## SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS

Number 34

October, 1992

## Two Papers on Sinolinguistics:

1. A Hypothesis Concerning

the Origin of the Term fanqie ("Countertomy")

2. East Asian Round-Trip Words

by Victor H. Mair

Victor H. Mair, Editor
Sino-Platonic Papers

Department of East Asian Languages and Civilizations
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 USA
vmair@sas.upenn.edu
www.sino-platonic.org

SINO-PLATONIC PAPERS is an occasional series edited by Victor H. Mair. The purpose of the series is to make available to specialists and the interested public the results of research that, because of its unconventional or controversial nature, might otherwise go unpublished. The editor actively encourages younger, not yet well established, scholars and independent authors to submit manuscripts for consideration. Contributions in any of the major scholarly languages of the world, including Romanized Modern Standard Mandarin (MSM) and Japanese, are acceptable. In special circumstances, papers written in one of the Sinitic topolects (fangyan) may be considered for publication.

Although the chief focus of *Sino-Platonic Papers* is on the intercultural relations of China with other peoples, challenging and creative studies on a wide variety of philological subjects will be entertained. This series is **not** the place for safe, sober, and stodgy presentations. *Sino-Platonic Papers* prefers lively work that, while taking reasonable risks to advance the field, capitalizes on brilliant new insights into the development of civilization.

The only style-sheet we honor is that of consistency. Where possible, we prefer the usages of the *Journal of Asian Studies*. Sinographs (*hanzi*, also called tetragraphs [*fangkuaizi*]) and other unusual symbols should be kept to an absolute minimum. *Sino-Platonic Papers* emphasizes substance over form.

Submissions are regularly sent out to be refereed and extensive editorial suggestions for revision may be offered. Manuscripts should be double-spaced with wide margins and submitted in duplicate. A set of "Instructions for Authors" may be obtained by contacting the editor.

Ideally, the final draft should be a neat, clear camera-ready copy with high blackand-white contrast.

Sino-Platonic Papers is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

Please note: When the editor goes on an expedition or research trip, all operations (including filling orders) may temporarily cease for up to two or three months at a time. In such circumstances, those who wish to purchase various issues of *SPP* are requested to wait patiently until he returns. If issues are urgently needed while the editor is away, they may be requested through Interlibrary Loan.

N.B.: Beginning with issue no. 171, *Sino-Platonic Papers* has been published electronically on the Web. Issues from no. 1 to no. 170, however, will continue to be sold as paper copies until our stock runs out, after which they too will be made available on the Web at www.sino-platonic.org.

A Hypothesis Concerning the Origin of the Term fanqie ("Countertomy")

Victor H. Mair Department of Asian and ME Studies University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104-6305 USA

Dedicated to Zhou Yiliang, master of medieval Sino-Indian cultural studies in China.

There is an old scholarly consensus, going back at least to the Sang period, that the fanqie  $\nearrow$  + 7 method of "spelling" has an Indian basis, 1 but no one has ever demonstrated with precision what that might be. The purpose of this brief paper is to suggest one possible avenue for further investigation toward determining the source of fanqie.

As an example of Sung views on this subject, Xu Guan 許觀stated that "The ancients had no countertomy for graphs [i.e., in antiquity there was no way to spell out the sounds of words] 古者字未有反七刀…… In fact, it derives from the Brahmanical [i.e., Sanskrit or Indian] learning of the Western Regions."其實出於西域 整 也  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ 

Another Song scholar, Chen Zhensun 厚 振 孫 (fl. 1211-1249), expressed the same opinion with somewhat greater specificity: "Knowledge of countertomy entered China from the Western Regions. By the Qi (479-501) and Liang (502-556) periods it became prevalent and after that the discussions about phonological defects [in poetry]<sup>3</sup> became more detailed." 反 切 之 學 自 西 或 入 中國。至齊 梁 問盛行,然後聲 病 之 說 詳 焉 4

The celebrated early Sung polymath, Shen Gua 沈 括 (1030-1094), had already pointed out the fundamental place of Indian influence in the development of Chinese phonology: "After Shen Yue 沈約(441-513) established the four tones and Indian Brahmanical learning 天 竺 梵學 entered China, the techniques 術 of phonology 音 章 之學 were gradually refined."5 "The system of rhyme classification 6 七 河 賀 之學 originally came from the Western Regions."7

There can be little doubt that the Chinese were aware of the concept of Indian spelling by no later than the beginning of the fifth century, if only vaguely, for Kumārajīva's (344/350-409) translation of Nāgārjuna's (the patriarch of Mādhyamika) Mahāprajñāpāramitāsāstra 太智度意。, completed between 402 and 405, has the following sentence: "The 42 graphs are the root of all graphs 四十二字是一切字根本."8 As stated, of course, this makes no sense, but what Kumārajīva and his assistants must

certainly have been trying to convey is something like the following: "The 42 letters are the basis of all words." This is obviously a reference to an Indian or Indian-derived alphabet. But fifth-century Chinese phonologists were far from fully comprehending the mechanics of spelling. Indeed, it would be more than a thousand years before true spelling came to the Middle Kingdom.

China never advanced to a system of authentic spelling for one of its own Sinitic languages until the arrival of the Jesuit missionaries Matteo Ricci (1552-1610) and Nicolas Trigault (1577-1628) introduced the Latin alphabet and applied it to Mandarin and ultimately to other topolects. Even now, the concept of spelling out words has not won full acceptance in China where we still encounter such monstrosities as Huanyingwaibindaojiuquanlaiguanguang and "Wel come for eign guests for tour ism in Jiu quan." It is clear that the square shape and syllabic nature of the tetragraphs ( $fangkuaizi \, fangkuaizi \, fan$ 

The two sinographs (hanzi  $\cite{x}$ ) that constitute the word fanqie may be literally rendered as "reverse cutting." I used to call this method "cut-and-splice" pseudospelling or quasispelling. Fanqie cannot be considered as genuine spelling because it does not consistently employ a single symbol (i.e., letter) to designate the same sound (phoneme) or combination of sounds (phonemes). For example, the final -ung of a word may be represented in fanqie "spellings" by dong  $\cite{x}$ , gong  $\cite{x}$ , hong  $\cite{x}$ , and so forth. Although the fanqie system is quite cumbersome and confusing, it constitutes a tremendous advance over the old native system of duruo  $\cite{x}$  or duru  $\cite{x}$   $\cite{x}$  or duru  $\cite{x}$  ("read as") which leaves the reader absolutely helpless if he does not know the pronunciation of the graph which is being used to annotate that of the graph in question. Admittedly, the fanqie system is subject to the same criticism, but fanqie annotators who were sympathetic to their readers tended to restrict themselves to a relatively small group of well-known sinographs. Furthermore, the "read as" method partakes of no analysis whatsoever and hence is of no value in advancing phonological studies.

The word *fanqie* is somewhat peculiar. Upon first encounter, it is impossible to gain an intuitive sense of what this term may mean. Even when told that *fanqie* is a type of spelling, those who are unschooled in this method have no idea how it works. As we have seen above, it is easy enough to figure out what the two individual sinographs that are used to write the word mean ("reverse cutting"), but that is of little help in gaining an understanding of the manner in which it functions, viz., to join the initial of one presumably familiar syllabic graph with the final of another presumably familiar syllabic graph so as to "spell out" a third targeted syllabic graph that is presumably unfamiliar and

thus requires phonetic annotation. The rules of Chinese word formation and the semantics of the two constituent sinographs used to write *fanqie*, then, cannot adequately explain the origins of the word. Perhaps there is some other credible explanation.

There exists in Sanskrit the term *varṇa-bheda-vidhi* which signifies a method of spelling or letter division. The three components of the expression literally mean "letter-cutting-rules." It is curious that *bheda* corresponds exactly to *qie* and that *varṇa* not only sounds like *fan* but that it has interesting semantic resonances with it.

In Buddhist Hybrid Chinese, Sanskrit words formed from the root of varna, namely  $\sqrt{vr}$  ("to cover, screen, veil, conceal, hide, surround, obstruct") may be translated by fu = 0 ("to cover; to overturn; backwards and forwards; to reply; to repeat; to return; to alternate"). In the latter five senses, fu = 0 is used as a substitute for fu = 0, which is a synonym for fan = 0. Thus we find that there are striking areas of semantic overlap between varna and fan. I propose that it is possible that Chinese monks and scholars who became acquainted with the notion of spelling through varna-bheda-vidhi may have been inspired by it to invent fanqie. This would be another example of the many ingenious adaptations and modifications of Indian intellectual products by Chinese, particularly during the medieval period.

Varna-bheda-vidhi is also supposed to be the name of a treatise on spelling, but after expending much effort, I have been unable to locate a copy in America, Europe, or India. Nor have I been able to make any substantial headway in dating the origin of the technique of varna-bheda-vidhi in India. Finally, I have not succeeded in finding any Sanskrit text (Buddhist or otherwise) in which the term varna-bheda occurs and which has been translated into Chinese so that we might check whether it was rendered by fanqie. Nonetheless, the semantic and phonetic affinities between fan and varna, plus the exact parallel between qie and bheda, hold out the intriguing possibility that varna-bheda may have been the source of fanqie.

## **Notes**

1. For bibliographical references to scholarly studies on fanqie, see Paul Fu-mien Yang, ed., Chinese Linguistics: A Selected and Classified Bibliography (Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 1974), pp. 77b-78b (entries 1179-1198); Paul Fu-mien Yang, ed., Chinese Lexicology and Lexicography: A Selected and Classified Bibliography (Hong Kong: The Chinese University Press, 1985), pp. 235a-236b (entries 3287-3313);

Beijing Tushuguan [Peking Library] 北京圖書館, ed., Minguo Shiqi Zong Shumu [A Comprehensive Catalog of Books from the Republican Period] 民國時期總書目 (1911-1949), Yuyan Wenzi Fence [Volume on Language and Script] 話言文字分析 (Beijing: Shumu Wenxian Chubanshe, 1986), pp. 35b-36a (entries 424-427). S. Robert Ramsey's lucid, non-technical account of fanqie in his The Languages of China (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1987), pp. 120ff., gives due recognition to Indian influence on this system.

- 2. Dongzhai Ji Shi [Notes on Affairs from the Eastern Studio] 東 豪 記 事 (Baibu Congshu [Collectanea of a Hundred Divisions] 百 部 叢 書 edition), 10a.
- 3. For a demonstration of the Indian origins of prosodic defects in Chinese poetry, see Victor H. Mair and Tsu-Lin Mei, "The Sanskrit Origins of Recent Style Prosody," *Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies*, 51.2 (December, 1991), 375-470.
- 4. Zhi Zhai Shulu Jieti [Annotations for the Catalog of the Studio of Uprightness] 直 黨 書 錄 解 題(Guoxue Jiben Congshu [Basic Sinological Series] 國 學 基 本 叢 書 edition), ch. 3, p. 87.
- 6. More literally, this may be rendered as "tomic rhyme studies."
- 7. Xin Jiaozheng Mengxi Bitan, ch. 15 (Literary Arts B), p. 158.
- 8. Taisho Tripitaka, 25 (1509).408b; Korean Tripitaka, 14 (549).867c.
- 9. Monier Monier-Williams, ed., A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, p. 924c.

,封建	(Arch. Ch.)  * piung-kiën →  "enfeoff"	(Jap.) <i>hōken</i> → calque for "feudal"	(Mand.) <i>fengjian</i> "feudal"
· 方面	(Anc. Ch.)  piwang-miän →  "side; direction"	(Jap.) <i>hōmen</i> → calque for "aspect"	(Mand.) <i>fangmian</i> "aspect"
法律	(Arch. Ch.) * pi̯wĕp-li̯wət →> "orders; rules"	(Jap.) <i>hōritsu</i> > calque for "law"	(Mand.) <i>falü</i> "law"
· 法式	(Arch. Ch.) * piwăp-śiak →> "regulations; standards"	(Jap.) <i>hoshiki</i> —> calque for "form; formula"	(Mand.) <i>fashi</i> "model"
保障	(Arch. Ch.)  * pâu-tiang   "protect; secure"	(Jap.) <i>hoshō</i> →> calque for "guarantee"	(Mand.) <i>baozhang</i> "guarantee"
表情	(Anc. Ch.)  piäu-dz'iäng →→  "reveal feelings"	(Jap.) <i>hyōjō</i> →> calque for "expression"	(Mand.) <i>biaoqing</i> "expression"
表象	(Anc. Ch.)  piäu-ziang →  "external image"	(Jap.) <i>hyōshō</i> -> calque for "representation"	(Mand.) biaoxiang "idea (in psychology)"
意味	(Anc. Ch.) i-mjwei → "delight"	(Jap.) <i>imi</i> calque for "meaning; sense;  signification; significance"	(Mand.) <i>yiwei</i> "meaning; significance; implication"

規則	(Anc. Ch.)  kjwie-tsek  "regular"	(Jap.) <i>kisoku</i> -> calque for "rule; regulation"	(Mand.) <i>guize</i> "rule; regulation"
抗議	(Anc. Ch.)  *k'ang-ngjie ->  "upright argument"	(Jap.) <i>kōgi</i> →> calque for "protest"	(Mand.) <i>kangyi</i> "protest"
壽義	(Anc. Ch.)  **k**Eng-ngjie	(Jap.) <i>kāgi</i> -> calque for "lecture"	(Mand.) jiangyi "[mimeographed or printed] teaching materials; lecture notes"
故意	(Anc. Ch.)  kuo-i  "sentiments of an old [friend]"	(Jap.) <i>ko-i</i> -> calque for "intention"	(Mand.) <i>guyi</i> "intentionally"
女寶	(Arch. Ch.)  * kŏg-tsiər  "to receive with etiquette and gifts"	(Jap.) <i>kōsai</i> → calque for "association; social intercourse"	(Mand.) <i>jiaoji</i> "association; social inter- course; communication"
交涉	(Anc. Ch.)  kau-źjäp  "be associated with"	(Jap.) <i>kōshō</i> -> calque for "negotiation"	(Mand.) <i>jiaoshe</i> "negotiate"
構造	(Anc. Ch.) <i>k≥u-dz'âu</i> "make"	(Jap.) <i>kāzā</i> -> calque for "structure"	(Mand.) <i>gouzao</i> "structure"
教育	(Arch. Ch.)  * kŏg-djôk  "teach and rear"	(Jap.) <i>kyōiku</i> —> calque for "education"	(Mand.) <i>jiaoyu</i> "education"

· 教授	(Arch. Ch.)  * kŏg-địôg  "instruct(or)"	(Jap.) <i>kyōju</i> ->> calque for "professor"	(Mand.) <i>jiaoshou</i> "professor"
共和	(Arch. Ch.) * g'iung-g'wâ → "coalition [government]"	(Jap.) kyōwa -> calque for "republic"	(Mand.) <i>gonghe</i> "republican"
勞動	(Anc. Ch.)  lâu-d'ung  "gratitude for [another's] toil"	(Jap.) <i>rodo</i> > calque for "labor"	(Mand.) <i>laodong</i> "labor"
· . 領會	(Arch. Ch.)  * /iĕng-g'wâd   "fathom"	(Jap.) <i>ryōkai</i> -> calque for "comprehend; understand"	(Mand.) <i>linghui</i> "comprehend; grasp"
流行	(Arch. Ch.)  * /iôg-g'âng   "flow [to a distance]"	(Jap.) <i>ryūko</i> > calque for "popular"	(Mand.) <i>liuxing</i> "popular"
政治	(Anc. Ch.)  tśjäng-d'i  "government measures"	(Jap.) <i>seiji</i> $\longrightarrow$ calque for "government; politics"	(Mand.) <i>zhengzhi</i> "politics"
在會	(Anc. Ch.)  źia-ywai  "festal gathering around communal altar"	(Jap.) <i>shakai</i> -> calque for "society"	(Mand.) <i>shehui</i> "society"
进步	(Anc. Ch.)  **tsiĕn-buo**  "go forward [continuously]"	(Jap.) shinpo —> calque for "progress"	(Mand.) <i>jinbu</i> "progress"

## Sino-Platonic Papers, 34 (October, 1992)

. 投機	(Anc. Ch.)  d'∂u-kjei  "congenial"	(Jap.) $t\bar{o}ki$ $\longrightarrow$ calque for "speculation"	(Mand.) <i>touji</i> "speculator; opportunistic"
運動	(Arch. Ch.) * giwan-d'ung -> "move"	(Jap.) <i>undo</i> calque for "[social or political]  movement"	(Mand.) <i>yundong</i> "movement"
預算	(Med. Ch.)  ii-suan  "plan beforehand"	(Jap.) <i>yosan</i> → calque for "budget"	(Mand.) <i>yusuan</i> "budget"
遊擊	(Arch. Ch.) * diôg-kiek  "Brigade Commander"	(Jap.) <i>yūgeki</i> —> calque for "guerilla"	(Mand.) <i>youji</i> "guer111a"
惟 —	(Arch. Ch.) * <i>dijwar-jĕt →</i> "only"	(Jap.) <i>yuiitsu</i> -> calque for "unique"	(Mand.) <i>weiyi</i> "unique; sole"
夫术	(Arch. Ch.) * dz'iĕn → "name of a dynasty"	(Sanskrit) <i>Cīna</i> →	(Mand.) <i>Zhina</i> 支利 "China"

Since June 2006, all new issues of *Sino-Platonic Papers* have been published electronically on the Web at no cost to readers. Older back issues are also being released periodically for free in e-editions. For a complete catalog of *Sino-Platonic Papers*, with links to free issues, visit the *SPP* Web site.

www.sino-platonic.org