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## 1. Geology

### 1.1. Field Methods

The bedrock geology and geomorphology of the landscape surrounding Cueva del Chiquihuite, and the cave-floor deposits, which had been previously partially excavated, were examined and interpreted in November, 2017, by C.G. Oviatt. Observations of the bedrock at the cave were quantified by measurements of bedding attitude using a Brunton compass, and major faults, masses of brecciated bedrock and associated structural features, were recorded in field notes. Within the cave, the bedrock bedding attitude and likely presence of faults were noted, as well as the occurrence of roof-fall blocks and accumulations of finer-grained gravel and dust, speleothems, and drip- or flow-stone. Dissolution cavities and tunnels were noted. Cave-floor deposits were primarily examined where they were exposed in the walls of the archaeological excavations. Macroscopic visual observations were supplemented by close-up examinations of small samples plucked from the excavation walls using a hand lens and a Dino-Lite digital microscope. Alternating beds of "muddy" and "gravelly" sediments were apparent in the exposures. All units are dominated in grain size by particles of sand size or larger (mostly gravel), but the fine-grained or "muddy" character of some units was visually striking in exposed profiles. In this context, the word "mud" is used in a relative sense, in contrast to the coarse gravels, and refers to a mixture of sand, silt, and clay (see below the results of grain size analyses). All surfaces within the cave, including the surfaces of roof-fall blocks and all other debris that has been washed or carried into the cave, are covered with dust (see below the results of analyses of this dust).

### 1.2. Laboratory Methods

Samples were collected at Cueva del Chiquihuite, transported to the United States, and analyzed in various ways (see below, 1.3).

Table S1. Samples collected at Cueva del Chiquihuite by C.G. Oviatt in November, 2017.

| sample | material | amount | location | date of collection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1209 | sediment (gravel) | small zip-lock bag | excavation wall <br> I $5 / 6$ | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |
| 1210 | sediment (very top <br> of 1212) | small zip-lock bag | excavation wall <br> I $5 / 6$ | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |
| 1212 | sediment (muddy <br> gravel) | small zip-lock bag | excavation wall <br> I 5/6 | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |
| 1217 | sediment (muddy <br> gravel) | small zip-lock bag | excavation wall <br> I $5 / 6$ | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |


| sample | material | amount | location | date of collection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1219 | sediment (gravel) | small zip-lock bag | excavation wall <br> I 5/6 | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |
| unlabeled | limestone bedrock | large pebble | outside mouth <br> of cave | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |
| dust | sediment (dust) | about 5 g in a <br> small zip-lock bag | brushed from <br> the surface of a <br> roof-fall <br> boulder inside <br> the cave | $11 / 2 / 2017$ |

### 1.3. Analyses

### 1.3.1. Acid-insoluble fraction

Small subsamples were passed through a 180 -micron sieve to remove larger particles. The sediment that passed the 180 -micron sieve was then dissolved in dilute ( $10 \%$ ) hydrochloric acid, passed through a 63-micron sieve, and the sediment caught on the sieve (mostly fine-sand size) was examined under a binocular microscope at 40 power. For the limestone sample, about 7 g of the limestone were dissolved in kitchen vinegar, then passed through the 63 -micron sieve; the particles remaining on the 63 -micron sieve were examined under the binocular microscope. This was done in November and December, 2017.

Table S2. The acid-insoluble fraction from samples collected.

| sample | material dissolved | amount dissolved | visibly identifiable materials in the <br> acid-insoluble residue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1209 | fine-grained <br> sediment adhering <br> to the surfaces of <br> gravel particles | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains |
| 1210 | fine-grained <br> sediment | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains |
| 1212 | fine-grained <br> sediment | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains |
| 1217 | fine-grained <br> sediment | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains |
| 1219 | fine-grained <br> sediment adhering <br> to the surfaces of <br> gravel particles | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral |
| grains |  |  |  |$|$| ( |
| :--- |


| sample | material dissolved | amount dissolved | visibly identifiable materials in the <br> acid-insoluble residue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| unlabeled | limestone bedrock | about 7 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains, dark amorphous material (looks <br> organic) |
| dust | dust collected from <br> the surface of a <br> roof-fall block <br> within the cave | about 1 g | quartz, muscovite, minor dark mineral <br> grains, fragments of organic materials <br> such as tiny twigs and insect parts |

### 1.3.2. Coatings on Non-calcite grains

Two samples were examined to see if some calcium carbonate (calcite) was stuck to the grains of quartz and other mineral grains in the fine fraction of "muddy" units and in broken limestone. The samples were prepared by dispersing the mud fraction (silt and clay) in hot water and baking soda (no acid was used), then removing the mud fraction from the sample by allowing the mixture to settle for at least 30 seconds in quiet water, then pouring off the suspended grains (the silt and clay) with the excess water. The sample of sand-size and larger grains was then examined under a binocular microscope under 40x. The samples consisted of muddy sediment in stratum 1210, and limestone (bedrock) were broken with a hammer on an anvil. In both cases, the noncalcite grains were clean, and no carbonate or other residue was seen adhering to the grains. In other words, sand-size quartz and other mineral grains within the limestone are released on impact without a coating of carbonate. The muddy sediment in the stratigraphic sections in the excavations contains non-calcite mineral grains that do not have carbonate coatings.

### 1.3.3. Grain-size analysis

The percentage of sand, silt, and clay in sediment samples finer than 2 mm in stratigraphic units (UE) 1212 and 1217 (that is, the "muddy" fractions of these samples) was determined using pipette-analysis techniques, except that carbonates were not removed prior to analysis, supervised by James (Bruce) Harrison, soil scientist at New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, NM, USA. Both samples (1212 and 1217) contain many fragments larger than 2 mm in diameter but these were not measured in the grain-size analyses.

Table S3. Grain-size results.

| sample | \% clay | \% silt | \%sand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1212 | 0.94 | 1.69 | 97.37 |
| 1217 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 98.96 |

The averages for these two samples are $\sim 1 \%$ clay, $\sim 1 \%$ silt, and $\sim 98 \%$ sand. Note that because carbonates were not removed prior to the pipette analyses, and because of the possibility of flocculation of clay-size carbonate grains, the percentage of sand in the samples may be higher and the percentage of clay may be lower than if the carbonates had first been removed from the samples.

The sample of dust was too small to analyze for grain size; it contained abundant organic materials.

### 1.3.4. X-ray diffraction

Three sediment samples and one limestone sample were submitted to Kelsey McNamara at the X-ray diffraction laboratory, New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources in Socorro, NM, USA. Sediment samples for X-ray diffraction were prepared by first passing them through a 180-micron sieve to remove larger particles, then the fine-grained material was ground to a fine powder using a hand-held mortar and pestle. About 1 g of limestone was crushed and powdered using a mortar and pestle. The diffraction patterns for illite (a clay mineral) and muscovite (a mica mineral) are essentially the same and the two minerals cannot be distinguished by X-ray diffraction. Although it is possible that illite is present in some or all of the samples, muscovite is definitely present - it was observed in the insoluble fractions of some samples (see above).

Table S4. Samples submitted for X-ray diffraction

| sample | material X-rayed | results with or <br> without illite <br> (muscovite) | minerals listed in order of relative <br> abundance; mineral percentages <br> in parentheses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1209 | "muddy" sediment <br> adhering to the <br> surfaces of gravel <br> particles | without | calcite (93) <br> quartz (7) |
| 1209 | "muddy" sediment <br> adhering to the <br> surfaces of gravel <br> particles | with | calcite (73.7) <br> illite (muscovite) (20.2) <br> quartz (6.1) |
| 1212 | "muddy" sediment | without | calcite (93) <br> quartz (7) |
| 1212 | "muddy" sediment | with | calcite (76.2) <br> illite (muscovite) (17.9) <br> quartz (5.9) |
| dust | fine-grained | without | calcite (67.3) <br> quartz (19.8) <br> gypsum (12.9) |
| sediment |  |  |  |


| sample | material X-rayed | results with or <br> without illite <br> (muscovite) | minerals listed in order of relative <br> abundance; mineral percentages <br> in parentheses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dust | fine-grained <br> sediment | with | illite (muscovite) (54) <br> calcite (31) <br> quartz (10) <br> gypsum (5) |
| limestone | powdered limestone | N/A | magnesium calcite (83) <br> calcite (15) <br> quartz (2) |

## 2. Bayesian age modeling

### 2.1. Sensitivity testing

To determine the reproducibility of the Bayesian model in the main text ('Model A', Fig. 2 and Fig. S1), we ran the model multiple times and applied sensitivity testing. This Bayesian age model was compared against a series of different models with slightly different data included. For the first model test, we ran a Bayesian model with the excluded bulk sediment (Beta 436709, LEMA 575.1.2. and ICA-16OS/0510; which were deemed unreliable, minimum-age estimates) and bone collagen dates (LEMA-640.1.1 fails collagen quality control values set by the ORAU ${ }^{1}$; 'Model B'; Fig. S1b), including strata within SC-B ('Model C'; Fig. S2), and with the application of a 'Charcoal' instead of 'General' outlier model ${ }^{2}$ for all charcoal samples ('Model D'; Fig. S3). Model D was run with SC-C and -B as separate sequences.

We note low convergence values (C) are present in some parts of the model and these are usually associated with parameters that have high outlier probabilities. High convergence values are an indication that the MCMC sampling is able to find a solution and obtain values that are stable or converge. Usually C values should be $>95$. The solution for poor convergence is for OxCal to continue to run the models until convergence is satisfactory. We ran our models for $4,224,000$ iterations for this reason. Some parts of the model in particular disclose poor convergence; we note the section between the start of stratum 1217 and the beginning of SC-B where values are low due to, principally, few determinations over a wide period coupled with some variability in the results. To test whether this led to significant variations between the favoured model and the different models outlined above, we used the 'Difference' function within OxCal3,4 and focused on the start boundaries for SC-C and SC-B (Table S5). Given that these overlap zero at $95.4 \%$ probability (Fig. S4), the exclusion of strata within SC-B, the manual removal of sediment dates and LEMA-640.1.1, and the consistent use of the 'General' outlier analysis have no significant impact on the modelled output. CQL code for the four models can be found below.


Fig. S1. Bayesian age 'Model A' (left) and 'B' (right). The latter is identical to 'Model A', but with LEMA 640.1.1 and the three sediment dates (Beta 436709, LEMA 575.1.2., and ICA-160S/0510). Brackets beneath each age estimate show $95.4 \%$ confidence interval. ' C ' denotes convergence values, whilst 'O:prior/posterior probability' reflects the outlier analysis. Start boundary estimates for SC-C and -B are in Table S5.


Fig. S2. Bayesian age 'Model C'. This is identical to 'Model A' (see main Fig. 2 and Fig. S1), but with strata in SC-B. Brackets beneath each age estimate show $95.4 \%$ confidence interval. 'C' denotes convergence values, whilst ' O :prior/posterior probability' reflects the outlier analysis. Start boundary estimates for SC-C and -B are in Table S5.


Fig. S3. Bayesian age 'Model D'. This model is identical to 'Model A' (see main Fig. 2 and Fig. S1), but with 'Charcoal' instead of 'General' outlier analysis' for all charcoal samples. Brackets beneath each age estimate show $95.4 \%$ confidence interval. ' C ' denotes convergence values, whilst ' O :prior/posterior probability' reflects the outlier analysis. Start boundary estimates for SC-C and -B are in Table S5.

Table S5 (below).Bayesian age model output (start of SC-C/-B and end of SC-B) for models A (Fig. 2 and Fig. S1a), B (Model A with four excluded dates), C (Model A with strata in SC-B), and D (Model A with 'Charcoal' instead of 'General' outlier analysis for all charcoal samples).

| Output | Model | years cal. BP (95.4\% confidence) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start of SC-C | A | $33,150-31,405$ |
|  | B | $34,190-31,405$ |
|  | C | $41,635-31,470$ |
| Start of SC-B | D | $34,495-31,315$ |
|  | A | $16,605-15,615$ |
|  | B | $16,605-15,615$ |
|  | C | $17,110-15,675$ |
|  | D | $16,955-15,310$ |
|  | A | $13,705-12,200$ |
|  | B | $13,675-12,280$ |
|  | C | $13,635-4,915$ |
|  | D | $12,615-11,825$ |



Fig. S4. a. Probability density function (PDF) for the difference between the start of SC-C in Model A, compared with that of Models B-D. b. PDF for the difference between the start of SC-B in Model A, compared with that of Models B-D. These results suggest that there is no significant difference between the modelled outputs, as the distributions overlap zero at $95.4 \%$ probability.

### 2.2. CQL code

Model A (main text, Fig. 3)

```
Options(
Resolution=50;
%;
Outlier_Model("General",T(5),U(0,4),"t");
Outlier_Model("SSimple",N(0,2),0,"s");
Sequence("Chiquihuite Cave, Model A")
Boundary("Start of SC-C");
Phase("Stratum 1223")
```

```
Date("Oxford X-7229", N(2017-23940, 2950))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-4135", N(2017-27790, 4340)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("PRI-5414", 27929, 82)
_
outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("Beta-345055", 27830, 150)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
§;
Boundary("End 1223/Start 1222");
Boundary("End 1222/Start 1220");
Boundary (End 1222/Sta
Phase("Stratum 1220")
R_Date("LEMA-577.1.1", 21401, 95)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\%; \({ }^{\text {R_Date("LEMA-576.1.1", 20896, 80) }}\)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
Boundary("End 1220/Start 1219");
Phase("Stratum 1219")
R_Date("OxA-36530", 22170, 140)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-34965", 21990, 170)
Outlier("General", 0.05 );
Outl
Boundary("End 1219/Start 1218-1219");
Phase("Stratum 1218-1219")
R_Date("OxA-36360", 21140, 130)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36614", 20860, 100)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
Boundary("End 1218-1219/Start 1217")
Date("Oxford X-7227", N(2017-11620, 2000))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-7232", N(2017-13870, 2250))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-7231", N(2017-15560, 1740))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Boundary("End 1210/Start 1210 (interface)");
Phase("Stratum 1210 (interface)")
R_Date("LEMA-575.1.3", 14778, 77)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Combine("Sample 37")
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-636.1.2", 13788, 90)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
\%_Date("LEMA-636.1.1", 13569, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
Outlii
?;
;
\};
Boundary("End 1210 (interface)");
Boundary("End SC-C/Start SC-B");
Phase("SC-B")
R_Date("OxA-36613", 13630, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
); Combine("Sample 15")
R_Combine("Sample 15")
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-635.1.2", 13142, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
) \({ }^{\text {R }}\) _Date("LEMA-635.1.1", 13054, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Outlii \\
!; \\
; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
R_Date("OxA-36359", 13525, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\%; \({ }^{\text {R }}\) Date("OxA-36611", 13050, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05 );
\%; Date("LEMA-574.1.1", 13092, 63)
R_Date("LEMA-574.1.1", 1
Outlier("General", 0.05 );
;;
```

```
R_Date("OxA-36634", 12990, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-573.1.1", 12916, 58)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%_Date("OxA-36612", 12885, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("Beta-436710", 12880,50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36623", 13010, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-7233", N(2017-10960, 1610))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36633", 12235, 75)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36625", 12170, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%_Date("OxA-36622", 12155, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36620", 12140, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36609", 12120, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36317", 12120, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%;_Date("OxA-36315", 12095, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
&;Date("OxA-36316", 12050, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36619", 12040, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36496", 12005, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36753", 11975, 70)
Outlier("General",0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36624", 11900, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%;Date("LEMA-893.1.1", 11897, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36610", 11895, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36621", 11890, 45)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R Date("OxA-36618", 11855, 50)
R_Date("OxA-36618",118
%; Date("LEMA-892.1.2", 11770, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36608", 12060, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-978.1.1", 10513, 50)
O_Date('LEMA-978.1.1;
};
};
Boundary("End of SC-B")
Span("Span of sequence");
%;
```

Model B (Fig. S1)

```
Options()
Resolution=50;
{;
{
```

```
Outlier_Model("General",T(5),U(0,4),"t")
Outlier Model('Ssimple",N(0,2),0,"s")
Sequence("Chiquihuite Cave, Model B")
Boundary("Start of SC-C")
Phase("Stratum 1223")
Date("Oxford X-7229", N(2017-23940, 2950)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-4135", N(2017-27790, 4340))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("PRI-5414", 27929, 82)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("Beta-345055", 27830, 150)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
;
Boundary("End 1223/Start 1222");
R_Date("ICA-16OS 0510", 20220, 80)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Boundary("End 1222/Start 1220");
Phase("Stratum 1220")
R_Date("LEMA-577.1.1", 21401, 95)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-576.1.1", 20896, 80)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};
Boundary("End 1220/Start 1219");
Phase("Stratum 1219")
R_Date("OxA-36530", 22170, 140)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-34965", 21990, 170)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};
Boundary("End 1219/Start 1218-1219")
Phase("Stratum 1218-1219")
R_Date("OxA-36360", 21140, 130)
O
R_Date("OxA-36614", 20860, 100)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Outli
Boundary("End 1218-1219/Start 1217")
Date("Oxford X-7227", N(2017-11620, 2000))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};
Outlier("General", 0.05);
$;}\mathrm{ Date("Oxford X-7231", N(2017-15560, 1740))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};
Boundary("End 1210/Start 1210 (interface)");
Phase("Stratum 1210 (interface)")
R_Date("LEMA-575.1.3", 14778, 77)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
&_Date("LEMA-575.1.2", 14107, 64)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};Combine("Sample 37")
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-6361.2", 13788, 90)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05)
R_Date("LEMA-636.1.1", 13569, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
|
R_Date("Beta-436709", 13010, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Outli
Boundary("End 1210 (interface)";
Boundary("End SC-C/Start SC-B");
Phase("SC-B")
R_Date("OxA-36613", 13630, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Combine("Sample 15")
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("LEMA-635.1.2", 13142, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
```

```
R_Date("LEMA-635.1.1", 13054, 60)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
};
R Date("OxA-36359", 13525, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36611", 13050, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-574.1.1", 13092, 63)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36634", 12990, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-573.1.1", 12916, 58)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36612", 12885,50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("Beta-436710", 12880, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36623", 13010, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-7233", N(2017-10960, 1610))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R Date("OxA-36633", 12235, 75)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36625", 12170, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36622", 12155,50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36620", 12140, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36609", 12120, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36317", 12120, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36315", 12095, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36316", 12050, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R Date("OxA-36619", 12040, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36496", 12005, 55
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36753", 11975, 70)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36624", 11900, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-893.1.1", 11897, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36610", 11895, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36621", 11890, 45)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%_Date("OxA-36618", 11855, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-892.1.2", 11770, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-640.1.1", 11403, 60)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R Date("OxA-36608", 12060, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
%;
```

```
Outlier("General", 0.05);
};
Boundary("End of SC-B");
Span("Span of sequence");
};
```

Model C (Fig. S2)

```
Options)
Resolution=5
Plot)
Plot)
Outlier_Model("General",T(5),U(0,4), "t");
Outlier_Model("SSimple",N(0,2),0," "s");
Sequence("Chiquihuite Cave, Model C")
Boundary("Start of SC-C");
Sequence("SC-C")
Boundary("Start 1223"):
Phase("Stratum 1223")
Date("Oxford X-7229", N(2017-23940, 2950))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-4135", N(2017-27790, 4340))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
;
R_Date("PRI-5414", 27929, 82)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("Beta-345055", 27830, 150)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
Boundary("End 1223/Start 1222");
Boundary("End 1222/Start 1220");
Phase("Stratum 1220")
R_Date("LEMA-577.1.1", 21401, 95
\{ Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-576.1.1", 20896, 80)
\{outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
Boundary("End 1220/Start 1219");
Phase("Stratum 1219")
R_Date("OxA-36530", 22170, 140)
\{ Outlier("General", 0.05);
;; Date("OxA-34965", 21990, 170)
\{ Outlier("General", 0.05);
\(\stackrel{\text { Outli }}{\text { \}; }}\)
Boundary("End 1219/Start 1218-1219");
Phase("Stratum 1218-1219")
R_Date("OxA-36360", 21140, 130)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36614", 20860, 100)
\{outlier("General", 0.05);
\};
Boundary("End 1218-1219/Start 1217");
Date("Oxford X-7227", N(2017-11620, 2000))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
) Date("Oxford X-7232", N(2017-13870, 2250))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
f; Date("Oxford X-7231", N(2017-15560, 1740))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Boundary("End 1210/Start 1210 (interface)");
hase("Stratum 1210 (interface)")
R_Date("LEMA-575.1.3", 14778, 77)
\{ Outlier("General", 0.05);
\}; Combine("Sample 37")
Outlier("General", 0.05);
    R_Date("LEMA-636.1.2", 13788, 90)
    Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
    \}; \({ }^{\text {R Date("LEMA-636.1.1", 13569, 60) }}\)
    Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
\};
\};
Boundary("End 1210 (interface)");
Boundary("End SC-C/Start SC-B");
```



```
Outlier("General", 0.05)
R_Date("OxA-36610", 11895, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36621", 11890, 45)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R;Date("OxA-36618", 11855, 50)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-892.1.2", 11770, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05)
};
Boundary("Start 1204 upper")
Phase("Stratum }1204\mathrm{ upper")
R_Date("OxA-36608", 12060, 50)
    Outlier("General", 0.05)
    R_Date("LEMA-978.1.1", 10513, 50)
    Outlier("General", 0.05)
};
};}\mathrm{ Boundary("End 1204 upper");
Boundary("End of SC-B");
};
```

Model D (Fig. S3)

```
Options)
Resolution=50;
Plot)
\(\{\)
Outlier_Model("General",T(5), U(0,4), "t");
Outlier_Model("Charcoal",Exp(1,-10,0),U(0,3),"t");
Outlie_Model("SSimple",N(0,2),0,"s");
Sequence("Chiquihuite Cave, Model D")
Boundary("Start of SC-C");
Phase("Stratum 1223")
hase('Stratum 1223")
Date("Oxford X-7229", N(2017-23940, 2950))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-4135", N(2017-27790, 4340)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("PRI-5414", 27929, 82)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("Beta-345055", 27830, 150)
Outlier("General", 0.05)
\};
Boundary("End 1223/Start 1222")
Boundary("End 1222/Start 1220")
Phase("Stratum 1220")
R_Date("LEMA-577.1.1", 21401, 95)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("LEMA-576.1.1", 20896, 80)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
\};
Boundary("End 1220/Start 1219");
Phase("Stratum 1219")
R_Date("OxA-36530", 22170, 140)
Outlier("General", 0.05)
R_Date("OxA-34965", 21990, 170)
Outlier("General", 0.05)
\};
Boundary("End 1219/Start 1218-1219");
Phase("Stratum 1218-1219")
R_Date("OxA-36360", 21140, 130)
Outlier("General", 0.05)
R_Date("OxA-36614", 20860, 100)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
\};
Boundary("End 1218-1219/Start 1217"):
Date("Oxford X-7227", N(2017-11620, 2000))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
§ Date("Oxford X-7232", N(2017-13870, 2250))
```

```
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Date("Oxford X-7231", N(2017-15560, 1740))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
Boundary("End 1210/Start 1210 (interface)");
Phase("Stratum }1210\mathrm{ (interface)")
R_Date("LEMA-575.1.3", 14778, 77)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Combine("Sample 37")
R_Date("LEMA-636.1.2", 13788, 90)
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-636.1.1", 13569, 60
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
};
Boundary("End 1210 (interface)")
Boundary("End SC-C/Start SC-B");
};
Options(
Resolution=50;
};
Outlier_Model("General",T(5),U(0,4),"t")
Outlier Model("Charcoal",Exp(1,-10,0),U(0,3),"t")
Outlier_Model("SSimple",N(0,2),0,"s");
Sequence("Chiquihuite Cave, Model D")
Boundary("End SC-C/Start SC-B");
Phase("SC-B")
{_Date("OxA-36613", 13630, 55)
{Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Combine("Sample 15")
R_Date("LEMA-635.1.2", 13142, 60
{Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
R_Date("LEMA-635.1.1", 13054,60
Outlier("SSimple", 0.05);
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R Date("OxA-36359", 13525, 35)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36611", 13050, 50
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("LEMA-574.1.1", 13092, 63)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36634", 12990, 55)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("LEMA-573.1.1", 12916,58
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
};
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("Beta-436710", 12880, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36623", 13010, 55)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
Date("Oxford X-7233", N(2017-10960, 1610))
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36633", 12235, 75)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36625", 12170, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36622", 12155, 50)
{_Date((OxA-36622", 121,
R_Date("OxA-36620", 12140, 50
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36609", 12120, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36317", 12120, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
```

```
\}; \({ }^{\text {R_Date("OxA-36315", 12095, 50) }}\)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36316", 12050, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36619", 12040, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36496", 12005, 55)
Outlier("General", 0.05);
R_Date("OxA-36753", 11975, 70)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36624", 11900, 50)
\{Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("LEMA-893.1.1", 11897, 35)
\{ Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36610", 11895, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36621", 11890, 45)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36618", 11855, 50)
\{Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
\}; Date("LEMA-892.1.2", 11770, 35)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("OxA-36608", 12060, 50)
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
R_Date("LEMA-978.1.1", 10513, 50
Outlier("Charcoal", 1);
\};
\}; Boundary("End of SC-B");
\};
```


## 3. Lithic artefact metrics

Table S6. Contextual and metric values of the 91 artefacts depicted in illustrations ( $4.71 \%$ of the total).

| Artefact's ID number (bag inventory) | Figure no. | Squaresubsquare | Depth range or depth from datum Z(D) | Stratigraphic component (SC) | Max. length (mm) | Max. width (mm) | Max. thickness (mm) | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1541-12309 | 3a | N6-NE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 42 | 36 | 20 | 28 |
| 1200-12510 | 3b | N4-SW | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 26.2 | 19.3 | 6 | 3 |
| 1395-12532 | 3 c | N6-NW | -1.80/-1.90 | B | 21 | 23 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| 1979-12734 | 3d | M7-SW | -2.50/-2.60 | C | 23 | 21 | 6.4 | 2 |
| 1266-12519 | 3 e | O4-NW | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 38 | 25.7 | 7 | 7 |
| 391-10774 | 3f | i5-E/C | -1.10/-1.25 | B | 40 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 2.5 |
| 444-9873 | 3 g | i4-E | - | B | 35.5 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 1 |
| 1624-12873 | 3h | N4-SE | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 42 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 2.5 |
| 369-9733 | 3 i | K3 | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 22.2 | 22 | 3.7 | 2 |
| 1836-12907 | 3j | N4-SW | -2.30/-2.40 | B | 32 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 279-9539 | 3 k | M4-W | -1.90 | B | 47 | 22.8 | 7 | 7 |
| 370-9734 | 31 | K3 | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 47.2 | 21.7 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| 570-10056 | 3 m | i5-E/C | -2.55 | C | 55.7 | 16.4 | 9.2 | 7 |
| 1925-13709 | 3 n | M4-NW | -2.50/-2.60 | B | 46.8 | 22.1 | 7.4 | 8.5 |
| 1554-13487 | 30 | O3-SW | -1.96 | B | 29.3 | 15 | 4.4 | 2 |
| 404-10915 | Extended <br> Data 5a | K3 | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 20.3 | 35.8 | 33 | 25.5 |
| 1209-12301 | Extended <br> Data 5b | M4-SW | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 55.3 | 48.7 | 22.7 | 54 |
| 1608-12313 | Extended <br> Data 5c | N4-SW | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 19.8 | 29.6 | 12.3 | 8 |
| 297-10638 | Extended <br> Data 5d | G3/H2/H3 | -0.50/-0.60 | B | 51.3 | 39.5 | 21.5 | 40 |
| 1074-12371 | Extended <br> Data 5e | N5-SE | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 51.1 | 36.5 | 24.1 | 47 |
| 1081-12491 | Extended <br> Data 5 f | N6-SW | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 35.5 | 23 | 8.3 | 5 |
| 1779-12649 | Extended Data 5 g | N6-SW | -2.20/-2.30 | B | 43.2 | 20.7 | 8.7 | 7 |
| 51-8916 | Extended <br> Data 5h | B1 | +2.26/2.16 | B | 45 | 25.5 | 11.4 | 10.5 |
| 354-10664 | Extended <br> Data 5i | - | - | C | 51.4 | 33.8 | 8.3 | 13.5 |
| 1475-12541 | Extended Data 5j | M4-SE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 18 | 34.5 | 6.8 | 3.5 |


| Artefact's ID number (bag inventory) | Figure no. | Squaresubsquare | Depth range or depth from datum Z(D) | Stratigraphic component (SC) | Max. length (mm) | Max. width (mm) | Max. thickness (mm) | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273-10598 | Extended Data 5k | L3 | -1.50/-1.60 | B | 21.5 | 38 | 7.4 | 5 |
| 1530-12569 | Extended <br> Data 51 | N5-NW | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 16.6 | 20.4 | 2.7 | 1 |
| 1631-12604 | Extended <br> Data 5m | N4-SE | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 25.7 | 32.3 | 6 | 5 |
| 2132-13241 | Extended <br> Data 5n | L6-NE | -2.90/-3.00 | C | 21.7 | 27.3 | 6.5 | 3 |
| 202-9335 | Extended <br> Data 5o | F2 | $+0.30 / 0$ | B | 26.3 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| 357-10674 | Extended <br> Data 5p | M6 | -2.20/-2.30 | B | 34 | 13.5 | 7.5 | 4 |
| 1044-12790 | Extended Data 5q | N6-NW | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 28 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 1 |
| 1046-12791 | Extended <br> Data 5r | N4-NE | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 49.5 | 21 | 7.3 | 6.5 |
| 1763-12896 | Extended Data 5s | M5-SE | -2.20/-2.30 | B | 45.7 | 14.6 | 7.7 | 4 |
| 1884-13169 | Extended <br> Data 5t | M4-SW | $-2.45 /-2.50$ | B | 32.5 | 18.6 | 6.9 | 2.5 |
| 1519-12846 | Extended <br> Data 5u | N4-SW | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 15.8 | 13 | 3.7 | 1 |
| 1839-12909 | Extended Data 5v | O5-SW | -2.10/-2.20 | B | 13.9 | 10.5 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 1229-13022 | Extended Data 5x | N3-NW | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 16.8 | 27 | 4.1 | 2 |
| 1888-13172 | Extended Data 5y | M6-SE | -2.30/-2.40 | B | 23.2 | 26 | 7.9 | 4.5 |
| 1202-13014 | Extended Data 5w | N6-NW | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 32.2 | 21 | 4 | 4 |
| 1926-13298 | Extended <br> Data 5z | M6-SE | $-2.51$ | B | 45 | 30.1 | 11 | 13 |
| 1289-13277 | Extended Data 5a' | N4-SE | -1.70/-1.80 | B | 103.8 | 29.6 | 15.5 | 43 |
| 589-10111 | Extended <br> Data 5b' | i6-NE | $-2.53$ | C | 36 | 22.6 | 9.3 | 7 |
| 643-10204 | Extended Data 5c' | L6 | -3.40/-3.50 | C | 36 | 18.6 | 5.1 | 3.5 |
| 910-13315 | Extended Data 5d' | - | $-1.50 /-1.60$ | B | 37 | 18.9 | 9.7 | 5.5 |
| 976-13332 | Extended <br> Data 5e' | O5-NE | -1.58 | B | 37.4 | 19.1 | 10.2 | 7 |
| 560-10012 | Extended <br> Data 5f' | i6 | -2.36 | C | 45 | 16.8 | 6.2 | 5 |


| Artefact's ID number (bag inventory) | Figure no. | Squaresubsquare | Depth range or depth from datum Z(D) | Stratigraphic component (SC) | Max. length (mm) | Max. width (mm) | Max. thickness (mm) | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1546-13483 | Extended Data 5g' | N3-NE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 34.7 | 13.6 | 5.5 | 2 |
| 1660-13520 | Extended <br> Data 5h' | N4-NW | -2.18 | B | 35.3 | 12.6 | 6.1 | 3 |
| 1018-13639 | Extended <br> Data 5i' | N4-SE | $-1.63$ | B | 33.1 | 20.6 | 9.5 | 6 |
| 558-11720 | Extended <br> Data 5j' | i6 | -2.20/-2.30 | B | 31 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 3 |
| 1307-13671 | Extended <br> Data $5 k$ ' | O3-Nw | -1.82 | B | 31.7 | 21.8 | 10 | 6.5 |
| 956-13765 | Extended <br> Data 51' | O4-SW | -1.50/-1.60 | B | 20.5 | 17 | 4 | 2 |
| 1530-13770 | Extended <br> Data 5m' | N5-NW | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 25.4 | 24.9 | 8.5 | 6 |
| 1845-13762 | Extended <br> Data 5n' | O5-SE | -2.10/-2.20 | B | 18.1 | 16.4 | 5 | 2 |
| 2107-13778 | Extended <br> Data 5o' | N6-SW | -2.90/-3.00 | B | 21 | 21.4 | 6.5 | 5 |
| 1719-13775 | Extended <br> Data 5p' | N4-SE | -2.10/-2.20 | B | 19.5 | 18.8 | 4.6 | 2.5 |
| 1609-12314 | Extended <br> Data 6a | M4-NE | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 28.1 | 27.6 | 14 | 10.5 |
| 1471-12540 | Extended <br> Data 6b | M5-SE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 20.6 | 20.3 | 8 | 3 |
| 2019-12744 | Extended <br> Data 6c | N4-SW | -2.60/-2.70 | B | 21 | 31.3 | 4.7 | 3 |
| 1486-12837 | Extended <br> Data 6d | M6-SE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 16 | 13.6 | 3 | 1 |
| 1741-13138 | Extended Data 6e | N6-NE | -2.10/-2.20 | B | 22.7 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 4 |
| 1430-12534 | Extended <br> Data $6 f$ | N4-NE | -1.80/-1.90 | B | 33 | 26 | 7 | 6 |
| 1628-12874 | Extended Data 6 g | N4-SE | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 107 | 38 | 18 | 75.5 |
| 1779-13148 | Extended <br> Data 6h | N6-SW | -2.20/-2.30 | B | 33.6 | 12 | 4.8 | 2.5 |
| 1919-13194 | Extended Data 6i | M4-NW | -2.50/-2.60 | B | 40.9 | 14.2 | 7.2 | 4 |
| 167-9233 | Extended Data 6j | L4 | $-1.40 /-1.50$ | B | 48.3 | 48 | 10.3 | 28 |
| 573-10065 | Extended <br> Data 6k | i7 | $-2.45 /-2.50$ | B | 67.3 | 48.3 | 21 | 60 |
| 406-9792 | Extended <br> Data 61 | i4-W | -0.98/-1.10 | B | 46.1 | 20 | 5.9 | 6 |


| Artefact's ID number (bag inventory) | Figure no. | Squaresubsquare | Depth range or depth from datum Z(D) | Stratigraphic component (SC) | Max. length (mm) | Max. width (mm) | Max. thickness (mm) | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1015-13637 | Extended Data 6m | M3-SE | -1.64 | B | 37.4 | 15.5 | 7.7 | 5 |
| 2053-13588 | Extended <br> Data 6n | M5-SW | -2.70/-2.80 | B | 29.5 | 14.2 | 4.5 | 2 |
| 885-13312 | Extended <br> Data 60 | N6-SE | -1.50/-1.60 | B | 34.6 | 18.4 | 6.9 | 4 |
| 912-13316 | Extended <br> Data 6p | M4-NE | -1.50/-1.60 | B | 26.1 | 16.3 | 7.4 | 3 |
| 979-13333 | Extended <br> Data 6q | O4-NE | -1.57 | B | 32.3 | 15.5 | 4.5 | 2 |
| 1034-13349 | Extended <br> Data 6r | N4-SE | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 39.2 | 26.6 | 5.6 | 7 |
| 1045-13357 | Extended <br> Data 6s | N4-NE | -1.60/-1.70 | B | 35.3 | 19.3 | 6.3 | 3.5 |
| 327-10660 | Extended <br> Data 6t | M5 | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 44 | 28.6 | 6.3 | 9 |
| 336-10662 | Extended Data 6u | i4 | -0.20/-0.40 | B | 37.8 | 22.3 | 5 | 4 |
| 236-9460 | Extended <br> Data 6v | J3 | -0.80/-0.90 | B | 34.2 | 25.9 | 6.3 | 6 |
| 460-9924 | Extended Data 6x | J4-SW | -1.77 | C | 32.3 | 17.1 | 4.9 | 3 |
| 484-9944 | Extended <br> Data 6y | i6 | -1.60/-1.70 | C | 29 | 17 | 6 | 2.5 |
| 487-11106 | Extended <br> Data 6w | L4 | -2.33/-2.43 | B | 33.1 | 20.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 |
| 540-9998 | Extended <br> Data 6z | K4 | -2.73/-2.85 | C | 33 | 21.7 | 7.5 | 4.5 |
| 1563-13494 | Extended Data 6a' | M5-SE | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 43.3 | 26.5 | 6.6 | 9 |
| 2124-13601 | Extended Data 6b' | M5-SW | $-3.08$ | C | 35.6 | 18 | 7.1 | 4 |
| 907-13735 | Extended Data 6c' | N4-NW | -1.50/-1.60 | B | 30.8 | 21.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 1899-13576 | Extended Data 6d' | N6-NW | -2.30/-2.40 | B | 18.9 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 1 |
| 1474-13747 | Extended Data 6e' | M6-SE | -1.90/-2.00 | B | 36 | 19.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| 1625-13513 | Extended Data 6f' | N6-NW | -2.00/-2.10 | B | 34.3 | 18.8 | 6.7 | 4.5 |
| 2104-13596 | Extended Data 6g' | N5-SW | -2.90/-3.00 | C | 35 | 19.9 | 7.9 | 5.5 |
| 2125-13764 | Extended Data 6h' | M5-SW | -3.00/-3.10 | C | 31.1 | 24.1 | 6.7 | 6 |

## 4. Chemical Residues

### 4.1. Methodology

Simple chemical tests have been successful in analyzing chemical residues, specially in soil and floor samples ${ }^{5,6}$. In this project, samples were obtained from an occupation surface (UE1210) by the excavation team following instructions from the laboratory (Fig. S6a,b). Samples bagged in polyethylene and tagged were sent to the lab. All floor samples were tested to detect phosphates, carbonates, protein, fatty acids and carbohydrates residues following procedures established in the laboratory ${ }^{7}$. Semi-quantitative results were mapped to produce distribution maps (where the colour saturation indicates higher values of each chemical indicator) (Figs. S5, S6). As a direct antecedent, we mention the study of organic chemical residues found in sediments surrounding mammoth bone remains dated to 18,000 years $\mathrm{BP}^{8}$.

### 4.2. Results \& discussion

### 4.2.1. Phosphate

There is a clear contrast among phosphate values. Low values are in the western part, while high values are in the northeast of the excavated area.

### 4.2.2. Carbonates

Carbonates are quite homogeneous. Taking into consideration that natural bedrock is a limestone, it was expected to have high carbonates values in almost all floor samples.

### 4.2.3. Protein residues

This chemical indicator is consequence of protein decay and has a rather similar distribution to that of phosphates, with the lowest values in the western part but the highest values towards the northeast. This overlapping pattern suggests that some cultural activity enriched the same areas with these residues.

### 4.2.4. Fatty acids

The distribution of this chemical residue is related with resins, oils and fats, and has a very similar pattern to the distribution of proteins and phosphates in the excavated area.
Carbohydrates are the consequence of ancient starch and sugars. They also have a similar pattern to the previous chemical indicators. In all cases, the western part has the lowest values. In
contrast, the northeastern part of the sampled area has the maximum values of chemical indicators.

We also plotted the spatial distribution of values for S , K and Zn made with XRF (Fig. S5). These chemical elements were selected because they are usually a product of chemical enrichment by human activities. The relationship between phosphates and Zn has been recognised as an indicator of refuse areas in previous works ${ }^{6}$; potassium concentrations are usually interpreted as indicators of cellulosic fuel ash accumulation, and sulphur is widely present in living cells and in this case. All three elements follow the same distribution patterns.


Fig. S5. Distribution maps of the XRF values for sulphur, zinc, and potassium, respectively (from top to bottom).


Fig. S6: Chemical residues spot test analyses on the interface of stratum 1210. a. Diagram of X-12, showing the location of samples. b. Sampling methodology, squares J4-L4. Results for: c. Fatty acids. d. Carbohydrates. e. Phosphates. f. Protein residues. g. Carbonates.

### 4.3. Final comments

The observed organic enrichment is not likely due to the natural decay of the limestone parent rock, but rather the product of human activities performed on top of this layer. To support the case for human presence in this floor, the same samples were analyzed by XRF and results displayed the same distribution patterns as the organic residues. The overlapping of these independent chemical indicators provides a higher degree of confidence in the interpretation of human activities producing chemical enrichment on this surface.

## 5. Faunal remains

Table S7. List of vertebrate species found in the excavation X-12.

| Class | Order | Family | Taxon | Common name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aves | Passeriformes | Picidae | Melanerpes formicivorus | woodpecker |
|  |  | Emberizidae |  | sparrows; juncos |
| Mammalia | Eulipotyphla | Soricidae | Notiosorex | desert shrew |
|  | Chiroptera | Phyllostomidae | Leptonycteris | long-tongued bat |
|  |  | Vespertilionidae | Antrozous pallidus | pallid bat |
|  |  |  | Myotis cf. planiceps | cave bat |
|  |  |  | cf. Myotis | cave bat |
|  | Lagomorpha | Leporidae | Sylvilagus audubonii | rabbit |
|  |  |  | Sylvilagus floridanus | rabbit |
|  |  |  | Sylvilagus sp. | rabbit |
|  | Rodentia | Geomyidae | Thomomys sp. | gopher |
|  |  | Muridae | Microtus cf. mexicanus | Mexican vole |
|  |  |  | Neotoma cf. leucodon | woodrat |
|  |  |  | Neotoma cf. goldmani | woodrat |
|  |  |  | Onychomys cf. arenicola | grasshopper mouse |
|  |  |  | Peromyscus melanophrys | plateau mouse |
|  |  |  | Peromyscus sp. | white-footed mouse |
|  |  |  | Reitrodontomys sp. | harvested mouse |
|  | Carnivora | Ursidae | Ursus cf. americanus | black bear |
|  | Artiodactyla | Cervidae | Odocoileus virginianus | white-tailed deer |
|  |  | Antilocapridae | Antilocapra? | pronghorn |



Fig. S7. Examples of faunal bone material. a, Articular condyle (jaw), probably Pleistocene condor, Gymnogyps sp. (SC-C, strata 1218-1219, dated to $21.1 \pm 130{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ kyr BP, OxA-36360). b, Canidae canine tooth, naturally split (SC-B, str. 1206, not dated, found with point shown in Fig. 3k). c, Medium-sized
mammal femur, probably otter, Lontra sp. (SC-C, str. 1223, not dated). d, Long bone fragment, with possible human modification (CC-C, str. 1219, dated to $22.1 \pm 140{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ kyr BP, OxA-36530). e, Black bear (Ursus americanus) penis bone, from trench X-11 (SC-C, str. 1223, dated to $27.8 \pm 150{ }^{14} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kyr} \mathrm{BP}$, Beta-345055). f, Mammal rib (SC-B, str. 1207D, dated to $12 \pm 55{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ kyr BP, OxA-36496). g, Passerine bird beaks (probably Turdidae), upper (i) and lower (ii, iii) parts, closely grouped in squares O-P (CC-B, str. 1204, not dated). $\mathbf{h}$, Land snails taxa found in all strata, and living today near the cave: Humboldtiana sp. (i), and fam. Urocoptidae (mainly Microceramus sp. and Urocoptis sp.).

## 6. Phytolith and pollen

### 6.1. Sampling

Bulk sediment samples from Chiquihuite Cave, excavation X-12, were analyzed for pollen and phytoliths, to assess whether these proxies could provide paleoecological data and/or detect human influence at the site.

Nine samples were taken from the southern profile of unit M-N, where the natural stratigraphy slopes by up to 35-40 degrees from west to east. Samples were taken horizontally across the excavated sequence during the initial phases and prior to full understanding of the stratigraphy, thus resulting in a mixture of material from more than one natural stratum in each sample (Fig. S8). It is important to make clear that the intention of the excavators, during this sampling process, was merely to evaluate the potential of the cave site for such studies, not necessarily to carry a detailed micro-botanical analysis. Although this hinders a direct representation of the stratigraphical units, fluctuations in the pollen and phytolith data were detected along the sequence and used to find concordances with the ancient floristic eDNA detected.

### 6.2. Methods

Phytoliths were extracted from 100 ml of sediment following the wet oxidation method described elsewhere ${ }^{9}$. Sediment was sieved into silt ( $<53 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and sand ( $53-250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) fractions to concentrate larger diagnostic morphotypes. Residue was mounted in Permount mounting medium, phytoliths counted under 400x (silt fraction) and 200x (sand fraction) magnification and photographs taken using Zen software. A phytolith count of 200 was sought in each sample and the graph made using C 2 software ${ }^{10}$. Grass short cell phytoliths were identified according to published Poaceae reference collections from the Americas, Africa Asia and New Zealand ${ }^{11-18}$.

At the São Paulo lab, samples were sieved for gravel removal ( $>250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of sediment processed for pollen grains following ${ }^{19}$. A final sieving stage $(5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ was added at the end to remove clay and two Lycopodium sp. (exotic marker) tablets were used per sample. Samples were counted at 100x magnification using immersion oil and +150 palynomorphs counted. Photographs were taken using Zen software. Percentage and concentration values were calculated and plotted using TILIA, TILIAGRAPH software ${ }^{20}$. Identification was made with the IGC/USP reference collection and by comparison with pollen atlases from Colombia, Panama and Argentina ${ }^{21-23}$. Phytolith and pollen identifications were checked against lists of native species to improve taxonomic identifications ${ }^{24-26}$.

### 6.3. Results

TILIA software identified four pollen "zones" using the sum of squares principle (CONISS), which we then superimposed onto the phytolith graph. We have maintained these zones in Figs. S9a-d to ease description of the results, but recognise that they do not represent ecological zones stricto sensu due to the admixture of microremains from different natural stratum in each sample. Micrographs of selected morphotypes are presented in Fig. S9. Abundant damaged pollen grains ( $>50 \%$ of counts) in all samples indicated transportation or pre-burial and/or long grain exposure time.

Zone 1 [which represents a mixture of terminal LGM (1212) and earlier LGM (1218) sediments (Fig. S8)] is characterized by the dominance of terrestrial herb pollen alongside phytoliths belonging to several grass subfamilies, suggesting the presence of an open, dry environment during this time. This type of vegetation is also reflected in the taxa identified by eDNA in the LGM strata (UE1212; 2017 season eDNA sample 3), as is the rapid replacement of warmadapted PACMAD grasses to cold-adapted Pooideae grasses at the beginning of the LGM, recorded in the phytoliths. We suggest that the burnt globular echinate phytoliths recovered in this zone might represent material brought into the cave by humans, given the limited present distribution of palm species in the landscape (see main text).

In Zone 2, phytoliths from different grasses continue to be present, while the pollen records increasing levels of Agave pollen. The generalised low counts of phytoliths and pollen in this zone might be related to poor precipitation regimes that minimised debris-flows into the cave, however, the eDNA results from the bottom-most strata of this zone (UE1210; 2017 season eDNA sample 2) suggests a transition to forested vegetation (and wetter conditions), at least in the period immediately following the LGM, with Agave DNA only occurring in the YD-related upper strata (UE1204).

Zone 3 consists of samples from a mixture of four to five different sloping strata (1207-1204) and record a general increase in cold-adapted taxa (Alnus, Pinus) and a decrease in grasses. Bambusoideae phytoliths and wet/cold-adapted ferns also become more abundant and palm phytoliths peak in this zone.

Both phytoliths and pollen are most abundant in Zone 4, a mixture of sediments mainly from stratum 1204, representing the Younger Dryas. This would imply an increased influx of organics and possibly higher precipitation during this time. More humid conditions would also explain the decrease in Agave pollen and the increase in ferns, conifers, oak and wet/cold-adapted (Pooideae) grasses. These patterns strongly contrast with the DNA results from the same strata ( $1204 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$; 2017 season eDNA sample 1), which show a general shift to dryer conditions as Pinus and algae decrease and Agave peaks for the first time.

On closer inspection, the phytolith and pollen results for the terminal LGM to YD (Zones 2-4) seem to record the opposite pattern to the DNA data, i.e. the establishment of a drier, more open environment in the terminal LGM, and a wetter, more forested environment in the YD. This discrepancy is likely related to the admixture of different strata during the microbotanical sampling, a fact that makes the eDNA results a more reliable proxy for this time period. Future pollen and phytolith analysis at equal resolution to the eDNA data would likely resolve this discrepancy.


Fig. S8. Location of pollen and phytolith samples within the stratigraphy (in red). Sample 1, out of view, was extracted from SC-C only, immediately below sample 2 . Pollen zones are noted in blue.


Fig. S9. a. Phytoliths results (in percentages). b. and c. Pollen results (pollen grain concentrations and percentage frequencies, respectively), São Paulo laboratory. d. Pollen results, Mexico City laboratory.


Fig. S10. Paleobotanical material. Phytoliths: a. Pooideae (trapeziform sinuate). b. Bambusoideae (long/ collapsed saddle). c. Chloridoideae (bulliform). d. Arecaceae (globular echinate). Pollen: e. Yucca sp. f. Agave sp. g. Carya sp. h. Pinus sp. Others: i. Alga spore, ovoidites of Spyrogira. j. Diatom. k. Alga spore, fam. Zignemataceae aff. Micrasterias. l. Fungus spore, unicelular. m. Pinus sp., alveolar sac. n. Fungus spore with hypha. o. Reed pollen, Cyperus sp. p. Sponge spicule.

## 7. Thin section and micro-morphology

The fifteen samples studied include sample M1 (cave floor, strata 1210-1212), M2-M5 (grey gravels from X-12), M6 (light-grey cobble from the slope), M7 (slope dark-grey gravel), M8 (slope greenish limestone), M9 (dark limestone artefact 1889-12698), M10-12 (greenish artefacts 1866-12685, 1899-12709, 1899-12710), M13 (grey artefact 2110-12949), M14 (rock fragment from the roof on the current entrance drip line), M15 (sample from the eastern wall, near the excavation), and M16 (from the ceiling above the western end of the dig) (Fig. S28).

### 7.1. Sample M-1 (cave floor, interface 1210 + upper cm of UE1212)

### 7.1.1. Macroscopic description

Sandy gravel with limestone fragments supported by silt and fine sand matrix. The sample displays both normal and inverse grading in different parts and incipient imbrication. The inversely graded sequence is 3 cm thick with a grain size that varies from very coarse sand (1 mm ) to medium pebbles ( 10 mm ). In contrast, the normally graded sequence is $\sim 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick, and ranges in grain size from very large pebbles ( 50 mm ) to granules ( 2 mm ). The clasts are texturally subangular to subrounded, with sphericity ranging from low to moderate. The matrix makes up less than $20 \%$ of the total volume and consists of silt and fine sand. A brown color denotes a moderate state of oxidation.

The sediment in this sample is classified as Gmg, or Matrix Supported Gravel, with inverse to normal grading ${ }^{27}$ that probably formed in pseudoplastic debris flows under low strength or viscosity conditions.

### 7.1.2. Microscopic description

Calcareous-sandy gravel composed of lithic fragments of limestone and metalimestone (Fig. S11a-f). Silt and fine sand matrix support the gravel. Carbonate fragments consist of mudstone of globigerinids and Radiolaria, but also wackestone primarily composed of globigerinids (Fig. S11a-c). The fragments are texturally subangular to subrounded with moderate sphericity. Metacarbonate fragments are classified as texture-types 1, 2, and 3, a numerical sequence that indicates increasing metamorphic grade ${ }^{28}$. Fragments classified as metacarbonate 1 have weakly developed cleavage, fragments of metacarbonate 2 have moderate cleavage, and fragments of metacarbonate 3 have well-formed cleavage and slight mica overgrowths similar to marble (Fig. S11e-g). The metacarbonate fragments are subangular with low to moderate sphericity. Subordinate fragments of phosphorite have parallel lamination and are subrounded (Fig. S11h).

Most fragments have a coating of iron oxide, 2 mm to 6 mm in thickness (Fig. S11a-h), and several mudstone and wackestone carbonate fragments have dissolution cavities, most likely caused by exposure to atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Fig. S11b-c). In some fragments, microfractures in two orientations form conjugated joints that cut both the fragment and the oxide coating (Fig. S11c). This last feature is similar to triaxial deformation and fracture caused by exposure to confined pressure under compressional stress. In other words, the limestone in sample M-1 contains a pattern of microscopic surface cracks that suggest the rock was broken without complete separation of the parts.


Fig. S11. Microphotographs from sample M-1. a. and b. carbonate grains from mudstone coated by iron oxide laminae; c. detail of the iron-oxide envelopes; note the dissolution at the edges of the grains probably associated with exposure to atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$; d. panoramic view of carbonate grain cut by triaxial deformation and fractures; note how fractures cut oxide laminae that cover grain surface. e. iron oxide grain coatings; f. detail at carbonate grain in Figure S8d, showing triaxial deformation and fractures cutting the oxide laminae that cover the surface of the grain.

### 7.2. Sample M-2

Mudstone-wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$; mid to high recrystallization ( $90 \%$ of the sample) (Fig. S9a-b). The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<60 \%$ ) and calcite spar $(<40 \%)$. The allochemical components are pellets ( $<65 \%$ ) (Fig. S10c-d). Marine
protozoa (foraminifera) represent the skeletal components in the sample, and they include rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria. Microstructures, such as walls in foraminifera, are difficult to discern in replacement calcite. Grains of various extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar, are common. Some have remnants of crystal faces and are subangular to subrounded. Pellets are subrounded to rounded and have low sphericity and mean size of $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. These are dark brown (Fig. S12e-f). Cement is calcite spar partly dolomitized and ankeritized. Most dolomite is probably formed by the replacement of calcite and is distinguished from calcite in thin section by crystal habit. Replacement, in this case, consists of dissolution of the original calcite and precipitation of dolomite-mostly as the cement in voids. Most iron oxide (hematite) ranges in size from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Microfractures or veins occur in three orientations filled with calcite, a trigonal polymorph, and an orthorhombic polymorph, such as aragonite. The thickness of fractures varies from $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with lengths that range from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 5 cm . In microfractures, components have been cemented by calcite in grains that are much larger than the components themselves.

The microfacies characteristics of sample M-2 are similar to that of standard microfacies 3 and 4, which allow the interpretation of deep shelf margin deposits within facies belt 332 .


Fig. S12. Microphotograph in crossed polars for Sample M-2. a. and b. mudstone-wackestone with pellets, mid to high recrystallization; microfractures filled with calcite; c. and d. detail from $a$. and $b$. showing calcite recrystallization and calcite spar; e. and f. closeup of pellets.

### 7.3. Sample M-3

Mudstone-wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelmicrite-pelsparite ${ }^{30,31}$; low-level recrystallization (20\%). The orthochemical constituents consist of microcrystalline calcite ( $<60 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<40 \%$ ) (Fig. S13a-b). The allochemical constituents consist of pellets ( $<15 \%$ ), foraminifera, such as rotalinids, globigerinids, and Radiolaria, as well as extrabasinal minerals such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are subrounded to rounded and platy in shape, ranging in size from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and are dark brown. Cement is calcite spar that has been dolomitized to some extent. Mixed iron oxides, such as hematite, prevail, with sizes ranging from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Fractures are present in three orientations filled with calcite and aragonite (Fig. S13c-e). The thickness of the microfractures varies from $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm in length. At fractures, cement
crystals are large enough to show up in freshly broken hand specimens as "shiny cleavage" surfaces ("luster mottling"; Fig. S13f).

Microfacies characteristics are similar to those found in standard microfacies 3 and 432. This indicates that the limestone in sample M-3 was deposited on a deep shelf margin within facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S13. Microphotograph in plane-polarized light of sample M-3. a. and b. mudstone-wackestone with pellets slightly recrystallized with microfractures distributed in three orientations and cavities filled with calcite; $\mathbf{c}$. detail of pores and cavities filled by calcite spar; $\mathbf{d}$. and $\mathbf{e}$. fractures filled with calcite spar and aragonite; f. microfracture replaced by aragonite and opaque minerals, probably hematite.

### 7.4. Sample M-4

Mudstone-wackestone ${ }^{29}$ or pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$; relatively highly recrystallized (70\%) (Fig. S14a-b). The primary orthochemical constituents are microcrystalline calcite ( $<50 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<50 \%$ ). The allochemical components are pellets ( $<65 \%$ ), foraminifera-like rotalinids,
globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria. Extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar, are common. Pellets are subrounded to rounded with elongated leaf-like forms that average $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size and are of a dark brown color (Fig. S14b). Cement is calcite spar, moderately dolomitized, and ankeritized. Iron oxides are assumed to be hematite. Commonly, particles of hematite have diameters of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Fractures have three orientations filled with spar (Fig. S14c). The width of the microfractures varies from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and the length varies from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 5 cm (Fig. S14d-f). Calcite spar, which fills pores spaces, appears black in crossed polars. Pore space, also known as poikilitic spar microstructures or "luster mottling," extends $700 \mu \mathrm{~m}-90 \mathrm{~mm}$, with a width of $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}-700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Calcite-filled cracks, which are found together with sedimentary or tectonic microstylolite seems, are interpreted as shear structures. However, no distinction has been made to specify their genesis. The seams may be derived from mechanical compaction, possibly with burial and before cementation, and arrange as irregular surfaces within pseudo-bedding. They are characterized by mutual interpenetration of the two sides, with column pits and tooth-like projections on one side fitting into their counterparts on the other.

The microfacies characteristics for sample $\mathrm{M}-4$ correspond to standard microfacies 3 and 4, interpreted as deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt 3 32.


Fig. S14. Microphotograph in crossed polars of sample M-4. a. mudstone-wackestone with pellets, moderately to highly recrystallized with microfractures filled with calcite; $\mathbf{b}$. detail of the gradation in crystallization between microcrystalline calcite and calcite spar, as well as within pellets, which are the allochemical components in the sample; c. and d. iron oxide filled fractures; $\mathbf{e}$. and $\mathbf{f}$. pores and cavities filled with calcite spar cement, partly dolomitized.

### 7.5. Sample M-5

Mudstone-wackstone of pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pellsparite-pellmicrite ${ }^{30-31}$; mostly recrystallized ( $90 \%$ ) (Fig. S15a). The orthochemical contents are microcrystalline calcite ( $<30 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<70$ ) (Fig. S15b). The allochemical components are pellets ( $<35 \%$ ), foraminifera, such as rotalinids, Globigerina sp., Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria, as well as various extrabasinal constituents, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are subrounded to rounded, about $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, and are dominantly dark-brown. The calcite spar cement is moderately dolomitized. Generally, some ankerite component is present in dolomite. Hematite particles are common with sizes that vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S15c-d). Microfractures in three orientations contain calcite and aragonite (Fig. S15e). The thickness of the microfractures ranges from $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and
span, in length, between $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and 3 cm (Fig. S15f). Several fractures are folded with wave heights (distance perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) that measure $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm .

The microfacial characteristics in sample M-5 are similar to those for standard microfacies 3 and 4 , suggesting deposition in deep shelf-margin of facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S15. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-5. a. mudstone-wackestone with pellets highly recrystallized, containing microfractures filled with microcrystalline calcite; $\mathbf{b}$. pellets and recrystallized grains; $\mathbf{c}$. and d. calcite spar filling fractures in two directions; e. and f. poikilitic spar microstructures formed along with fractures.

### 7.6. Sample M-6

Packstone-grainstone of pellets and ooids ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30-31}$; highly recrystallized ( $95 \%$ ) (Fig. S16a-b). The orthochemical constituents are microcrystalline calcite ( $<30 \%$ ) and calcite spar $(<70 \%)$. The allochemical components are pellets $(<45 \%)$, ooids $(<30)$, foraminifera
(globigerinids and Globotruncana sp.) and some extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets and ooids are subrounded to rounded, with a leaf-like or roller form, and sizes vary between $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $450 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S16c-d). The nucleus in ooids is mainly of extrabasinal quartz and lithic fragments, which are highly deformed. Flakes of clay minerals and/or mica align parallel to each other and create pseudo-bedding (Fig. S16c-d). The calcite spar cement is mildly dolomitized and ankeritized. Iron oxides (probable hematite) are present with dimensions near $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Microfractures come in three orientations and filled with calcite or aragonite (Fig. S16e-f). The thickness of the fractures varies from $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Veins are oriented in the same way as the deformation of pellets and ooids.

The microfacies characteristics in sample M-6 are similar to those in standard microfacies 11, 12, 13 , and 15 , suggesting deposition in deep shelf-margin of facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S16. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-6. a. and b. packstone-grainstone with pellets and ooids, highly recrystallized with some shear planes; c., d., e. and f. allochemical constituents (pellets and ooids) highly deformed and recrystallized, notice the shear planes cutting the grains.

### 7.7. Sample M-7

Mudstone-wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30-31}$. The limestone in the sample is mildly recrystallized, either by diagenesis or tectonic deformation (30\%) (Fig. S17a-b).
Orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<60 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<40 \%$ ). Cement is calcite spar, partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. The allochemical contents are pellets ( $<15 \%$ ), foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria) and various extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are subrounded to rounded and platy in sizes near $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. These are of a dark brown color (Fig. S17b). Various iron oxides (probable hematite) are common in sizes that vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S17b-c). Fractures are in three orientations and contain calcite or aragonite (Fig. S17d-f). The thickness of the microfractures varies from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ a $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and their lengths range from approximately $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Some micro-fractures are folded, with wave heights (distance perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) that measure $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm .
Intercrystalline porosity shear planes are present. Pores and cavities are filled with aragonite and hematite, and measure from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter (Fig. S17b-c). Some extraclasts exhibit cataclastic textures.

Microfacies characteristics in sample M-7 correspond to standard microfacies 2, 3, and 4, and are interpreted as deep shelf-margin deposits in facies belt $3{ }^{32}$.


Fig. S17. Microphotographs in crossed polars of sample M-7. a. and $\mathbf{b}$. mudstone-wackestone with pellets, mildly recrystallized, with cavities occupied by iron oxide; c. Iron oxides filling pores and cavities, and calcite spar partly dolomitized and ankeritized; d. calcite spar in fractures; e. and f. calcite spar partly dolomitized along with fractures.

### 7.8. Sample M-8

Mudstone-wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelmicrite-pelsparite ${ }^{30-31}$. The sample is moderately recrystallized ( $50 \%$ ). The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite $(<60 \%)$ and calcite spar ( $<40 \%$ ) (Fig. S18a-b). Cement is calcite spar, partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. The allochemical constituents are pellets ( $<35 \%$ ), foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria). Quartz and feldspar are the predominant extrabasinal minerals. Pellets are subrounded to rounded and leaf-like forms that average $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter and are dark brown (Fig. S18b). Calcite spar cement is relatively dolomitized and ankeritized. Hematite is common in sizes that vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Microfractures have three main orientations and are filled with calcite and aragonite. The width of fractures ranges from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and their length is $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm (Fig. S18c-f).

The microfacial characteristics in sample M-8 are similar to the ones in standard microfacies 8,9 , 10 , which suggests deep shelf margin deposits in facies belt $2^{32}$.


Fig. S18. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-8. a. mudstone-wackestone with pellets, mild recrystallization with microfractures filled with microcrystalline calcite and aragonite $\mathbf{b}$. allochemical and orthochemical components show a gradation in degree of recrystallization; c., d., e., and f. detail of microfractures filled with aragonite and microcrystalline calcite.

### 7.9. Sample M-9

Packstone-grainstone with pellets and ooids ${ }^{29}$ or pelmicrite-pelsparite ${ }^{30,31}$; highly recrystallized ( $90 \%$ ) (Fig. S19a-b). The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<30 \%$ ) and calcite spar $(<70 \%)$. The allochemical components are pellets ( $<65 \%$ ), ooids ( $<10$ ), planktonic foraminifera (globigerinids and Globotruncana sp.), and extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets and scarce ooids are subrounded to rounded, platy, or with roller shapes. Their mean size is from $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $450 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and their color is dark brown. The nucleus of the ooids is commonly extrabasinal quartz and lithic fragments. Ooids are highly deformed. Significant
amounts of fecal material, i.e., pellets and peloids (any other micritic pellet-like forms), are found in the thin section. Pellets consist mainly of a petrographically distinct form called Fabreina, a type of nektic crustacean. The calcite spar cement is moderately dolomitized and ankeritized (Fig. S19c). Hematite oxides vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. Microfractures are in three orientations filled with calcite and aragonite (Fig. S19c-d). Fracture thickness is $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and the length is from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Fractures are orientated in the same direction as the deformation in pellets and ooids (Fig. S19c-f).

The characteristics of the microfacies in sample M-9 are similar to the standard microfacies 11, 12,13 , and $15^{32}$, which allow us to interpret the limestone as being deposited in a deep shelfmargin environment in facies belt $6^{32}$.


Fig. S19. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-9. a. and b. packstone-grainstone with pellets and ooids, highly recrystallized with microfractures filled with microcrystalline calcite and aragonite; $\mathbf{c}$. detail of a grade of crystallization in the components marked by sizable white aragonite and calcite crystals; d. allochemical "oolites" components are cut and reorganized by shear planes; e. and f. detail of microcrystalline calcite replacing calcite spar denoted by increasing size on white crystals.

### 7.10. Sample M-10

Mudstone-wackestone of pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$, with mild recrystallization (50\%) (Fig. S20a-b). Recrystallization is either by diagenesis or deformation. The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite $(<50 \%)$ and calcite spar $(<50 \%)$. The calcite spar cement is partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. The allochemical components are pellets $(<25 \%)$, foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria), and extrabasinal minerals - mainly quartz and feldspar. Pellets are dark brown, subrounded to rounded, and have a leaf-like form with mean sizes of $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S20b). Cement is calcite spar, moderately dolomitized and ankeritized. Several iron oxide minerals, such as hematite, are common with sizes that vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S20c). Fractures have three orientations and are filled with calcite or aragonite. The thickness of fractures ranges from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $450 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with lengths of $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2 cm . Fractures are folded or bent, with wave heights (distance perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) that measure $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2.5 cm (Fig. S20d-f). Cavities range in size from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and are filled with aragonite and hematite. Shear planes are common in multiple directions.

The microfacial characteristics in sample M-10 are similar to those reported for standard microfacies 2,3 , and 4 , which relate to deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S20. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-10. a. and b. mudstone-wackestone with pellets with mild recrystallization and calcite spar cement, partly dolomitized and ankeritized; c. iron oxides replace calcite cement; d. cavities filled with partly dolomitized cement; e. and f. folded fractures filled with calcite and aragonite.

### 7.11. Sample M-11

Mudstone-wackestone with peloids ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$; moderately recrystallized (50\%) (Fig. S21a-b). Peloids are structureless or micritic intraclasts (Fig. S21b). The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<60 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<40 \%$ ). Cement is calcite spar, partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. The allochemical components are pellets ( $<45 \%$ ), foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria) and several extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are dark brown, subrounded to rounded and platy, in sizes near $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S21b). Various iron oxides such as hematite are common in sizes from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S21c). Fractures are arranged in three orientations and filled with calcite and aragonite (Fig. S21d). The thickness of fractures varies from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and lengths of $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2 cm . Some fractures appear in fold crests and wave heights (distance
perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) that measure $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2.5 cm . Cavities are filled with aragonite and hematite, with sizes that range from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S21e-f). Various shear planes are present.

The microfacial characteristics in sample M-11 correspond to those in standard microfacies 2, 3, and 4 , occurring in deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S21. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-11. a. mudstone-wackestone with peloids, mild recrystallization, and calcite spar cement that is partly dolomitized and ankeritized; $\mathbf{b}$. peloids and cement with mild recrystallization; $\mathbf{c}$. iron oxides replacing calcite cement and fractures filled with calcite; d. fractures filled with calcite and aragonite; e. cavity filled with calcite and aragonite; f. detail of calcite and aragonite filling a fracture.

### 7.12. Sample M-12

Mudstone-wackestone of peloids ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$; moderately recrystallized (50\%) (Fig. S22a-b). Peloids are silt- to sand-size aggregates of microcrystalline calcium carbonate that
lack internal structure. Orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<60 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<40 \%$ ). Cement is calcite spar, partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. The allochemical components are pellets ( $<45 \%$ ), foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria), and extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are subrounded to rounded, with leaf-like forms. These are approximately $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and of a dark-brown color (Fig. S22b). Some other peloids are ellipsoid to roughly spherical shape. These are rather uniform in size and built up by coarse silt to very fine sand size (Fig. S22c-d). Various iron oxide particles are present, e.g., hematite in sizes that go from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S22e). Microfractures appear in three orientations filled with calcite and aragonite. The thickness of the fractures varies from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and lengths range from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2 cm (Fig. S22e-f). Some fractures are folded or bent, with wave heights (distance perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) that vary from $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 2.5 cm . Cavities contain aragonite and iron-rich clay in sizes that range from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Several shear planes are also in the sample.

The microfacies characteristics in sample M-12 are similar to those from standard microfacies 2, 3 , and 4 , corresponding to deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt 332 .


Fig. S22. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-12. a. and b. mudstone-wackestone with peloids, showing mild recrystallization with calcite spar cement that is partly dolomitized and ankeritized; c. and d. detail on the grade of recrystallization in the cement and peloids denoted by the occurrence of calcite and aragonite large crystals; e and $\mathbf{f}$. fractures filled with microcrystalline calcite and aragonite that cut the entire sample. Note the aggregates, some of which are iron oxides.

### 7.13. Sample M-13

Mudstone-wackestone of pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$; with high recrystallization (90\%) (Fig. S23a-b). The limestone is mildly recrystallized either by diagenesis or deformation. The orthochemical components are microcrystalline calcite ( $<30 \%$ ) and highly recrystallized calcite spar ( $<70 \%$ ). The cement is partly dolomitized and ankeritized calcite spar. The allochemical components are pellets ( $<25 \%$ ), shale intraclasts, foraminifera (rotalinids, globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria) and extrabasinal minerals, such as quartz and feldspar. Pellets are dark brown subrounded to rounded, with platy forms with mean sizes of $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Shale intraclasts are highly deformed (porphyroclasts) with mean sizes of $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ by $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, showing $\delta$ and $\theta$ deformation textures ${ }^{33}$ (Fig. S23b, and S23c, respectively), with pressure shadows or
fringes that suggest sinistral displacement related to tectonic collision processes. The nuclei of porphyroclasts show blastesis denoted by the growth of dolomite (Fig. S23d-e). Cementing overgrowths are present in strain shadows. Flakes of clay minerals and/or mica are aligned in parallel. The calcite spar cement is moderately dolomitized and ankeritized. Several iron oxides, such as hematite, are common with sizes that vary from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Microfractures are in three orientations filled with calcite and aragonite. The thickness of fractures varies in size from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and lengths range from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Most of the veins exhibit folding in the sense of strain on the deformation textures. The wave height (distance perpendicular to the axis of the waveform, from wave crest to adjacent wave trough) of the fold varies from $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Microcavities are filled with relatively coarse-grained mosaics of authigenic calcite or fined-grained carbonate spar, aragonite, and hematite, in sizes that vary from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Several shear planes are also common. The edge of the sample is highly recrystallized and oxidized, probably a result of chemical alteration that allowed the precipitation of iron oxides (Fig. S23f).

The microfacial characteristics in sample M-13 are similar to the standard microfacies 2, 3, and 4 , interpreted as deep shelf-margin deposition within facies belt 332 .


Fig. S23. Microphotograph in crossed polars for sample M-13. a. highly recrystallized and shearing mudstone-wackestone with pellets and calcite spar cement that is partly dolomitized and ankeritized in its shear planes; b. porphyroclasts type $\delta$ (Delta) with pressure shadows or fringes filled with calcite; $\mathbf{c}$. porphyroclasts type $\theta$ (Theta) with pressure shadows filled with calcite; d. porphyroclasts showing blastesis; e. calcite spar filling cavities; f. clast highly oxidized.

### 7.14. Sample M-14

This sample is the first from a recent group of three samples extracted in 2019 in order to provide additional assessments for the aloctonous nature of the raw materials used for the manufacture of lithic artefacts. It comes from the limestone dintel above the current entrance to the cave (see Fig. S24a).

Mudstone-wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$, or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$, highly recrystallized (Fig. S24b). The orthochemical content is microcrystalline calcite ( $<40 \%$ ) and calcite spar ( $<60 \%$ ). The allochemical components are pellets ( $<25 \%$ ), ooids with quartz nuclei ( $<2 \%$ ) (Fig. S24c-d), foraminifera (globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria), and other extrabasinal minerals
such as quartz and feldspar are common. Pellets are subrounded to rounded with equant particles or as an elongated leaf-like shape with mean sizes of $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, light to dark brown colors prevail. Cement is calcite spar, moderately dolomitized, and ankeritized. Various iron oxides similar to hematite are typical filling in pores or as patches in sizes go from $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S24e). Fractures arrange in three orientations, filled by calcite and aragonite. Thicknesses of the fractures vary from $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $650 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and extend from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Tectonic microstylolite seams cut some fracture systems. Iron oxides fill the shear planes that cut fractures (Fig. S24f). Some pores contain iron oxide and spar calcite, presenting deformation by the shear planes.

The microfacies characteristics are comparable to standard microfacies 3 and 4, interpreted as deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S24. a. the yellow circle indicates the location for sample M-14 at the entry of Chiquihuite Cave, in the state of Zacatecas, Mexico. b., c., d., e., and f., microphotographs in crossed polars for sample M-14.
b. and c. Mudstone-Wackstone with pellets, mild to a high grade of crystallization, and microfractures filled by calcite; d. and e. detail from recrystallized calcite, calcite spar, and iron oxides replacement; and f. detail of tectonic microstylolite filled by iron oxides that cut fractures.

### 7.15. Sample M-15

This sample has been extracted in 2019 from the eastern wall of the cave, close to the eastern end of the excavation X-12 (Fig. S25a).

Wackstone-packstone with pellets and ooids ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$, highly recrystallized (Fig. S25b). Orthochemical constituents are microcrystalline calcite ( $<30 \%$ ) and spar calcite highly recrystallized ( $<70 \%$ ). Cement is spar calcite, partly dolomitized and ankeritized. The allochemical content is pellets ( $<25 \%$ ), ooids with quartz nuclei strongly deformed, foraminifera (globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria), different extrabasinal minerals such as quartz and feldspar (Figs. S25c-e). Pellets are subrounded to rounded, sometimes as leaf-like structures $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size, displaying light to dark brown color. Various iron oxides (probable hematite) are common in sizes that vary from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Pores and cavities are filled with spar calcite as patches, extending $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Blastesis develops porphyroclasts inside the pores, including type $\delta$ and type $\theta^{33}$. Porphyroclasts present a sinistral relative shear direction or leftlateral motion. Fractures occur in three orientations filled with calcite and aragonite. The thicknesses of fractures vary from $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $550 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and extend from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ up to 3 cm long. A group of fractures is deformed accordingly to the cinematic indicators from $\delta$ and $\theta^{33}$ (Fig. S25e); the amplitudes in its fold crests measure $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . Microcavities contain spar calcite, aragonite, and hematite, and vary in size from $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. S25e). Shear planes are frequent (Fig. S25e). The edge of the sample is highly recrystallized and oxidize, possibly as a result of chemical weathering that allows hematite to precipitate.

The microfacies characteristics are similar to those in standard microfacies 2,3 , and 4 , suggesting deep shelf-margin deposits within facies belt 332 .


Fig. S25. a. Location (yellow circle) for the sample M-15 on the eastern wall of the main gallery at Chiquihuite Cave. b., c., d., and e. microphotographs in crossed polars of sample M-15; b. Wackstonepackstone with pellets and ooids highly recrystallized with microfractures filled by calcite; $\mathbf{c}$. and $\mathbf{d}$. Detail of a grade of crystallization in microcrystalline calcite, spar calcite, and other allochemical components such as in pellets; e. Panoramic view of a wackstone-packstone with pellets highly recrystallized, and calcite spar in fractures with three orientations.

### 7.16. Sample M-16

This sample has been extracted in 2019 from the roof of the main gallery, from an overhang above the western end of the dig X-12 (Fig. S26a).

Mudstone-Wackestone with pellets ${ }^{29}$ or pelsparite-pelmicrite ${ }^{30,31}$ highly recrystallized (Fig. S26be). The orthochemical content is microcrystalline calcite ( $50 \%$ ) and spar calcite ( $50 \%$ ). The
allochemical content includes pellets ( $<25 \%$ ), foraminifera (globigerinids, Globotruncana sp., and Radiolaria), and some extrabasinal minerals like quartz and feldspar (Figs. S26c-d). Pellets are subrounded to rounded, equating in size and similar in form to a leaf, their mean size is $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and occur in light and dark brown color. Cement is spar calcite, which is partly dolomitized and ankeritized (Fig. S26d). Some iron oxides like hematite are commonly filling pores or arranged in patches $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in extent. Fractures array in four orientations filled by calcite and aragonite. The thickness of fractures varies from $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $750 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, as long as $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm . One group of fractures is folded, with a spacing of $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to 3 cm in between its fold crests; this cluster of fractures folds any other group of fractures (Fig. S26e). Iron oxides fill shear planes, which cut multiple fracture systems. Some microcavities hold iron and spar calcite, also deformed by the shear planes.

The microfacies characteristics correspond to microfacies 2,3 , and 4 , indicating deep shelfmargin deposits as in facies belt $3^{32}$.


Fig. S26. a. Location (yellow circle) for sample M-16, inside the main chamber of Chiquihuite Cave. b., c., d., and e. microphrotographs in crossed polars for sample M-16; b. mudstone-wackstone with pellets highly recrystallized, showing fractures filled by calcite; $\mathbf{c}$. and d. detail of the grade of crystallization in microcrystalline calcite and calcite spar, which is also present in pellets; e. photo mosaic showing several clusters of fractures filled by spar calcite, some fractures are folded and cut or deform other thinner microfractures.

### 7.17. Synthesis of appearance and similarities amongst samples.

The petrographical, microtextural, and qualitative analyses of fifteen samples (Table S8, Figs. S27, S28) contribute data for a cluster analysis using the methods of single linkage, by considering the Euclidian distances ${ }^{34}$. The cluster analysis differentiates among five groups related by physical features (such as texture or grade of recrystallization), textural characteristics (such as allochemical or orthochemical contents), and post-depositional structures (such as shear planes).

Group I -samples M-8, M-10, M-11, and M-12 show mild recrystallization, often the presence of shear planes and microfolds, their corresponding textures of Mudstone-Wackstone (Fig. S27).

Group II-samples M-3 and M-7 present low recrystallization, cavities, and pores are slightly developed; however, fractures are almost absent, restricting the formation of shear planes and microfolding (Fig. S27).

Group III-samples M-5, M-13, M-14, M15, and M-16 prove a high grade of recrystallization, well-developed shear planes, and microfolds with textures similar to MudstoneWackstone (Fig. S27).

Group IV-samples M-6 and M-9 exhibit high-grade of recrystallization, textures are Packstone-Grainstone, with scatter shear planes, and no cavities (Fig. S27).

Group V -samples M-2 and M-4 show mild to high recrystallization, pores, cavities remain sparse, and pellets are frequent (Fig. S27).


Fig. S27. Quantitative representation of assigning sampling units to groups. The petrographical descriptions, microtextural characterization, and quantitative analysis are the critical attributes for dissimilarities between groups.

Table S8. List of similarities among samples collected in the Chiquihuite cave and nearby sites.

| F o 1 k <br> $(1959)$ | Dunhmam <br> $(1962)$ | Orthochemical <br> content \% | Allochemical <br> content | Grade of <br> recrystallization | Pores <br> a n d <br> cavities | Fractures | Deformational <br> structures | ZF <br> Wilson <br> $(1975)$ | Sample |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pelmicrite | Mudstone- <br> Wackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (60\%) | $90 \%$ | Absent <br> $(0)$ | Few (3) | Absent (0) | 3 | M-2 |


| Pelmicrite- <br> Pelspatite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (15\%) | 20\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (1) | Absent (0) | 3 | M-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Micrite (50\%) } \\ & \text { Spatite (50\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Pellets (60\%) | 70\% | Few (3) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces <br> (1) | 3 | M-4 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Micrite (30\%) } \\ & \text { Spatite } \\ & (70 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Pellets (35\%) | 90\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (2) | 3 | M-5 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | PackstoneGranistone | Micrite (30\%) <br> Spatite (70) | Pellets (45\%) <br> Ooids (30\%) | 95\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces <br> (1) | 6 | M-6 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (15\%) | 30\% | Few (3) | Moderately (6) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (2) | 3 | M-7 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (35\%) | 50\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | Absent (0) | 2 | M-8 |
| Pelspatite- <br> Pelmicrite | PackstoneGrainstone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Micrite (30\%) } \\ & \text { Spatite (70\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Pellets (65\%) <br> Ooids (10\%) | 90\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | Shear surface <br> (1) | 6 | M-9 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (50\%) <br> Spatite (50\%) | Pellets (25\%) | 70\% | Few (3) | Few (3) | Shear surface (1) | 3 | M-10 |
| Pelspatite- <br> Pelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (45\%) | 50\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (2) | 3 | M-11 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (60\%) <br> Spatite (40\%) | Pellets (45\%) | 50\% | Few (3) | Few (3) | $S$ h e a r surfacees and micro folds (2) | 3 | M-12 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (30\%) <br> Spatite (70\%) | Pellets (25\%) | 90\% | Absent <br> (0) | Few (3) | $S$ h e a r surfaces, micro folds, and $\delta$ and $\theta$ cinematic indicators (4). | 3 | M-13 |
| Pelspatite- <br> Pelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (40\%) <br> Spatite (60\%) | Pellets (15\%) <br> Ooids (10\%) | 80\% | Absent (0) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (1) | 3 | M-14 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | WackestonePackstone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Micrite (30\%) } \\ & \text { Spatite (70\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Pellets (20\%) <br> Ooids (15\%) | 80\% | Few (1) | Few (3) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (3) | 3 | M-15 |
| PelspatitePelmicrite | MudstoneWackestone | Micrite (50\%) <br> Spatite (50\%) | Pellets (10\%) | 90\% | Few (2) | Few (4) | Shear surfaces and micro folds (4) | 2 | M-16 |

Fig. S28 (next page). Thin-section summary of results. a. M2 (gravel, stratum 1209). MudstoneWackestone with pellets (M-W-p), highly recrystallized, without deformation structures, fractures moderately developed, no cavities. b. M3 (gravel, 1212). M-W-p without deformation structures, lowgrade of recrystallization, scarce fractures, cavities are absent. c. M4 (gravel, 1217). M- W-p highly recrystallized, accompanied by few deformation structures, including sparse fractures and cavities. d. M5 (gravel, 1219). M-W-p highly recrystallized, with moderate development of deformation structures, no cavities, scattered fractures. e. M6 (light grey pebble, outside slope). Packstone-Grainstone with pellets (P-G-p), lacking recrystallization, moderate development of deformation structures and fractures, without cavities or pores. f. M7 (dark grey pebble, outside slope). M-W-p, mild recrystallization, moderate development of deformation structures, fractures and, in lesser extent, cavities. g. M8 (greenish pebble, outside slope). M-W-p moderately recrystallized, without deformation structures or cavities, moderate development of fractures. h. M9 (artefact 1889-12698, dark limestone). P-G-p and ooids, highly recrystallized, deficient in deformation structures and fractures, in the absence of cavities. i. M10 (1866-12685, greenish limestone). M-W-p, mild-to-high recrystallization, scarce deformation structures, low in fractures, and sparse cavities. j. M11 (1899-12709, greenish limestone). M-W w/peloids, moderately recrystallized, moderate deformation structures and fractures; cavities are absent. k. M12 (1899-12710, pink-greenish limestone). M-W w/ peloids, moderately recrystallized, hardly any
deformations structures, moderate development of fractures and cavities. I. M13 (2110-12949, light grey limestone). M-W-p highly recrystallized, high presence of deformation structures, moderate development of fractures, free of cavities. m. M14 (limestone from the roof above the cave's entrance). M-W with pellets (Dunham, 1962), or pelsparite-pelmicrite (Folk, 1959; 1962), highly recrystallized. Cement is calcite spar, moderately dolomitized, and ankeritized. n. M15 (limestone sample from the eastern wall near the excavation). Wackstone-packstone with pellets and ooids (Dunham, 1962) or pelsparitepelmicrite (Folk, 1959; 1962), highly recrystallized. Cement is spar calcite, partly dolomitized, and ankeritized. o. Euclidean linkage diagram showing the relationship between the 15 samples.


## 8. Commercial radiocarbon dating methods

### 8.1. PaleoResearch Institute

The following is an excerpt from a results report obtained for dated sample PRI-5414. It delineates the methods used by the PaleoResearch Institute.

A charcoal sample submitted for radiocarbon dating was identified and weighed prior to selecting a subsample for pre-treatment. Any remainder of the charred sample is curated permanently at PaleoResearch Institute. The subsample was vacuum freeze-dried, freezing out all moisture at $-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $<10$ millitorr. Then the sample was treated with cold pH 2 hydrochloric acid ( HCl ), followed by cold 6 N HCl . The sample then was heated to approximately $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while in 6 N HCl . This step was repeated until the supernatant was clear. This step removes iron compounds and calcium carbonates that hamper humate compound removal. Next, the sample was subjected to $5 \%$ potassium hydroxide ( KOH ) to remove humates using both cold solutions and solutions that were heated. Once again, the sample was rinsed to neutral and re-acidified with pH 2 HCl between each KOH step. This step was repeated until the supernatant was clear, signaling removal of all humates, then was rinsed to neutral. After humate removal, the sample was made slightly acidic with pH2 HCl. Each sample was freeze-dried, then combined in a quartz tube with a specific ratio of cupric oxide ( CuO ) and elemental silver ( Ag ) in quantities based on the mass of carbon in the sample. The tubes were hydrogen flame-sealed under vacuum.

Standards and laboratory background wood samples were treated to the same acid and base processing as the charcoal sample of unknown age. A radiocarbon "dead" wood blank from the Grey Fossil site in Washington County, Tennessee, dated to the Hemphillian stage of the late Miocene, 4.5-7 MYA (currently beyond the detection capabilities of AMS) was used to calibrate the laboratory correction factor. In addition, standards of known age, such as the Third International Radiocarbon Inter-comparison (TIRI) Sample " $B$ " (Belfast Pine) with a consensus age of $4503 \pm 6$, and TIRI Sample " $J$ " (Bulston Crannog wood) with a consensus age of $1605 \pm$ $8^{35}$, are used to help establish the laboratory correction factor. After the requisite pre-treatment, a quantity similar to submitted samples of each wood standard was sealed in a quartz tube. Once all the wood standards, blanks, and submitted samples of unknown age were prepared and sealed in their individual quartz tubes, they were combusted at $820^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, soaked for an extended period of time at that temperature, and allowed to cool slowly, enabling the chemical reaction that extracts carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ gas. Following this last step, the sample of unknown age, the wood standards, and the laboratory backgrounds were sent to The Center for Applied Isotope Studies in Athens, Georgia, where the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas was processed into graphite. The graphitized samples
were placed in the target and run through the accelerator, generating numbers that are subsequently converted into radiocarbon dates.

### 8.2. Beta Analytic

The following is an excerpt from an email sent by R.E. Hatfield, President/Director of Beta Analytic, on June 14th, 2019, and received by C.F. Ardelean, delineating the pretreatment protocols used by the laboratory for samples Beta-345055, -436709 , and -436710 .

Bone Collagen (Beta-345055)

The pretreatment for the extraction of the bone collagen that was employed is composed of a proprietarily modified Longin Collagen Extraction Method (1971)36, that we have developed inhouse. The concentrations of the chemicals applied, duration and number of extractions are varied based on factors such as initial size, level of preservation, burial conditions (if known), and the observed level of reaction of the collagen extract to the pretreatment process as it is being performed. This is unique to each bone sample, so there is not a specific stepwise pretreatment regime, it must be modified for each sample based on our experience and observations.

In general, our process consists of an initial cleaning stage where the bone is washed and then physically cleaned by scraping as needed with a wire brush or abraded with a Dremel tool to remove any surface contamination (dirt, stains, surface debris, possible oils from prior handling, etc.). It is then placed on 0.2 N Hydrochloric Acid ( HCl ) at $\sim 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to dissolve the mineral fraction. After 12-24 hrs. in the initial HCl bath, the bones surface is again scraped to remove the outermost layers (size permitting) which may contain imbedded dirt or rootlet materials that may have penetrated below the bones surface during burial.

This material is generally discarded provided there is sufficient remaining bone for dating Over the course of several days, collagen was periodically scraped away as the surface mineral fraction dissolved. Once a sufficient amount of collagen was recovered, this step was terminated, and the collagen was rinsed to neutral. A solution of $1-2 \%$ alkali ( $50 / 50 \mathrm{wt} / \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ ) was carefully applied and reapplied under observation at room temperature until the solution remained clear (indicating effective removal of secondary organics such as humic acids). After rinsing to neutral, a final acid wash was applied to remove any adsorbed CO2. Throughout the process all roots, organic debris and minerals were eliminated. The purified collagen was then rinsed to neutral, dissected and microscopically examined for cleanliness and uniformity.

The clean gelatinous collagen extract is then dried by vacuum desiccation prior to combustion. The extracted collagen is then combusted to CO2 and the C13/12 ratio (and N15/14 if requested)
is checked to see if the value is consistent with the type of animal or human bone being analyzed. If the C13/12 ratio is consistent with the expected value (typically between -9 oloo and -21 o/oo), and all other steps in the extraction process have proceeded normally, the CO2 from the combustion is then graphitized and AMS counted.

Organic Sediment (Beta-436709)

The sample was first visually inspected for size, homogeneity, debris, inclusions, clasts, grain size, organic constituents and potential contaminants. It was then dispersed in deionized H 2 O , homogenized through stirring and sonication and then sieved through a 180 um sieve. The material passing through the sieve ( $<180 \mathrm{um}$ ) was used for the analysis. It was bathed in serial applications of 1.25 N HCl at 90C for a minimum of 2 hours at each application, to ensure the complete removal of any carbonates.

This was followed by serial deionized H 2 O rinses at 70C until neutrality was reached. Any debris or micro-rootlets smaller than $<180$ um, were discarded during these rinses. After drying in an oven at 90C for 12-24 hours, the dried sample was homogenized, and a representative subsample of the sediment was placed under a 45X microscope.

Concentrated HCl was applied to the representative sub-sample and the complete removal of any carbonate species was visually validated. Microscopic examination was performed on the remaining sample material to assess its characteristics and to determine the appropriate subsample size that would be suitable for d13C and AMS dating.

Charcoal (Beta-436710)

The sample was first visually inspected for size and durability. It was reduced to small particles ( $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) through dissecting and crushing and saturated in de-ionized water at 70C. It was then soaked in 1 N HCl for 1-2 hours and repeated, if needed, to eliminate any carbonates present. The sample was then rinsed to neutral with deionized H 2 O . A 1-2\% alkali solution was then applied (50/50 wt/wt\% NaOH) at 70C and the sample was allowed to soak for 2-4 hrs. The sample was rinsed multiple times with deionized H 2 O and the alkali applications and rinsing repeated until no color change was observed on the application of fresh NaOH . It was then rinsed to neutral with deionized H2O. A final hot acid wash (0.5-1.0 NHCL ) was applied to ensure the alkali was neutralized and once again rinsed to neutral with deionized water. During this process all roots and organic debris were eliminated. The sample was dried at 100C or vacuum desiccated depending on its size and preservation level for 12-24 hours. It was then microscopically examined for cleanliness, uniformity and where applicable appropriately subsampled for the d13C and AMS measurements.

## 9. Optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating

The OSL tubes were opened under subdued amber laboratory lighting (low intensity LEDs with peak emission at 594 nm ) and sample preparation involved removal of the light-exposed outer 2 cm from the ends and the extraction of sand-sized quartz mineral grains for OSL measurements from the inner, light-shielded core. Conventional preparation methods were used and all raw samples were then sieved in water to extract the 90-125, 125-180 and 180-250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ grain size fractions. These were then treated with $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2(30 \%)$ to remove organics, HCl acid (10\%) to dissolve carbonates and HF acid (45\%) to dissolve feldspar minerals and to remove the outer ( $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) rind of quartz grains affected by alpha irradiation. A heavy liquid density separation using sodium polytungstate $(2.62 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm} 3)$ was then used to separate the quartz rich fraction from the heavy minerals. Finally, all samples were treated again with $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ to remove potential contaminant fluorides precipitated during the HF etching, followed by rinsing in demineralized water.

Due to the paucity of sand-sized quartz grains within the carbonate dominated cave sediments (see Supplementary Information SI1.1 and SI1.7.1.), OSL measurements were conducted on a wider grain size fraction $(125-250 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ in order to increase the number of grains available for dating. Although, according to X-ray diffraction analyses (see Supplementary Information SI1.1) sand-sized quartz grains are the second most abundant mineral in the sediment ( $\sim 6 \%$ ), the vast majority of grains are dominated by calcite ( $\sim 75-95 \%$ ) with the common occurrence of illite/ muscovite (up to $\sim 20 \%$ ). Full elemental analysis by fusion ICP-MS also revealed very high concentrations of CaO 3 (typically $\sim 49 \%$ ), high loss on ignition values (typically $39 \%$ ), with low concentrations of SiO 2 (typically $\sim 6 \%$ ) and consistent with a suite of mineral material derived primarily from the disintegration of limestone.

Grains were mounted either as circular multi-grain monolayers of $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter onto aluminium discs with a silicone oil adhesive (Viscasil 60,000 ) or as individual grains into goldplated single-grain aluminium discs supplied by Risø National Laboratories (Denmark), capable of accommodating 100 individual grains inside circular cavities ( $\varnothing=300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Multi-grain OSL measurements were performed on a Lexsyg-Smart luminescence reader ${ }^{35}$ manufactured by Freiberg Instruments (Germany). The instrument was fitted with a $90 \mathrm{Sr} / 90 \mathrm{Y}$ ceramic disc $\beta$-source $(\sim 1.95 \mathrm{GBq})$ allowing irradiations of the quartz grains with a dose rate of $\sim 0.127 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{sec}$ and calibrated against a gamma-irradiated Risø National Laboratory standard ${ }^{36}$. For uniform optical excitation across the sample area, an OSL head unit fitted with 10 blue light emitting diodes (LEDs emitting at $458 \pm 10 \mathrm{~nm}$; max. power $100 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm} 3$ ) and ten infrared light emitting diodes (LEDs emitting at $850 \pm 10 \mathrm{~nm}$; max. power $300 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm} 3$ ) was used. The 370 nm quartz emission signal was detected using a combination of Hoya U340 and Delta BP 365/50EX optical filters mounted in front of a 25 mm head-on Hamamatsu bi-alkaline cathode
photomultiplier tube (H7360-02 series; 280-650 nm with peak sensitivity at 420 nm and $\sim 27 \%$ quantum efficiency). To detect the presence of feldspar contaminants, the 410 nm feldspar emission signal was detected using a filter combination comprising a Brightline HC414/46 and a Schott BG 39.

Single grain OSL measurements were made on an automated Risø TL/OSL DA-15 reader ${ }^{37}$ fitted with a single-grain green laser attachment. Stimulation was provided by a $10 \mathrm{mWNd}: \mathrm{YVO}$, solidstate diode pumped laser emitting at 532 nm (max. $103 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm} 3$ ) and the emitted ultraviolet signal at $\sim 370 \mathrm{~nm}$ from individual quartz grains was detected through a 7.5 mm Hoya U-340 filter mounted in front of an Electron Tubes Ltd 9235QA photomultiplier tube fitted with a blue-green sensitive bialkali photocathode. Laboratory doses used for constructing the dose response curves were provided by a $90 \mathrm{Sr} / 90$ Y ceramic $\beta$-source house within the reader. This source was also calibrated against a gamma-irradiated Risø National Laboratory standard ${ }^{36}$ and allowed irradiations of the quartz grains with a dose rate of $\sim 0.043 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{sec}$.

De estimates are based on a conventional single-aliquot regeneration (SAR) measurement protocol ${ }^{38,39}$. To detect the presence of infrared-sensitive minerals (e.g. feldspars) and to minimize potential contribution of residual feldspathic components to the quartz signal, each multigrain blue light stimulation measurement was also preceded by an infrared bleach at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 seconds ${ }^{40,41}$. No IRSL signal was detected in any of these measurements thereby confirming the absence of feldspar contaminants. For this reason, it was not considered necessary to adopt a similar double SAR measurement protocol for the subsequent single grain measurements.

The natural and regenerative doses were preheated to $230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 seconds, and the fixed test doses used to correct for sensitivity changes were preheated to a reduced temperature of $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before optical stimulation. The choice of preheat combination followed a series of dose recovery experiments conducted at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. S26). Due to the small quantities of material available for dating this test was done on a limited number of small sized multigrain aliquots (two aliquots per preheat step) obtained from sample X7232. The discs were bleached for 4 hours in direct sunlight and then given a laboratory beta dose equivalent to circa 18.9 Gy . OSL measurements were performed after a delay of several hours and the results (Fig. S29) suggest no noticeable dependency on temperature, returning values very close to the given laboratory dose. No results were obtained for the $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature steps because these aliquots did not produce a measurable OSL signal. Indeed, besides the paucity of sand-sized quartz mineral grains within the cave sediment, the poor sensitivity of most grains presented a real challenge for the OSL dating. By far the most common reason for rejecting multi-grain or single-grain measurements (see Table S9) was caused by a lack of response to laboratory induced beta irradiation (low sensitivity), with initial Tn signals
found to be less than $3 \sigma$ above background counts. A few single grain measurements were also affected by high recuperation ( $>15 \%$ ) or a recycling ratio inconsistent with unity.


Fig. S29. Dose recovery test for increasing preheat temperatures $\left(180-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of multigrain aliquots prepared from sample X7232. The dotted line is at 18.9 Gy .

Table S9. Summary of the multi-grain and single-grain OSL measurements made, rejected and accepted for each sample. The main reason for rejecting individual measurements was almost invariably caused by a lack of response to laboratory induced beta irradiation (low sensitivity), with initial Tn signals found to be less than $3 \sigma$ above background counts. A few single grain measurements were also affected by high recuperation ( $>15 \%$ ) or a recycling ratio inconsistent with unity. In the case of sample X4135, the singlegrain measurements also revealed the presence of 23 grains which featured a saturated OSL signal. No IRSL signal was detected in any of the measurements thereby confirming the absence of potential feldspar contaminants.

| Sample | Type of measurement | Number of measurements | Sum of rejected aliquots/grains | Sum of accepted aliquots/grains | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X4135 | multi-grain | 11 | 1 | 10 | 76 |
|  | single-grain | 3300 | 3234 | 66 |  |
| X7227 | multi-grain | 12 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
|  | single-grain | 700 | 696 | 4 |  |
| X7229 | multi-grain | 12 | 10 | 2 | 11 |
|  | single-grain | 500 | 491 | 9 |  |
| X7231 | multi-grain | 12 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
|  | single-grain | 2300 | 2296 | 4 |  |
| X7232 | multi-grain | 4 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
|  | single-grain | 2800 | 2790 | 10 |  |
| X7233 | multi-grain | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
|  | single-grain | 2900 | 2896 | 4 |  |

The standard error on individual De measurements included an instrument reproducibility uncertainty of $1 \%$, as well as a random $1 \%$ uncertainty arising from photon counting statistics. The total uncertainty on the final equivalent dose includes a further systematic component of $2 \%$ (added in quadrature) to account for uncertainties in the calibration of the laboratory beta sources. For multi-grain measurements, the equivalent dose was determined from the first second of the OSL decay curve using the final 5 s as background noise (total stimulation time varied between $50-100 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and for single-grain measurements the De was determined from the first 0.1 s of the decay curve using the last 0.2 s as background noise (total stimulation time was 1 s ). Dose response curves (see inserts c \& d in Figs. S30-S35) were fitted with the Analyst software package ${ }^{42}$ using a double saturating exponential function. The distributions of single-grain and multi-grain quartz De measurements (see inserts e \& f in Figs. S30-S35) and their associated data precision and error scatter are presented as abanico plots ${ }^{43}$ which combine a radial plot with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve using the default function tool developed within the package 'Luminescence' for the statistical programming language ' R ' 44,45 . Most samples with the exception of X4135, only contained minute quantities of quartz and unfortunately, the large majority of these grains were characterized by poor sensitivity. Due to the high number of rejected aliquots/grains and in order to retain sufficient measurements for De determination, the results from multi-grain and single-grain analyses were therefore combined to obtain a mean (unweighted) equivalent dose estimate used for age calculation. In the case of sample X4135, the OSL measurements revealed a much higher proportion of suitable grains. We hypothesize that the sampled sediment may have contained quartz grains of outside aeolian origin rather than being purely derived from the weathering of local bedrock or originating from vein quartz within the cave itself. The OSL measurements also demonstrated the presence of grains with a saturated OSL signal ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) and the single-grain De distribution of this sample is characterized by a higher degree of overdispersion ( $41 \%$ ) which is also apparent in the kernel density estimate (KDE) plot (insert f in Fig. S30). When considered in combination, these traits may be indicative of issues pertaining either to partial bleaching, in-situ weathering, disturbance or mixing of sediment and perhaps even small scale microdosimetric effects within the coarse textured carbonate rich sedimentary matrix. The use of a minimum age model was considered to be more appropriate for this sample and indeed, the calculated minimum age estimate ( $27.79 \pm 4.34 \mathrm{ka}$ ) is in better agreement with the radiocarbon based chronology. However, in order to be consistent with the approach adopted for the dating of the other samples in this series, the mean De and the calculated OSL date are therefore also reported in Table S10.

## Sample X4135


a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0425 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0428 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S30. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample X 4135 ; b. and d. corresponding example growth curves ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose ( De ) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty $(1 \sigma)$ was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and f. plots of the mean De distributions of all
multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) and all single-grain $(\mathrm{n}=66)$ quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X4135. The De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=76$ ) and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{45}$ and a kernel density plot ( f ). The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' $(R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterises the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

Sample X7227

a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.1268 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0427 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S31. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample X7227; b. and d. corresponding example growth curves ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose (De) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty $(1 \sigma)$ was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and f. plots of the mean De distributions of all multi-grain $(\mathrm{n}=3$ ) and all single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X 7227 . The De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose
measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{43}$ and a kernel density plot $(\mathbf{f})$. The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' ( $R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterises the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

Sample X7229

a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.1192$ Gy).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0425 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S32. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample $\mathrm{X} 7229 ; \mathbf{b}$. and $\mathbf{d}$. corresponding example growth curves ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose ( De ) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty ( $1 \sigma$ ) was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and $\mathbf{f}$. plots of the mean De distributions of all multi-grain $(n=2)$ and all single-grain $(n=9)$ quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X7229. The

De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{43}$ and a kernel density plot $(\mathbf{f})$. The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' ( $R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterizes the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

## Sample X7231


a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.1183 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0428$ Gy).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S33. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample X 7231 ; b. and d. corresponding example growth curves $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose ( De ) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty $(1 \sigma)$ was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and f. plots of the mean De distributions of all multi-grain $(n=6)$ and all single-grain $(n=4)$ quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X7231. The

De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{43}$ and a kernel density plot $(\mathbf{f})$. The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' ( $R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterizes the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

Sample X7232

a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.127 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0428 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S34. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample X 7232 ; $\mathbf{b}$. and $\mathbf{d}$. corresponding example growth curves ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose ( De ) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty $(1 \sigma)$ was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and $\mathbf{f}$. plots of the mean De distributions of all multi-grain $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ and all single-grain $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X 7232 . The

De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{43}$ and a kernel density plot (f). The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' ( $R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterises the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

Sample X7233

a) Multi-grain OSL decay curve.

c) Single-grain OSL decay curve.

e) Abanico plot of De distributions.

b) Multi-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.127 \mathrm{~Gy}$ ).

d) Single-grain OSL growth curve ( $1 \mathrm{sec}=0.0428$ Gy).

f) Kernel density plot of De distributions.

Fig. S35. a. and c. Example shine down curves of a multi-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) and a single-grain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) OSL measurement for sample X 7233 ; $\mathbf{b}$. and $\mathbf{d}$. corresponding example growth curves ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) featuring the interpolated combined mean equivalent dose ( De ) as a central red line. The associated symmetric uncertainty $(1 \sigma)$ was obtained by using a Levenberg-Marquardt method to fit a linear plus exponential function within version 4.57 of the 'Analyst' software ${ }^{42}$; e. and f. plots of the mean De distributions of all multi-grain $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ and all single-grain $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ quartz OSL measurements obtained for sample X7233. The

De distribution is presented as an abanico plot (e) displaying the distribution of equivalent dose measurements $(\mathrm{n}=9)$ and their associated data precision and error scatter ${ }^{43}$ and a kernel density plot $(\mathbf{f})$. The former plot type combines a radial plot (bivariate plot on the left side) with a histogram and kernel density estimate curve (univariate plots on the right side) using the default function tool developed within version 0.8 .6 of the package 'Luminescence' 44,45 for the statistical programming language ' $R$ ' ( $R$ Development Core Team). The $2 \sigma$ dispersion range is shown in dark grey and the light grey polygon characterises the $1 \sigma$ frequency distribution of the primary data (here the multi-grain De results).

Table S10. Radioactivity data, De determinations and calculated OSL age estimates for six sediment samples ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ).

| Sample | Radioisotopes |  |  |  | Wet gamma |  | Wet beta | Cosmic dose rate | Total |  | OSL age ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | Th | U | Rb | Water ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | dose rate | dose rate |  | dose rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | De ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| X4135 | $0.71 \pm 0.04$ | $4.5 \pm 0.2$ | $3.3 \pm 0.2$ | $41 \pm 2$ | $3 \pm 2$ [3.3] | $0.65 \pm 0.14$ | $0.98 \pm 0.05$ | $0.011 \pm 0.002$ | $1.64 \pm 0.15$ | (65.74 $\pm 3.21 ; n=76)$ | $(40160 \pm 4160)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | age model: | $45.49 \pm 5.77$ ( $n=76$ ) | $27790 \pm 4340$ |
| X7227 | $0.61 \pm 0.03$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $23 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 2$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ | $0.71 \pm 0.03$ | $0.011 \pm 0.002$ | $1.18 \pm 0.04$ | $13.67 \pm 2.31$ ( $n=7$ ) | $11620 \pm 2000$ |
| X7229 | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.1$ | $25 \pm 1$ | $3 \pm 2$ [3.3] | $0.49 \pm 0.02$ | $0.75 \pm 0.03$ | $0.011 \pm 0.002$ | $1.25 \pm 0.04$ | $29.87 \pm 3.56$ ( $n=11$ ) | $23940 \pm 2950$ |
| X7231 | $0.50 \pm 0.02$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $18 \pm 1$ | $5 \pm 2$ | $0.37 \pm 0.01$ | $0.58 \pm 0.02$ | 0.011 $\pm 0.002$ | $0.96 \pm 0.03$ | $14.97 \pm 1.61$ ( $n=10$ ) | $15560 \pm 1740$ |
| X7232 | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $19 \pm 1$ | $5 \pm 2$ [5.1] | $0.40 \pm 0.01$ | $0.61 \pm 0.03$ | $0.011 \pm 0.002$ | $1.02 \pm 0.03$ | $14.12 \pm 2.24(n=12)$ | $13870 \pm 2250$ |
| X7233 | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $19 \pm 1$ | $5 \pm 2$ | $0.40 \pm 0.01$ | $0.64 \pm 0.03$ | $0.012 \pm 0.002$ | $1.05 \pm 0.03$ | $11.48 \pm 1.65{ }_{(n=9)}$ | $10960 \pm 1610$ |

${ }^{a}$ Estimated mean water contents used for dose rate calculations. Values inserted in brackets were obtained from freshly collected sediment in December 2018.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The total dose rate includes a small assumed internal dose rate of $0.03 \pm 0.02$ Gy/ka to account for alpha and beta dose rates originating from small concentrations of ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ and ${ }^{232}$ Th within the quartz grains (de Corte et al. 2006; Vandenberghe et al 2008). The mean total uncertainty (at one sigma) was calculated as the quadratic sum of the random and systematic uncertainties. Dose rate calculations were obtained using DRAC (version 1.2) developed by Durcan et al. (2015) ${ }^{51}$ with the conversion factors provided by Guerin et al. (2011) ${ }^{50}$, the attenuation factors of Guerin et al. (2012) ${ }^{52}$ and Brennan (1991) ${ }^{53}$ and the beta-etch depth attenuation from Bell (1979) ${ }^{54}$. In the case of sample X4135, collected from the centre of a 30 cm thick sedimentary unit, a scaled gamma dose rate was applied according to Aitken (1985)55 to allow for the contribution of $10.66 \%$ of the external gamma dose rate to originate from overlying and underlying sediments (based on mean concentrations of radioisotopes within samples X7227-X7233). Assuming an infinite matrix, the total environmental dose rate derived only from the concentrations of radionuclides within sample X4135 itself, would be slightly higher (ie $1.69 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka}$ ) leading to a reduced age estimate of $26.92 \pm 3.41 \mathrm{ka}$.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The equivalent dose was obtained using the mean (unweighted) De of the combined multigrain and single-grain measurements that passed the selection criteria (recuperation, recycling, absence of IR signal). In the case of sample X4135, a date based on a minimum age model was considered to be more appropriate (see KDE plot in Fig. S27, insert $f$ ) but the age estimate obtained using the mean De is also reported.

The date is reported in years before 2017 and the uncertainty is expressed within one sigma (68\% confidence interval).

No on site gamma-ray spectrometry measurements were made and the dose rate calculations presented in Table S10 are based on the concentrations of radioactive elements ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Th}$ and U ) within the samples, as determined from elemental analysis performed on homogenized and pulverized subsamples (approximately 10 g of sediment) by inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP- MS) and inductively coupled atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). An assumed internal (alpha and beta) dose rate of $0.03 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{kyr}$ based on published measurements for etched quartz ${ }^{46,47}$ was included in the dose rate calculations. The concentrations of parent isotopes were converted to dose rates according to updated attenuation factors proposed by Guérin et al. ${ }^{48}$ and the dose rate and OSL age estimates were obtained using the dose rate and age calculator (DRAC version 1.2) developed by Durcan et al. ${ }^{49}$ with the attenuation factors of Guerin et al ${ }^{50}$ and Brennan ${ }^{51}$ as well as the beta-etch depth attenuation from Bell5 ${ }^{52}$.

Concentrations of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Th}, \mathrm{U}$ and Rb are relatively consistent between samples in this series (see Table S10). However, sample X4135 provided a much higher dose rate $(1.64 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka})$ due to
elevated concentrations of radionuclides. As mentioned above, this could be related to the occurrence of a higher proportion of exogenous mineral material blown into the cave from the outside environment. Whether or not this is the case will have to await confirmation from forthcoming studies. For this particular sample which was collected from the middle of a circa 30 cm thick stratigraphic unit (stratum 1223), it may also be more appropriate to base the age calculation on a scaled gamma dose rate which takes into consideration contributions from neighboring sediments and based on averaged radioisotope concentrations derived from the other samples in the series. Using the conversion factors of Guerin et al. ${ }^{48}$ and the fractional gamma dose table provided in Aitken ${ }^{53}$, a scaled gamma dose rate of $0.664+/-0.146 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka}$ can be calculated for this sample. This is considered to represent a best approximation of the external gamma dose rate affecting the quartz grains within sample X4135. It implies that only circa $89.34 \%$ of the gamma dose rate is derived from within the stratigraphic unit itself where the sample is located and which has an infinite matrix dose rate of $\sim 0.72 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka}$. Under this configuration, a further $10.66 \%$ would be contributed from the sedimentary units above and below. For the latter, we determined a lower mean infinite matrix dose rate of $\sim 0.42 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka}$.

The dose rate calculations are based on moisture contents which were determined from fresh sediment samples collected in 2019 and from stratigraphic units equivalent to those where the original OSL tubes were taken. The values ranged from 2 to $5 \%$ and were in overall good agreement with those initially recorded from the sediment contained in the OSL tubes (these varied from $1.5-3.7 \%$ ) but which were suspected of having undergone a loss of pore water. Although the open sections may also have experienced some drying-up, the most recently recorded field values are considered to be the best approximation of the mean water content of the cave sediment throughout their burial period. In order to account for past and seasonal changes in the pore water content, an uncertainty of $\pm 2 \%$ was attached to the water content values.

The cosmic dose rate $(0.011 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{ka})$ was not directly measured on site but estimated using the RLumShiny function 'Cosmic dose rate' developed by Burow ${ }^{54}$ which allows to calculate the cosmic dose rate taking into account multiple absorbers and corrections for the depths of the samples relative to the surface of the cave deposit ${ }^{55}$, the thickness of the overlying limestone bedrock $(\sim 40 \mathrm{~m})$, the respective densities of the sediment $(1.9 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm} 3)$ and the limestone $(2.4 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm} 3)$, as well as the geomagnetic latitude (34.37deg) and the elevation of the site (2740m).

## 10. Environmental DNA

### 10.1. Sensitivity and specificity testing of the taxonomic assignments by Holi

We used in silico modelling of three genomes from three key organisms (Homo sapiens, Ursus americanus and Juniper monosperma) to estimate the specificity and sensitivity of the taxonomic assignment method used (Holi; see ${ }^{56}$ ). In order to keep the setup simple, we ran the modeling and taxonomic assignments of each organism separately. Importantly, the taxonomic identification (both the specificity and sensitivity) does not depend on the diversity of the pool from which the reads derive, but on the information contained by each read, i.e., length and position, as well as on the composition/representation of organisms in the database the reads are aligned to. To simulate the most common biases observed in ancient DNA datasets, such as post-mortem DNA fragmentation, miscoding lesions and sequencing errors ${ }^{57}$, we used the program Gargammel ${ }^{58}$. To extract datasets similar to the observed in Gargammel, we first calculated the average read length across our data and converted these to relative proportions (Fig. S36). For each model, we randomly extracted fragmented reads corresponding to approximately 30 x coverage for each genome and repeated 5 times for parallel simulations. We used the damage patterns observed in the mammal reads of UE1210 (UE1210_Mex_18_Lib4_seq2; Extended Data Fig. 2a) as template (the misincorporation.txt file generated by MapDamage $2.0^{59}$ ) for inserting deamination to the modeled reads (Fig. S38).


Fig. S36. Readlengths used in the simulations. a. average read lengths proportions extracted from all samples and used to generate fragmented DNA from the reference genomes. b. read length example of randomly extracted DNA from the human mitochondrial DNA (NC_012920.1, simulation human model 1) using the average library as input.

To test the sensitivity and the specificity of our taxonomic assignment method, we used 5 different customized databases (Table S11): DB1) the NCBI nt including the RefSeq (ver. 91),

DB2) the full mitochondrial genomes (mtDNA), or full plastid genomes (pDNA) from the RefSeq version 91. We next wanted to investigate the implications of removing the reference genome (DB3) and all the reference genomes from the given genus (DB4) from the full RefSeq mtDNA and pDNA databases. Lastly, we mapped all reads against the reference from which the reads were extracted from (DB5). Furthermore, to enable transparency of the consequences each addition of errors have on the taxonomic profiles, we split each model up into three scenarios using, first all extracted reads (scenario a), secondly extracted reads with deamination (scenario b) and thirdly extracted reads with deamination and sequencing errors (scenario c, see Fig. S37). All reads from each three scenarios ( $a, b, c$ ) were hereafter mapped in parallel against the 5 databases. Each model was repeated 5 times (see Bash and R scripts below, in section 10.5).


Fig. S37. Flow diagram for one model simulation, outlining the extraction and manipulation of the reads in gargammel and the eventual database alignments and taxonomic assignment for scenario $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c .


Fig. S38. DNA damage template and examples of the reads from the three steps of Human mtDNA model 5 a. Template DNA damage used as input to gargammel b. extracted reads from Homo sapiens model 1 (scenario a), c. reads with damage (scenario b), and d. reads with damage and sequencing errors (scenario c).

Table S11. NCBI accession numbers for the taxa used in the in-silico modeling and the excluded reference sequences.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Databases/Species } & \text { Holi (DB1) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Full mtDNA or cpDNA } \\ \text { db (DB2) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { mtDNA or cpDNA } \\ \text { excluding reference } \\ \text { fasta (DB3) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { mtDNA or cpDNA excluding } \\ \text { fasta from genus (DB4) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Fasta used } \\ \text { as reference } \\ \text { and DB5 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Homo sapiens } & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version } \\ 91, \text { nt db (updated } \\ \text { 21st Nov. 2018) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes } \\ \text { excluding NC_012920.1 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes } \\ \text { excluding } \\ \text { NC_013993.1,NC_023100.1,N } \\ \text { C_012920.1,NC_011137.1 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Ursus americanus } & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version } \\ 91, \text { nt db (updated } \\ 21 \text { st Nov. 2018) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes } \\ \text { excluding NC_003426.1 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { RefSeq version 91 } \\ \text { mitochondrial genomes } \\ \text { excluding } \\ \text { NC_003426.1,NC_008753.1,N }\end{array} & \text { NC_003426.1 } \\ \text { C_009331.1,NC_009971.1,NC }\end{array}\right\}$

We find the proportion of reads when mapping (scenario a) to the databases DB1-DB3 and DB5 similar to the number of extracted reads with only small differences (Table S12). While we find reads aligning to database DB4, in which all the references from the genus has been removed, to
decrease by $\sim 35 \%$. The small difference observed between the Holi database (DB1) and the full mtDNA database (DB2) are likely explained by the fact that the DB1 was divided into 35 smaller databases ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~Gb}$ ) due to its considerable size. The DB2, on the contrary, remained as one file. This, however, does not influence the taxa found (as shown below). The same observation is evident for scenario b. Here, we see a slight but overall reduction of the total reads mapping, which is due to the deamination inserted on the reads. These edits decrease the homologies between read and reference sequences. For scenario c, we see the same trends in the alignment rates although DB1 has a small increase in alignments equal to DB2. Identical patterns are found for the other modeled organisms of Ursus and Juniper (Tables S12 and S13).

All aligning reads (with $100 \%$ similarity between read and reference) in each model mapped against (DB1-DB5) were parsed, for each scenario, through an in-house naïve least common ancestor (LCA) algorithm and results were parsed and plotted in R (see R scripts below, in section 10.5). We find that a cut-off threshold must be applied (removing taxa with proportions $<1 \%$ ) across all databases for robust taxonomic assignments. Tables S14-16 show the resulting taxonomic profiles both before and after the cut-off threshold. The taxonomic profile for each model and scenario of Homo sapiens mtDNA are presented in Figs. S39-43 and Table S15. For the Juniper and the Ursus models, the resulting taxonomic profiles from scenario c ("the sequenced simulation") are presented in Figs. S45-48 and Tables S15-16, respectively.

| Scenario a <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HomoSap.1 | 11835 | 11765 | 11835 | 11602 | 7711 | 11835 |
| HomoSap.2 | 11835 | 11735 | 11835 | 11587 | 7729 | 11835 |
| HomoSap.3 | 11835 | 11745 | 11835 | 11622 | 7787 | 11835 |
| HomoSap.4 | 11835 | 11766 | 11835 | 11576 | 7738 | 11835 |
| HomoSap.5 | 11835 | 11743 | 11835 | 11552 | 7716 | 11835 |


| Scenario b <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HomoSap.1 | 11835 | 11618 | 11341 | 10787 | 6554 | 11219 |
| HomoSap.2 | 11835 | 11575 | 11332 | 10754 | 6604 | 11233 |
| HomoSap.3 | 11835 | 11565 | 11315 | 10789 | 6690 | 11218 |
| HomoSap.4 | 11835 | 11638 | 11363 | 10777 | 6646 | 11250 |
| HomoSap.5 | 11835 | 11587 | 11317 | 10725 | 6604 | 11224 |


| Scenario c <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination and seq. errors (after <br> removal of adaptors and > Q30) | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HomoSap.1 | 11749 | 11173 | 11191 | 10648 | 6918 | 11074 |
| HomoSap.2 | 111747 | 11132 | 11159 | 10604 | 6940 | 11018 |
| HomoSap.3 | 111715 | 11141 | 11176 | 10643 | 6937 | 11051 |
| HomoSap.4 | 11751 | 11169 | 11198 | 10647 | 6870 | 11070 |
| HomoSap.5 | 11749 | 11189 | 11207 | 10658 | 6909 | 11083 |

Table S12. Extracted reads for the Homo sapiens modelling and reads aligned to DB1-5.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario a /lteration | Total reads extracted | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| Ursus_americanus.1 | 12155 | 12063 | 12155 | 9806 | 8576 | 12155 |
| Ursus_americanus.2 | 12155 | 12063 | 12155 | 9738 | 8427 | 12155 |
| Ursus_americanus.3 | 12155 | 12070 | 12155 | 9804 | 8521 | 12155 |
| Ursus_americanus.4 | 12155 | 12062 | 12155 | 9872 | 8585 | 12155 |
| Ursus_americanus.5 | 12155 | 12073 | 12155 | 9788 | 8523 | 12155 |


| Scenario b /lteration | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ursus_americanus.1 | 12155 | 11695 | 11724 | 8743 | 7457 | 11618 |
| Ursus_americanus.2 | 12155 | 11702 | 11739 | 8607 | 7291 | 11638 |
| Ursus_americanus.3 | 12155 | 11741 | 11764 | 8763 | 7454 | 11681 |
| Ursus_americanus.4 | 12155 | 11738 | 11785 | 8719 | 7459 | 11692 |
| Ursus_americanus.5 | 12155 | 11729 | 11742 | 8699 | 7380 | 11648 |


|  | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination and seq. errors (after |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario c /lteration | (removal of adaptors and > Q30) | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| Ursus_americanus.1 | 8248 | 11599 | 11622 | 8834 | 7673 | 11500 |
| Ursus_americanus.2 | 8346 | 11566 | 11587 | 8912 | 7765 | 11469 |
| Ursus_americanus.3 | 8300 | 11600 | 11626 | 8919 | 7744 | 11509 |
| Ursus_americanus.4 | 8362 | 11615 | 11632 | 8818 | 7626 | 11505 |
| Ursus_americanus.5 | 8221 | 11571 | 11594 | 8889 | 7727 | 11480 |

Table S13. Extracted reads for the Ursus americanus modelling and reads aligned to DB1-5.

| Scenario a <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted |  | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Juniper.1 |  | 91903 | 91236 | 91903 | 88495 | 79425 | 91903 |
| Juniper.2 |  | 91903 | 91229 | 91903 | 88387 | 79183 | 91903 |
| Juniper.3 | 91903 | 91258 | 91903 | 88340 | 79061 | 91903 |  |
| Juniper.4 |  | 91903 | 91279 | 91902 | 88507 | 79179 | 91903 |
| Juniper.5 |  | 91903 | 91253 | 91903 | 88521 | 79335 | 91903 |


| Scenario b <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Juniper.1 | 91903 | 89220 | 89680 | 84729 | 74255 | 89183 |
| Juniper.2 | 91903 | 89214 | 89701 | 84678 | 73941 | 89190 |
| Juniper.3 | 91903 | 89255 | 89694 | 84581 | 73834 | 89186 |
| Juniper.4 | 91903 | 89225 | 89667 | 84731 | 73811 | 89154 |
| Juniper.5 | 91903 | 89081 | 89525 | 84640 | 73967 | 89007 |


| Scenario c <br> Iteration | Total reads extracted with <br> deamination and seq. errors (after <br> removal of adaptors and $\mathbf{>}$ Q30) | DB1 | DB2 | DB3 | DB4 | DB5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Juniper.1 | 91145 | 88097 | 88462 | 83568 | 73141 | 87844 |
| Juniper.2 | 91125 | 87924 | 88356 | 83402 | 73080 | 87764 |
| Juniper.3 | 91140 | 87970 | 88330 | 83446 | 73152 | 87714 |
| Juniper.4 | 91142 | 87961 | 88360 | 83430 | 73017 | 87768 |
| Juniper.5 | 91127 | 87947 | 88351 | 83481 | 73179 | 87728 |

Table S14. Extracted reads for the Juniper monosperma modelling and reads aligned to DB1-5.

### 10.1.1. Homo sapiens mtDNA scenario a

For the taxonomic profiles recovered by extracting reads from the human mtDNA (scenario a), without applying a minimum threshold (Fig. S39), we find that all reads aligning to DB1 and DB2 are assigned to Homo sapiens (or higher taxonomic levels to which Homo sapiens belongs). However, we find a difference in the alignment proportion between these two databases-namely that $\sim 10 \%$ of the reads are assigned to species level using DB1 and $\sim 50 \%$ of the reads are assigned to species level using DB2 (Fig. S39). At genus level, DB1 assigns $\sim 6 \%$ of the reads while DB2 assigns $\sim 33 \%$ of the reads. This discrepancy can be explained by the nature of the databases, where DB2 is limited to only contain mtDNA genomes and DB1 contains the full RefSeq and nt databases from NCBI. Reads aligned against DB1, therefore, have more references and larger reference genomes to align to, resulting in an increased possibility of matching to genomes that are non-human. This is reflected by the taxonomic profiles and the large proportion of reads from DB1 that are assigned to the root ( $\sim 66 \%$ ). While this can be interpreted as DB1 having lower sensitivity and specificity, we do not believe this to be the case as the source of these reads can derive from multiple organisms. Thus, for metagenomic data, Holi assigns to a higher but more precise taxonomic level. Furthermore, we observe that when the reference genome is absent in the database (DB3), we increase the alignments to Homo ( $\sim 43 \%$ ); $\sim 25 \%$ reads are assigned to Homo sapiens neanderthalensis, $\sim 3 \%$ to Homo heidelbergensis, and $\sim 2 \%$ to Homo sapiens ssp. Denisova. We also see taxa distantly related to humans, however, these are taxa found in small proportions only ( $<0.06 \%$ ). In cases where all reference genomes from the Homo genus are absent, we find that the majority of the reads fall to Pan or Gorilla or higher taxonomical levels covering these genera (Hominidae). Again, taxa not related to Hominidae are found, but in low frequencies ( $<0.3 \%$ ). By applying a threshold $\geq$ $1 \%$, dissimilarity between reads and database reference sequences, we assign all reads aligned to DB1-3 within the correct genus and within the correct family for DB4 (Fig. S40).

### 10.1.2. Homo sapiens mtDNA scenario b

By inserting deamination patterns on the extracted reads, we observe that the total amount of taxonomically assigned reads are decreased by $25-40 \%$, depending on the database aligned to. This is a consequence of the decreased homology between reads and reference sequences as we are only considering similarities of $100 \%$ for taxonomic assignment in the LCA. However, despite this, we increase the number of unique taxonomic units, hence false-positives, by $60 \%$ (Fig. S41). In this scenario, we find $\sim 27 \%$ of the reads assigned to species level when aligned against DB1 and $\sim 48 \%$ for reads aligned against DB2. At genus level, $\sim 5 \%$ and $\sim 33 \%$ are assigned by DB1 and DB2, respectively. The proportion of reads assigned to root remains high ( $\sim 50 \%$ ) for DB1. For DB 1-3, we find that false-positives are emerging, although each with small proportions $<0.4 \%$ (Pan troglodytes in DB3 is highest). This implies that if no cut-off threshold is applied, the taxonomic profiles will yield false positives even at family levels. We therefore
argue that it is important to apply a threshold to remove such false positives from the datasets. We applied a cut-off threshold of $1 \%$, considering only taxa with $\geq 1 \%$ abundance, this results in that we assign all reads aligned to DB1-3 within the correct genus and within the correct family for DB4-similar to what we see in scenario a (Fig. S42).

### 10.1.3. Homo sapiens mtDNA scenario $\mathbf{c}$

The previous two scenarios (a and b) show that the vast majority of the reads can be assigned correctly within Homo sapiens or higher taxonomic groups to which this species belongs, but also that false-positives emerge from DNA damage. In scenario c, we add an additional important source of errors, sequencing errors. Consequently, we observe a decrease in the total number of reads assigned (up to $\sim 50 \%$ of the reads compared to scenario b). As for reads with deamination (scenario b), this is explained by an increase in the decreased homology (in this case) caused by the addition of sequencing errors. This resulted in a reduction in the number of unique taxonomic units by $\sim 50 \%$, which correlates with the number of totally assigned reads (Fig. S43). We find that taxonomic assignments without applying a cut-off threshold still remains robust at genus level for DB1-3, but not for DB4. The superorder of cingulate mammals, Cercopithecidae, are found to be the false-positive with highest abundance ( $0.19-1.33 \%$; Table S15), and appear for the DB4 taxonomic profiles (database with no references from the genus Homo). We also find false-positive for DB1-3 with the highest abundance of the pale fork-marked lemur, Phaner pallescens, but this does not exceed $0.6 \%$ (which equals 3 reads of the total 11,749 reads aligned). It is noteworthy that only $\sim 500$ of the initial $\sim 11,750$ reads are assigned a taxonomic level for DB4 where the reference of the whole genus is absent. This implies that for genera in a true metagenomic sample, the proportion of false-positives generated will be proportionally small than for species with a reference sequence in the database of either species or genus levels. Considering also that other errors both prior and during laboratory processing can occur (such as oxidization and PCR errors), we argue that setting a cut-off threshold of $\geq 1 \%$ for metagenomic samples containing Homo sapiens mtDNA will produce robust taxonomic assignments to the genus Homo (Fig. S44 and Table S15). Importantly, in this case, the resulting taxonomic profiles of DB1 and DB2 become nearly identical—which is also observed for the models of Ursus americanus and Juniper monosperma (see below).

### 10.1.4. Ursus americanus mtDNA and Juniper monosperma scenario c

The factors driving the generation and proportional levels of false-positives identified in scenario $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c for Homo sapiens mtDNA, is identical for the two other modeled organisms, Ursus americanus and Juniper monosperma. Below, we present the resulting scenario c taxonomic profiles from both organisms (Figs. S45-48 and Tables S15-16). Significantly, the resulting taxonomic profiles of Ursus americanus yielded the seal, Neomonachus schauinslandi, as a false-positive in DB3 (1.09\% and 1.06\%, in model 1 and 5, respectively; see Table S16). DB1,
however, does not find seal as a false-positive at all, while DB2 finds it at, proportionally, very low levels $(<0.016 \%)$. Importantly, the proportions of false positives decrease when part of a metagenomic sample. For the modelled Juniper monosperma, we do not identify any falsepositives above the cut-off threshold in any of the resulting taxonomic profiles (DB1-5; Fig. S48).

### 10.1.5. Conclusions

Considering the results above, we find that the taxonomic assignment of the ancient metagenomic sequence data can be robustly performed by using (i) the most comprehensive genetic reference databases available (without discriminating between organisms and/or environments), (ii) by preforming a naïve least-common-ancestor analysis considering only $100 \%$ similarities between read and reference, and (iii) by setting a minimum cut-off threshold (in this case $\geq 1 \%$ ) to eliminate the false positives generated by the various sources of errors. To improve robustness for future studies, it will be important to perform similar modeling on the most abundant taxa found in order to increase understanding of how each genome behaves when subjected to fragmentation, miscoding lesions, sequencing errors, and mapping.


Fig. S39. Complete taxonomic profiles of extracted mtDNA reads from Homo sapiens with no cut-off threshold applied.


Fig S40. Taxonomic profiles from Homo sapiens models of extracted reads above the threshold $1 \%$.


Fig. S41. Complete taxonomic profiles of damaged reads from Homo sapiens with no threshold applied.


Fig. S42. Taxonomic profiles from Homo sapiens models of damaged reads above the threshold $1 \%$.


Fig. S43. Complete taxonomic profiles of damaged and 'sequenced' reads from Homo sapiens with no cut-off threshold applied.


Fig. S44. Taxonomic profiles from Homo sapiens models of damaged and 'sequenced’ reads above the threshold $1 \%$.

|  | Model 1 DB1 | Model 1 ${ }^{\text {dB2 }}$ | Model 1 1 DB3 | Model 1 1-DB4 | Model 1 DBE | Model 2 DB1 | Model 2 DB2 | Model 2 DB3 | Model 2 DB4 | Model 2 DBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ammiota | 0.424741173347491 | 0.398830098378091 | 0.560328726188029 | 0.793650793650794 | 0 | 0.185283218634198 | 0.185283218834198 | 0.2628614344724 | 0.842398288937901 | 0 |
| Boreosutheris | 2.4953543934651 | 0.983780909332624 | 1.34478894284647 | 5.95238095238095 | 0 | 248808993594494 | 1.21757543673902 | 1.68982350732257 | 7.70877944325482 | 0 |
| Catarhind | 1.1680382267056 | 1.01036956255783 | 1.38214419125887 | 5.15873015873016 | 0 | 1.13816834303864 | 0.92641609317099 | 1.23920390539988 | 4.28265524625268 | 0 |
| Euarchontiogives | 0.0530926468684364 | 0.0531773464504121 | 0.0747104968248039 | 0.396825396825397 | 0 | 0.0794070937003706 | 0.105876124933827 | 0.112054900488171 | 0.214132762312634 | 0 |
| Eutaecsition | 3.63684629678799 | 0.771071523530976 | 1.00859170713485 | 3.37301587301587 | 0 | 3.83800952885124 | 0.582318687136051 | 0.751032669921142 | 2.99795867237687 | 0 |
| Eutheria | 0.2920095566764 | 0.239298059028855 | 0.336197235711617 | 0.922063492063492 | 0 | 0.344097406034939 | 0.317628374801482 | 0.450619601952685 | 1.71306209850107 | 0 |
| Hominidao | 0.769843376692328 | 0.904014889657006 | 1.27007844602167 | 4.76190476190476 | 0 | 0.899947061937533 | 1.08523028057173 | 1.53961697333834 | 6.85224839400428 | 0 |
| Homininae | 7.16750730023892 | 6.83328901887796 | 9.30145685468808 | 7.53968253968254 | 0 | 6.7496029645315 | 6.32609846479619 | 8.71197897108524 | 7.49464668094218 | 0 |
| Hominoidea | 0.870028870029201 | 1.03605825579304 | 1.45685468803368 | 2.77777777778 | 0 | 0.42350449973531 | 0.6087877118360508 | 0.863687570400313 | 2.35546038543897 | 0 |
| Homo | 30.289354924343 | 32.4115926615262 | 42.2487859544268 | 0 | 0 | 30.8893594494441 | 33.6156696664902 | 44.5362373283237 | 0 | 0 |
| Homo heicalbergensis | 0.238916910007964 | 0.265888732252061 | 3.68081434441539 | 0 | 0 | 0.132345156167284 | 0.132345156167284 | 3.34209538114908 | 0 | 0 |
| Homo sapiens | 50.2521900716751 | 53.416644509439 | 5.37915577138588 | 0 | 100 | 50.3970354685018 | 53.2027527792483 | 5.40743522343222 | 0 | 100 |
| Homo sapiens neanderthalensis | 0.238916910007964 | 0.239298059026855 | 26.6342921180426 | 0 | 0 | 0.449973530968767 | 0.476442562202223 | 26.1734885467518 | 0 | 0 |
| Homo sapiens ssp. Denisova | 0.238916910007964 | 0.299298059028855 | 3.21255136346657 | 0 | 0 | 0.211752249867655 | 0.211752249867055 | 3.00413067988457 | 0 | 0 |
| Pan | 0.0265463233342182 | 0.106354692900824 | 0.149420993649608 | 16.0714285714286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.4892226980728 | 0 |
| Pan trogliodytios | 0.132731616671091 | 0.13294336612603 | 0.410907732538421 | 10.1190476190476 | 0 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.187758167480285 | 8.77944325481799 | 0 |
| Pongo abellil | 0.0265463233342182 | 0.0285886732252081 | 0.0373552484124019 | 0.793650793650794 | 0 | 0.0284690312334569 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.0975516334960571 | 1.07066381156317 | 0 |
| Pongo pypmaeus | 0.0796389700026546 | 0.0797660198756182 | 0.112065745237206 | 1.38888888888889 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.642398286937901 | 0 |
| Siminiormes | 0.557472790018592 | 0.212709385801649 | 0.298841987299216 | 0.793650793650794 | 0 | 0.397095468501853 | 0.0794070937003706 | 0.112654900488171 | 0.428265524625268 | 0 |
| Pan paniscus | 0 | 0.0285886732552081 | 0.0747104988248039 | 4.36507936507936 | 0 | 0.0529380624669137 | 0.0794070937003708 | 0.225309800976342 | 5.99571734475375 | 0 |
| Gorila gontua | 0 | 0 | 0.0373552484124019 | 14.488126984127 | 0 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.0794070937003706 | 0.112654990488171 | 13.2762312633833 | 0 |
| Cercopithecinae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.595238095238095 | 0 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0 | 0 | 0.856531049250535 | 0 |
| Chlamyphoridae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.793650793650794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.842398286937901 | 0 |
| Hoalock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.38888888888899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.428265524625268 | 0 |
| Symphalangus syndacyius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.58730158730159 | 0 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.0264690312334569 | 0.0375516334980571 | 0.856531099250535 | 0 |
| Gnathostomata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.132345156167284 | 0.132345156167284 | 0.187758167480285 | 1.07066381156317 | 0 |




Table S15. Resulting taxa for scenario c for Homo sapiens model plotted in Fig. S11.


Fig. S45. Complete taxonomic profiles of damaged and 'sequenced' reads from Ursus americanus with no cut-off threshold applied.


Fig. S46. Taxonomic profiles from Ursus americanus models of damaged and 'sequenced’ reads (scenario c) above the threshold $1 \%$.


Table S16. Resulting taxa for scenario c for Ursus americanus model plotted in Fig. S13.


Fig. S47. Complete taxonomic profiles of damaged and 'sequenced' reads from Juniper monosperma with no cut-off threshold applied.


Fig. S48. Taxonomic profiles from Juniper monosperma models of damaged and 'sequenced' reads above the threshold $1 \%$.


Table S17. Resulting taxa for scenario c for Juniper monosperma model plotted in Fig. S15.

### 10.2. Testing the sensitivity and specificity for assigning sequenced DNA from the whole genome of Homo sapiens

Although the mitochondrial DNA and the chloroplast DNA (from animals and plants, respectively) represents different parts of the genomic pool present in an ancient environmental sample, it remains important to test entire genomes. This can be especially important for determining the robustness of identifying ancient human DNA in any sample. We therefore extracted 100.000 reads from the human genome (NCBI RefSeq assembly: GCF_000001405.38) using an identical approach to the above mtDNA and cpDNA modelling (outlines in Fig. S37). Using the read distributions shown in Fig. S35 as template, all reads were added deamination and sequencing errors (see Fig. S38). The model was repeated 5 times. The resulting taxonomic profiles for scenario c (the sequenced and damaged reads) were aligned to the Holi database (DB1) and against the reference genome (DB5) (see Fig. S49 and S50).

### 10.2.1. Discussion and conclusion

We find that inserting damage and sequencing errors on the reads generates a tail of falsepositives (see Fig. S49). However, the proportion of each false-positives does not exceed the cutoff threshold of $\geq 1 \%$ (back-ground noise) and therefore not present in the final taxonomic profiles presented in Fig. S50. We find that $\sim 21 \%$ of all reads can be assigned to species level and $\sim 26 \%$ to family level, while no reads were assigned to genus level. The proportions are highly consistent between all 5 model iterations (see Table S18).

The taxonomic assignments are therefore showing the same robustness as found with the mtDNA and cpDNA above.


Fig. S49. Complete taxonomic profiles of damaged and 'sequenced' reads from Homo sapiens with no cut-off threshold applied.


Fig. S50. Taxonomic profiles from Homo sapiens models of damaged and 'sequenced' reads above the threshold $>1 \%$.

Table S18. Resulting taxa for scenario c for Homo sapiens model plotted in Fig. S17.

|  | Model_1_DB1 | Model_1_DB5 | Model_2_DB1 | Model_2_DB5 | Model_3_DB1 | Model_3_DB5 | Model_4_DB1 | Model_4_DB5 | Model_5_DB1 | Model_5_DB5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boreoeutheria | 1.02924855905202 | 0 | 1.15686274509804 | 0 | 1.11467236467236 | 0 | 1.1294293972808 | 0 | 1.03225116328823 | 0 |
| Catarrhini | 13.1493215909498 | 0 | 13.0641711229947 | 0 | 12.8828347578348 | 0 | 13.0428576526425 | 0 | 13.1589738104152 | 0 |
| Hominidae | 8.82289764794329 | 0 | 8.76114081996435 | 0 | 8.7695868945869 | 0 | 8.9533597402134 | 0 | 8.89090941505767 | 0 |
| Homininae | 26.4454229764078 | 0 | 26.5846702317291 | 0 | 26.3710826210826 | 0 | 26.5175034792849 | 0 | 26.5960671052397 | 0 |
| Hominoidea | 10.6200551319228 | 0 | 10.3618538324421 | 0 | 10.5448717948718 | 0 | 10.3432894408165 | 0 | 10.4205665793086 | 0 |
| Homo sapiens | 21.2562202412917 | 100 | 21.3618538324421 | 100 | 21.4084757834758 | 100 | 21.3128501587981 | 100 | 21.1798684280901 | 100 |
| Primates | 1.35681810045466 | 0 | 1.31016042780749 | 0 | 1.28383190883191 | 0 | 1.36316597080969 | 0 | 1.18913907757038 | 0 |
| root | 2.03343715318799 | 0 | 2.13547237076649 | 0 | 2.13319088319088 | 0 | 2.10184491310709 | 0 | 2.11976966001676 | 0 |
| Simiiformes | 9.19163713170802 | 0 | 9.03386809269162 | 0 | 9.29665242165242 | 0 | 9.14249009741998 | 0 | 9.25282130823127 | 0 |

### 10.3. Extraction buffer test and taxonomic profile comparison

Given that previous work suggested that a phosphate-based buffer performs better in the extraction of DNA from cave sediments than the Bulat buffer ${ }^{60}$, we tested the efficiency of the two in the extraction batch 1\# of the samples (see details in method section) (see Table S19). Post extraction using a Qubit $3.0{ }^{\circledR}$ to estimate the DNA concentration in the extracts, we found the two buffers to yield significantly different quantities of DNA, by a factor $\sim 10$ (Fig. S50).

Table S19. Metadata for all samples including controls.

| Layer_extract_library ID | Extraction batch | Sequencing run | Raw reads after trimming, Q30 and lenght >29 Bp | Number of reads after low complexity removal and duplicate removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all_merged_blanks | 1,2,3 | 1,2,3 | 19688458 | 778132 |
| UE1201_Mex_70_Lib_18 | 3 | 3 | 279911398 | 7333921 |
| UE1204_Mex_11_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 36167727 | 25617270 |
| UE1204_Mex_12_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 57862405 | 36279265 |
| UE1204_Mex_13_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 34855986 | 18051278 |
| UE1204_Mex_13_Lib1_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 17035590 | 13341076 |
| UE1204_Mex_14_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 51643646 | 37750321 |
| UE1204_Mex_29_Lib4_seq2 | 2 | 2 | 66019892 | 45639421 |
| UE1204A_Mex_67_Lib_15 | 3 | 3 | 251238816 | 4085097 |
| UE1204C_Mex_66_Lib_14 | 3 | 3 | 243140108 | 10366352 |
| UE1206_Mex_65_Lib_13 | 3 | 3 | 123154614 | 7829486 |
| UE1207A_Mex_62_Lib_10 | 3 | 3 | 234661165 | 70596213 |
| UE1207C_Mex_61_Lib_9 | 3 | 3 | 166962110 | 78821562 |
| UE1208_Mex_60_Lib_8 | 3 | 3 | 257580994 | 84812252 |
| UE1210_Mex_1_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 34766119 | 21618940 |
| UE1210_Mex_18_Lib4_seq2 | 2 | 2 | 114865759 | 66482464 |
| UE1210_Mex_2_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 33848329 | 23928848 |
| UE1210_Mex_3_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 35394821 | 20647086 |
| UE1210_Mex_3_Lib1_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 25147994 | 16925928 |
| UE1210_Mex_4_Lib_45 | 3 | 3 | 155806416 | 74601786 |
| UE1210_Mex_4_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 48287199 | 32816580 |
| UE1210_Mex_59_Lib_7 | 3 | 3 | 56688240 | 36650737 |
| UE1212_Mex_22_Lib4_seq2 | 2 | 2 | 44194035 | 32899980 |
| UE1212_Mex_24_Lib4_seq2 | 2 | 2 | 134803562 | 85036702 |
| UE1212_Mex_5_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 69154901 | 46341233 |
| UE1212_Mex_58_Lib_6 | 3 | 3 | 76604702 | 50145443 |
| UE1212_Mex_6_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 43693190 | 25464374 |
| UE1212_Mex_6_Lib1_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 30770066 | 22091288 |
| UE1212_Mex_7_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 91491535 | 66906070 |
| UE1215_Mex_57_Lib_5 | 3 | 3 | 229937042 | 108160803 |
| UE1217_Mex_56_Lib_4 | 3 | 3 | 145708355 | 72629586 |
| UE1218_Mex_10_2_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 26526636 | 21625731 |
| UE1218_Mex_10_Lib4_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 122284460 | 27916781 |
| UE1218_Mex_55_Lib_3 | 3 | 3 | 75755024 | 40784446 |
| UE1218_Mex_9_Lib1_seq1 | 1 | 1 | 96884231 | 59710215 |
| UE1218_Mex_9_Lib1_seq2 | 1 | 2 | 122284460 | 85124874 |
| UE1222_Mex_54_Lib_2 | 3 | 3 | 38 | 31 |
| UE1223_Mex_53_Lib_1 | 3 | 3 | 81656058 | 20834332 |

The samples were then multiplexed and sequenced in parallel to examine the origin of this difference. At this point, we found that the initial difference in concentration was also reflected by the lengths of the sequenced reads between samples (Fig. S53). The Bulat buffer, yielding the lowest quantity of DNA, was found to yield shorter sequence reads than the sodiumphosphate based buffer. Therefore, we next compared the taxonomic profiles of each of the samples using principal component analysis (PCA). Within amniota, we find that the first principal component (PC1) was associated with differences between stratum 1204 explaining $19.9 \%$ of the variation. While PC2 is characterized by the differences between stratum 1201 and the rest of the layers, explaining $18 \%$ of this variation (Fig. S53a). A similar trend is observed for the plants (Fig. S53b) in which PC1 is associated with the differences between stratum 1204 and the remaining layers explaining $20.6 \%$ of this variation. On the PC2, stratum 1204C is falling distant to the other samples with a slight lower explanation value of $13.4 \%$. It is important to note that stratum 1201 is absent in the plant PCA model due to too few reads classified as plants.

We next plotted the raw taxonomic profiles prior to removal of taxa without DNA damage (see Fig. S54 and S55) to investigate the complete diversity of all samples, but excluding taxa found in the controls. Firstly, we observe that variation occur in the proportion of the identified taxa between each library from the same sample and between samples from the same strata. However, the taxa identified are highly similar. This variation is likely explained by the nature of the subsampling and the depositional environment from which the samples come from, as DNA and tissue have not been completely homogenized during these processes. It can also be connected to the fact that DNA from one organism potentially aggregates together around the same sediment particles, resulting in an uneven distribution within a larger sample. The animal taxonomic profiles (Fig. S54 in stratum 1201 show distinctively difference from the rest of the samples, and are characterized by $50 \%$ horse (Equus sp.) DNA while two of five samples from stratum 1204 also have a high abundance ( $>50 \%$ ) of vole (Microtus sp.). Deer mice (Peromyscus sp.) are also found with high abundance in two samples from strata 1204 and 1210, respectively, while bear (Ursus sp.) is found to have higher abundance in five different samples in which two were extracted with the sodium-phosphate buffer. Whether this is due to intra sample variation or is the result of the buffer type remains inconclusive, and further replication and comparison is needed in order to establish this. In the plant taxonomic profiles we find a similar however slightly different pattern. Stratum 1204 is clearly different from the other layers below in the stratigraphy, containing high proportions of the grass genus Zea. Interestingly the Zea reads did not show ancient DNA characteristics and are contained only in strata 1204 and not present in the layers immediately below (e.g. UE1204C and UE1207) which is another indication that leaching is not occurring within the strata.


## Bulat buffer

Phosphate buffer

Fig. S51. DNA concentration, measured with an Qubit $2.0(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ul})$, of the Bulat buffer $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ and the Sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{n}=3$ ) from extraction batch $1 \#$. Whiskers represent largest and smallest observation less than or equal to upper and lower hinge $+1.5 *$ IQR. (see Table S19).


Fig. S52. Read length distributions of the final quality controlled and duplicate removed fastq files for all the samples and all merged controls. Samples extracted using the sodium-phosphate buffer are marked *. The remaining have been extracted using the Bulat buffer. Each header contain layer name, extraction ID and library ID, the corresponding metadata can be found in Table S15, and Supplementary Metadata file.


Fig. S53. Ordination analysis of the taxonomic composition in each individual layer, extract and library divided into kingdoms (see also Fig. S50). a Amniota (animals) and $\mathbf{b}$ Viridiplantae (plants).


Fig. S54. Animal (Amniota) taxonomic profiles of each sub sample from the different eDNA samples. Sample names marked * are the sodium-phosphate based buffers.


Fig. S55. Plant (Viridiplantae) taxonomic profiles of each sub sample from the different eDNA samples. Sample names marked * are the sodium-phosphate based buffers.

To further investigate the observed differences in DNA yield and read lengths between the two buffers, we counted the total number of reads assigned and reads assigned to the different kingdoms, and plotted these as a percentage of total reads sequenced and total reads assigned compared on the basis of the buffer used (Fig. S56 and S57).


Fig. S56. Boxplot of the total number of assigned reads for the Bulat buffer $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ and the Sodium phosphate buffer ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), split into kingdoms as a proportion of the total reads sequenced for each sample from the extraction buffer comparison (sequencing batch \#1). Whiskers represent largest and smallest observation less than or equal to upper and lower hinge $+1.5 *$ IQR.


Fig. S57. Boxplot of the assigned reads totally and split to kingdoms as a proportion of the total reads taxonomically assigned for each sample from the Bulat buffer $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ and the Sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{n}=3)$, (sequencing batch \#1). Whiskers represent largest and smallest observation less than or equal to upper and lower hinge +1.5 * IQR.

We find that the proportion of the reads assigned to each three kingdoms as a percentage to the total number of assigned reads, is highly similar between the buffers (Fig. S56). However, we find the proportion of reads, of the totally sequenced, to show differences in the proportion of reads assigned to bacteria (Fig. S57). There is a significant lower proportion of reads assigned to bacteria and the totally assigned reads for the sodium-phosphate buffer (Fig. S56 and S57). Given that the sodium-phosphate buffer also yields longer reads, a plausible explanation could be that this are unknown bacterial sequences presumably of modern origin. Importantly, the sodiumphosphate extraction control yielded no detectable DNA concentration and it is therefore likely that genetically unknown bacterial colonies dormant/living in the sediments grow during the sodium-phosphate incubation and are hereafter co-extracted. An alternative explanation could be that the sodium-phosphate buffer is more prone to release longer DNA fragments from the sediment substrate and that these derive from genetically unknown bacterial species. While this is of high importance, the true source of the long fragments is outside the scope of this study and further investigation is needed to determine this. As a last attempt to understand the observed differences between the two buffers, we split the barplots (Fig. S56 and S57) by samples (see Fig. S58 and S59). We find a clear correlation between total assigned and the proportion assigned to bacteria (Fig. S57), while we find no direct pattern for Viridiplantae nor metazoans.

In conclusion, due to the fact that the sodium-phosphate buffer yields longer reads (potentially not of ancient origin) and that the proportion of total assigned reads are less than the Bulat buffer, we decided to process and sequence the remaining samples (sequencing batch \#2 and \#3) using the Bulat buffer (see Table S17).


Fig. S58. Assigned reads relative to total sequenced reads on the three kingdoms split by buffer and sample from the extraction buffer comparison (sequencing batch \#1).


Fig. S59. Assigned reads relative to total assigned reads on the three kingdoms split by buffer and sample.

### 10.4. DNA damage

### 10.4.1. Ancient DNA authenticity

DNA deamination levels of each taxa was calculated and a cut-off threshold of $\geq 0.10(10 \%)$ was used as confirmation of ancient authenticity. We employed a conservative approach to calculate DNA damage for each genus identified through the 'Holi' pipeline by parsing reads exclusively assigned within each genus and here after used the species level identifications found by 'Holi' to identify reference genomes to align reads within each genus (Supplementary Metadata file). When possible, we used whole genomes. Otherwise, full chloroplast or mitochondrial genomes were employed. Reads from the quality controlled fastq files were realigned against the species reference genomes and MapDamage $2.0^{59}$ was used to calculate the deamination patterns. In addition, we calculated the number of reads and their edit distances together with the length distribution for each taxa found (Supplementary Information files SI2 and SI3). We find that the
organisms in all layers exhibit a high degree of DNA damage and fragmentation, but that the DNA damage varies within each layer as well as variation between all layers (Fig. S60 and Fig S61) as found in previous studies ${ }^{56}$. We also find that DNA damage is not directly correlating with age, which implies that other factors are influencing the degree of deamination occurring on the deposited DNA. The deamination is a hydrolysation process and is therefore dependent on the water (or hydrogen) availability, absence of this could reduce the amount of DNA damage. Other factors such as type of tissue, depositional micro-environment and other taphonomic dynamics are also key to this process. It is therefore expected to have variation within ancient metagenomic samples. While the read length distribution for each of the taxa assessed (Supplementary Information files SI2 and SI3) show signs of high fragmentation which is another characteristic of ancient DNA. The edit distances to each reference genome showed more variation. Especially within the algae the DNA found was less similar to the references than for the other plants. Within the amniota, we observe similar patterns, where Desmodus in strata UE1204C and UE1223 is less similar to the reference genome compared to the upper stratum UE1201. Several factors may influence the observed results, firstly the reference genome available rarely holds the genetic variation within population, secondly current populations which is often used to generate references might have accumulated mutations over the course of time. Thirdly, too few reads does not seem to represent the whole variation. Lastly, it is likely that the DNA derives from an extinct to extant closely related species that have not been sequenced, and which contains conserved genes shared with other closely related species. A combination of read length distribution, edit distances and deamination patterns are therefore key to understand the authenticity of the taxa identified and if it is ancient of origin. We evaluated all taxa based on these parameters (Supplementary Information files SI2 and SI3 \& Metadata file). One plant taxon, Urtica, did not have a full chloroplast genome and only short genes have been published and were therefore removed from the dataset.


Fig S60. Positions' specific substitutions due to DNA damage from the 3' end, e.g., deamination (C-T) due to damage levels for Amniota (animals) see detailed table and individual plots in Supplementary Metadata file, Supplementary file SI3. The bar plot (a) shows the cumulative number of reads across each taxa that have been used to calculate the DNA damage for the different strata UE1201 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ), UE1204C $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, UE1206 ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ), UE1207A $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, UE1207C $(\mathrm{n}=10)$, UE1208 $(\mathrm{n}=10)$, UE1210 $(\mathrm{n}=6)$, UE1212 $(\mathrm{n}=$ $6)$, UE1215 $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, UE1217 $(\mathrm{n}=11)$, UE1218 $(\mathrm{n}=9)$, UE1223 $(\mathrm{n}=9)$. The box plot $(\mathbf{b})$ displays the corresponding C-T transitions (substitution rate) at the 3 ' excluding taxa below the threshold criteria of $\geq$ $10 \%$ substitution rate. Whiskers represent largest and smallest observation less than or equal to upper and lower hinge +1.5 * IQR.


Fig S61. Positions' specific substitutions due to DNA damage from the 3' end, e.g., deamination (C-T) due to damage levels for Viridiplantae (plants); see detailed table and individual plots in Supplementary Metadata file, Supplementary file SI2. The bar plot (a) shows the cumulative number of reads across each taxa that have been used to calculate the DNA damage for the different strata UE1204C ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), UE1207A $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, UE1207C $(\mathrm{n}=6)$, UE1208 $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, UE1210 $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, UE1212 $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, UE1215 $(\mathrm{n}=6)$, UE1217 ( n $=5)$, UE1218 $(\mathrm{n}=7)$, UE1223 $(\mathrm{n}=7)$. The box plot $(\mathbf{b})$ displays the C-T transitions (substitution rate) at the 3' parsing excluding taxa below the threshold criteria of $\geq 10 \%$ substitution rate. Whiskers represent largest and smallest observation less than or equal to upper and lower hinge +1.5 * IQR.

We investigated the presence of ancient human DNA out by mapping sequencing reads of each sample against two different reference indices (see Methods). We first determined the presence of mitochondrial (MT) sequences by mapping against a reference index containing all mitochondrial genomes contained in the RefSeq database (release 92). Reads mapping uniquely and with high quality (MQ25) to a single MT reference contig were extracted and assessed for genomic coverage and ancient DNA damage. We find that only the sample from UE1210 contains sufficient human MT reads for analysis, with a total of 189 reads mapping at MQ25 and covering $\sim 58 \%$ of the MT genome (contig NC_012920.1, Supplementary Metadata file). However, rates of characteristic ancient DNA damage substitutions ( $5^{\prime} \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ or $3^{\prime} \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ ) were
indistinguishable from other substitution types, indicating that the reads originated likely from contaminating modern human DNA. This contrasts with reads mapped to the American black bear MT (contig NC_003426.1) from the same sample, which showed similar genomic coverage but elevated rates of $5^{\prime} \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ and $3^{\prime} \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ substitutions, consistent with authentic ancient DNA (Supplementary Metadata file, Fig. S62).


Fig. S62. Human DNA damage plots for mitochondrial reads from sample UE1210, mapping uniquely to either American black bear (top) or human (bottom). Panels show position specific substitutions from the $5^{\prime}$ (left) and the $3^{\prime}$ (right) end of the reads. Colors represent different substation types: $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ (red), $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ (blue), all other substitutions (grey).

When using the full human genome as a reference index, we find reads mapping from all samples, with coverage ranging from 595 up to 32,727 reads at MQ25 (Supplementary Metadata file). For the majority of samples rates of ancient DNA damage substitutions were $\leq 0.01$, again suggesting their modern origin. However, three of the strata (UE1210, UE1212, UE1215) exhibit elevated rates, ranging from 0.03 up to 0.07 (Supplementary Metadata file). As remnant human background contamination present in the reagents used in the laboratory preparations will dilute or decrease the DNA damage signal of ancient human reads if present in only low quantities, we considered those samples as putative candidates for follow up.

A further complication for the ancient DNA authentication stems from the possibility of spurious mapping of reads that originate from DNA sequences conserved between humans and closely related species. If ancient DNA sequences of a closely related species are present in sufficient numbers in the sample, their spurious alignment to the human genome can create a false-positive signal of ancient DNA damage. To investigate whether this was the case for our samples, we re-calculated the substitution rates restricting to reads assigned to Old world monkeys (Hominidae) using the 'Holi' pipeline, parsing reads with mismatches $\leq 5$ for all samples and controls. Substitution rates of $5^{\prime} \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ and $3^{\prime} \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ changes were indistinguishable from the other types after this filtering step (Fig S63-S65), suggesting spurious mapping as the main culprit for the elevated rates observed before.

UE1210


Fig. S63. DNA damage rates for sample UE1210, inferred using either all MQ25 reads (top) or MQ25 reads assigned to Old World monkeys using Holi (bottom). Panels show position specific substitutions from the $5^{\prime}$ (left) and the $3^{\prime}$ (right) end of the reads. Colors represent different substation types: C > T (red), $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ (blue), all other substitutions (grey).

## UE1212



Fig. S64. DNA damage rates for sample UE1212, inferred using either all MQ25 reads (top) or MQ25 reads assigned to Old World monkeys using Holi (bottom). Panels show position specific substitutions from the $5^{\prime}$ (left) and the 3 ' (right) end of the reads. Colors represent different substation types: $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ (red), G > A (blue), all other substitutions (grey).

UE1215


Fig. S65. DNA damage rates for sample UE1215, inferred using either all MQ25 reads (top) or MQ25 reads assigned to Old World monkeys using Holi (bottom). Panels show position specific substitutions from the $5^{\prime}$ (left) and the $3^{\prime}$ (right) end of the reads. Colors represent different substation types: C > T (red), $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ (blue), all other substitutions (grey).

### 10.5. Modelling scripts

### 10.5.1. Bash scripts

### 10.5.1.1. cpDNA and mtDNA models

## \# list of dependencies <br> Bowtie2 <br> Samtools <br> Gargammel <br> MapDamage2.0 <br> ngsLCA

\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# extracting fasta and generating db's
\#\#\#Homo S.
\# Extracts one reference fasta (NC_012920.1 Homo sapiens mitochondrion, complete genome)
PATH/software/bbmap/filterbyname.sh in=refseq91_mtDNA.fa out=Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa names=NC_012920.1 include=t ow=t
\# removes Homo S. (NC_012920.1 Homo sapiens mitochondrion, complete genome)
PATH/software/bbmap/filterbyname.sh in=refseq91_mtDNA.fa out=refseq91_missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.fa names=NC_012920.1 include=f ow=t
\# removes all Homo species
PATH/software/bbmap/filterbyname.sh in=refseq91_mtDNA.fa out=refseq91_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.fa names $=$ NC_013993.1,NC_023100.1,NC_012920.1,NC_011137.1 include $=\mathrm{f}$ ow=t
\#setting folder structure
mkdir hum_mtDNA model
mkdir hum mtDNA model/endo
mkdir hum_mtDNA_model/cont
mkdir hum_mtDNA_model/bact
cp PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa hum_mtDNA_model/endo
samtools faidx hum_mtDNĀ_model/endo/Homo_sapiens_mtD̄NA.fa
\# simulating and extacting read libraries and adding damage and seq errors
counter=1
while [ \$counter -le 5 ]
do
echo \$counter
bname='HomoSap'
basefolder='hum_mtDNA_model'
fasta='Homo_sapiens_mtD̄NA.fa'

ReadNo='11835'
echo \$bname
\#\#\# 30X fragment retrieval \# number of reads needed to generate depends on the length of the genome and the average read length extracted if 30 X covereage is needed divide the total bases in the genome with averageread length and times 30 . In this case ReadNo $=16569 \mathrm{Bp} / 42 * 30=$ 11835
PATH/software/gargammel/src/fragSim -tag e -n \$ReadNo -m 0 $\quad$-M 1000 -f PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/ average_readDist.txt \$basefolder/endo/\$fasta > \$basefolder/\$bname.e.\$counter.fa
\#\#\#\# adding DNA deamination
PATH/software/gargammel/src/deamSim -mapdamage PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/misincorporation.txt single \$basefolder/ \$bname.e. $\$$ counter.fa $>$ \$basefolder/\$bname.d.\$counter.fa
\#adding adaptors
PATH/software/gargammel/src/adptSim -arts \$basefolder/\$bname.f.\$counter.fasta \$basefolder/\$bname.d.\$counter.fa
\#adding sequencing errors
PATH/software/gargammel/art_src_MountRainier/art_illumina -ss HS25 -amp -na -qs -qs2 -i \$basefolder/\$bname.f.\$counter.fasta -1 80 -c 1 -qS 0 -qs2 0 -o \$basefolder/\$bname.g.\$counter
((counter++))
done
for file in \$basefolder/\$bname.g.?.fq
do
\# removing adaptors
AdapterRemoval --file1 \$file --mm 3 --minlength 30 --basename \$file --trimns --trimqualities --minquality 30
for infile in \$basefolder/*.truncated; do bname=\$(basename \$infile); echo \$bname; bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq.truncated*/.trunc.fq/'); echo \$bname2; mv \$basefolder/\$bname \$basefolder/\$bname2; done
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# fq mapping
for infile in $\$(p w d) / *$ trunc.fq
do
bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
echo \$bname
bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/_holi/')
basepath=\$(pwd)/
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2
\# mapping against fasta reference
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex Cave/model simulations/reference dbs/Homo sapiens mtDNA
do
echo Mapping \$bname against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../ $\$$ \{bname \} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
done
\# mapping against cp reference db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/refseq91_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. \$(basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against no Homo Sapiens db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/refseq91_missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. \$(basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against no Homo at all db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex Cave/model simulations/reference dbs/refseq91 no Homo Sp mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U../ \$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against nt_db
for DB in PATH/database/ncbi_nt/nt.?
do
echo Mapping adap2 kmer2 \$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads $4 \overline{0}-\mathrm{k} 5000-\mathrm{x} \$ \mathrm{DB}$-f ../\$ (bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against RefSeq
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_other/vert_other.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../ $\$$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_mam/vert_mam.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
for DB in $\mathrm{PATH} /$ database/refseq/invert/invert.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../ $\$$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
cd \$basepath
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# fasta mapping
for infile in \$(pwd)/*fa
do
bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
echo \$bname
bname $2=\$$ (echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/_holi/')
basepath $=\$($ pwd $) /$
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2
\# mapping against fasta reference
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping \$bname against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k $5000-x$ \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against cp reference db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/refseq91_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against no Homo Sapiens db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/refseq91_missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads $40-\mathrm{k} 5000-\mathrm{x} \$ \mathrm{DB}$-f ../\$ \{name\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
\# mapping against no Homo at all db
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/refseq91_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k $5000-x$ \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done

```
# mapping against nt_db
for DB in PATH/database/ncbi_nt/nt.?
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against $DB
done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_other/vert_other.?
do
echo Mapping $bname.fq against $DB
```

nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads $40-\mathrm{k} 5000-\mathrm{x}$ \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
 done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_mam/vert_mam.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k $5000-x$ \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/invert/invert.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
nice -n 5 bowtie2 --threads 40 -k 5000 -x \$DB -f ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam done
cd \$basepath
done

## \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# ngs Fastq

## for infile in *trunc.fq

do
bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
echo \$bname
bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/_holi/')
bname3=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.holi.metagenome.txt/')
bname $4=\$$ (echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.mtDB.metagenome.txt/')
bname5=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.RefFA.metagenome.txt/')
bname6=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.noGenus.metagenome.txt/')
bname7=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.noFA.metagenome.txt/')
basepath='PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/data/hum_mtDNA_model'
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
echo \$bname3
\#mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2
samtools merge -@ 40 -f -n tmp.bam.merged *.bam
samtools sort -n -T PATH/TMP -O bam -o file.sort.bam -@ 40 tmp.bam.merged
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam file.sort.bam -outnames \$bname.holi
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.mtDB
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.RefFA
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.noFA
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.noGenus
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca| sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'| cut -f1-d" " $>$ \$bname.1.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.1.taxNam cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.mtDB.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'| cut -f1 -d" " > \$bname.2.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.mtDB.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-d" " > \$bname.2.taxNam cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f1 -d" " > \$bname.3.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12, 13 -d" " > \$bname.3.taxNam cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noGenus.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^/s+//' | cut -f1 -d" " > \$bname.4.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noGenus.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-d" " > \$bname.4.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'| cut -f1-d" " > \$bname.5.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.5.taxNam
paste -d"," \$bname.1.taxNam \$bname.1.tax_counts > \$bname3
paste -d"," \$bname.2.taxNam \$bname.2.tax_counts > \$bname4
paste -d"," \$bname.3.taxNam \$bname.3.tax_counts > \$bname5
paste -d"," \$bname.4.taxNam \$bname.4.tax_counts > \$bname6
paste -d"," \$bname.5.taxNam \$bname.5.tax_counts > \$bname7
cd \$basepath
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# ngs Fasta

[^0]bname5=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/.RefFA.metagenome.txt/')
bname6=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/.noGenus.metagenome.txt/')
bname7=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/.noFA.metagenome.txt/')
basepath='PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/data/hum_mtDNA_model'
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
echo \$bname3
\#mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2
samtools merge -@ 40 -f -n tmp.bam.merged *.bam
samtools sort -n -T PATH/TMP -O bam -o file.sort.bam -@ 40 tmp.bam.merged
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam file.sort.bam -outnames \$bname.holi
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.mtDB
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *Homo sapiens mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.RefFA
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.noFA
PATH/software/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/software/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/software/nodes.dmp.gz acc2tax PATH/software/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz -bam *refseq91_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.bam -outnames \$bname.noGenus
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca| sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'|cut -f1-d" $\bar{"}>$ \$bname.1.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.1.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.mtDB.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'| cut -f1 -d" " > \$bname.2.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.mtDB.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-d" " > \$bname.2.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f1-d" " > \$bname.3.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.3.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noGenus.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^1s+//' | cut -fl -d" " > \$bname.4.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noGenus.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//'| cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-d" " > \$bname.4.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f1-d" " > \$bname.5.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.noFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.5.taxNam
paste -d"," \$bname.1.taxNam \$bname.1.tax_counts > \$bname3
paste -d"," \$bname.2.taxNam \$bname.2.tax_counts > \$bname4
paste -d"," \$bname.3.taxNam \$bname.3.tax_counts > \$bname5
paste -d"," \$bname.4.taxNam \$bname.4.tax_counts > \$bname6
paste -d"," \$bname.5.taxNam \$bname.5.tax_counts > \$bname7
cd \$basepath
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# metadata summary stats
11 *trunc.fq | cut -f9 -d" " | cut -f1,3-d "." > readsA_total_modelID.txt
wc -1 *e.?.fa | grep '.f | cut -f3-d" " | awk '\{print $\$ \overline{1 / 2}\}^{\prime}$ ' readsB_extracted.txt $^{\text {ren }}$
wc -1 *d.?.fa | grep '.f' | cut -f3-d" " | awk '\{print $\$ 1 / 2\}$ ' > readsC_deaminated.txt
wc -1 *trunc.fq | grep '.f' | cut -f3-d" "| awk '\{print $\$ 1 / 4\}^{\prime}>$ readsD_post_adaptorRem.txt
for file in HomoSap.e.*_holi/*Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsF_extracted_mapping $2 \mathrm{mt} \overline{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{NA} . t \mathrm{txt}$; done $\overline{\&}$
for file in HomoSap.e.*_holi/*missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsG_extracted_mapping_no_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.e.*_holi/*_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsH_extracted_mapping_no_Genus.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.e.*_holi/*refseq91_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsI_extracted_mapping_refseq_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.e.* holi/file.sort.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -1 >> readsJ_extracted_mapping2holi_db.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.d.* holi/*Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsK_deaminated_mapping2cpDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.d.* holi/*missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsL_deaminated_mapping_no_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.d.*_holi/*_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsM_deaminated_mapping_no_Genus.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.d.*_holi/*refseq91_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsN_deaminated_mapping_refseq_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.d.*_holi/file.sort.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -1 >> readsO_deaminated_mapping2holi_db.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.g.*_holi/*Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsP_deamSeqErr_mapping2cpDNA.txt; done \&
for fīe in Homosap.g.*_holi/*missin_1_Homo_S_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsQ_deamSeqErr_mapping_no_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.g.*_holi/*_no_Homo_Sp_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsR_deamSeqErr_mapping_n̄o_Genus.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.g.*_holi/*refseq91_mtDNA.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -l >> readsS_deamSeqErr_mapping_refseq_mtDNA.txt; done \&
for file in HomoSap.g.*_holi/file.sort.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -1 >> readsT_deamSeqErr_mapping2holi_db.txt; done \&
paste reads* > read_metadata_merged.txt
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# checking damage profiles for fastas and fastqs
for file in *.5.trunc.fq
do
$\mathrm{db}=\mathrm{PATH} / \mathrm{Mex}$ _Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA
fasta $=$ PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa
echo \$(basename ${ }^{\text {\$file) }}$
echo \$(basename \$db)
echo \$(basename \$fasta)
bowtie2 -x \$db -U \$file --threads 30 --no-unal | samtools view -bS -> \$(basename \$file). \$(basename \$db).bam
nice -n 5 samtools sort \$(basename \$file). $\$$ (basename \$db).bam -o \$(basename \$file). $\$$ (basename \$db).sorted.bam
nice -n 5 mapDamage -i $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$($ basename $\$ d b)$.sorted.bam -r \$fasta --merge-reference-sequences --forward
done
for file in *5.fa
do
$\mathrm{db}=\mathrm{PATH} / \mathrm{Mex}$ _Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA
fasta $=$ PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa
echo \$(basename \$file)
echo \$(basename \$db)
echo \$(basename \$fasta)
bowtie2 -x \$db -f \$file --threads 30 --no-unal | samtools view -bS -> \$(basename \$file). \$(basename \$db).bam
nice -n 5 samtools sort $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$($ basename $\$ d b)$. bam -o $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$($ basename $\$ d b)$.sorted.bam nice -n 5 mapDamage -i $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$($ basename $\$ d b)$.sorted.bam -r \$fasta --merge-reference-sequences --forward done
\#\#\#\#\#\# metaG files and read_metadata_merged.txt downloaded locally for processing in R

### 10.5.1.2. Whole genome human modelling

\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# extracting fasta and generating db's
\#setting folder structure
mkdir Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12
mkdir Homo sapiens GRCh38 p12/endo
mkdir Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12/cont
mkdir Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12/bact
cp PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.fa Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12/endo
samtools faidx Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12/endo/Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.fa
\# simulating and extacting read libraries and adding damage and seq errors
counter=1
while [ \$counter -le 5 ]
do
echo \$counter
bname $=$ 'Homo_sapiens_GRCh38 p12
basefolder='Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12'
fasta='Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.fa'
ReadNo $=10 \overline{0} 000^{\prime}$
echo \$bname
\#\#\# 30X fragment retrieval \# number of reads needed to generate depends on the length of the genome and the average read length extracted if 30 X covereage is needed divide the total bases in the genome with averageread length and times 30 . In this case $\mathrm{ReadNo}=16569 \mathrm{Bp} / 42 * 30=$ 11835
PATH/software/gargammel/src/fragSim -tag e average_readDist.txt \$basefolder/endo/\$fasta $>$ \$basefolder/\$bname.e. \$counter.fa
\#\#\#\# adding DNA deamination
PATH/software/gargammel/src/deamSim -mapdamage PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/misincorporation.txt single \$basefolder/
\$bname.e.\$counter.fa > \$basefolder/\$bname.d.\$counter.fa
\#adding adaptors
PATH/software/gargammel/src/adptSim -arts \$basefolder/\$bname.f.\$counter.fasta \$basefolder/\$bname.d.\$counter.fa
\#adding sequencing errors
PATH/software/gargammel/art_src_MountRainier/art_illumina -ss HS25 -amp -na -qs -qs2 -i \$basefolder/\$bname.f.\$counter.fasta -1 80 -c 1 -qs
0 -qs2 0 -o \$basefolder/\$bname.g. \$counter
((counter ++ ))
done
for file in \$basefolder/\$bname.g.?.fq
do
\# removing adaptors
AdapterRemoval --file1 \$file --mm 3 --minlength 30 --basename \$file --trimns --trimqualities --minquality 30
for infile in \$basefolder/*.truncated; do bname=\$(basename \$infile); echo \$bname; bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq.truncated*/.trunc.fq/); echo \$bname2 ; mv \$basefolder/\$bname \$basefolder/\$bname2 ; done
done

## \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# fq mapping

for infile in $\$(\mathrm{pwd}) / *$ trunc.fq
do
bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
echo \$bname
bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/ holi/')
basepath $=\$(\mathrm{pwd}) /$
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2

```
# mapping against fasta reference
pwd
for DB in PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12
do
echo Mapping $bname against $DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x $DB -U ../$ {bname} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > $bname.$(basename $DB).bam
done
```

\# mapping against nt_db
for DB in PATH/database/ncbi nt/nt.?
do
echo Mapping adap2_kmer2_\$bname.pp.rmdup.fq against \$DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
done
\# mapping against RefSeq
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert other/vert other.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_mam/vert_mam.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/vert_mam/vert_mam.??
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. \$(basename \$DB).bam
done
for DB in PATH/database/refseq/invert/invert.?
do
echo Mapping \$bname.fq against \$DB
bowtie2 --threads 60 -k 5000 -x \$DB -U ../\$ \{bname\} --no-unal | samtools view -bS - > \$bname. $\$$ (basename \$DB).bam
done
cd \$basepath
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# ngs Fastq
for infile in *trunc.fq
do
bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
echo \$bname
bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/_holi/')
bname $3=\$($ echo $\$$ bname | sed 's/.fq*/.holi.metagenome.txt/')
bname5=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fq*/.RefFA.metagenome.txt/')
basepath='PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/gargammel/data/Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12'
basefolder=\$basepath
echo \$basepath
echo \$bname2
echo \$bname3
\#mkdir \$basepath\$bname2
cd \$bname2
samtools merge -@ 40 -f -n tmp.bam.merged *.bam
samtools sort -n -T PATH/TMP -O bam -o file.sort.bam -@ 40 tmp.bam.merged
PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/nodes.dmp.gz -acc2tax PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz bam file.sort.bam -outnames $\overline{\$}$ bname.holi
PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ngsLCA -editdistmin 0 -editdistmax 0 -names PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/names.dmp.gz -nodes PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/nodes.dmp.gz -acc2tax PATH/ngsLCA/ngsLCA/ncbi_tax_dump_files/nucl_gb.accession2taxid.gz bam *GRCh38_p12.bam -outnames \$bname.RefFA
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f1 -d" " > \$bname.1.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.holi.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13 -d" " > \$bname.1.taxNam
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -fl -d" " > \$bname.3.tax_counts
cut -f9 -d":" \$bname.RefFA.lca | sort | uniq -c | sort -k1 | perl -pe 's/^\s+//' | cut -f2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13-d" " > \$bname.3.taxNam
paste -d"," \$bname.1.taxNam \$bname.1.tax_counts > \$bname3
paste -d"," \$bname.3.taxNam \$bname.3.tax_counts > \$bname5
cd \$basepath
done
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# metadata summary stats
11 * trunc.fq | cut -f9 -d" " | cut -fl,3-d "." > readsA_total_modelID.txt
wc -1 *trunc.fq | grep '.f' | cut -f3 -d" "| awk '\{print \$1/4\}' > readsD_post_adaptorRem.txt
for file in Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.g*_holi/*homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -1 >> readsP_deamSeqErr_mapping2cpDNA.txt; done \&
for fīe in Homo_sapiens_GRCh38_p12.g*_holi/file.sort.bam; do samtools view \$file | cut -f1 | uniq | wc -1 >> readsT_deamSeqErr_mapping2holi_db.txt; done \&
paste reads* $>$ read_metadata_merged.txt
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# checking damage profiles for fastas and fastqs
for file in *.5.trunc.fq
do
$\mathrm{db}=\mathrm{PATH} / \mathrm{Mex}$ _Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA
fasta $=$ PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa
echo \$(basename $\$$ file)
echo \$(basename \$db)
echo \$(basename \$fasta)
bowtie2 -x \$db -U \$file --threads 30 --no-unal | samtools view -bS -> \$(basename \$file). \$(basename \$db).bam
nice -n 5 samtools sort $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).bam -o $\$$ (basename $\$$ file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).sorted.bam nice -n 5 mapDamage -i $\$$ (basename \$file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).sorted.bam -r \$fasta --merge-reference-sequences --forward done

## for file in *5.fa

do
$\mathrm{db}=\mathrm{PATH} / \mathrm{Mex}$ Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA
fasta $=$ PATH/Mex_Cave/model_simulations/reference_dbs/Homo_sapiens_mtDNA.fa
echo \$(basename \$file)
echo \$(basename \$db)
echo \$(basename \$fasta)
bowtie2 -x \$db -f \$file --threads 30 --no-unal | samtools view -bS -> \$(basename \$file). $\$$ (basename \$db).bam
nice -n 5 samtools sort $\$($ basename $\$$ file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).bam -o $\$$ (basename $\$$ file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).sorted.bam
nice -n 5 mapDamage -i $\$$ (basename $\$$ file). $\$$ (basename $\$ \mathrm{db}$ ).sorted.bam -r \$fasta --merge-reference-sequences --forward done
\#\#\#\#\#\# metaG files and read_metadata_merged.txt downloaded locally for processing in R

### 10.5.2. R scripts

### 10.5.2.1. Plotting the $m t$ and cpDNA models

filenames=list.files(path=mypath, full.names=TRUE)
datalist $=$ lapply $($ filenames, function $(x)\{$ read. $\operatorname{csv}($ file $=\mathrm{x}$, header $=\mathrm{F})\}$ )
Reduce(function( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) $\{$ merge ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{by}=\mathrm{c}($ "V1"),all=TRUE, fill=0, split=FALSE, verbose=TRUE) $\}$, datalist)
\}
mydata=multmerge("/PATH/model_simulations/hum_mtDNA_model_metagenome/sequenced/")
filenames=list.files(path="/PATH/model_simulations/hum_mtDNA_model_metagenome/sequenced/", full.names=F)
colnames(mydata) <- c("Taxa", "Model 1 DB1", "Model 1 DB2","Model 1 DB3","Model 1 DB4","Model 1 DB5", "Model 2 DB1",


 "Model_5_DB2"',"Model_5_DB3","Model_5_DB4","Model_5_DB5")
mydata[is.na(mydata)] $=0$ \#if this one fails it might have text in the number of reads coloumn
require(reshape2)
test_long2 <- melt(mydata, id.vars=c("Taxa"))
require(ggplot2)
require(RColorBrewer)
colourCount $=$ length(unique(test long2\$Taxa)
getPalette = colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(12, "Paired"))
$\mathrm{p}<-$ ggplot(test_long2, aes(fill=Taxa, $\mathrm{y}=$ value, $\mathrm{x}=$ variable $)$ ) +
geom_bar(stat="identity") + theme(legend.position="none", axis.text.x $=$ element text(angle $=-90$, hjust $=0$, vjust $=0.5)$ ) + scale_fill_manual(values $=$ getPalette $($ colourCount $)$ )
p
$\mathrm{p}<-$ ggplot(test_long2, aes(fill=Taxa, $\mathrm{y}=$ value, $\mathrm{x}=$ variable $)$ ) +
geom_bar(stat="identity") + theme $($ axis.text. $x=$ element_text $($ angle $=-90$, hjust $=-0.5))+$ scale_fill_manual $($ values $=$ getPalette $($ colourCount $))$
\#saved as 20x23
$\mathrm{p}+$ theme(axis.text. $\mathrm{x}=$ element_text(angle $=-90$, hjust $=0$, vjust $=0.5$, size $=14$ ), axis.text. $y=$ element_text(size $=14$ ), axis.title. $x=$ element_text(size=16), axis.title.y = element_text(size=16), legend.position = "right", legend.direction = "horizontal", legend.text=element_text(size=14), legend.title=element_text(size=16)) + xlab("Simulations and resulting taxa different databases") + ylab("Number of reads")
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# stacked percentage barplot
\#ggplot(test_long2, aes(fill=Taxa, $\mathrm{y}=$ value, $\mathrm{x}=$ variable) $)$ +
\#geom_bar(stat="identity", position="fill") + theme(legend.position="bottom", axis.text.x $=$ element text $($ angle $=-90$, hjust $=0$, vjust $=0.5))+$ scale_fill_manual(values = getPalette $($ colourCount $)$ )
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# calculating percentage and removing threshold
b1=as.matrix(mydata[,seq $(2,26)])$
rownames(b1)<-mydata\$Taxa
b2 <- prop.table(b1, margin=2)*100 \# makes proportion table, needs 2 margins e.g. header and 1st row names colSums(prop.table(b1, margin=2)*100) \# should give 100 for each coloumn
$\operatorname{tmp}<-\mathrm{b} 2$ [apply(b2[,1:25], MARGIN $=1$, function( x ) any $(\mathrm{x}>1.0))$, ]
filenames=list.files(path="/PATH/model_simulations/hum_mtDNA_model_metagenome/sequenced/", full.names=F)
require(reshape2)
$\operatorname{tmp} 2<-$ melt(tmp, keep.rownames $=$ TRUE $)$
\#\#\#\#\#\# plotting remaining taxa
colourCount $=$ length $($ unique $(c($ rownames $($ tmp $))))$
getPalette = colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"))
$\mathrm{p}<-\operatorname{ggplot}(\operatorname{tmp} 2$, aes(fill=Var1, $\mathrm{y}=$ value, $\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{Var} 2))+$
geom_bar(stat="identity") + theme (axis.text. $x=$ element_text(angle $=-90$, hjust $=0$, vjust $=0.5$, size $=14$ ), axis.text. $y=$ element_text(size $=14$ ), axis.title.x $=$ element_text(size=16), axis.title.y $=$ element_text(size=16), legend.position $=$ "right", legend.direction $=$ "vertical", legend.text=element_text(size=14), legend.title=element_text(size=16)) + xlab("Simulations and resulting taxa against different databases") + ylab("Percentage of reads")
p + scale_fill_manual(values = getPalette(colourCount))
install.packages("gridExtra")
library(gridExtra)
grid.table(tmp)

### 10.5.2.2. Plotting WGS human model

```
datalist = lapply(filenames, function(x){read.csv(file=x,header=F)})
Reduce(function(x,y) {merge(x,y, by=c("V1"),all=TRUE, fill=0, split=FALSE, verbose=TRUE)}, datalist)
}
mydata=multmerge("/PATH/model_simulations/wgs_human/")
filenames=list.files(path="/PATH/model_simulations/wgs_human/", full.names=F)
colnames(mydata) <- c("Taxa", "Model_1 DB1", "Mode1_1_DB5",
"Model_2_DB1","Model_2_DB5","Model_3_DB1","Model_3_DB5","Model_4_DB1", "Model_4_DB5","Model_5_DB1","Model_5_DB5")
mydata[is.na(mydata)]=0 #if this one fails it might have text in the number of reads coloumn
require(reshape2)
test_long2 <- melt(mydata, id.vars=c("Taxa"))
require(ggplot2)
require(RColorBrewer)
colourCount =length(unique(test_long2$Taxa))
getPalette = colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(12, "Paired"))
p<-ggplot(test_long2, aes(fill=Taxa, y=value, x=variable)) +
    geom_bar(stat="identity") + theme(legend.position="none", axis.text.x = element_text(angle = -90, hjust = 0)) + scale_fill_manual(values =
getPalette(colourCount))
#saved as 15x30
p + theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = -90, hjust = 0, vjust = 0.5, size=14), axis.text.y = element_text(size=14), axis.title.x =
element_text(size=16), axis.title.y = element_text(size=16), legend.position = "right", legend.direction = "horizontal",
legend.text=element_text(size=14), legend.title=element_text(size=16)) + xlab("Simulations against different databases") + ylab("Number of
reads")
```

\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# calculating percentage and removing threshold
b1=as.matrix(mydata[,seq(2,11)])
rownames(b1)<-mydata\$Taxa
b2 <- prop.table(b1, margin=2)*100 \# makes proportion table, needs 2 margins e.g. header and 1st row names colSums(prop.table(b1, margin=2)*100) \# should give 100 for each coloumn
$\operatorname{tmp}<-\mathrm{b} 2$ [apply(b2[,1:10], MARGIN $=1$, function( x$)$ any $(\mathrm{x}>1.0)$ ), ]
filenames=list.files(path="/PATH/model_simulations/wgs_human/sequenced/", full.names=F)
require(reshape2)
$\operatorname{tmp} 2<-$ melt(tmp, keep.rownames $=$ TRUE $)$
\#\#\#\#\#\# plotting remaining taxa saved as $10 x 13$ pdf
colourCount $=$ length(unique(c(rownames(tmp))))
getPalette $=$ colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"))
$\mathrm{p}<-\operatorname{ggplot}(\operatorname{tmp} 2$, aes(fill=Var1, $\mathrm{y}=$ value, $\mathrm{x}=\operatorname{Var} 2))+$
geom_bar(stat="identity") + theme (axis.text. $x=$ element_text(angle $=-90$, hjust $=0$, vjust $=0.5$, size $=14$ ), axis.text. $y=$ element_text $($ size $=14)$, axis.title.x $=$ element_text(size=16), axis.title.y $=$ element_text(size=16), legend.position $=$ "right", legend.direction $=$ "vertical", legend.text=element_text(size=14), legend.title=element_text $(\operatorname{size}=16))+$ xlab("Simulations and resulting taxa against different databases") + ylab("Percentage of reads")
p + scale_fill_manual(values $=$ getPalette(colourCount) $)$
\#\# making table
install.packages("gridExtra")
library(gridExtra)
grid.table(tmp)
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[^0]:    for infile in *.fa
    do
    bname $=\$$ (basename \$infile)
    echo \$bname
    bname2=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/_holi/')
    bname $3=\$($ echo $\$$ bname | sed 's/.fa*/.holi.metagenome.txt/')
    bname4=\$(echo \$bname | sed 's/.fa*/.mtDB.metagenome.txt/')

