



christine: massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI request: scientific evidence of "SARS-COV-2"

christine: massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sat, Sep 30, 2023 at 1:58 PM

To: Freedom Of Information <foi@sheffield.ac.uk>

September 30, 2023

Freedom of Information
University Secretary's Office
The University of Sheffield,
12 Bolsover Street,
Sheffield,
S3 7AN

sent via email to: foi@sheffield.ac.uk

This is a formal requirement for records, as per your duty under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

Description of Records

1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of **University of Sheffield Professor Carl Smythe (Cell Biology)** that scientifically prove or provide evidence for the existence of the alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" (showing that the alleged particle exists and causes the dis-ease that it's alleged to cause).

Note:

Scientific proof/evidence is NOT

Opinions, or
Speculation, or
Review papers, or
Descriptive papers.

Scientific proof/evidence requires use of the scientific method to test falsifiable hypotheses through valid, repeatable controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups.

2. If Carl has no studies responsive to #1 above, then please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in Carl's possession, custody or control merely describing the alleged "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any sick person and separated from everything else in the patient sample, without the addition of any genetic material. Successful separation must be confirmed via EM imaging and the image(s) must be included as well.

I am aware that according to virus dogma a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate. I am not seeking records describing the replication of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum or a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or private patient records.

General Note:

This FOI request is not limited to records that were authored by anyone at the University of Sheffield, it includes any record(s) matching the above descriptions authored by anyone, anywhere, ever.

Publicly Available Records

If any records match the above descriptions of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please assist me by providing enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; please don't ship anything to me;

Contact Information:

email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine



christine: massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI request: scientific evidence of "SARS-COV-2"

Freedom Of Information <foi@sheffield.ac.uk>

Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 11:34 AM

To: "christine: massey" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Dear Christine

I am writing in response to your recent Freedom of Information (FOI) request, received 30 September 2023.

Your request was for:

"1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of University of Sheffield Professor Carl Smythe (Cell Biology) that scientifically prove or provide evidence for the existence of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (showing that the alleged particle exists and causes the dis-ease that it's alleged to cause).

Note:

Scientific proof/evidence is NOT

Opinions, or

Speculation, or

Review papers, or

Descriptive papers.

Scientific proof/evidence requires use of the scientific method to test falsifiable hypotheses through valid, repeatable controlled experiments where only 1 variable differs between the experimental and control groups.

2. If Carl has no studies responsive to #1 above, then please indicate such explicitly, and provide all studies and/or reports in Carl's possession, custody or control merely describing the alleged "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any sick person and separated from everything else in the patient sample, without the addition of any genetic material. Successful separation must be confirmed via EM imaging and the image(s) must be included as well.

I am aware that according to virus dogma a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate. I am not seeking records describing the replication of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum or a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or private patient records."

Whilst we recognise the right of the public to request information held by the University, upon careful consideration, we feel it is appropriate to refuse your request under section 14(1) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

Section 14(1) provides that:

"Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the request is vexatious."

Section 14(1) is designed to protect public authorities by allowing them to refuse requests which have the potential to cause a disproportionate or unjustified level of disruption, irritation, or distress. I hope it will be helpful to you if I explain our reasons for reaching this view below. I would like to emphasise that in the application of s14(1), it is the nature of the request that is considered 'vexatious' and not the requester.

We responded to a previous request from you on 1 June relating to "studies or reports [...] describing the purification of SARs-COV-2". I note that your current request and previous request share a focus on reports and studies held by Professor Smythe in relation to SARS-COV-2.

We judge that the issue you raise in your new request, regarding the separation of the Covid-19 virus from the rest of the sample, has already been sufficiently addressed by our response to your previous request, by an internal review of that response, and also in subsequent correspondence you have had with University staff. As I judge that your new request seeks to re-open a matter that has been addressed, it would represent an inefficient use of University resources to continue to engage with this issue, and would divert resources from the University's core functions of learning, teaching and research. We do not feel it is in the public interest to provide responses to your requests without an acknowledgement of the scientific standpoint from which the University engages with the issues you raise, recognising that this appears to be different from your own view. To do so otherwise would be to risk our responses misleading, or being misconstrued by, the public. This is why we explained the scientific meaning of purification in our previous response, and confirmed that the University does hold relevant studies and reports within this scope.

In addition to this, the requests we have received from you demonstrate a particular focus on Professor Smythe, that could be reasonably construed as a personal grudge. This is partly because your requests focus on the studies held by Professor Smythe rather than other researchers at the University also working on initiatives related to Covid-19, and also on the basis of material published on your website.

We are therefore unable to justify engagement with your new request, or to engage in further requests from you relating to Covid-19.

If you are not satisfied with the University's response to your FOI request, please see details of our internal review process [here](#), including details of how to contact the Information Commissioner's Office for an independent review.

Best wishes

Elsbeth

--

Elsbeth Summerfield

Assistant Data Protection Officer

University Secretary's Office

The University of Sheffield

First Floor

Arts Tower

[12 Bolsover Street](#)

Sheffield

S3 7NA

Web: <https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/uso>

[Quoted text hidden]



christine: massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI request: scientific evidence of "SARS-COV-2"

christine: massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 6:35 PM

To: Freedom Of Information <foi@sheffield.ac.uk>

Elspeth,

It's ironic for you to reference my focus on Carl, when in fact I had previously requested much broader searches and you refused to carry them out. You forced me to narrow my focus.

As explained in my articles about Carl and the FOIs (Sept 2023: <https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/p/fake-covid-test-developers-carl-smythe> and May 2023: <https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/p/germ-fois-joshua-quick-king-of-primers>), Carl has made repeated false statements about me, and in fact slandered me, on social media. He repeatedly insisted that I've concealed an important FOI response from U of Sheffield, even after I published the responses; an example is shown below.



Carl's false claims that I had concealed an important response (when in fact the university had refused to even carry out a search) is the reason why I filed a FOI for our initial communications. I had lost all of the records and needed to publish them in order to defend my reputation and show that Carl was lying about me.

Carl's false claims about me are also the reason why on May 2, 2023 I asked you to carry out a narrowed version of my initial request.

If it weren't for Carl continuously calling me "*the infamous liar and charlatan*", etc. on social media (see examples below), I wouldn't be bothering with any further FOIs to Sheffield.



RealCarlSmythe 🇺🇸 @CarlSmytheCells · Sep 17

She's still at it. This is the infamous liar and charlatan Christine **Massey**.



Christine Massey FOIs @Virus_FOI_Lady · Sep 17

Replying to @ImAtSeaAgain @HamHead5813 and 48 others

A quackcine development study, not demonstration that a "virus" exists.

They do not describe particles being purified from unadulterated clinical samples and shown to cause a contagious illness....

[Show more](#)

Vaccine candidate preparation

SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, isolated from a COVID-19 infected patient, was provided by Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Viruses were cultured in large-scale Vero cells factories, and inactivated with β -propiolactone for 24 hours, followed by purification with Ion-exchange Chromatography (IEC) and Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) method. The purified viruses were mixed with $Al(OH)_3$ adjuvant and served as SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidate.

3



14

489



RealCarlSmythe 🇺🇸 @CarlSmytheCells · Oct 2

Replying to @Virus_FOI_Lady @MissytheMuse and @BohemianAtmosp1

More lies and misrepresentation from the charlatan Christine **Massey**.

Arguably libellous.

My last request was not responded to accurately. When I filed an appeal, I was told that I had waited too long - as if improper responses are fine once a certain timeframe has passed. So now I've filed a new related (but different) request. If it isn't responded to properly I will be able to file a new appeal.

It is ludicrous for you to suggest that asking Carl to either provide/cite the requested studies or admit that he doesn't have or know of any (because none exist anywhere on the planet) could cause a disproportionate or unjustified level of disruption, irritation, or distress.

And if Carl is distressed, disrupted or irritated, it's because he's complicit in scientific fraud/delusion and doesn't like having this fact exposed.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]