



Molecular Recording of Mammalian Embryogenesis

Citation

Chan, Michelle M., Zachary D. Smith, Stefanie Grosswendt, Helene Kretzmer, Thomas Norman, Britt Adamson, Marco Jost, Jeffrey J. Quinn, Dian Yang, Alexander Meissner, Jonathan S. Weissman. 2019. Molecular Recording of Mammalian Embryogenesis. *Nature* 570, no. 7759: 77-82.

Published Version

doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1184-5

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:41999978>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Molecular recording of mammalian embryogenesis

Michelle M. Chan^{1,2*}, Zachary D. Smith^{4,5,6*}, Stefanie Grosswendt⁷, Helene Kretzmer⁷, Thomas Norman^{1,2}, Britt Adamson^{1,2}, Marco Jost^{1,2,3}, Jeffrey J. Quinn^{1,2}, Dian Yang^{1,2}, Matthew G. Jones^{1,2,8}, Alex Khodaverdian^{9,10}, Nir Yosef^{9,10,11,12}, Alexander Meissner^{4,5,7}, Jonathan S. Weissman^{1,2}

¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁴Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

⁷Department of Genome Regulation, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin 14195, Germany

⁸Integrative Program in Quantitative Biology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

⁹Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA

24 ¹⁰Center for Computational Biology, Berkeley, California, USA

25 ¹¹Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, California, USA

26 ¹²Ragon Institute of Massachusetts General Hospital, MIT and Harvard, Cambridge,

27 Massachusetts, USA

28

29 *These authors contributed equally to this work

30 Correspondence: jonathan.weissman@ucsf.edu (J.S.W.), meissner@molgen.mpg.de (A.M.)

31

32 **Ontogeny describes the emergence of complex multicellular organisms from single**
33 **totipotent cells. In mammals, this field is particularly challenging due to the indeterminate**
34 **relationship between self-renewal and differentiation, variation of progenitor field sizes,**
35 **and internal gestation. Here, we present a flexible, high information, multi-channel**
36 **molecular recorder with a single cell (sc) readout and apply it as an evolving lineage tracer**
37 **to define a mouse cell fate map from fertilization through gastrulation. By combining**
38 **lineage information with scRNA-seq profiles, we recapitulate canonical developmental**
39 **relationships between different tissue types and reveal the nearly complete transcriptional**
40 **convergence of endodermal cells from extra-embryonic and embryonic origins. Finally, we**
41 **apply our cell fate map to estimate the number of embryonic progenitor cells and their**
42 **degree of asymmetric partitioning during specification. Our approach enables massively**
43 **parallel, high-resolution recording of lineage and other information in mammalian systems**
44 **to facilitate a quantitative framework for understanding developmental processes.**

45

46 Development of a multicellular organism from a single cell is an astonishing process.
47 Classic lineage tracing experiments using *C. elegans* revealed surprising outcomes, including
48 deviations between lineage and functional phenotype, but nonetheless benefited from the highly
49 deterministic nature of this organism's development¹. Alternatively, more complex species
50 generate larger, more elaborate structures that progress through multiple transitions, raising
51 questions regarding the coordination between specification and commitment to ensure faithful
52 recapitulation of an exact body plan^{2,3}. Single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) has permitted
53 unprecedented explorations into cell type heterogeneity, producing profiles of developing
54 flatworms^{4,5}, frogs⁶, zebrafish^{7,8}, and mice^{9,10}. More recently, CRISPR-Cas9-based technologies
55 have been applied to record cell lineage¹¹⁻¹³, and combined with scRNA-seq to generate fate
56 maps in zebrafish¹⁴⁻¹⁶. However, these technologies include only one or two bursts of barcode
57 diversity generation, which may be limiting for other applications or organisms.

58 An ideal molecular recorder for these questions would possess the following
59 characteristics: 1) minimal impact on cellular phenotype; 2) high information content to account
60 for hundreds of thousands of cells; 3) a single cell readout for simultaneous profiling of
61 functional state¹⁴⁻¹⁶; 4) flexible recording rates that can be tuned to a broad temporal range; and
62 5) continuous generation of diversity throughout the experiment. The last point is especially
63 relevant for mammalian development, where spatial plans are gradually and continuously
64 specified and may originate from small, transient progenitor fields. Moreover, scRNA-seq has
65 revealed populations of cells with a continuous spectrum of phenotypes, implying that
66 differentiation does not occur instantaneously, further motivating the need for an evolving
67 recorder¹⁷.

68 Here, we generated and validated a method for simultaneously reporting cellular state and
69 lineage history in mice. Our CRISPR-Cas9-based recorder is capable of high information content
70 and multi-channel recording with readily tunable mutation rates. We employ the recorder as a
71 continuously evolving lineage tracer to observe the fate map underlying embryogenesis through
72 gastrulation, recapitulating canonical paradigms and illustrating how lineage information may
73 facilitate the identification of novel cell types.

74

75

76 **Results**

77 **A transcribed, multi-channel, and continuously evolving molecular recorder**

78 To achieve our goal of a tunable, high information content molecular recorder, we
79 utilized Cas9 to generate insertions or deletions (indels) upon repair of double-stranded breaks,
80 which are inherited in the next generation of cells¹¹⁻¹⁶. We record within a 205 base pair,
81 synthetic DNA “target site” containing three “cut sites” and a static 8 base pair “integration
82 barcode” (intBC), which are delivered in multiple copies via piggyBac transposition (**Fig. 1a, b**).
83 We embedded this sequence into the 3’UTR of a constitutively transcribed fluorescent protein to
84 enable profiling from the transcriptome. A second cassette encodes three independently
85 transcribed and complementary guide RNAs to permit recording of multiple, distinct signals
86 (**Fig. 1a, b**)¹⁸.

87 Our system is capable of high information storage due to the diversity of heritable repair
88 outcomes, and the large number of targeted sites, which can be distinguished by the intBC (**Fig.**
89 **1c**). DNA repair generates hundreds of unique indels, and the distribution for each cut site is
90 different and nonuniform: some produce highly biased outcomes while others create a diverse
91 series (**Fig. 1c, Extended Data Fig. 1**)¹⁹⁻²¹. To identify sequences that can tune the mutation rate
92 of our recorder for timescales that are not pre-defined, and may extend from days to months, we
93 screened several guide RNA series containing mismatches to their targets²² by monitoring their
94 activity on a GFP reporter over a 20-day timecourse and selected those that demonstrated a broad
95 dynamic range (**Fig. 1d**). Slower cutting rates may improve viability *in vivo*, as frequent Cas9-
96 mediated double-strand breaks can cause cellular toxicity^{23,24}. To demonstrate information
97 recovery from single cell transcriptomes, we stably transduced K562 cells with our technology
98 and generated a primary, cell-barcoded cDNA pool via the 10x Genomics platform, allowing us

99 to assess global transcriptomes and specifically amplify mutated target sites (**Extended Data**
100 **Fig. 1c**).

101

102 **Tracing cell lineages in mouse development**

103 We next applied our technology to map cell fates during mouse early development from
104 totipotency onwards. We integrated multiple target sites into the genome, delivered constitutive
105 Cas9-GFP encoding sperm into oocytes to initiate cutting, and isolated embryos for analysis at
106 ~embryonic day (E)8.5 or E9.5 (**Fig. 2a, Methods**). To confirm our lineage tracing capability,
107 we amplified the target site from bulk placenta, yolk sac, and three embryonic fractions from an
108 E9.5 embryo and recapitulated their expected relationships using the similarity of their indel
109 proportions (**Fig. 2b, Extended Data Figure 2**).

110 Following this *in vivo* proof of principle, we generated single cell data from additional
111 embryos (**Extended Data Figure 3**). We collected scRNA-seq data for 7,364 – 12,990 cells
112 from 7 embryos (~15.8% – 61.4% of the total cell count) and recovered 167 – 2,461 unique
113 lineage identities (≥ 1 target site recovered for 15% – 75% of cells from 3 to 15 intBCs, **Fig. 2c**,
114 **Extended Data Figure 4**). Many target sites are either lowly or heterogeneously represented,
115 which we improved by changing the promoter from a truncated form of *Efl α* to an intron-
116 containing version (see embryo 7, **Extended Data Figure 4**)²⁵.

117 We estimated the indel likelihood distribution by combining data from all seven embryos.
118 Many indels are shared with K562 cells, though their likelihoods differ, suggesting that cell type
119 or developmental status may influence repair outcomes (**Fig. 2d, Extended Data Figure 1, 4f**)¹⁹.
120 Our ability to independently measure and control the rate of cutting across the target site is
121 preserved *in vivo*, with minimal interference between cut sites except when using combinations

122 of the fastest guides that may lead to end-joining between simultaneous double strand breaks
123 (**Fig. 2e**). The fastest cutters result in higher proportions of cells with identical indels, indicating
124 earlier mutations in development, which correspondingly reduce indel diversity (**Fig. 2f, g**).
125 Importantly, the lineage tracer retains additional recording capacity beyond the temporal interval
126 studied here, as most embryos still have unmodified cut sites (**Fig. 2f**).

127

128 **Assigning cellular states by simultaneous scRNA-seq**

129 Next, to ascertain cell function, we utilized annotations from a compendium of wild-type
130 mouse gastrulation (E6.5 – E8.5). We assigned cells from lineage-traced embryos by their
131 proximity to each cell state expression signature and aged each embryo by their tissue
132 proportions compared to each stage (**Fig. 3a-c**)²⁶. We proceeded with six of our seven embryos,
133 as they appeared to be morphologically normal and included every expected tissue type: two
134 mapped most closely to E8.5, and the remaining four mapped to E8.0 (**Extended Data Fig. 5**).
135 Placenta was not specifically isolated, but is present in four of six embryos, serving as a valuable
136 outgroup to establish our ability to track transitions to the earliest bifurcation.

137 We also developed breeder mice that would enable facile exploration of all stages of
138 development by injecting target sites into Cas9 negative backgrounds. This approach
139 substantially increases the number of stably integrated target sites (~20). Resulting mice can be
140 crossed with Cas9 expressing strains to yield viable Cas9⁺ F1 litters that maintain continuous,
141 stochastic indel generation into adulthood, demonstrating that cutting does not noticeably
142 interfere with normal animal development (**Extended Data Fig 6**).

143 **Single cell lineage reconstruction of mouse embryogenesis**

144 We developed phylogenetic reconstruction strategies to specifically exploit the
145 characteristics of our lineage tracer, namely categorical indels, irreversibility of mutations, and
146 presence of missing values (**Extended Data Figure 7, Methods**). We determined the best
147 reconstruction by summing the log-likelihoods for all indels that appear in the tree using
148 likelihoods estimated from embryo data (**Extended Data Figures 4 and 7**). When cell type
149 identity from scRNA-seq is overlaid onto the tree, we observe functional restriction during
150 development, with fewer cell types represented as we move from root to leaves (**Fig. 4a, b,**
151 **Extended Data Figure 8**).

152 scRNA-seq-based strategies for ordering cells, such as trajectory inference, typically
153 assume that functional similarity reflects close lineage¹⁷. To investigate this question directly,
154 we used a modified Hamming distance to measure pairwise lineage distance and compared them
155 to RNA-seq correlation. Generally, cells separated by a smaller lineage distance have more
156 similar transcriptional profiles, though this relationship is clearer for some embryos than others
157 (**Fig. 4c, Extended Data Figure 9**). This result is consistent with the notion of continuous
158 restriction of potency as cells differentiate into progressively differentiated types.

159 We also developed a shared progenitor score that estimates the degree of common
160 ancestry between different tissues by evaluating the number and specificity of shared nodes in
161 the tree (**Methods**). Despite the stochastic timing of indel formation, this approach can
162 reproducibly recover emergent tissue relationships, such as possible shared origins between
163 anterior somites and paraxial mesoderm or neuromesodermal progenitors and the future spinal
164 cord (**Fig. 4d**). The full map of shared progenitor scores can be clustered to create a
165 comprehensive picture of tissue relationships during development (**Extended Data Fig. 8d**).

166

167 **Transcriptional state and developmental origin do not always correspond**

168 While our reconstructed tissue relationships generally recapitulate canonical knowledge,
169 extra-embryonic and embryonic endoderm display consistent and unexpectedly close ancestry
170 despite their independent origins from the hypoblast and embryo-restricted epiblast (**Fig. 5a,**
171 **Extended Data Figure 9**). Manual inspection of the trees revealed a subpopulation of cells that
172 appear transcriptionally as embryonic endoderm but that lineage analysis places within extra-
173 embryonic branches (**Fig 4c, blue**). Consistent with this finding, an earlier, targeted study using
174 marker-directed lineage tracing identified latent extra-embryonic contribution to the developing
175 hindgut during gastrulation, although it was not possible to broadly evaluate their
176 transcriptomes²⁷.

177 Here, scRNA-seq profiles collected in tandem with the lineage readout allow us to assess
178 the degree of convergence towards a functional endoderm signature and identify distinguishing
179 genes. Endoderm-classified cells derived from extra-embryonic origin are most similar to the
180 endoderm cell type, but do share slightly higher similarity with yolk sac that is not apparent
181 within the t-sne projection of the full embryo (**Fig. 5b, Extended Data Figure 10**). Given these
182 independent origins, we might expect a subtle, but persistent, transcriptional signature reflecting
183 their developmental history. Strikingly, when we separate endoderm cells according to their
184 lineage, we identify two X-linked genes, *Trap1a* and *Rhox5*, general markers for extra-
185 embryonic tissue^{28,29} that are consistently upregulated in the extra-embryonic origin endoderm
186 across embryos (K-S test, Bonferroni corrected *P*-value <0.05, **Fig. 5d, e**). Notably, in other
187 RNA-seq studies, these relationships are not captured by whole embryo clustering, and are only
188 found by specific examination of the hindgut (**Extended Data Figure 10**)^{9,30}. These

189 observations confirm that our lineage tracer can successfully pinpoint instances of convergent
190 transcriptional regulation.

191

192 **Towards a quantitative fate map**

193 Simultaneous single cell lineage tracing with phenotype provides the unique opportunity
194 to infer the cellular potency and specification biases of ancestral cells as reconstructed by our
195 fate map^{31,32}. Each node within the tree represents a unique lineage identity stemming from a
196 single reconstructed progenitor cell, allowing us to estimate lower boundaries of their field size
197 (**Methods**). We investigated the founding number of progenitors during the earliest transitions in
198 cellular potential. We defined totipotency as a node that gives rise to both embryonic and extra-
199 embryonic ectodermal/placental cell types and tiered pluripotency into “early” and “late”
200 according to the presence of extra-embryonic endoderm (**Fig. 6a**)³³. The contributions of these
201 founders to extant lineages are asymmetric, suggesting that even though a progenitor may be
202 biased towards a specific fate, it retains the ability to generate other cell types. Lower bound
203 estimates from our data suggest a range of 1–6 totipotent cells, 10–20 early, and 18–51 late
204 pluripotent progenitors (**Fig. 6b**). The variable number of multipotent cells at these stages may
205 reflect an encoded robustness that ensures successful assembly of the functioning organism,
206 particularly given that a single pluripotent cell can generate all somatic lineages in an embryo³⁴.
207 Future studies using more replicates generated by breeding may enable statistical approaches to
208 evaluate these organism-scale developmental considerations.

209

210 **Discussion**

211 In this study, we present cell fate maps underlying mammalian gastrulation using a
212 technology for high information and continuous recording. Several key ideas have emerged,
213 including the transformative nature of CRISPR-Cas9-directed mutation with a single cell RNA-
214 seq readout¹⁴⁻¹⁶, how information about a cell's history recorded by this technology can
215 complement RNA-seq profiles to characterize cell type, and an early framework for
216 quantitatively understanding stochastic transitions during mammalian development.

217 The modularity of our recorder allows for substitutions that will increase its breadth of
218 applications. Here, we use three constitutively expressed guide RNAs to record continuously
219 over time, but future modifications could employ environmentally-responsive promoters that
220 sense stress, neuronal action potentials, or cell-to-cell contacts³⁵, or combine these approaches
221 for multifactorial recording. Similarly, Cas9-derived base editors³⁶, including those that create
222 diverse mutations³⁷ could allow for content-recording in cells that are particularly sensitive to
223 nuclease-directed DNA double strand breaks^{23,24}.

224 Our cell fate map identifies phenotypic convergence of independent cell lineages,
225 showcasing the power of unbiased organism-wide lineage tracing to separate populations that
226 appear similar in scRNA-seq alone. Specifically, we substantiate the extra-embryonic origin of a
227 subset of cells that resemble embryonic endoderm. While the initial specification of these
228 lineages are known to rely on redundant regulatory programs, they are temporally separated by
229 several days, emerge from transcriptionally and epigenetically distinct progenitors, and form
230 terminal cell types with highly divergent functions. The identification of highly predictive
231 markers that segregate by origin, such as Trap1a, provides a clear outline for further exploration
232 through spatial transcriptomics^{38,39,40}. More generally, our approach can be used to investigate
233 other convergent processes or to discriminate heterogeneous cell states that represent persistent

234 signatures of a cell's past, which will be critical for the assembly of a comprehensive cell atlas⁴¹.
235 The scope of transdifferentiation within mammalian ontogenesis remains largely unexplored, but
236 can be practically inventoried using our system.

237 Ultimately, our technology is designed to quantitatively address previously opaque
238 questions in ontogenesis. Higher order issues of organismal regulation, such as the location,
239 timing, and stringency of developmental bottlenecks, as well as the corresponding likelihoods of
240 state transitions to different cellular phenotypes, can be modeled from the assembly of historical
241 relationships. Our hope is that characterization of these attributes will lead to new insights that
242 connect large-scale developmental phenomena to the molecular regulation of cell fate decision-
243 making.

244

245 **References**

- 246 1. Sulston, J. E., Schierenberg, E., White, J. G. & Thomson, J. N. The embryonic cell lineage
247 of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Developmental Biology* **100**, 64–119 (1983).
- 248 2. Pijuan-Sala, B., Guibentif, C. & Göttgens, B. Single-cell transcriptional profiling: a
249 window into embryonic cell-type specification. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**, 399–412
250 (2018).
- 251 3. Zernicka-Goetz, M. Patterning of the embryo: the first spatial decisions in the life of a
252 mouse. *Development* **129**, 815–829 (2002).
- 253 4. Fincher, C. T., Wurtzel, O., de Hoog, T., Kravarik, K. M. & Reddien, P. W. Cell type
254 transcriptome atlas for the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*. *Science* **360**, eaaq1736
255 (2018).
- 256 5. Plass, M. *et al.* Cell type atlas and lineage tree of a whole complex animal by single-cell
257 transcriptomics. *Science* **360**, eaaq1723 (2018).
- 258 6. Briggs, J. A. *et al.* The dynamics of gene expression in vertebrate embryogenesis at
259 single-cell resolution. *Science* **360**, eaar5780 (2018).
- 260 7. Farrell, J. A. *et al.* Single-cell reconstruction of developmental trajectories during
261 zebrafish embryogenesis. *Science* **360**, eaar3131 (2018).
- 262 8. Wagner, D. E. *et al.* Single-cell mapping of gene expression landscapes and lineage in the
263 zebrafish embryo. *Science* **360**, 981–987 (2018).
- 264 9. Ibarra-Soria, X. *et al.* Defining murine organogenesis at single-cell resolution reveals a
265 role for the leukotriene pathway in regulating blood progenitor formation. *Nat. Cell Biol.*
266 **20**, 127–134 (2018).
- 267 10. Han, X. *et al.* Mapping the Mouse Cell Atlas by Microwell-Seq. *Cell* **172**, 1091–1107.e17

- 268 (2018).
- 269 11. Perli, S. D., Cui, C. H. & Lu, T. K. Continuous genetic recording with self-targeting
270 CRISPR-Cas in human cells. *Science* **353**, aag0511–aag0511 (2016).
- 271 12. Kalhor, R. *et al.* Developmental barcoding of whole mouse via homing CRISPR. *Science*
272 **361**, eaat9804 (2018).
- 273 13. Frieda, K. L. *et al.* Synthetic recording and in situ readout of lineage information in single
274 cells. *Nature* **541**, 107–111 (2017).
- 275 14. Raj, B. *et al.* Simultaneous single-cell profiling of lineages and cell types in the vertebrate
276 brain. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 442–450 (2018).
- 277 15. Alemany, A., Florescu, M., Baron, C. S., Peterson-Maduro, J. & van Oudenaarden, A.
278 Whole-organism clone tracing using single-cell sequencing. *Nature* **556**, 108–112 (2018).
- 279 16. Spanjaard, B. *et al.* Simultaneous lineage tracing and cell-type identification using
280 CRISPR-Cas9-induced genetic scars. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 469–473 (2018).
- 281 17. Tanay, A. & Regev, A. Scaling single-cell genomics from phenomenology to mechanism.
282 *Nature* **541**, 331–338 (2017).
- 283 18. Adamson, B. *et al.* A Multiplexed Single-Cell CRISPR Screening Platform Enables
284 Systematic Dissection of the Unfolded Protein Response. *Cell* **167**, 1867–1882.e21
285 (2016).
- 286 19. van Overbeek, M. *et al.* DNA Repair Profiling Reveals Nonrandom Outcomes at Cas9-
287 Mediated Breaks. *Mol. Cell* **63**, 633–646 (2016).
- 288 20. Schimmel, J., Kool, H., van Schendel, R. & Tijsterman, M. Mutational signatures of non-
289 homologous and polymerase theta-mediated end-joining in embryonic stem cells. *EMBO*
290 *J.* **36**, 3634–3649 (2017).

- 291 21. Lemos, B. R. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9 cleavages in budding yeast reveal templated insertions
292 and strand-specific insertion/deletion profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E2040–
293 E2047 (2018).
- 294 22. Gilbert, L. A. *et al.* Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression and
295 Activation. *Cell* **159**, 647–661 (2014).
- 296 23. Ihry, R. J. *et al.* p53 inhibits CRISPR-Cas9 engineering in human pluripotent stem cells.
297 *Nat. Med.* **337**, 816 (2018).
- 298 24. Haapaniemi, E., Botla, S., Persson, J., Schmierer, B. & Taipale, J. CRISPR-Cas9 genome
299 editing induces a p53-mediated DNA damage response. *Nat. Med.* **19**, 1 (2018).
- 300 25. Kim, S.-Y., Lee, J.-H., Shin, H.-S., Kang, H.-J. & Kim, Y.-S. The human elongation
301 factor 1 alpha (EF-1 alpha) first intron highly enhances expression of foreign genes from
302 the murine cytomegalovirus promoter. *J. Biotechnol.* **93**, 183–187 (2002).
- 303 26. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell
304 transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat. Biotechnol.*
305 **36**, 411–420 (2018).
- 306 27. Kwon, G. S., Viotti, M. & Hadjantonakis, A.-K. The endoderm of the mouse embryo
307 arises by dynamic widespread intercalation of embryonic and extraembryonic lineages.
308 *Dev. Cell* **15**, 509–520 (2008).
- 309 28. Eakin, G. S. & Hadjantonakis, A.-K. Sex-specific gene expression in preimplantation
310 mouse embryos. **7**, 205 (2006).
- 311 29. Li, C.-S. *et al.* Trap1a is an X-linked and cell-intrinsic regulator of thymocyte
312 development. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **14**, 685–692 (2017).
- 313 30. Pijuan-Sala, B. *et al.* A single-cell molecular map of mouse gastrulation and early

- 314 organogenesis. *Nature* **566**, 490–495 (2019).
- 315 31. Soriano, P. & Jaenisch, R. Retroviruses as probes for mammalian development: allocation
316 of cells to the somatic and germ cell lineages. *Cell* **46**, 19–29 (1986).
- 317 32. Jaenisch, R. Mammalian neural crest cells participate in normal embryonic development
318 on microinjection into post-implantation mouse embryos. *Nature* **318**, 181–183 (1985).
- 319 33. Nichols, J. & Smith, A. Naive and primed pluripotent states. *Cell Stem Cell* **4**, 487–492
320 (2009).
- 321 34. Wang, Z. & Jaenisch, R. At most three ES cells contribute to the somatic lineages of
322 chimeric mice and of mice produced by ES-tetraploid complementation. *Developmental*
323 *Biology* **275**, 192–201 (2004).
- 324 35. Baeumler, T. A., Ahmed, A. A. & Fulga, T. A. Engineering Synthetic Signaling Pathways
325 with Programmable dCas9-Based Chimeric Receptors. *Cell Rep* **20**, 2639–2653 (2017).
- 326 36. Komor, A. C., Kim, Y. B., Packer, M. S., Zuris, J. A. & Liu, D. R. Programmable editing
327 of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature* **533**,
328 420–424 (2016).
- 329 37. Hess, G. T. *et al.* Directed evolution using dCas9-targeted somatic hypermutation in
330 mammalian cells. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 1036–1042 (2016).
- 331 38. Hou, J. *et al.* A systematic screen for genes expressed in definitive endoderm by Serial
332 Analysis of Gene Expression (SAGE). *BMC Dev. Biol.* **7**, 92 (2007).
- 333 39. Wang, G., Moffitt, J. R. & Zhuang, X. Multiplexed imaging of high-density libraries of
334 RNAs with MERFISH and expansion microscopy. *Sci Rep* **8**, 4847 (2018).
- 335 40. Shah, S., Lubeck, E., Zhou, W. & Cai, L. In Situ Transcription Profiling of Single Cells
336 Reveals Spatial Organization of Cells in the Mouse Hippocampus. *Neuron* **92**, 342–357

337 (2016).

338 41. Regev, A. *et al.* The Human Cell Atlas. *Elife* **6**, 503 (2017).

339 42. Tzouanacou, E., Wegener, A., Wymeersch, F. J., Wilson, V. & Nicolas, J.-F. Redefining
340 the progression of lineage segregations during mammalian embryogenesis by clonal
341 analysis. *Dev. Cell* **17**, 365–376 (2009).

342

343 **Supplementary References**

344 43. Schiml, S., Fauser, F. & Puchta, H. The CRISPR/Cas system can be used as nuclease for
345 in plant gene targeting and as paired nickases for directed mutagenesis in Arabidopsis
346 resulting in heritable progeny. *Plant J* **80**, 1139–1150 (2014).

347 44. Ren, X. *et al.* Performance of the Cas9 Nickase System in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *G3* **4**,
348 1955–1962 (2014).

349 45. Kimura, Y., Hisano, Y., Kawahara, A. & Higashijima, S.-I. Efficient generation of knock-
350 in transgenic zebrafish carrying reporter/driver genes by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome
351 engineering. *Sci Rep* **4**, 206–7 (2014).

352 46. Dong, Z., Dong, X., Jia, W., Cao, S. & Zhao, Q. Improving the efficiency for generation
353 of genome-edited zebrafish by labeling primordial germ cells. *International Journal of*
354 *Biochemistry and Cell Biology* **55**, 329–334 (2014).

355 47. Bao, Z. *et al.* Homology-Integrated CRISPR–Cas (HI-CRISPR) System for One-Step
356 Multigene Disruption in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **4**, 585–594 (2014).

357 48. Zhou, H., Liu, B., Weeks, D. P., Spalding, M. H. & Yang, B. Large chromosomal
358 deletions and heritable small genetic changes induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in rice. *Nucleic*
359 *Acids Research* **42**, 10903–10914 (2014).

- 360 49. Jiang, W. *et al.* Demonstration of CRISPR/Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted gene
361 modification in Arabidopsis, tobacco, sorghum and rice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**,
362 e188–e188 (2013).
- 363 50. Feng, Z. *et al.* Efficient genome editing in plants using a CRISPR/Cas system. *Nature*
364 *Publishing Group* **23**, 1229–1232 (2013).
- 365 51. DiCarlo, J. E. *et al.* Genome engineering in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using CRISPR-Cas
366 systems. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**, 4336–4343 (2013).
- 367 52. Jacobs, J. Z., Ciccaglione, K. M., Tournier, V. & Zaratiegui, M. Implementation of the
368 CRISPR-Cas9 system in fission yeast. *Nature Communications* **5**, 1–5 (1AD).
- 369 53. Wang, H. *et al.* One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by
370 CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **153**, 910–918 (2013).
- 371 54. Platt, R. J. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling.
372 *Cell* **159**, 440–455 (2014).
- 373 55. Yoshida, N. & Perry, A. C. F. Piezo-actuated mouse intracytoplasmic sperm injection
374 (ICSI). *Nat Protoc* **2**, 296–304 (2007).
- 375 56. Gusfield, D. Efficient algorithms for inferring evolutionary trees. *Networks* **21**, 19–28
376 (1991).
- 377 57. Jahn, K., Kuipers, J. & Beerenwinkel, N. Tree inference for single-cell data. *Genome Biol.*
378 **17**, 86 (2016).
- 379

380 **Acknowledgments**

381 We would like to thank members of the Weissman, Meissner, and Yosef labs, particularly J.
382 Charlton and A. Arczewska for assistance with animal imaging, A. Kumar for explorations of
383 extraembryonic endoderm, and L. Gilbert and M. Horlbeck for guidance on technology design,
384 as well as Eric Chow and Derek Bogdanoff from the UCSF Center for Advanced Technology for
385 sequencing. This work was funded by National Institutes of Health Grants R01 DA036858 and
386 1RM1 HG009490-01 (J.S.W.), P50 HG006193 and R01 HD078679 (A.M.), F32 GM116331
387 (M.J.), and F32 GM125247 (J.J.Q), and Chan-Zuckerberg Initiative 2018-184034. J.S.W. is a
388 Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator. M.M.C. is a Gordon and Betty Moore fellow of
389 the Life Sciences Research Foundation. T.M.N. is a fellow of the Damon Runyon Cancer
390 Research Foundation. S.G., H.K., and A.M. are supported by the Max Planck Society.

391 **Author Contributions**

392 M.M.C., Z.D.S., A.M. and J.S.W. were responsible for the conception, design, and interpretation
393 of the experiments and wrote the manuscript. M.M.C. and Z.D.S. conducted experiments and
394 M.M.C. developed the analysis, with input from Z.D.S. S.G. and H.K. provided annotations for
395 RNA-seq data and assisted in experimental and analytical optimization. B.A., T.M.N, and M.J.
396 provided vectors, experimental protocols, and advice. J.Q. and D.Y. prepared several sequencing
397 libraries and were engaged in discussion. M.G.J, A.K, and N.Y provided phylogenetic
398 reconstruction strategies.

399 **Author Information**

400 The authors declare no competing interests. Correspondence and request for materials should be
401 addressed to Jonathan Weissman (jonathan.weissman@ucsf.edu) and Alexander Meissner
402 (meissner@molgen.mpg.de).

403 **Figure Legends**

404 **Figure 1: Optimization of a multi-purpose molecular recorder**

- 405 a. Target site (top) and three guide (bottom) cassettes. The target site consists of an
406 integration barcode (intBC) and three cut sites for Cas9-based recording. Three different
407 single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) are each controlled by independent promoters (in this
408 study, mU6, hU6, and bU6).
- 409 b. Molecular recording principle. Each cell contains multiple genomic, intBC-
410 distinguishable target site integrations. sgRNAs direct Cas9 to cognate cut sites to
411 generate insertion (red) or deletion mutations. Here, Cas9 is either ectopically delivered
412 or induced by doxycycline.
- 413 c. Percentage of uniquely marked reads recovered after recording within a K562 line with
414 10 intBCs for 6 days using the following information: site 1 only with intBCs masked,
415 sites 1-3 (All) with intBCs masked, and sites 1-3 (All) with intBCs considered.
416 Information content scales with number of sites and presence of the intBC.
- 417 d. sgRNA mismatches alter mutation rate. Seven protospacers were integrated into the
418 coding sequence of a GFP reporter to infer mutation rate by the fraction of positive cells
419 over a 20 day time course. Single or dual mismatches were made in guides according to
420 proximity to the PAM: region 1 (proximal), region 2, and region 3 (distal). Guides
421 against Gal4-4 and the GFP coding sequence act as negative and positive controls. Bold
422 sequences were incorporated into the target site.

423

424 **Figure 2: Lineage tracing in mouse from fertilization through gastrulation**

- 425 a. Lineage tracing in mouse experiments. The target site (within mCherry's 3'UTR) and the
426 three guide cassettes are encoded into a single piggyBac transposon vector (ITRs,
427 inverted terminal repeats). The vector, transposase mRNA, and Rosa26::Cas9:EGFP
428 sperm are injected into oocytes to ensure early integration and tracing in all subsequent
429 cells after zygotic genome activation. Transferred embryos are then recovered after
430 gastrulation.
- 431 b. Pearson correlation coefficient heatmap of indel proportions recovered from bulk tissue
432 of an E9.5 embryo (see also **Extended Data Figure 2**).
- 433 c. Indel frequency distribution estimated from 40 independent target sites from all embryos.
434 Each site produces hundreds of outcomes for high information encoding. See **Extended**
435 **Data Figure 4** and **Methods** for frequency calculation. The indel code along the x-axis is
436 as follows: "Alignment Coordinate: Indel Size Indel type (**I**nsertion or **D**eletion)."
- 437 d. Proportion of indels that span one, two, or three sites, shown per site. Each dot denotes
438 one of 40 independent intBCs and sums to one across site-spanning indels. Colors
439 indicate the guide array: P = no mismatches; 1 = mismatch in region 1; 2 = mismatch in
440 region 2.
- 441 e. Percentage of cells with mutations according to guide complementarity. Indel
442 proportions within one mouse depend on timing: mutations that happen earlier in
443 development are propagated to more cells. Dots represent site 1 measurements from
444 independent intBCs; N = 4, 24, and 18 for P, 2, and 1 region mismatches.
- 445 f. Indel diversity is inversely related to cutting efficiency for site 1 as in **e**. Early mutations
446 due to fast cutting are propagated to more cells, leading to smaller numbers of unique
447 indels.

448 **Figure 3: Assigning cellular phenotype by scRNA-seq**

- 449 a. Images of a lineage-traced E8.5 embryo (embryo 2 of 7 for which single cell data was
450 collected, see **Extended Data Figure 3**), including for Cas9:EGFP and the
451 mCherry:target site.
- 452 b. t-sne plot of scRNA-seq from embryo in **a**. Only large or spatially distinct clusters are
453 labeled. (Inset) Pie chart of germ layers. Lighter and darker shades represent embryonic
454 and extra-embryonic components, respectively. Mesoderm is further separated to include
455 blood (red). See **Extended Data Figure 5b** for additional embryos.
- 456 c. Dot plot of canonical tissue-specific markers. Grouping clusters of diverse tissue types
457 into germ layers reduces the fraction of marker positive cells, but the specificity to their
458 respective states remains high, especially when considered combinatorially. Size: fraction
459 of marker-positive cells, color intensity: normalized expression (cluster mean). XEcto,
460 extra-embryonic ectoderm/placenta; XEndo, extra-embryonic endoderm/yolk sac; PGC,
461 primordial germ cell; Endo, embryonic endoderm; Ecto, embryonic ectoderm; Meso,
462 embryonic mesoderm; XMeso, extra-embryonic mesoderm.

463

464 **Figure 4: Single cell lineage reconstruction of mouse embryogenesis**

- 465 a. Reconstructed lineage tree comprised of 1,732 nodes for embryo 2 with three lineages
466 highlighted. Each branch represents an indel generation event.
- 467 b. Example paths from tree in **a** highlighted by color. Cells for each node in the path are
468 overlaid onto the plot from **Figure 3b**, with tissue proportions as a pie chart. Tissue
469 representation decreases with increased tree depth, indicating functional restriction.
- 470 Bifurcating sublineages are included for the top and bottom paths. In the top (red) path,

471 this bifurcation occurs within the final branch after primitive blood specification. In the
472 bottom (blue) path, bifurcation happens early within bipotent cells that become either gut
473 or visceral endoderm.

474 c. Violin plots of the pairwise relationship between lineage and expression for single cells.
475 Lineage distance uses a modified Hamming distance normalized to the number of shared
476 cut sites. Pearson correlation decreases with increasing lineage distance, showing that
477 closely related cells are more likely to share function. Red dot highlights the median,
478 edges the interquartile range, and whiskers the full range.

479 d. Comparison of shared progenitor scores (\log_2 -transformed) between our two most
480 information-dense embryos (Embryo 2, $n = 1,400$ alleles; Embryo 6, $n = 2,461$ alleles).
481 Cells from closely related transcriptional clusters (ex. primitive blood or visceral
482 endoderm, which have early and late states) derive from common progenitors and score
483 as highly related in both embryos. We also observe a close link between mesoderm and
484 ectoderm that may reflect shared heritage between neuromesodermal progenitors (NMPs)
485 and more posterior neural ectodermal tissues, such as the future spinal cord⁴².

486 **Figure 5: Disparities between transcriptional identity and lineage history within the extra-**
487 **embryonic endoderm**

488 a. Shared progenitor score heatmap for embryo 2 reconstructs expected relationships. The
489 number of nodes that include cells from different lineages is highlighted (Heterogeneous
490 nodes). See **Extended Data Figure 9** for additional embryos.

491 b. For cells from embryo 2, the relative distance from the mean expression profile of either
492 the endoderm or the extra-embryonic endoderm cluster according to origin (Endo or
493 XEndo).

- 494 c. Endoderm cell lineage tree from embryo 2 with expression heatmap for two extra-
495 embryonic marker genes. Middle bar indicates lineage: dark blue, extra-embryonic; light
496 blue, embryonic; grey, ambiguous.
- 497 d. Expression boxplots for *Trap1a* and *Rhox5* confirms consistent differential expression
498 across lineage-traced embryos according to their embryonic or extra-embryonic ancestry.
499 Red line highlights median, edges the interquartile range, whiskers the Tukey Fence, and
500 crosses outliers. N's, the number of recovered XEndo origin cells of either embryonic
501 (E) or Extraembryonic (X) function per embryo.

502 **Figure 6: Lineage bias and estimated size of progenitor pools**

- 503 a. Relative tissue distribution of cells descended from reconstructed or profiled pluripotent
504 progenitor cells for embryo 2. “Profiled” is a unique lineage identity of multiple cells
505 directly observed in the data. Pluripotent cells form all germ layers, but show
506 asymmetric propensities towards different cell fates, possibly reflecting positional biases.
507 Nodes highlighted in grey with asterisk overlasy give rise to primordial germ cells
508 (lineages 1, 4, and 5 include 9, 1, and 1 PGCs each). Color assignments as in **Figures 3**.
- 509 b. Estimated progenitor field sizes for three types of early developmental potency.
510 Totipotent cells give rise to all cells of the developing embryo, including trophectodermal
511 (TE) lineages. Pluripotent progenitors are partitioned into early and late by generation of
512 extra-embryonic endoderm (XEndo) in addition to epiblast (Epi). Dots represent single
513 embryos; solid grey line connects estimates from the same embryo.

514

515

516

517 **Extended Figure Legends**

518 **Extended Data Figure 1: Target site indel likelihoods from *in vitro* experiments**

- 519 a. Histograms for the relative indel frequency for protospacer sites 1, 2, and 2b within the
520 target region. In this experiment, single guide RNA expressing vectors respective to each
521 position were delivered into K562 cells. Repair outcomes and frequencies are different
522 for each site, but every site produces hundreds of discrete outcomes. The top 20 most
523 frequent indels for each site are shown. The indel code along the x-axis is as follows:
524 “Alignment Coordinate: Indel Size Indel type (**I**nsertion or **D**eletion).” Site 3 was not
525 profiled in this experiment.
- 526 b. Histograms representing the likelihood that any specific base in the target site is deleted
527 (blue) or has an insertion (red) which begins at that position, for sites 1 and 2,
528 respectively. The position of the integration barcode (intBC) and protospacer sequences
529 (sites) within the target site is represented as a schematic along the bottom, with the PAM
530 for each site proximal to the intBC. Indels, specifically insertions, start at the double
531 strand break point 3-bases upstream of the PAM sequence.
- 532 c. Simultaneous and continuous molecular recording of multiple clonal populations in K562
533 cells. We transduced K563 cells with a high complexity library of unique intBCs, sorted
534 them into wells of 10 cells each and propagated them for 18 days. At the end of the
535 experiment, we detected two populations by their intBCs, implying that only two clonal
536 lineages expanded from the initial population of 10, and confirmed generation of target
537 site mutations. (Left) Strategy for partitioning a multi-clonal population into their clonal
538 populations. Target sites are amplified from a single cell barcoded cDNA library and the

539 intBCs in each cell is identified as present or absent. (Middle) Heatmap of the percent
540 overlap of intBCs between all cells. The cells segregate into two populations
541 representing the descendants of two progenitor cells from the beginning of the
542 experiment. (Right) Table summarizing results of the experiment, including the
543 generation of indels over the experiment duration. These data additionally showcase our
544 ability to combine dynamic recording with tracing based on traditional static barcodes.

545

546 **Extended Data Figure 2: Capturing early differentiation by pooled sequencing of indels**
547 **generated within an E9.5 embryo**

548 Scatterplots of indel proportions from dissected, bulk tissue of an E9.5 embryo. Placenta is the
549 most distantly related from embryonic tissues, followed by the yolk sac, with the three
550 embryonic compartments sharing the highest similarity.

551

552 **Extended Data Figure 3: Experimental overview**

553 a. Schematic of platform used for generation of single cell RNA-seq libraries and
554 corresponding target site amplicon libraries, adapted from Adamson et al., 2016 (Ref 18).

555 The barcoded and amplified cDNA library is split into two fractions prior to shearing:
556 one fraction is used to generate a global transcription profile and the other is used to
557 specifically amplify the target site.

558 b. Summary table of lineage traced embryos detailing the type of guides used, the sampling
559 proportion, and sequencing results. Embryo 4 was omitted from further analysis due to
560 the absence of cells identified as primitive heart tube.

561

562

563 **Extended Data Figure 4: Target site capture in mouse embryos**

- 564 a. Percentage of cells with at least one target site captured.
- 565 b. Scatterplot showing the relationship between the mean number of unique molecular
566 identifiers (UMIs, a proxy for expression level) sequenced per target site and the
567 percentage of cells in which the target site is detected, which we refer to as “target site
568 capture.” Generally, as the mean number of UMIs increases, the percentage of cells also
569 increases. Using a full length, intron-containing Efla promoter in mouse embryos leads
570 to a higher number of UMIs, which generally results in better target site capture.
- 571 c. Percent of cells for which a given integration barcode (intBC) is detected across all seven
572 embryos profiled in this study.
- 573 d. Target site capture and expression level across tissues for Embryo 5, which utilizes a
574 truncated Efla promoter to direct transcription of the target site. Each row corresponds
575 to a different intBC, indicated in the top left of the histogram. (Left) The percentage of
576 cells in each tissue for which the target site is captured. (Right) Violin plots represented
577 the distribution of UMIs for the target site in each tissue. Dashed line refers to a 10 UMI
578 threshold. The target site may be expressed at different levels in a tissue-specific manner,
579 leading to higher likelihoods of capture in certain tissues. Examples such as the target
580 sequences carrying the intBCs AGGACAAA and ATTGCTTG may also be explained by
581 mosaic integration after the first cell cycle, as these follow a developmental logic and are
582 preferentially expressed in extraembryonic tissues. White dot indicates the median UMI
583 count for cells from a given germ layer, edges the interquartile range, and whiskers the
584 full range of the data.

585 e. Target site capture and expression level across tissues for embryo 7, which drives the
586 target site expression from a full length Efla promoter. Each row corresponds to a
587 different intBC, indicated in the top left of the histogram. (Left) The percentage of cells
588 in each tissue for which the target site is captured. (Right) Violin plots represented the
589 distribution of UMIs for the target site in each tissue as in **d**. Dashed line is a visual
590 threshold for 10 UMIs. While tissue specific expression may explain some discrepancy
591 in target site capture, high expression (as estimated from number of UMIs) may still
592 correspond to low capture rates, as observed for the intBC TGGCGGGG. One possibility
593 is that certain indels may destabilize the transcript and lead to either poor expression or
594 capture.

595 f. Scatterplots showing the relationship between estimated relative indel frequency and the
596 median number of cells that carry the indel. Since the indel frequency within a mouse is
597 dependent on the timing of the mutation, we cannot calculate the underlying indel
598 frequency distribution using the fraction of cells within embryos that carry a given indel.
599 Instead, we estimate this frequency by the presence or absence of an indel using all of the
600 target site integrations across mice, which reduces biases from cellular expansion but still
601 assumes that any given indel occurs only once in the history of each intBC. Since the
602 number of integrations is small (<50), we might expect our estimates to be poor. Here we
603 see that the number of cells marked with an indel increases with indel frequency,
604 suggesting that our frequency estimates are under-estimated for particularly frequent
605 indels. This is likely due to the fact that we cannot distinguish between identical indels in
606 the same target site that may have resulted from multiple repair outcomes (convergent
607 indels). The most frequent insertions are of a single base and tend to be highly biased

608 towards a single nucleotide (eg. 92:11 is uniformly an “A” in 5 out of 7 embryos and
609 never < 88%).

610

611 **Extended Data Figure 5: single cell RNA-seq tissue assignment and wild type comparison**

- 612 a. Boxplots representing tissue proportions from E8.0 (top) and E8.5 (bottom) wild type
613 embryos (n = 10 each) with lineage-traced embryos mapping to each state overlaid as
614 dots. Wild type embryos display large variance in the proportions of certain tissues and
615 our lineage-traced embryos generally fall within the range of those recovered from wild
616 type. Large circles indicate embryos that were scored as either E8.0 or E8.5,
617 respectively, and the bold red overlay highlights embryo 2, which is used throughout the
618 text. Note that many processes are continuous or ongoing between E8.0 to E8.5, such as
619 somitogenesis and neural development. For example, from E8.0 to E8.5, the embryonic
620 proportions of anterior neural ectoderm and fore/midbrain are inversely correlated as one
621 cell type presumably matures into the other. Many of our embryos scored as E8.0 exhibit
622 intermediate proportions for both tissue types, supporting the possibility that these
623 embryos are somewhat less developed than E8.5 but more developed than E8.0. For
624 boxplots, center line indicates the median, edges the interquartile range, whiskers the
625 Tukey Fences, and crosses the outliers.
- 626 b. Plots (t-sne) of single cell RNA-seq with corresponding tissue annotations for the six
627 lineage traced embryos used in this study. (Inset) Pie chart of the relative proportions for
628 different germ layers. Mesoderm is further separated to include blood (red). While 36
629 different states are observed during this developmental interval, only broad classifications
630 of certain groups (eg. “neural ectoderm” or “lateral plate mesoderm”) are overlaid to

631 provide a frame of reference. In general, the relative spacing and coherence of different
632 cell states are consistent across different embryos.

633 c. Boxplots of the Euclidean distance between single cell transcriptomes and the average
634 transcriptional profile of their assigned cluster (cluster center) in comparison to their
635 distance from the average of the next closest possible assignment. Comparison is to the
636 same 712 informative marker genes used to assign cells to states and includes all cells
637 used in this study. Middle bar highlights the median, edges the interquartile range,
638 whiskers the Tukey Fences, and grey dots the outliers. N's refer to the cumulative
639 number of cells assigned to each state across all 7 embryos for which single cell data was
640 collected, including for embryo 4.

641

642 **Extended Data Figure 6. Continuous indel generation by breeding**

643 a. Strategy for generating lineage traced mice through breeding. The target site and guide
644 array cassette are integrated into mouse zygotes as in **Figure 2a** using C57Bl/6J sperm to
645 generate P₀ breeder mice, which are capable of transmitting high copy genomic
646 integrations of the technology. Then, P₀ animals are crossed with homozygous,
647 constitutively expressing Cas9 transgenic animals to enable continuous cutting from
648 fertilization onwards in F₁ progeny. Shown is Sibling 2 of a cross between a P₀ male and
649 a Cas9:EGFP female.

650 b. Bar charts showing the degree of mutation (% cut, red) for a P₀ male (top row) and 4 F₁
651 offspring generated by breeding with a Cas9:EGFP female prior to weaning (21 days post
652 partum). Each row represents a mouse and each column represents a target site. Each

653 sibling inherits its own subset of the 23 parental target site integrations, and demonstrates
654 different levels of mutation throughout gestation and maturation.

655 c. Indel frequencies for the 10 most frequent indels from 3 siblings in a common target site
656 integration (column 1 in **b**). Each mouse shows a large diversity of indels and the
657 different frequencies observed in each animal demonstrates an independent mutational
658 path.

659

660 **Extended Data Figure 7: Performance of tree building algorithms used on embryonic data**

661 a. Table summarizing contemporary Cas9-based lineage tracers that have been applied to
662 vertebrate development highlighting attributes that differ between the studies. Refer to
663 **Methods** for a more detailed overview of key characteristics of our technology. * Study
664 reports the average fraction recovered by tissue for integrations that cannot be
665 distinguished, such that percentages reported here are effectively equivalent to our “ ≥ 1
666 intBC” metric. ** Reports a plate-based DNA-sequencing approach that can be applied to
667 all methods to improve target site recovery. *** Range of cells where at least one intBC
668 is confidently detected and scored. **** Presents a tree reconstruction method, but results
669 predominantly on clonal analysis.

670 b. Table of allele complexity, number of nodes, and log-likelihood scores for embryos.
671 Tree likelihoods are calculated using indel frequencies estimated from all embryo data
672 (see **Extended Data Figure 5** and **Methods**). Bold scores indicate the reconstruction
673 algorithm selected for each embryo (see **Figure 4**, and **Extended Data Figures 8** and **9**).

674 c. Log likelihood of trees generated using either the greedy or biased sampling approach as
675 a function of complexity, which is measured as the number of unique alleles. There is

676 near equivalent performance of the two algorithms for low complexity embryos, but the
677 greedy algorithm produces higher likelihood trees for embryos with larger numbers of
678 unique alleles.

679

680 **Extended Data Figure 8: Single cell lineage reconstruction of early mouse development for**
681 **embryo 6**

- 682 a. Reconstructed lineage tree comprised of 2,690 nodes generated from our most
683 information-dense embryo (embryo 6), which we used to compare shared progenitor
684 scores with embryo 2 in **Figure 4d**. Each branch represents an independent indel
685 generation event, and each node contains a pie chart of the germ layer proportions for the
686 cells contained within it (colors are as in **Figure 3b**).
- 687 b. Example paths from root to leaf from the selected tree (highlighted by color). Cells for
688 each node in the path are overlaid onto the t-sne representation in **Extended Data Figure**
689 **5**, with the tissue proportion at each node in the tree included as a pie chart. In the top
690 most path (pink), the lineage bifurcates into two independently fated progenitors that
691 either generate mesoderm (secondary heart field/splanchnic plate mesoderm and
692 primitive heart tube) or neural ectoderm (anterior neural ectoderm and neural crest).
693 Note that the middle path (green) also represents an earlier bifurcation from the same tree
694 and eventually produces neural ectoderm (neural crest and future spinal cord). These
695 paths begin with a pluripotent node that can generate visceral endoderm but subsequently
696 lose this potential. Alternatively, the bottom path (dark blue) begins in an equivalently
697 pluripotent state but becomes restricted towards the extraembryonic visceral endoderm
698 fate.

- 699 c. Violin plots representing the relationship between lineage and expression for individual
700 pairs of cells as calculated for embryo 2 in **Figure 4c**. Expression Pearson correlation
701 decreases with increasing lineage distance, showing that closely related cells are more
702 likely to share function. Red dot highlights the median, edges the interquartile range, and
703 whiskers the full range.
- 704 d. Comprehensive clustering of shared progenitor scores for Embryo 6, which has the
705 greatest number of unique alleles and samples multiple extraembryonic tissues. Shared
706 progenitor score is calculated as the sum of shared nodes between cells from two tissues
707 normalized by the number of additional tissues that are also produced (a shared
708 progenitor score is calculated as $2^{-(n-1)}$ where n is the number of clusters present within
709 that node). In general, extraembryonic tissues that are specified before implantation, such
710 as extraembryonic endoderm or ectoderm, co-cluster away from embryonic tissues and
711 within their own groups, while the amnion and allantois of the extraembryonic mesoderm
712 cluster with other mesodermal products of the posterior primitive streak. The co-
713 clustering of anterior paraxial mesoderm and somites may reflect the continuous nature of
714 somitogenesis from presomitic mesoderm during this period, with production of only the
715 most anterior somites by E8.5. Note that the gut endoderm cluster has been further
716 portioned according to embryonic or extra embryonic lineage (see **Figure 5**).

717

718 **Extended Data Figure 9: Summary of results from additional mouse embryos**

719 Representative highest likelihood tree analyses for additional embryos, including:

- 720 a. Reconstructed trees as shown in **Figure 4a**.

721 b. Shared progenitor score heatmaps as shown in **Figure 5a**, normalized to the highest score
722 for each embryo to account for differences in total node numbers. Here, the shared
723 progenitor score is calculated as the number of nodes that are shared between tissues
724 scaled by the number of number of tissues within each node (a shared score is calculated
725 as $2^{-(n-1)}$ where n is the number of clusters present within that node). In general,
726 clustering of shared progenitors is recapitulated across embryos, with mesoderm and
727 ectoderm sharing the highest relationship and either extra-embryonic ectoderm or extra-
728 embryonic endoderm representing the most deeply rooted and distinct outgroup, though
729 these scores are sensitive to the number of target sites and the rate of cutting. By shared
730 progenitor, PGCs are also frequently distant from other embryonic tissues, but this often
731 reflects the rarity of these cells, which restricts them to only a few branches of the tree in
732 comparison to more represented germ layers. The number of heterogeneous nodes from
733 which scores are derived is included for each heatmap.

734 c. Violin plots representing the pairwise relationship between lineage distance and
735 transcriptional profile as shown for embryo 2 in **Figure 4c**. Lineage distance is
736 calculated using a modified Hamming distance and transcriptional similarity by Pearson
737 correlation. The exact dynamic range for lineage distance depends on the number of
738 intBCs included and the cutting rate of the three guide array. Here, distances are binned
739 into perfect (0), close ($0 > x > 0.5$), intermediate ($0.5 \leq x < 1$), and distant ($x \geq 1$)
740 relationships for all cells containing either 3 or 6 cut sites, depending on the embryo. As
741 lineage distance increases, transcriptional similarity decreases, consistent with functional
742 restriction over development. Red dot highlights the median, edges the interquartile
743 range, and whiskers the full range.

744

745 **Extended Data Figure 10: Expression characteristics of extra-embryonic and embryonic**
746 **endoderm**

747 a. Violin plots representing the pairwise scRNA-seq Pearson correlation coefficients for
748 within or across group comparisons according to lineage (X, extra-embryonic; E,
749 embryonic) and cluster assignment (light blue, gut endoderm; dark blue, visceral
750 endoderm). Within group comparisons for cells with the same lineage and transcriptional
751 cluster identity are shown on the left, while across group comparisons are presented on
752 the right. Notably, extraembryonic cells with gut endoderm identities show higher
753 pairwise correlations to embryonic cells with gut endoderm identities (column 4) than
754 they do to visceral endoderm cells, with which they share a closer lineage relationship
755 (column 5). Red dot highlights the median, edges the interquartile range, and whiskers
756 the full range.

757 b. Plots (t-sne) of scRNA-seq data for embryo 2, with gut endoderm cells highlighted.
758 Endoderm cells segregate from the rest of the embryo, and cannot be distinguished by
759 embryonic (light blue) or extraembryonic (dark blue) origin.

760 c. Expression boxplots for the extra-embryonic markers *Trap1a* and *Rhox5* from an
761 independent single cell RNA-seq survey of E8.25 embryos (Ibarra-Soria et al., 2018, Ref
762 ⁹). Both genes are heterogeneously present in cells identified as mid/hindgut but
763 uniformly present in canonical extra-embryonic tissues, consistent with a subpopulation
764 of cells of extra-embryonic origin residing within this otherwise embryonic cluster. Red
765 lines highlights the median, edges the interquartile range, and whiskers the Tukey Fence.
766 Outliers were removed for clarity.

767

768 **Methods**

769

770 **Plasmid design and construction**

771 Because the principles governing Cas9 efficiency and subsequent indel generation are not
772 absolute, we screened fourteen protospacers for potential inclusion in our target site, including
773 nine protospacers known to function with moderate efficiency and five additional protospacers
774 hypothesized to function⁴³⁻⁵². Each protospacer was checked against the human and mouse
775 genomes using bowtie to limit off target effects. A gene block library of the fourteen
776 protospacers (no additional bases between sequences) with an 8 base pair randomer was ordered
777 from IDT representing target site version 0.0.

778

779 The target site (tS) v0.0 vector backbone was derived from a previously described Perturb-seq
780 lentiviral vector (pBA439, Addgene, Cat#85967)¹⁸ with the following changes: the cassette for
781 mU6-sgRNA-EF1a-PURO-BFP was removed and replaced with EF1a-tSv0.0-sfGFP using
782 Gibson assembly with the target site in the coding sequence of sfGFP for use in the fluorescent
783 reporter assay (PCT10, sequence available upon request).

784

785 A gene block library of five protospacers (ade2-whiteL-bam3-bri1-whiteB; no additional bases
786 between sequences) with an 8 base pair randomer was ordered from IDT representing target site
787 version 0.1. Protospacers in positions 1 (ade2), 3 (bam3), and 5 (whiteB), are used for cutting in
788 subsequent experiments and are referred to as sites 1, 2, and 3.

789

790 Target site (tS) v0.1 was also cloned into pBA439 with the following changes: the cassette for
791 mU6-sgRNA-EF1a-PURO-BFP was removed and replaced with EF1a-sfGFP-tSv0.1, followed

792 by BGH pA on the original backbone (PCT12). Here the target site sits in the 3' UTR of GFP.
793 To improve the delivery of multiple targets into the same cell, we swapped the v0.1 target site
794 cassette into a commercially available piggyBac transposon vector (Systems Biosciences,
795 #PB533A-2) with the following changes: IRES-Neo was swapped for either GFP (PCT16) or
796 mCherry (PCT29). The backbone was digested with restriction enzymes and target site v0.1
797 gene block was PCR-amplified to add Gibson arms. Following Gibson assembly, the plasmids
798 were transformed into at least 100uL of Stb12 competent cells (Thermo Fisher, Cat#10268019),
799 and plated onto 1-2 large plates (Fisher, #NC9372402) with LB/Carbenicillin to generate high
800 complexity target site libraries (PCT17, and PCT30, respectively).

801
802 The three-guide expression vector design and cloning protocol were adapted from ¹⁸ to utilize
803 guides against the three sites in the target site. The guide for site 1 (ade2) is under the control of
804 the mU6 promoter, site 2 (bam3) under the control of hU6 promoter, and site 3 (whiteB) under
805 the control of bU6-2 promoter. All guides are constitutively expressed in this system.

806 Additionally, the triple-guide cassette was moved onto the piggyBac backbone described above.

807
808 Two further modifications of the plasmids described above were used in this study. First, in an
809 attempt to decrease the cutting percentage variation between embryos, we cloned the triple-guide
810 expression cassette without BFP into PCT29, and then cloned in the target site with intBCs to
811 generate the resulting vectors (PCT41-43, for guide combinations (P,1,P), (1,1,1), and (2,1,2),
812 respectively). In the second modification, we changed the truncated form of Efla in PCT29 to a
813 promoter sequence comprised of the ubiquitous chromatic opening element (UCOE) and a full-
814 length, intron-containing Efl α and cloned in a triple-guide expression cassette for the guide

815 combination (2,1,P), followed by cloning in of the target site to make PCT60. In these
816 modifications, target site plasmid libraries (PCT41-43, PCT60) were transformed and expanded
817 in 1-2L of liquid LB/Carb culture rather than on large plates.

818
819 A new target site design, v1.1, was utilized for further experiments to generate P₀ breeders (see
820 below). A gene block library of three protospacers (ade2-bri1-whiteB; 30-60 bases between
821 sequences) with a 14 base pair randomer was ordered from IDT representing target site version
822 v1.1. For this target site, site1 is ade2, site2 is bri1, and site3 is whiteB. We cloned v1.1 into the
823 same backbone as PCT60 with guide combinations (2,3,3) or (2,1,2) to make PCT61 and PCT62,
824 respectively.

825

826 **Cell culture, DNA transfections, and viral production**

827 The production of lentiviral particles or transfection of plasmids as is as described in¹⁸.

828

829 **K562 GFP reporter assay**

830 To construct the target site GFP reporter cell line, a doxycycline(Dox)-inducible Cas9 K562 cell
831 line was stably transduced with PCT10 (8% infected, <0.1 MOI), and GFP positive cells were
832 sorted using fluorescence activated cell sorting on a BD FACSAria2. For each protospacer in the
833 target site, 1-4 guides was designed to achieve a series of mutation efficiencies and cloned into
834 single guide expression vectors²². On Day -4, the reporter cell line was plated into wells and
835 stably transduced with a different guide against target site v0.0, GFP-targeting protospacer
836 EGFP-NT2 (positive control), or Gal4-targeting protospacer (negative control) in each well. On
837 Day -2, cells were selected for guide cassette integration using 3 ug/mL puromycin. On Day 0,

838 50ng/mL Dox was added to induce Cas9 expression, and maintained through the course of the
839 experiment. GFP fluorescence was recorded on a LSR-II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) on
840 every 2nd day starting at day 0, except day 13 was recorded in place of day 12. Data was
841 analysed in Python using FlowCytometryTools (<http://eyurtsev.github.io/FlowCytometryTools/>).
842 For guide virus produced in this experiment, labels were systematically shifted during production
843 resulting in incorrect ordering of guide effect on GFP fluorescence, which was corrected for
844 presentation in the manuscript. We confirmed the activity order of the guide series for three
845 guides (ade2, bam3, and bri1) in sequencing experiments where new virus was prepared.

846

847 **K562 single cutting pooled assay**

848 To construct the cell line used here, a Dox-inducible Cas9 K562 cell line was stably transduced
849 with PCT12 (6% infected, <0.1 MOI), and GFP positive cells were sorted on a BD FACSAria2.
850 On Day -5, the cell line was plated and stably transduced with a different guide against target site
851 v0.1, or GFP-targeting protospacers in each well. On Day -2, cells were selected for guide
852 cassette integration using 3 ug/mL puromycin. On Day 0, 50ng/mL Dox was added to induce
853 Cas9 expression, and maintained through the course of the experiment. Wells were sampled
854 every 3-6 days for 20 days with cell pellets frozen down. Genomic DNA was isolated from
855 frozen cell pellets, and the target site was PCR-amplified to make sequencing libraries (refer to
856 **Pooled embryo library preparation** below for library prep protocol), which were sequenced on
857 the Illumina Miseq. Timepoint samples were pooled and reads with no indels were removed to
858 calculate relative indel frequencies.

859

860 **K562 multiple target site integration cell line**

861 To construct a cell line with multiple integrations, we nucleofected 200,000 Dox-inducible Cas9
862 K562 cells with 1500ng PCT17 and 200ng piggyBAC transposase using set program T-016
863 (Lonza #V4SC-2096; Systems Biosciences, #PB210PA-1).

864

865 **K562 triple guide cutting assay, and multi-clonal lineage tracing experiment**

866 Multiple-integration cells described above were stably transduced with a triple guide expression
867 vector (Perfect-Perfect-Perfect; fastest cutting) and recovered for 2 days. GFP (target site) and
868 BFP (triple guide) double positive cells were sorted using fluorescence activated cell sorting on a
869 BD FACSAria2. For the multi-clonal lineage tracing experiment, 10 cells were sorted into wells
870 containing 200uL of pre-conditioned media on a 96 well plate (12 wells total). At day 18, wells
871 were inspected under the microscope and the 3 wells with the largest populations were selected
872 for single cell analysis on the 10x Chromium. Two of the lanes suffered wetting failures, and the
873 remaining sample was taken through library preparation described below (refer to **Target site**
874 **amplicon library preparation**). The library was sequenced on the Illumina Miseq and would
875 benefit from additional sequencing.

876

877 For the pooled experiment, ~112,000 cells were sorted into a tube, spun down, resuspended in
878 fresh media, split into two wells with 50ng/mL Dox added to one of the wells. Cells were
879 collected 6 days post-sort, genomic DNA was isolated, and the target site was PCR-amplified to
880 make sequencing libraries (refer to **Pooled embryo library preparation**), which were
881 sequenced on the Illumina Miseq. The 10 intBCs with the most reads were used for analysis.

882

883 **Embryo and P₀ breeder generation**

884 Protocols are adapted from those described in ref⁵³ To enable *in vivo* lineage tracing, B6D2F1
885 strain female mice (age 6 to 8 weeks, Jackson Labs) were superovulated by sequential
886 intraperitoneal injection of Pregnant Mare Serum Gonadotropin (5IU per mouse, Prospec Protein
887 Specialists) and Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (5IU, Millipore) 46 hours apart. Twelve hours
888 after delivery of the second hormone, MII stage oocytes were isolated and injected with *in vitro*
889 transcribed piggyBAC transposase mRNA (100 ng/ul) prepared in an injection buffer (5 mM
890 Tris buffer, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH = 7.4). Decapitated sperm isolated from an 8 week old
891 *Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1.1(CAG=cas9*,EGFP)Fz/h/J* strain mouse (Jackson labs, ref⁵⁴) was
892 resuspended with the purified piggyBAC library in the same injection buffer at concentrations
893 ranging from 0.5 to 1.4 ug/uL.

894 Transposase-injected oocytes were then fertilized by piezo-actuated intracytoplasmic
895 sperm injection (ICSI) as previously described ref⁵⁵. Injected embryos were cultured in 25 uL
896 EmbryoMax® KSOM drops (Millipore) covered in mineral oil (Irvine Scientific) in batches of
897 25-50 embryos. After 84 or 96 hours, successfully cavitated blastocysts were screened for
898 uniform fluorescence of the target sequence cassette and transferred into one uterine horn of 6-10
899 week old pseudopregnant CD-1 strain female mice (Charles River). Uterine transfer results in an
900 ~24 hour lag, so the day of transfer was scored as E2.5 and embryos were dissected from
901 euthanized animals 6 or 7 days later at ~E8.5 or E9.5, depending on the experiment. All
902 techniques utilized standard micromanipulation equipment, including a Hamilton Thorne XY
903 Infrared laser, Eppendorf Transferman NK2 and Patchman NP2 micromanipulators, and a Nikon
904 Ti-U inverted microscope.

905 The generation of breeders was conducted identically by coinjecting target design v1.1
906 piggyBAC plasmids with sperm from C57BL6/J strain males (Jackson labs), transferring

907 uniformly bright mCherry blastocysts into CD-1 strain mice, and allowing live pups to be
908 brought to term. Genotyping was conducted using tail tip genomic DNA purified using the
909 Quick DNA Miniprep Plus kit (Zymogen) isolated prior to weaning. Animals with large intBC
910 counts (n=23 for the male used in **Extended Data Figure 6**) were then bred into either male or
911 female *Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1.1(CAG=cas9*,EGFP)F_{ezh}/J* strain animals to generate live pups
912 with continuous cutting. Fluorescence of live animals was confirmed and documented using a
913 dual fluorescent protein flashlight (Nightsea).

914

915 **Pooled embryo library preparation**

916 RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, #74104) was used to isolate RNA from whole embryos or dissected
917 tissue for embryonic tissue. Alternatively, genomic tail tip DNA was used for P₀ breeders or
918 Cas9+ F₁ animals. Following purification and/or first strand synthesis of cDNA from 1 ug of
919 RNA (Promega), the target site was amplified using a 2-stage PCR protocol. In the 1st stage,
920 <100ng of diluted DNA template was amplified using 0.6 uM forward and reverse primers and
921 Kapa HiFi HotStart ReadyMix according to the following PCR protocol: (1) 98C for 3 min, (2)
922 98C for 30 s, 69C for 30 s, 72C for 15 s (16 cycles for cDNA, 24 cycles for genomic DNA), (3)
923 72C for 5 min. Following 0.7X SPRI selection, the elute served as template for 2nd stage PCR,
924 using 0.6uM barcoded P5 and P7 secondary primers and Kapa HiFi HotStart ReadyMix
925 according to the following PCR protocol: (1) 98C for 3 min, (2) 98C for 30 s, 60C for 30 s, 72C
926 for 30 s (4-6 cycles), (3) 72C for 5 min. PCR products underwent 0.6X SPRI-selection and were
927 eluted in 20-40uL of elution buffer to produce the final library. Libraries were sequenced on the
928 Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Rapid Run) or Miseq, with the following run parameters: Read 1: 175
929 cycles, i7 index: 8 cycles, i5 index: 8 cycles, Read 2: 175 cycles.

930

931 For v1.0 target sites, the following primary primers were used:

932 MC38:

933 CGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTGCAGGAGCGGATTGCTTCGAACC

934 MC39:

935 TCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAG

936 TC

937 For v1.1 target sites, the following primary primers were used:

938 P5_PCT48-49_F:

939 TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGAATCCAGCTAGCTGTGCAGC

940 ODY120_PCT48_R_PB:

941 GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGCGATGGACGATTGCGGAAGAC

942 AG

943 Secondary amplification was conducted using the following primers:

944 P5 primer:

945 AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACAC[ILLUMINA

946 INDEX]TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTA)

947 P7 primer

948 CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATAACGAGAT[ILLUMINA

949 INDEX]GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAG

950

951 **Single cell embryo dissociation**

952 Embryos are washed through several drops of PBS after isolation to reduce debris and put into
953 ~100 uL PBS droplets on a microscope slide and screened for uniform fluorescence of the target
954 site cassette on an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope running Metamorph. Selected embryos
955 were dissociated to single cell suspensions by adding 100 uL of TrypLE (Invitrogen, #12605010)
956 and pipetting the embryo or embryo pieces every 5 minutes for ~30 minutes until complete
957 dissociation was visually confirmed. Trypsin was deactivated by adding 100 uL PBS+BSA is
958 added to the droplet and moving cells into a 1.5 mL eppendorf tube, followed by several rounds
959 of additional collection with 100 to 200 uL of PBS+BSA to a final volume of 1 mL. The
960 dissociated cells are filtered through a Flowmi filter tip (Bel-Art Products, #H13680-0040) into a
961 new tube, and spun down for 5 minutes at 1200 rpm on a tabletop centrifuge. Following the
962 spin, 900uL of PBS+BSA is removed and the remaining volume is resuspended with an
963 additional 900uL of PBS+BSA. The suspension is spun for 5 minutes at 1,200 rpm, 800 uL of
964 PBS+BSA is removed, the remaining volume is spun for 5 minutes at 1,200 rpm, and PBS+BSA
965 is removed until only ~30 uL of volume remains. 2 uL of the final resuspended cells were used
966 for counting using a hemocytometer. We load ~17,000 cells into the 10x machine (Chromium
967 Single Cell 3' Library & Gel Bead Kit v2) for a targeted recovery of 10,000 cells.

968

969 **scRNA-seq library preparation and sequencing**

970 Single cell RNA-seq libraries were prepared according to the 10x user guide, except for the
971 following modification. After cDNA amplification, the cDNA pool is split into two fractions.
972 15uL of EB buffer is added to one of the fractions of 20uL of the cDNA pool, and scRNA-seq
973 library construction proceeds as directed in the 10x user guide. RNA-seq libraries were
974 sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 system.

975

976 **Target site amplicon library preparation**

977 The target site-specific amplification protocol was adapted from ¹¹. 50-100 ng of template from
978 the cDNA pool, 0.3 uM P5-truseq-long

979 (AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATC
980 T), 0.6 uM MC63

981 (TCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTGCAGGAGCGGATTGCTTCGAAC

982 C) was split across four parallel PCR reactions, and was amplified using Kapa HiFi HotStart

983 ReadyMix according to the following PCR protocol: (1) 95C for 3 min, (2) 98C for 15 s, then

984 69C for 15 s (8-12 cycles). Reactions were re-pooled during 0.9X SPRI selection, and eluted

985 into 60 uL. A second PCR with the elute as the template, 0.3 uM P5

986 (AATGATACGGCGACCACCGA), 0.6 uM barcoded P7

987 (CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATAACGAGAT[ILLUMINA

988 INDEX]GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAG) was split across four parallel PCR

989 reactions, and amplified using Kapa HiFi HotStart ReadyMix according to the following PCR

990 protocol: (1) 95C for 3 min, (2) 98C for 15 s, then 69C for 15 s (6 cycles). Reactions were re-

991 pooled during 0.9X SPRI selection and then fragments of length 200-600bp were selected using

992 the BluePippin. Target site libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Rapid Run),

993 with the following run parameters: Read 1: 26 cycles, i7 index: 8 cycles, i5 index: 0 cycles, Read

994 2: 350 cycles.

995

996 **scRNA-seq library data processing**

997 scRNA-seq data was processed and aligned using 10x Cell Ranger v2. The filtered gene-barcode
998 matrices were then processed in Seurat (<https://satijalab.org/seurat/>) for data normalization
999 (global scaling method “LogNormalize”), dimensionality reduction (PCA), and generation of t-
1000 sne plots, which use the first 16 principal components.

1001

1002 **scRNA-seq tissue assignment**

1003 An independent project conducting scRNA-seq profiling of gastrulation identified 42 distinct
1004 tissues in wild type mice. We utilized the mean expression profile for each tissue and the list of
1005 712 marker genes used for assignment of cells to tissues (see instructions for assignment in
1006 GSE122187). For each cell in lineage traced mouse embryos, we calculated the Euclidean
1007 distance between the cell’s expression profile and the mean expression profile for each tissue
1008 using the 712 marker gene set, and assigned the cell to the tissue identity with the minimum
1009 distance. Expression values were transformed to log space using $\log(\text{normalized UMI count} + 1)$
1010 before calculating the Euclidean distance. Comparisons between the best matched tissue to the
1011 next best match are presented for all data collected here in **Extended Data Figure 5** to highlight
1012 the precision of this approach.

1013

1014 **Embryo gastrulation stage assignment**

1015 The wild type mouse gastrulation compendium consists of five time points, profiling every 0.5
1016 days from E6.5 to E8.5 with at least 10 embryos collected for each time point. Tissue proportion
1017 is calculated as the number of cells assigned to the tissue divided by the total number of cells in
1018 the embryo. The median tissue proportion was calculated for each time point treating each tissue
1019 independently. For each lineage-traced embryo, the Euclidean distance between its tissue

1020 proportions and the median tissue proportion for each time point was calculated and the embryo
1021 was assigned to the time point with the minimum cumulative distance. All lineage-traced
1022 embryos were assigned to either E8.0 or E8.5 stages.

1023

1024 **Target site data processing**

1025 A custom software pipeline was built to align and call indels in the target site. The logic is as
1026 follows: (1) Assign cell barcode and UMIs to each read, (2) find the consensus sequence for each
1027 UMI, (3) align the consensus sequence to the target site reference sequence, (4) identify most
1028 likely integration barcodes (intBC) and create custom reference sequences, (5) repeat alignment
1029 against all reference sequences and select highest scoring alignment for each UMI, (6) call intBC
1030 and indels in the target site, (7) correct the intBC and allele using UMIs which appear in the
1031 same cell, (8) remove doublets. Details appear below:

1032

1033 (1) Assigning cell barcode and UMIs to each read. Specific amplification libraries of the target
1034 site amplicon were processed using 10x Cell Ranger software to assign cell barcodes and UMIs
1035 to each read. The target site is designed to be orthogonal to the human and mouse genome, and
1036 does not align in Cell Ranger processing. Unaligned reads from the Cell Ranger output bam file
1037 are parsed into fastq format with the cell barcode and UMI identifiers appended to the read
1038 name.

1039

1040 (2) Finding the consensus sequence for each UMI. To potentially increase the speed of consensus
1041 sequence finding, we attempt to trim reads to the same length for each UMI. The read is
1042 trimmed to remove sequence beyond the polyA tail using cutadapt software

1043 (<http://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/stable/>) with the following parameters: [-a AAAAAAAAAA -
1044 e 0.1 -o trimmedFile.fq -untrimmed-output=untrimmedFile.fq -m 20 -max-n=0.3 -trim-n].
1045 Reads that do not contain polyA sequence appear in the untrimmed file and are subjected to a
1046 second round of read trimming using a sequence which appears in the 3' end of the target site
1047 assuming the sequence has not been deleted from DNA repair, with cutadapt run using the
1048 following parameters: [-a GCTTCGTACGCGAAACTAGCGT -e 0.1 -o trimmedFile2.fq --
1049 untrimmed-output=untrimmedFile2.fq -m 20 --max-n=0.3 --trim-n --no-indels]. The adapter
1050 sequence used in the last round of trimming is then concatenated back on to the trimmed
1051 sequence to improve target site alignment in the next step. If $\geq 60\%$ of trimmed sequences for a
1052 given UMI are the same sequence, then the sequence is taken as the consensus sequence.
1053 Otherwise, a multiple sequence alignment is performed using BioPython and the consensus
1054 sequence is extracted from the alignment. Ambiguous bases are reported if there is $< 50\%$
1055 agreement for any position in the alignment.
1056
1057 (3) Aligning to the target site reference. We use the emboss implementation of the smith-
1058 waterman algorithm to align sequences to the target site reference sequence with the following
1059 parameters, which were determined empirically: [emboss water -asequence targetSiteRef.fa -
1060 sformat1 fasta -bsequence consensusUMI.fa -sformat2 fasta -gapopen 15.0 -gapextend 0.05 -
1061 outfile sam -aformat sam]. In this first alignment, the ambiguous sequence NNNNNNNN is
1062 used to represent the intBC. A minor bug had to be corrected in the emboss implementation to
1063 successfully output sam format. For target site v1.1, the gapopen penalty was increased to 20
1064 and the gapextend penalty to 1.
1065

1066 (4) Identifying the most likely intBC. A perl script is used to parse the intBC from the alignment.
1067 The intBCs with the highest number of UMIs are substituted into the target site reference
1068 sequence to make custom reference sequences. This step was included because upon manual
1069 inspection, there were obvious misalignments due to the ambiguous intBC sequence, which were
1070 corrected upon substitution of a real sequence.

1071

1072 (5) Selecting the highest scoring alignment for each UMI. Repeat smith waterman alignment
1073 against all custom reference sequences and select alignment with the highest score for each UMI.

1074

1075 (6) Calling indels and intBCs. A perl script is used to parse the intBC and indels from the
1076 alignment using the CIGAR string. The boundaries for each site is defined and indels
1077 overlapping site boundaries are called as an indel in that site. Sequence of the indel is not
1078 considered.

1079

1080 (7) Correcting indels using multiple reads with the same UMI from the same cell. UMIs are
1081 filtered for alignment score and only cells that are in the matched scRNA-seq data set are kept.
1082 An intBC is corrected to an intBC with a higher UMI count in the cell if the intBCs are within an
1083 edit distance of 2 and the alleles are the same. An allele is the combination of indels in sites 1, 2,
1084 and 3. An allele is corrected to an allele with a higher UMI count in the cell if the intBC is the
1085 same and the allele is within a 1-indel difference. Only UMIs with greater than or equal to 3
1086 UMIs are kept.

1087

1088 (8) Eliminating doublets. Cells that report two alleles for the same intBC are removed if the
1089 dominant allele is <80% of the total UMI count for the intBC. This removes 4.1-18.3% of cells
1090 in our embryos.

1091

1092 **Tree reconstruction strategies**

1093 **1. Biased search through phylogenetic space**

1094 We simulate the evolutionary process leading from a collection of uncut target sites to the final
1095 data set. The set of mutations (including “no mutation”) across all target sites in a cell is referred
1096 to as an allele. In the final tree, each branch represents a mutation, and each node represents the
1097 allele of a cell, which may be a reconstructed ancestral allele, i.e. it is not present in the data set.

1098 Input: table of unique alleles

1099 - each allele may represent multiple cells

1100 - we cannot distinguish between identical indels in the same position that may result from
1101 independent mutation events (convergent indels) if they appear with an identical set of co-
1102 segregating indels

1103 Algorithm:

1104 - Create root node in tree representing an allele with 0 mutations (c_allele)

1105 - remove alleles in the table that match c_allele

1106

1107 - While alleles remain in table:

1108 - choose indel from table that can be added to current allele

1109 - can only add indels in positions that have no mutation

1110 - create new node by adding indel into c_allele (c_allele2)

- 1111 - draw directed edge labeled with indel between nodes from `c_allele` to `c_allele2`
- 1112 - remove alleles in table that match `c_allele2`
- 1113 - includes alleles that match `c_allele2` with missing values for positions that have no
- 1114 mutations
- 1115 - if indels in table can be added to `c_allele2`, then `c_allele = c_allele2`; else, `c_allele` does
- 1116 not change
- 1117 - when indels cannot be added to `c_allele`, traverse up edges to ancestral nodes until an
- 1118 allele to which an indel can be added is found

1119

1120 We presented two methods that are used to choose indels. The first method, “Random,” selects a
1121 position where an indel can be added, and then selects an indel from the data set for that position;
1122 both selections occur in an unbiased manner. The second method, “Frequency Normalized
1123 Weighted” (FNW), identifies all of the indels that can be added to the current allele and scores
1124 them according to the fraction of alleles they are found in divided by the expected independent
1125 frequency of the indel (see **Fig. 2c**). These scores are used as weights to bias selection of the
1126 indel. The reasoning behind FNW is that indels that are found in many cells (or alleles) are more
1127 likely to have occurred early, but this has to be balanced against their expected likelihood of
1128 occurring. FNW biases the search towards more likely trees. To further increase the search for
1129 good trees, we first remove all indels that are unique to a single allele since we can assume that
1130 these indels occur at the leaves of the tree. The indels are added as branches leading to leaves in
1131 the final tree before the final tree likelihood is calculated.

1132

1133 The log likelihood of the tree is calculated as the sum of the likelihoods of all the indels that
1134 appear in the tree. The likelihood of each mutation is estimated from the embryo data set (**Fig.**
1135 **2c**).

1136
1137 It is worth noting that the number of trees that are possible grossly exceeds 30,000; however, the
1138 search is biased towards finding good trees and performs markedly better than those that are
1139 randomly generated. Using high scoring trees to direct the search towards better ones, such as by
1140 grafting high scoring branches, could further improve our algorithm's ability to identify high
1141 scoring trees.

1142

1143 **2. Greedy search to reconstruct larger trees**

1144 Our greedy algorithm consists of building the tree top-down, recursively splitting cells into
1145 mutually exclusive groups based on the presence or absence of a specific mutation. In particular,
1146 these splits are prioritized by selecting mutations that appear frequently in the dataset, but are
1147 known to be an improbable outcome from a Cas9 mutagenesis event. This transform is equal to
1148 the product of the observed frequency of the mutation and the log prior-probability. The
1149 mutations prioritized this way, we reason, are very likely to have occurred only once and
1150 relatively early in the experiment. Under this assumption, these mutations are useful to a top-
1151 down approach as they efficiently create maximally informative tree-splits. In practice, we can
1152 calculate the prior-probabilities of mutations several ways but while describing this algorithm we
1153 assume the priors are provided (**Fig. 2c**).

1154

1155 To deal with missing values, we first split cells based on the presence or absence of a mutation.
1156 Then, for each cell that reports a missing value for this cut site, we assign the cell to the group
1157 with which it shares the greatest similarity. Here, we define similarity as the average number of
1158 mutations it shares with the cells in each group. We follow this procedure until only one cell
1159 remains. Note that for application to the dataset described in this manuscript, we filled missing
1160 values with unique indels to force the algorithm to choose splits based on the presence of
1161 mutations rather than absence.

1162

1163 Theoretically, building the tree in this fashion is possible due to the special case of multistate
1164 compatibility afforded by our model of evolution, namely that mutations can only arise once at a
1165 particular site (i.e. Cas9 cannot re-cut a site). This context allows one to consider a traditional
1166 Gusfield algorithm⁵⁶ in which one infers phylogenies by selecting character-splits based on the
1167 most frequently occurring mutations. In a special regime of “perfect-phylogeny” (where every
1168 mutation arose exactly once), this algorithm is provably optimal and extremely efficient as
1169 compared to other algorithms (linear in the number of cells and mutations, or $O(|\text{number cells}| * |\text{number of mutations}|)$). In the case of multi-state characters, the notion of compatibility often
1170 breaks down as this typically implies that a character can mutate many times to different states.
1171 Yet, as described previously, in our system this cannot happen – namely, once a mutation is
1172 obtained at a site, it cannot be changed again along that evolutionary path. In this way, we can
1173 apply an approximated Gusfield algorithm to reconstruct trees, where perfect phylogeny is
1174 possible although still confounded in cases where the same mutation arises twice independently.

1175

1176
1177 Trees are visualized using the Python ete library (<http://etetoolkit.org/>).

1178

1179 **Pairwise single cell lineage distance measure used for violin plots**

1180 A cut site can take 2 forms, uncut or indel. The distance is a modification of hamming distance
1181 where uncut is considered a special state.

1182 Distance = $(2 * (\text{sites with different indels}) + 1 * (\text{sites with indel vs uncut})) / (\text{number of sites}$
1183 $\text{recovered in both cells})$

1184 Pairwise expression correlation was estimated using the same 712 marker genes used to assign
1185 cell states and was only included if two single cell transcriptomes shared ≥ 10 gene
1186 measurements.

1187

1188 **Estimating ancestral tissue relationships**

1189

1190 Each node, including leaves, that includes more than one tissue type is considered a
1191 “progenitor.” Progenitors found at the leaves are not reconstructed or inferred but result from the
1192 lack of new indels that distinguish between tissues (ie. the lineage tracer does not produce new
1193 indels past the progenitor stage).

1194

1195 The shared progenitor score is calculated between two tissues as the number of shared
1196 progenitors scaled by the number of tissues each progenitor contributes to, and is calculated
1197 using the following algorithm:

1198

1199 For each progenitor,

1200 $tList = \text{list of tissues progenitor contributes to}$

1201 pScore = 1/(2^{len(tList)-1})
1202 for each pair of tissues in tList:
1203 progenitorScoreForPairOfTissues += pScore

1204 Example for a single progenitor:

1205 tList = [Endo, Meso, XMeso]
1206 pScore = 1/(2⁽³⁻¹⁾) = 1/4
1207 ProgenitorScoreEndoMeso += 1/4
1208 ProgenitorScoreEndoXMeso += 1/4
1209 ProgenitorScoreMesoXMeso += 1/4

1210

1211 The resulting matrix is a shared progenitor score matrix. To transform the similarity matrix to a
1212 distance matrix, we use 1-(matrix/maxScoreInMatrix). The distance matrix is then hierarchically
1213 clustered using either ward or average as the cluster joining criteria..

1214

1215 To account for the potential effect of cluster sizes (for example, if we assume that differentiation
1216 occurs for all tissues instantaneously, then the larger cluster sizes for mesoderm and ectoderm
1217 would increase the likelihood of detecting a progenitor between the two tissues), we
1218 downsampled each tissue before calculating the shared progenitor score: 150 cells were
1219 randomly sampled from each tissue and the tree was pruned to only include the sampled cells.
1220 For tissues with less than 150 cells, all cells were included. For embryo 2, we downsampled to
1221 300 cells since it is a merger of two biological replicates and is therefore doubly sampled. The
1222 shared progenitor score was calculated from the pruned tree and the process was repeated 1000
1223 times for each embryo. The median progenitor score is presented in the heatmap. For higher

1224 resolution clusters (**Fig. 4d, Extended Data Fig. 8**), we downsampled 500 times instead of 1000
1225 times.

1226

1227 Note that the number of nodes reported below the heatmaps in **Extended Data Figure 8**
1228 represents the number of progenitors that are found in the complete tree. The number of nodes
1229 used to calculate the shared progenitor score depends on the sampled set of cells chosen.

1230 For high resolution shared progenitor scores calculated for embryos 2 and 6 (**Fig. 4d** and
1231 **Extended Data Fig. 8**), we bolstered some populations prior to calculating shared progenitor
1232 scores by merging some cluster identities if they represent the linear maturation of one tissue
1233 type to another, are primarily one cluster versus the other at the assigned developmental time
1234 point, and have very close transcriptional profiles. Specifically, we merged node with
1235 notochord, amnion mesoderm (early) with amnion mesoderm (late), primitive blood progenitor
1236 with primitive blood (early), and anterior paraxial with pharyngeal (arch) mesoderm. We also
1237 merged surface and preplacodal ectoderm due to the similarity of their transcriptional profiles
1238 and omitted “similar to neural crest 2” as this transcriptional cluster is ambiguously determined
1239 (the cluster is globally most similar to neural crest but not obviously comprised of specific
1240 marker genes).

1241

1242 **Endoderm lineage assignment and differentially regulated gene identification**

1243 Endoderm cells can have one of three origins based upon our tree: extra-embryonic, embryonic,
1244 or ambiguous. Cells are considered extra-embryonic if there is a progenitor in its lineage whose
1245 descendants include $\geq 40\%$ extra-embryonic cells. Cells have ambiguous origin if they descend

1246 directly from the root node. Otherwise, cells are considered to be from embryonic origin. We
1247 identified endoderm cells of extra-embryonic origin in all embryos but embryo 7.

1248

1249 We use the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (Python `scipy.stats.ks_2samp`) to identify differentially
1250 regulated genes between embryonic and extra-embryonic origin endoderm cells. Only highly
1251 variable genes in the embryo are considered for testing, and genes are significant if they have a
1252 Bonferroni corrected p-value under 0.05.

1253

1254 **Multipotent field size estimation and asymmetry**

1255 Progenitors are considered pluripotent if their descendants include at least one mesoderm (Meso
1256 or XMeso or Blood) cell, one ectoderm (Ecto) cell, and one endoderm (Endo) cell. A pluripotent
1257 progenitor are considered early pluripotent if it also has at least one extra-embryonic endoderm
1258 descendant, and further considered totipotent if it has at least one extra-embryonic ectoderm
1259 descendant. To estimate the lower bound for the number of multipotent cells, we start at the
1260 bottom level of the tree and count the number of multipotent cells at that level. If multipotent
1261 cells exist, then the number of multipotent cells is propagated to its ancestor in the above level,
1262 otherwise we count 0 for that level. We add one progenitor for every level that includes a
1263 multipotent cell and other cells to represent the progenitor that lead those non-multipotent cells at
1264 that level. The number of multipotent cells is then the number of cells propagated to the root of
1265 the tree. Progenitor asymmetry is simply the proportion of descendants from each of the tissues
1266 for that node.

1267 **Comparison to other technologies**

1268 Several CRISPR-Cas9 based lineage tracers have been developed, each with distinct strengths
1269 and weaknesses. In **Extended Data Figure 7**, we present a table summarizing the different
1270 technologies, and elaborate on the attributes that, in combination, distinguish our strategy here:

- 1271 1. Target sites are marked with a unique integration barcode (intBC). The intBC allows us to
1272 phase our target sites and perform a direct comparison for each target site across cells. This
1273 greatly improves the information content of our system as it allows us to distinguish between
1274 the same indel if it appears in different target sites (**Fig. 1c**).
- 1275 2. Guide RNAs are integrated into genomic DNA and constitutively expressed from
1276 totipotency, which enables our lineage tracer to be truly evolving over multiple cell
1277 generations. In technologies applied to zebrafish development, guideRNAs are expressed as
1278 a pulse, which leads to the generation of a large diversity of barcodes at one or two
1279 timepoints.
- 1280 3. Multiple integrations of multi-cutsite target sites are distributed throughout the genome.
1281 Technologies that integrate a single target site with many cut sites or have tandem
1282 integrations are subject to collapse of information when one indel may affect neighboring cut
1283 sites or alternatively, simultaneous cutting of several cut sites remove large portions of their
1284 lineage tracer. While our technology is also vulnerable to these effects, we are better
1285 buffered against them by distributing the target sites throughout the genome. We also
1286 highlight that indel generation is largely independent within target sites when slower cutters
1287 are used (**Fig. 2d-f**).
- 1288 4. Simultaneous, multi-population lineage tracing (**Extended Data Figure 1c**). Since target
1289 sites are labeled with integration barcodes, we can use the identity of these barcodes to
1290 deconvolute pools of cells upon sequencing. Alternatively, independent samples, such as

1291 embryos that have unique sets of integration barcodes, can be pooled onto a single 10x lane
1292 to decrease the cost of reagents.

1293 5. Multi-channel recording using our triple guide vector. In our current manuscript, we use the
1294 three channels for lineage tracing but different types of sensors can be developed to record
1295 multiple independent inputs.

1296 6. Ability to trace over different time scales by tuning the mutation rate of the system through
1297 mismatches in the guide RNA.

1298 To fully utilize the information captured in our data set, we developed custom reconstruction
1299 strategies to identify the maximum likelihood tree (see **Tree reconstruction strategies**
1300 above). We estimate indel likelihoods using all of our embryo data (**Fig. 2c**), which allows us to
1301 estimate tree likelihoods rather than utilize maximum parsimony criteria. Phylogenetic
1302 algorithms developed for tumor evolution, such as SCITE⁵⁷, offer conceptual frameworks that
1303 are compelling to adapt for our technology.

1304

1305 **Code availability**

1306 Code will be shared upon request.

1307 **Data Availability**

1308 The data is available in the GEO database under accession numbers GSE117542 for lineage
1309 traced embryos and GSE122187 for the gastrulation compendium.

1310

1311

1312











