## Making Sense of the Census: Observations of the 2001 Enumeration in Remote Aboriginal Australia

D.F. Martin, F. Morphy, W.G. Sanders and J. Taylor



Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research The Australian National University, Canberra

> Research Monograph No. 22 2002

Published by the ANU E Press The Australian National University Canberra ACT 0200, Australia Email: anuepress@anu.edu.au Web: http://epress.anu.edu.au

Previously published by the Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research, The Australian National University

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-publication entry.

Making Sense of the Census: Observations of the 2001 Enumeration in Remote Aboriginal Australia

ISBN 1 9209420 2 5

1. Indigenous Enumeration Strategy. 2. Aboriginal Australians - Census. 3. Aboriginal Australians - Population - Statistics. 4. Census - Methodology. 5. Australia - Census, 2001. I. Martin, D. F. (David F.).

304.60899915

All rights reserved. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organization.

The four forms in the Appendices are reproduced courtesy of the Australian Bureau of Statistics.

Designed by Green Words & Images (GWi) Cover design by Brendon McKinley All electronic versions prepared inhouse

First edition © 2002 Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research This edition © 2004 ANU E Press

#### **Foreword**

This monograph had its genesis in discussions held over many years between CAEPR researchers and the ABS regarding the capacity of census data to adequately and accurately represent the distinctiveness of Indigenous social, cultural and economic life in its many varied forms. Initial discussions surrounded the correct interpretation of census characteristics in situations where these fail to capture the on-the-ground reality of Indigenous circumstances. Other discussions concerned the adequacy of census counts in remote areas following the publication of a CAEPR Discussion Paper by David Martin and John Taylor illustrating discrepancies in enumeration at Aurukun community on remote Cape York Peninsula. More recently, and following on from that paper, the idea of using CAEPR researchers (who were to be in the field at the time of the 2001 enumeration) as official observers of the census in select communities was raised with the ABS. As the case studies in this monograph testify, this plan was supported and subsequently brought to fruition.

This is not the first time that CAEPR and the ABS have collaborated to produce research of importance to national Indigenous policy development. In 1992, current CAEPR Associate and former CAEPR colleague, Anne Daly, was the recipient of an ABS fellowship which resulted in a landmark study of the labour market status of Indigenous people. In 1996, Boyd Hunter and John Taylor collaborated with the National Centre for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Statistics to produce a joint ABS/CAEPR publication on employment outcomes for Indigenous people using data from the 1994 NATSIS. Boyd Hunter is also the recent recipient of an ABS fellowship under the Australian Census Analytic Program. In addition, several CAEPR staff assisted in the development of the NATSIS as members of Technical Reference Groups, and John Taylor is currently a member of the committee overseeing development of the Indigenous Social Survey. Over the years, ABS staff have participated in CAEPR workshops on employment equity, housing need, and the development (1992) and then analysis (1996) of NATSIS data.

In all of these activities, the ABS has displayed an interest in, and a commitment to, productive collaborations with CAEPR researchers. From CAEPR's perspective, the ABS provides statistical expertise, ready access to published and unpublished data, and, most importantly, insight into the methodological and bureaucratic processes that lead to official data collection. From the ABS perspective, CAEPR provides an interpretive and analytical capacity which is informed by ethnographic understanding, social sciences theory and methods, and familiarity with the social and economic realities of daily life in Indigenous communities. Together, these provide a powerful (and essential) means towards improving the quality of statistical information that is of fundamental importance to the development of effective and appropriate Indigenous social policy.

Professor Jon Altman CAEPR August 2002

## Contents

Foreword	ii	
Lists of figures and tables		
Abbreviations and acronyms	ix	
Abbreviations for kin terms (chapter 3)	X	
Acknowledgments	X	
1. The context for observation	1	
John Taylor		
Out of sight, out of mind: remote census counts before 1971	3	
The referendum of 1967 and beyond	4	
The development of special enumeration procedures	5	
Current practice	7	
Data quality	ģ	
Departures from standard procedures	10	
2. Counting the Wik: the 2001 Census in Aurukun, western Cape York Peninsula	13	
David Martin		
Introduction	13	
Pre-census preparation	14	
Proposed collection methodology	16	
Conduct of the census	17	
Responses to the census questions	20	
Completion of the count	27	
Conclusion	28	
3. When systems collide: the 2001 Census at a Northern Territory outstation	29	
Frances Morphy		
Introduction	29	
Putting the census team in place	32	
From training to doing	34	
The enumeration proceeds	37	
The interviews	40	

A complete enumeration?	50
The 'household' and its structure	55
Factors influencing the quality of the data	66
Conclusion	71
Notes	73
4. Adapting to circumstance: the 2001 Census in the Alice Springs town camps	77
Will Sanders	
Introduction	77
Background	77
Getting going	78
Twelve days in August: building the effort	83
The decision to focus on household forms	85
Analysis and policy implications for census collection	87
The Indigenous Enumeration Strategy: how special, how successful, how necessary?	91
5. The Indigenous Enumeration Strategy: an overview assessment and ideas for improvement	95
David Martin, Frances Morphy, Will Sanders and John Taylor	
Who to count	95
How to count	97
What to ask	98
Conclusion	101
Notes	102
Appendices	
Appendix A. Dwelling Check List, 2001 Census	103
Appendix B. Special Indigenous Household Form, 2001 Census	105
Appendix C. Special Indigenous Personal Form, 2001 Census	109
Appendix D. Special Short Form, 2001 Census	115
References	117

# List of figures and tables

	•					
-	п	a	ш	r	Δ	c
		ч	u		C	3

Fig. 1.1 Procedural structure of the Indigenous Enumeration Strategy, 1991 Census	8
Fig. 2.1 Example of a 'cluster' of households, Aurukun	22
Fig. 3.1 Kin relationships between people designated as 'person 1' for each occupied dwelling, community A, 2001 Census	31
Fig. 3.2 The kin connections of the community A enumerators, 2001 Census	32
Fig. 3.3 Siblings and cousins in the Anglo-Celtic and local Indigenous systems	56
Fig. 3.4 Children in the Anglo-Celtic and local Indigenous systems	57
Fig. 3.5 The Anglo-Celtic term <i>mother</i> and the local Indigenous term M compared	58
Fig. 3.6 Dwelling J: actual relationships of usual residents	61
Fig. 3.7 Dwelling K: actual relationships of usual residents and visitor	62
Fig. 3.8 Anglo-Celtic kinship terminology and the nuclear family	64
Fig. 3.9 Local Indigenous kinship terminology and the intersection of lineages	64
Fig. 4.1 Alice Springs Community Living Areas, with hand annotations of town camp CD numbers`	79
Tables	
Table 2.1 'Family types' at Aurukun, 2001 Census	22
Table 2.2 Language spoken at home and English proficiency, Aurukun, 2001 Census	24
Table 2.3 Religious affiliation, Aurukun, 2001 Census	25
Table 3.1 Details of dwelling J in community A as recorded on the SIHF, 2001 Census	61

## Abbreviations and acronyms

ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics

ANU The Australian National University

ATSIC Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission

CAEPR Centre of Aboriginal Economic Policy Research

CC**Community Coordinator** 

CD Collection District

Community Development Employment Project CDEP

**CFO** Census Field Officer

**CGC** Commonwealth Grants Commission

Community Housing and Infrastructure Needs Survey **CHINS** 

**CYPLUS** Cape York Peninsula Land Use Strategy

DAA Department of Aboriginal Affairs

Е enumerator

HΑ homelands association

IΑ Indigenous Area

IES Indigenous Enumeration Strategy

NACC National Aboriginal Consultative Council

NARU North Australia Research Unit

Q. SIPF question

RNO Census Record Number

section s.

SIHF Special Indigenous Household Form

**SIPF** Special Indigenous Personal Form

Statistical Local Area SLA

#### **Abbreviations for kin terms (chapter 3)**

В	brother
С	child
D	daughter
F	father
M	mother
Z	sister

Compound terms should be interpreted as follows: MB 'mother's brother', MMB 'mother's mother's brother', etc. When a compound term appears with one of its terms in brackets, this indicates that the compound term has different referents for different categories of people. For example (Z)C is the term used by a woman for her own and her sisters' children, and by a man for his sisters' children, while (B)C is the term used by a man for his own and his brothers' children and by a woman for her brothers' children. Anglo-Celtic terms appear in two forms: in italic (e.g. *sister*) or between quotation marks (e.g. 'sister'). The former are to be understood as terms in the Anglo-Celtic system, whereas the latter are approximate 'translations' of local Indigenous terms.

### **Acknowledgments**

Paul Williams of the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) has shown a continuing interest over many years in engaging researchers in open debate and in the exchange of ideas and information about the interpretation of Indigenous census data. It was he and John Struik, in his capacity as head of the Population Statistics Group of the ABS, who bravely entertained the notion of independent observation of the 2001 Census, and who made it possible. Logistically, numerous individuals also assisted in this process. Those deserving of special mention include Martin Brady of the ABS in Canberra, and Roger Jones of CAEPR who was invaluable as a constant source of ideas and critical comment.

David Martin wishes to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Roylene Wolski, and the census collectors at Aurukun, particularly Albert Peinkinna. Frances Morphy would like to thank the enumerators, residents and visitors at community A, who accepted her presence as an observer with equanimity and good humour. She owes a special debt to the CFO, who spent more time than he could probably afford in providing information that could not have been easily obtained otherwise, particularly about the training procedures and the regional context of the enumeration. Lorraine Oakshotte and Sue Ward of the Darwin ABS Office also provided valuable assistance. Frances also thanks Roger Jones, David Martin, Will Sanders, Di Smith, and John Taylor, all of CAEPR, and Rosa Gibbs and Paul Williams of the ABS for their comments and feedback on various drafts of her chapter. Will Sanders would like to acknowledge the Tangentyere Council and Peter White, ABS Central Australian Census manager, for their assistance in carrying out his study.

Those who deserve special mention for their help with the production of the book include Paul Williams and Emma Perkins of the ABS for the speed and efficiency with which they provided the extra materials we asked for. Hilary Bek and Sally Ward of CAEPR are thanked for their copy-editing and proofreading.