Fourth, it puts those resources into the hands of trusted first responders, strengthening that network. This is vital because such nets often become exhausted, thus requiring displaced persons to make dangerous secondary and tertiary flights.

	problems that could have been anticipated.
<p>Scorching</p> <p>Dissuade belligerents, violent marauders, or squatters by damaging or destroying one's own property. This is done after: a feasible level of stripping, dismantling, and salvaging, and alternate arrangements for safety, sustenance, and shelter</p> <p>Dissuade belligerents, violent marauders, or squatters by creating the impression that property is <i>uninhabitable</i>. This might be done by fabricating: bogus landmine markers (known only to the community), the illusion of poisoned wells or water points, rumors of curses (potent in many places) or diseases, the fiction of armed backers, etc</p> 	<p>Civilians who perceive that their properties are drawing violence closer to them will at times destroy them. The fact (or the appearance) of having already been burned out and looted sometimes prevents deeper harm to life and livelihood.</p> <p>Destruction of key assets and voluntary displacement carry clear challenges and risks.</p>

LIFE-CRITICAL SERVICES ^c	
	Conventional Service Delivery
<p>Conventional staff security</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptance Protection Deterrence 	<div style="background-color: #90ee90; padding: 5px;">Local providers of relief or welfare services have often survived due to these strategies.</div> <div style="background-color: #f08080; padding: 5px;">Yet this “security triangle” of strategies frequently fails to safeguard them. Though outside agencies often assume otherwise, local providers are guaranteed neither local acceptance nor enhanced protection because of their ties and knowledge. Being local can even create risks.</div>
<p>Conventional engagement to win access</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Negotiate, advocate, attempt media pressure to win consent for work Tap protective social units and networks Tap ties to threatening powers Make tolerable pay-offs 	<div style="background-color: #f08080; padding: 5px;">These strategies, though often successful, have their limits.</div>
	Low-profile Service Delivery
<p><i>When conventional service delivery becomes too dangerous, local providers sometimes adopt tactics of anonymity and avoidance in order to continue helping their people. Sometimes, as our local staff or partners, they even do this with our support when we are forced to pull out and work through them remotely. Locally led low profile service delivery has won praise in several settings. The challenges it faces stem not from the strategy per se, but from the tactics chosen. Such work can be safer and more efficient; such operations can have both a low profile and popular acceptance.</i></p>	<div style="background-color: #add8e6; padding: 5px;">These activities save the lives of millions. They become safer and more effective with increased experience.</div> <div style="background-color: #90ee90; padding: 5px;">Foreign agencies outsource risky work to local staff or partners via “remote management”. Tactics for low-profile service delivery such as cited here can help that work become safer.</div> <div style="background-color: #f08080; padding: 5px;">Clearly, low-profile work can be labeled subversive, and local providers do often try to assure their security by being wholly transparent with and subordinate to parties in a conflict. This document deals instead with those times when openness will either get them killed or end any meaningful aid. In such situations, they must be able to survive violence before they can serve amid it.</div>

^c This refers to services addressing killers like disease and malnutrition. Data overwhelmingly shows that during conflict, direct violence is far less of a risk than the indirect effects of violence such as the collapse of *life-critical sustenance and services*. Only 0.4% of the millions of deaths across DR Congo from were attributed directly to violence. In conflicts the world over, the majority die **preventable** deaths due to the disruptions caused by violence (which to some extent can be anticipated and **prepared** for). This means millions die away from the shooting.

Information

Information gathering

Awareness of *priority* threat indicators

Shifts in military behavior—changes in command, strength, and morale; alterations in patrol; movement of fresh equipment and supply; unusual intelligence activity; increases in garrison size, upgrading of roads or extension of outposts; laying more mines, etc.
Shifts in political behavior—many indicators, but most often: rise of powers with dangerous backgrounds, passage of restrictive laws, vilification of supposed “enemies”, clamp down on media and civil society, etc.

Shifts in treatment of aid workers— increased surveillance or harassment of service delivery, changes in the application or interpretation of law as it affects such work

Discreet word-of-mouth networks

Social units and networks

Paid informants

Close coordination with trusted influentials among the populace on security updates, needs assessments, details of upcoming distributions or services, monitoring and evaluation

Commercially available radio scanners

Public domain satellite imagery (like Google Earth) confirm damage to Infrastructure, aid route planning, etc.

Discreet observation

Foster constant situational awareness in every worker

Information assessment (and ‘actionability’)

Cross-verify reports from varied sources

Access first-hand accounts deemed reliable from conflict areas

Access sources of news deemed reliable for interpretation of events

Anticipate the scenario(s) which an assessment might yield;
proactively consider and build staff consensus for actionable response(s) even before a critical threshold is crossed

Information protection

Compartmentalization

Need-to-know protocols; physical separation of certain information, operations and staff

Be aware of surveillance; take steps to co-opt or avoid it

Document safety

Burn rubbish; have most sensitive exchanges in person rather than on paper; minimize paper trails on projects, purchases, etc., watch for signs of illicit entry or tampering at offices; computers under lock and key; password access; files encrypted, file backups stored off-site; removable media; clean disk security; anti-virus and firewall software; etc.

Safeguard identities of staff and beneficiaries

Confidentiality:

for work with victims of abuse and sources of sensitive information

As Macrae and Leader say, accurate information on conflict is “a precondition for effective and principled humanitarian action.”⁴

Safeguarding information is especially important in aid agencies that politically sensitive activity such as human rights, civil society, good governance, rule of law, ending impunity, and social justice.

Mary Anderson notes “strategies for delivering aid secretly thwart thieves’ need for knowledge.”⁵

Phillipe Le Billon adds “keeping the time and location of delivery secret can reduce opportunity for looting. Risks can be displaced by publicly announcing a food convoy in a different location.”⁶

Cover stories

Avoid infiltration

Be aware of predatory efforts to learn/abuse operational details, or political efforts to discredit the organization

Do more detailed vetting of possible new hires

If apolitical informants act due to intimidation or desperate need, consider if the threats they face can be lowered

Base hiring decisions partly on affinity ties—family, tribal, party or other connections—while retaining checks on abuse

Disinformation

Distributions or services onto a mobile or irregular or unannounced basis with advance notice limited to the parties needed to assure its effectiveness

Manipulate information such as planting errant reports, fake rumors, forged documents, maps with misleading marks, or phony radio orders

Communication

A layered mix of low-to-high tech communications, as appropriate

Prioritize equipment that is suitable for a discreet and mobile workplace and train on it far enough in advance

Simple sources of power off the electrical grid

Need-to-know only protocols

Keep communications undetected, undeciphered, or deniable

Flat, cell-based contact networks apt for a discrete and dispersed workplace

The most effective networks are often built on groups of affinity

Safe movement

Replace recognizable vehicles with private or commercial transport and alternate the chosen transport

Replace vehicles with pack animals for off-track travel

Route selection

Longer, more difficult route is often chosen

Routes used on a frequent basis (workers' commute, delivery routes) are alternated

Small party scouting of routes, especially just prior to transit

Cache supplies closer to intended distribution or service sites so that transit to these sites is less of a logistical or security challenge

Master off-road travel and night travel

Includes traversing difficult terrain; taking advantage of inclement weather to move

Take full advantage of surprise and *initiative*; of choosing when, where, and how to move and keeping dangerous groups off-balance

Use multi-faction networks “to orchestrate *relays* where goods, equipment, sensitive information, cash or medical cases are handed off from one cell or staff member to another... so as to get safely from one place to another.”⁷ This enables service “reach” across a landscape of patchwork loyalties.

Mobile phones to call in support

Threat response

Automatic response to varied forms of bombardment or incoming fire
Skill in first aid and simple surgical fixes

Threats at a “workplace”

“Workplace” can be downsized and a workforce dispersed. Response thus may focus more on evasive measures for individuals than on evacuation plans for entire organizations [See *Deconstruction*]
Fallback plans for when a portion of operating network is compromised
Lightweight evacuation supplies at the ready
Protocols for destroying records, moving or disabling equipment, etc
Anticipate confiscation of financial assets—have back up plans ready

Threats during movement (beyond the Safe movement options cited above)

Recognizing and reacting to ambush sites
Recognizing and reacting to mined areas
Evasive driving techniques
Delaying, decoying, or diverting hostile pursuit

Threats on contact with aid recipients

Build strong coordination with trusted influentials in the populace
Such coordination does not require public visibility
Select location least likely to draw unwanted attention
Select commodities least likely to draw unwanted attention



Classic “truck and chuck” forays can risk unsafe, inefficient, inequitable distribution of aid. The ground should be better prepared for such hit and run aid.

Deconstruction (Safe sites)

Downgrade identity

Stop branding; furl flags and remove magnetic sign logos when wise
Ditch or falsify identification
Obscure agency’s paper (and funding) trail
Have media outreach, but operations leave no footprint
Through intermediary, inform belligerents of general mission, so as to convey respect and avert misjudgments about the mission
Reveal agency’s identity only at distribution or service times
Give all credit for their work to “more acceptable” partners
Discreetly co-locate with another, more accepted entity
Work under pseudonyms or take on the appearance of a different type of organization
“Partial disclosure” of their work to trusted, influential actors only
Go into periods of “hibernation”
Publicly close an agency then quietly reconstitute it with no legal personality or authorization
Publicly conduct programs that belligerents will tolerate, while privately aiding groups most at risk

All of these tactics of selective transparency have been used in Iraq—some by UN and major aid agencies through their local proxies

We often equate presence with “visibility”, and transparency with “acceptability”. But there are many shades between visible and invisible, thus more choices than these false dichotomies would imply. Also, historical analogies show overwhelmingly that overt visibility is not needed by a movement to ensure its acceptance from and coordination with a population

Downsize infrastructure

Shift from offices to homes; from warehouses to innocuous buildings
Rotate “office” locations
Use portable gear for computing (laptops?) and communications (sat-phones?)
Conversely, adopt/adapt simpler, cheaper technologies
Replace expensive standing motor pool with an assemblage of porters, pack animals, carts, or contracted or private motor vehicles to provide

The main attraction and objective of attacks on aid often is its *properties* not its personnel. The prime targets are offices, motor pools and warehouses. Fortunately, these features of our aid “footprint” can be downsized, dispersed, or done away with.

ad-hoc transport that can get a distribution done and then melt away	
<p><i>Disperse, monetize, or outsource supplies</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cache equipment and supplies Scatter warehouses Aim for last minute local acquisition and quick distribution so that supplies do not sit for long Break large distributions into smaller ones Use cash transfers, commodity coupons, or promissory note systems in lieu of commodities Monetize commodities with merchants Outsource supply distribution 	<p>Careful protocols make cash a more elusive target than commodities. Practice shows cash is used wisely by recipients and can stimulate local markets</p> <p>Voucher, coupon, and outsourcing arrangements with merchants reduce aid's logistical tail and turn shops into "aid warehouses". To the extent that practices are privatized and localized, aid operations may become more conflict resistant</p>
<p><i>Disperse staff</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small groups independently work and resources themselves—but also converge for scaled up joint action Need-to-know protocols might have staff aware of the identities of only a limited number of colleagues Prepare staff mentally for arrest, imprisonment, and physical abuse 	<p>In Iraq "a wide range of [service providers employ an] in-house network of cells or individuals that work independently when staff mobility is poor, but interdependently when it improves"⁸ Moreover, the collapse of one cell does not compromise the whole network.</p> <p>Staff dispersal is not just a matter of physical geography but social geography as well; not just an issue of their safety but <i>also</i> of leveraging the trust they exercise within varied segments of the populace. This refers again to networks of affinity. Trust is the password to access, especially in conflicts that are communally, militarily, or geographically fragmented.</p>
<p><i>Disperse beneficiaries</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discourage consolidation of beneficiary populations, especially if it has not yet occurred and is not absolutely warranted for safety purposes 	<p>i.e. Both WFP and CARE have decentralized operations when the threats of attack and looting existed, dispersing beneficiaries, convoys, and distribution areas.⁹</p> <p>Experience shows dispersion of beneficiaries can at times be managed and sustained, as it promotes more local integration and self-sufficiency. Efforts toward autonomy create wise economies that may offset whatever is lost in classic camp "economy of scale".</p>
<p><i>Delegate work</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify entities that might be in a stronger position to do service delivery and seek a partnership or transfer of responsibility. In past conflicts, the more capable entity often proved to be <ul style="list-style-type: none"> mosques, in Iraq clan-based networks, in Somalia private contractors, in Uganda civil society groups, in the Philippines community-based organizations, in El Salvador welfare wings of a reliable armed party in Eritrea and Burma 	<p>Service providers in the mold of an "aid" or "welfare" agency need to know their limits—and the relative strengths of other possible vehicles for service delivery.</p>

ENDNOTES

¹ *Our World. Views From the Field, Summary Report: Afghanistan, Colombia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Georgia, Haiti, Lebanon, Liberia, and the Philippines*, Ipsos/ICRC, Geneva, November, 2009; pp. 45-46.

² Additional Protocol I, Section I, item 7, Part IV, *Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War*, Convention IV of 12 August 1949.

³ Karen Kwaitkowski, *Jay Garner's Missing Link*, LewRockwell.com; April 14, 2003. Found at w.lewrockwell.printthis.clickability.com

⁴ Joanna Macrae and Nicholas Leader, *Shifting Sands: The Search for 'Coherence' between Political and Humanitarian Responses to Complex Emergencies*, Humanitarian Policy Group, Overseas Development Institute, HPG Report 8, August 2000; p. 45.

⁵ Mary B. Anderson and Marshall Wallace, "Challenges for Food Aid in Conflict Situations", *Hunger Notes*, found at w.worldhunger.org/articles/global/armedconflict/Anderson.htm; p. 2.

⁶ Philippe Le Billon (with Joanna Macrae, Nick Leader and Roger East), *The Political Economy of War: What Relief Agencies Need to Know*, Humanitarian Practice Network, Network Paper 33, July 2000; p. 35.

⁷ Greg Hansen, *Briefing Paper #2: Operational Modalities in Iraq*, one of a series of briefing papers on NGOs' and others' humanitarian operational modalities in Iraq, NGO Coordination Committee in Iraq, January 2008; p. 3.

⁸ Greg Hansen, *Briefing Paper #2: Operational Modalities in Iraq*, one of a series of briefing papers on NGOs' and others' humanitarian operational modalities in Iraq, NGO Coordination Committee in Iraq, January 2008; p. 4.

⁹ Susanne Jaspars, *Solidarity and Soup Kitchens: A Review of Principles and Practice for Food Distribution in Conflict*, Overseas Development Institute, Humanitarian Policy Group Report 7, August, 2000; esp. pages 3, 8, 12, 25, 27 and 37.