CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: longitudinal associations between built environment characteristics and changes in active commuting

Lin Yang¹, Simon Griffin^{1,2}, Kay-Tee Khaw², Nick Wareham¹ and Jenna Panter^{1*}

Correction

Following the publication of this article, Yang et al., [1] it has been brought to our attention that there were errors in the abstract and in Tables 2 and 3. These errors occurred as previous versions of the tables were uploaded to the submission system in the process of revising the paper. These errors relate to the presentation of the results. The results reported in the text of the paper are correct and the conclusions are unaltered. We also noted an error in the abstract.

1. Error in Table 2

Table 2 reports the characteristics of a sample. The correct version of Table 2 is presented below.

2. Error in Table 3

We also noted that the results presented in Table 3 are incorrect. The correct version of the table appears below and the results reported in the text match the results presented in this Table.

3. Error in the abstract

Those living in neighbourhoods with greater density of employment locations were more likely to maintain their active commuting.

Author details

¹MRC Epidemiology Unit & UKCRC Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), University of Cambridge, School of Clinical Medicine, Box 285, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK. ²Department of Public Health and Primary Care, School of Clinical Medicine, Box 285, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0SR, UK.

* Correspondence: jrp63@medschl.cam.ac.uk
¹MRC Epidemiology Unit & UKCRC Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), University of Cambridge, School of Clinical Medicine, Box 285, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Reference

Yang L, Griffin S, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Panter J. Longitudinal associations between built environment characteristics and changes in active commuting. BMC Public Health. 2017;17:458. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4396-3.



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Characteristic	%(n)
Age (in years)	
< 50	30.4 (839)
50–54	36.4 (1003)
> =55	33.2 (915)
BMI (kg/m2)	
Normal weight	43.4 (1197)
Overweight	43.6 (1203)
Obese	13.0 (357)
Social Class	
Professional	50.6 (1395)
Skilled	36.1 (996)
Partly Skilled/unskilled	13.3 (366)
Marital Status	
Not married	14.2 (392)
Married	85.8 (2365)
Alcohol Consumption	
Non drinker	6.1 (170)
Sensible drinker	80.1 (2208)
Heavy drinker	13.8 (379)
Smoking Status	
Never smoke	53.5 (1475)
Former smoker	38.5 (1062)
Current smoker	8.0 (220)
Total sample for analysis: $n = 2757$	

 Table 2 Descriptive characteristics of participants in the EPIC

 Norfolk cohort included in the analyses

Table 3 Adjusted associations between neighbourhood and route environment characteristics and uptake and maintenance of active commuting

	Switching to active commuting ($n = 2099$)		Maintenance of active commuting $(n = 658)$	
	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р
Neighbourhood Environmen	t			
Density of employment lo	cations (Reference: Lowest)			
Second quartile	-		1.19 (0.53, 2.72)	0.001
Third Quartile	-		1.53 (0.70, 3.36)	
Highest	-		3.13 (1.48, 6.64)	
Route Environment				
Distance from home to we	ork (Reference: <2 km)			
v2-10 km	0.11 (0.06, 0.18)	0.001	0.22 (0.12, 0.39)	0.001
Over 10 km	0.04 (0.02, 0.09)		0.06 (0.25, 0.13)	
Main or secondary road or	n route (Reference: No)			
Yes	0.45 (0.25, 0.79)	0.005	0.52 (0.28, 0.98)	0.042
Number of streetlights per	100 m (Reference: Lowest)			
Second quartile	2.76 (1.29, 5.91)	0.002	_	
Third Quartile	2.02 (0.94, 4.34)		-	
Highest	3.98 (1.85, 8.57)		-	
Total sample for analysis: $n =$	2757.			