SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Somatic mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene

EZH2 in myelodysplastic syndromes

Gorica Nikoloski, Saskia M.C. Langemeijer, Roland P. Kuiper, Ruth Knops, Marion Massop, Evelyn R.L.T.M. Tönnissen, Adrian van der Heijden, Theresia N. Scheele, Peter Vandenberghe, Theo de Witte, Bert A. van der Reijden & Joop H. Jansen

Supplementary Figure 1: 250k SNP array profile of chromsome 7 showing a microdeletion at 7q36.1.

Genomic profile of chromsome 7 in subject 87 showed a microdeletion located on 7q36.1 (red circle). This microdeletion encompassed the *CUL1* and *EZH2* genes (see also Figure 1a). Relative intensities of individual (red dots) and 10 consecutive SNPs (blue line) on chromsome 7 are indicated. For this patient, no T cells could be obtained to show that the deletion was acquired. However, this region was never found to be affected in a large control cohort of 1015 healthy controls that were individually hybridized,.



Supplementary Figure 2: EZH2 exon organization and sequence primers.

Exon organization of *EZH2* according to GenBank: *EZH2* isoform 1, NM_004456.3, representing the longest isoform. Localization of the primers is indicated in the table. M13 sequence primers are indicated in lower case.

	coding	g sequence			
1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10 11 12	13 14 15 16 17	18 19 20 2685	
Exon	Amplification primer	Forward /	Location	Sequence primer	
Exon			Loodion		
	sequence (5' to 3')	Reverse		sequence (5' to 3')	1
2		Forward	intron 1	tataaaacaacaacaat	i
-		Reverse	intron 2	caggaaacagctatgacc	
2		Forward	intron 2		
5		Reverse	intron 3		
4		Forward	intron 3	tatooooaaaaaat	
4		Porwaru	intron 4		
-		Fielder Se	Intron 4		
5		Forward	Intron 4	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
-		Reverse	Intron 5	caggaaacagctatgacc	
6	tgtaaaacgacggccagtTTGCCTAACACCAGTCCTGAAA	Forward	intron 5	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccTCCCAAGTGCTAGGATTACAGAGTTAG	Reverse	intron 6	caggaaacagctatgacc	
7	tgtaaaacgacggccagtGTAGCAGAGCTGGGAGTAGAACCTA	Forward	intron 6	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccGTAATGCAGAGTACCACAAGTACACATG	Reverse	intron 7	caggaaacagctatgacc	
8	tgtaaaacgacggccagtAATTTGATTCTTGATAACACCATGCA	Forward	intron 7	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccCAGAGCAATCCTCAAGCAACAAA	Reverse	intron 8	caggaaacagctatgacc	
9	tgtaaaacgacggccagtGAGGAGGAATGGAGAATACGTTGT	Forward	intron 8	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccGAAACAGCATGGGTGAGAAAGC	Reverse	intron 9	caggaaacagctatgacc	
10	tgtaaaacgacggccagtATGAGTTTTAGAACTTTGCCCTGATG	Forward	intron 9	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccCACAACACGAACTTTCACAGAACAG	Reverse	intron 10	caggaaacagctatgacc	
11	tgtaaaacgacggccagtTGTGAACTACGATGGGTTAGTGTTTT	Forward	intron 10	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	
	caggaaacagctatgaccTTAAAAGTCTACATTGGGGAAATTCTG	Reverse	intron 11	caggaaacagctatgacc	
12	tgtaaaacgacggccagtGCATCACAGTTCTTGACCAGAATATAA	Forward	intron 11	tgtaaaacgacggccagt	

Reverse

Forward

Reverse

intron 12

intron 12

intron 13

intron 13

intron 14

intron 14

intron 15

intron 15

intron 16

intron 16

intron 17

intron 17

intron 18

intron 18

intron 19

intron 19

downsteam of exon 20

caggaaacagctatgacc

tgtaaaacgacggccagt

caggaaacagctatgacc

caggaaacagctatgacc

TCAGCACATGTTGGATGG

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

caggaaacagctatgaccAGTTCTGTTTTTGATGGCAGTTTAAG

tgtaaaacgacggccagtAGCCTGAGCCATCAAGCTGTT

caggaaacagctatgaccCCAGTCAGCCTCCACTTTACAGA

caggaaacagctatgaccGCCTCACACACACAGACACACA

tgtaaaacgacggccagtCCGTGCTTTAGATGGAACTCATAA

tgtaaaacgacggccagtCGCTGTTAATACTTCCATTTCCTTGT

caggaaacagctatgaccACACTTTCTCATCAGTTGCACCTTT

caggaaacagctatgaccCAGTTTATGGCAATTCATTTCCAAT

tgtaaaacgacggccagtTGGGAAAGAGAACTTGGCTGTAGT

tgtaaaacgacggccagtAACAATAGTGTGTTCTTCCAAATGTCA

caggaaacagctatgaccCTGGTGTCAGTGAGCATGAAGAC

tgtaaaacgacggccagtCCTTTGACGTGAGAATTGGAACT

caggaaacagctatgaccCTGTCAACAGCAGGGTGAGAAAT

tgtaaaacgacggccagtACCCACTATCTTCAGCAGGCTTT

caggaaacagctatgaccCTTCCACATATTCACAGGCAGTATTAGT

caggaaacagctatgaccCCAGTTCCTTTCAAGCAAGCA

tgtaaaacgacggccagtACAGGTCTGAGGATTTACAGTGATAGC

CAGCTGTTTCAGAGGAGGGGG

Supplementary Figure 3: Sequence analysis of genomic DNA from subject 87.

SNP array analysis revealed a 130-kb microdeletion at 7q36.1, containing the *CUL1* and *EZH2* genes, in subject 87 (**Fig. 1a**). Subsequent sequence analysis of genomic DNA from this subject showed a c.703delGinsAA mutation in the remaining copy of *EZH2*, predicting the formation of a truncated protein.



Supplementary Figure 4: Bi-allelic point mutations in subject 96.

A PCR product covering *EZH2* exons 17 and 18 from subject 96 was cloned and individual clones were sequenced (**Supplementary Methods** online). Clones contained either the c.1983delA mutation in exon 17 (e.g. clone 16) or the c.2068C>T mutation in exon 18 (e.g. clone 9), indicating the bi-allelic nature of these mutations. Mutant nucleotides are marked.



Supplementary Figure 5: Missense mutations are absent in healthy donors.

Allelic discrimination assays (**Supplementary Table 2** and **Supplementary Methods** online) were performed to screen genomic DNA from white blood cells of healthy donors (n = 250). (a) Representative result of allelic discrimination assays (PCR with allele-specific probes). The missense variant c.2025C>A (exon 17, subject 97) is absent in 250 healthy donors as determined by this assay. Blue dots represent subject 97 carrying this



mutation (triplicate). Red dots represent 80 healthy donor samples and two MDS patients without this mutation (subjects 63 and 73, both in triplicate). Green dots represent 1:1 DNA mixtures of subject 97 and subject 63 (triplicate) and of subject 97 and subject 73 (triplicate) artificial creating heterozygotes. The black dot represents the No Template Control. Variants were autocalled by the SDS 2.3.2. allelic discrimination program (Applied Biosystems). (b) Representative result of allelic discrimination assays (PCR followed bv HRM analysis). The missense variant c.2068C>T (exon 18, subject 96) is absent in 250 healthy donors. Blue lines represent 83 healthy donor MDS samples and two patients without this mutation (subject 63 and subject 73, both in triplicate). Red lines represent subject 96 carrying this mutation. Variants were auto-called by the HRMv1.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

Supplementary Figure 6: Expression of wild-type and mutant alleles in subjects 10 and 127.

(a-h) Expression of wild-type and mutant alleles in subjects 10 and 127. (a-c) Subject 10 harbored a heterozygous mutation c.2195+1G>A in the donor splice site of intron 19. This resulted in aberrant mRNA splicing as shown by agarose gel electrophoresis and sequence analysis of RT-PCR products (Supplementary Methods online). (a) Bone marrow cDNA from subject 10 was amplified using primers directed against the exon 18/19 boundary and exon 20. The PCR product demonstrated the presence of two different transcripts. Amplification of cDNA from the non-neoplastic T cells showed the presence of only one transcript. (b) Sequence analysis of the cloned PCR products revealed an aberrant transcript in bone marrow cells, containing an insertion of four intronic base pairs G>A, T, T and G between exon 19 and 20. Wild-type transcripts were present in both bone marrow cells and non-neoplastic T cells. (c) Wild-type RNA was spliced at the r.2195+1 donor splice site. Mutant RNA was aberrantly spliced at r.2195+5.



(d-e) Subject 10 harbored the heterozygous missense mutation c.745G>A in exon 8. Transcripts carrying this mutant were expressed as determined by pyrosequencing (**Supplementary Methods** online). (d) Bone marrow cDNA from subject 10 was amplified using primers directed against exon 7 and exon 8 (green arrows), spanning the c.745G>A mutation (indicated in red). Pyrosequencing of the PCR products was performed using a nested primer directed against the exon 7/8 boundary (yellow arrow). (e) Pyrosequence analysis revealed the presence of both wild-type transcripts (83 %) and mutant transcripts (17 %), as determined by the GeneScan software.





(f-h) Subject 127 carried the heterozygous deletion c.1212-1216delGAAGA in exon 10 resulting in a frameshift. Transcripts carrying this mutant were expressed as determined by GeneScan and sequence analysis of RT-PCR products (**Supplementary Methods** online). (f) Bone marrow cDNA from subject 127 was amplified using primers directed against exon 9 and exon 11. GeneScan analysis unveiled the presence of two different transcripts: a wild-type transcript of the expected 288 bp and a mutant transcript of 283 bps (ratio = 2,5:1). GeneScan analysis of amplified cDNA from bone marrow cells of two healthy donors (controls 1 and 2) showed the presence of the wild-type transcript only. (g) Bone marrow cDNA from subject 127 was amplified using primers directed against exon 10 and exon 11. GeneScan analysis detected two different transcripts: a wild-type transcript of the expected 188 bp and a mutant transcript of 183 bp (ratio = 1,8:1). GeneScan analysis of cDNA from bone marrow cells of two healthy controls showed the presence of the wild-type transcript of the expected 188 bp and a mutant transcript of 183 bp (ratio = 1,8:1). GeneScan analysis of cDNA from bone marrow cells of two healthy controls showed the presence of the wild-type transcript of 183 bp (ratio = 1,8:1).









Nature Genetics: doi:10.1038/ng.620

(h) Sequence analysis of the cloned PCR products confirmed the presence of two different transcripts in the bone marrow cells of subject 127. The deleted nucleotides are marked.



Supplementary Figure 7: Aberrations of 7q36.1 correlate with poor survival in MDS.

Kaplan-Meier analysis showing overall survival of MDS patients with and without *EZH2*/7q36.1 aberrations. Since diagnosis material was not available in a subset of patients, overall survival was calculated from the date of diagnosis (**a** and **b**) as well as from the date of bone marrow (BM) sampling (**c** and **d**).

p-values were calculated comparing patients with a deletion or mutation of EZH2 to patients in whom EZH2 was not affected.



Nature Genetics: doi:10.1038/ng.620

Supplementary Figure 8: Alignment of orthologous EZH2 proteins and summary of point mutations.

Alignment of EZH2 orthologs in various species. Blue boxes represent two the SANT domains and the SET domain respectively. Positions of donor splice site and frameshift mutations are indicated in red. In case of donor splice site mutation c.1505+1G>T, the first amino acid of the next exon is highlighted in red, since the deduced protein sequence is unknown. Missense mutations are indicated in green.

Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMRLROLKRFRRADEVKSMFSSNRQKILERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMRLROLKRFRRADEVKSMFSSNRQKILERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMRLROLKRFRRADEVKSMFSSNRQKILERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMRLROLKRFRRADEVKSMFSSNRQKILERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMRLROLKRFRRADEVKTMFSSNRQKILERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1 MGQTGKKSEKGEVCWRKRVKSEYMALROLKKFRRADEVKSMFNTNRQKIMERTEILNQBWKQRRIQPVHI 1MNSTKVEPEWKRRVKSEYIKIRQOKRYKRADEIKEAWIRNWDEHNHNVQDLYCESKVWQAKFYDP
Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	71 LTSVSSLRGTRECSVTSDLDFPTQVIPIKTINAVASVPIMYSMSPLQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD 71 LTSVSSLRGTRECSVTSDLDFPTQVIPIKTINAVASVPIMYSMSPLQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD 71 LTSVSSLRGTRECSVTSDLDFPTQVIPIKTINAVASVPIMYSMSPLQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD 71 MTSVSSLRGTRECSVTSDLDFPAQVIPIKTINAVASVPIMYSMSPLQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD 71 MTTVSSLRGTRECSVTSDLDFPAQVIPIKTITAVASVPIMYSMSPLQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD 66 PHVDCVKRAEVTSYNGIPSGPQKVPICVINAVTPIPTMYTWAPTQQNFMVEDETVLHNIPYMGDEVLD
Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	141 QDGTFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDRECGFINDEIFVELVNALGQYND 141 QDGTFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDRECGFINDEIFVELVNALGQYND 141 QDGTFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDRECGFINDEIFVELVNALGQYND 141 QDGTFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDRECGFINDEIFVELVNALGQYND 141 QDGTFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDRECGFINDEIFVELVNALAQYSD 134 KDGKFIEELIKNYDGKVHGDKDPSFMDDAIFVELVHALMRSYSKELEEAAPGTATAIKTETLAKSKQGED
Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	184 DDDDDDGDDPEEREEKQKDLEDHRDDKESRPPRKFESDKIFEAISSMF 184 DDDDDDGDD-PDEREEKQKDLEBNRDDKESCPPRKFESDKIFEAISSMF 184 DDDDDDGDD-PDEREEKQKDLESREDKESRPPR
Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	p.G235KfsX11 p.E249K 232 PDKGTAEELKEKYKELTI QQLPGALPPECTPNIDGPNAKSVQREQSLHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLHRKCN 232 PDKGTAEELKEKYKELTI QQLPGALPPECTPNIDGPNAKSVQREQSLHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLH 232 PDKGTAEELKEKYKELTI QQLPGALPPECTPNIDGPNAKSVQREQSLHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLH 234 PDKGTAEELKEKYKELTI QQLPGALPPECTPNIDGPNAKSVQREQSLHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLH 234 PDKGTSEELKEKYKELTI QQLPGALPPECTPNIDGPNAKSVQREQSLHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLH 274 PDKGTAQELKEKYIELTI HQDP-ERPQECTPNIDGIKAESVSRERTMHSFHTLFCRRCFKYDCFLHR
Homo sapiens Equus_caballus Bos taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	 302 YSFHATPNTYKR KNTETALDNKPCGPQCYQHLEGAKEFAAALTAERIKTPPKRPGGRRRGRLPNNSSRPS 298 - PFHATPNTYKR KNTETALDNKPCGPQCYQHLEGAKEFAAALTAERIKTPPKRPGGRRRGRLPNNSSRPS 298 - PFHATPNTYKR KNTETALDNKPCGPHCYQHLEGAKEFAAALTAERIKTPPKRPGGRRRGRLPNNSSRPS 298 - PFHATPNTYKR KNTETALDNKPCGPQCYQHLEGAKEFAAALTAERIKTPPKRPGGRRRGRLPNNSSRPS 300 - PFHATPNTYKR KNNEAANDGKPCGPHCYQLLEGAREFAAALTAERIKTPPKRPSGRRRGRLPNNTSRPS 340 LQGHAGPNLQKR YPELKPFAEPCSNSCYMLIDGMKEKLAADSKTPPIDSCNEASSEDS
Homo_sapiens Equus_caballus Bos_taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus_laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	p.T374HfsX3 372 TPTINVLESKDTDSDREAGTETGGENNDKEEEEKKDETSSSSEANSRCQTPIKMKPNIEPPENVEWSGAE 367 TPTINVLESKDTDSDREAGTETGGENNDKEEEEKKDETSSSSEANSRCQTPIKMKPNIEPPENVEWSGAE 367 TPTINVLESKDTDSDREAGAETGGESNDKDEEEKKDETSSSSEANSRCQTPIKMKPNIEPPENVEWSGAE 369 TPTVNVSEAKDTDSDREAGTETGGESNDKEEEEKKDETSSSSEANSRCQTPIKMKPNIEPPENVEWSGAE 369 TPTVNVSEAKDTDSDREAGTETGGESNDKEEEEKKDETSSSSEANSRCQTPIKMKPNIEPPENVEWSGAE 399 NDSNSQFSNKDFN-HENSKDNGLTVNSAAVAEINSIMAGMMNITSTQCVWTGAD
Homo_sapiens Equus_caballus Bos_taurus Mus_musculus Xenopus_laevis Drosophila_melanogaster	C.1505+1G>T 442 ASMFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIIAPAPAEDVDTPPRKKKRKHRLWAAHCRKI 437 ASMFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIIAPAPAEDVDTPPRKKRKHRLWAAHCRKI 437 ASMFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIIAPAPAEDVDTPPRKKRKHRLWAAHCRKI 437 ASMFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIIAPVPTEDVDTPPRKKRKKHRLWAAHCRKI 439 ASLFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIISPVIAEDVDTPPRKKKRKHRLWAAHCRKI 439 ASLFRVLIGTYYDNFCAIARLIGTKTCRQVYEFRVKESSIISPVIAEDVDTPPRKKKRKHRLWAAHCRKI 452 QALYRVLHKVYLKNYCAIAHNMLTKTCRQVYEFAQKETAEFSFEDLRQDFTPPRKKKKKQRLWSLHCRKI

Homo_sapiens	512
Equus_caballus	507
Bos_taurus	507
Mus musculus	507
Xenopus_laevis	509
Drosophila melanogaster	522

Homo_sapiens				
Equus caballus				
Bos_taurus				
Mus musculus				
Xenopus_laevis				
Drosophila_melanogaster				

Homo_sapiens
Equus caballus
Bos_taurus
Mus musculus
Xenopus_laevis
Drosophila melanogaster

Homo_sapiens Equus caballus Bos_taurus Mus musculus Xenopus_laevis

512	OLKKDGSSNHVYNYOPCDHPROPCDSSCPCVIAONFCEKFCOCSSECONRFPGCRCKAOCNTKOCPCYLA
507	QLKKD <mark>G</mark> SSNHVYNY <mark>O</mark> PCDHP <mark>RO</mark> PCD <mark>SSCP</mark> CVIAONFCEKFCQCSSECQNRFPGCRCKAQCNTKQCPCYLA
507	QLKKD <mark>G</mark> SSNHVYNY <mark>Q</mark> PCDHP <mark>RQ</mark> PCD <mark>SSCP</mark> CVIA <mark>0</mark> NFCEKFCQCSSECQNRFPGCRCKAQCNTKQCPCYLA
507	QLKKD <mark>G</mark> SSNHVYNY <mark>Q</mark> PCDHP <mark>RQ</mark> PCD <mark>SSCP</mark> CVIA <mark>ONFCEKFCQCSSECQNRFPGCRCKAQCNTKQCPCYLA</mark>
509	QLKKD <mark>G</mark> SSNHVYNY <mark>O</mark> PCDHP <mark>RO</mark> PCD <mark>SSCPCVIA</mark> QNFCEKFCOCSSECQNRFPGCRCKAQCNTKQCPCYLA
522	QLKKD <mark>SSSNHVYNYTPCDHP</mark> GHPCDMNCSCLQTQNFCEKFCNCSSDCQNRFPGCRCKAQCNTKQCPCYLA
582	VRECDPDLCLTCGAADHWDSKNVSCKNCSIQRCSKKHLLLAPSDVAGWGIFIKDPVQKNEFISEYCGEII
577	VRECDPDLCLTCG <mark>A</mark> ADHWDSKNVSCKNCSIQRG <mark>S</mark> KKHLLLAPSDVAGWGIFIKDPVQKNEFISEYCGEII
577	VRECDPDLCLTCG <mark>A</mark> ADHWDSKNVSCKNCSIQRG <mark>S</mark> KKHLLLAPSDVAGWGIFIKDPVQKNEFISEYCGEII
577	VRECDPDLC <mark>LTCGA</mark> ADHWDSKNVSCKNCSIQRG <mark>S</mark> KKHLLLAPSDVAGWGIFIKDPVQKNEFISEYCGEII
579	VRECDPDLC <mark>LTCGAADH</mark> WDSKNVSCKN <mark>CSIQRG</mark> SKKHLLLAPSDVAGWGIFINDTVQKNEFISEYCGEII
592	VRECDPDLCQACG-ADOFKLTKIICKNVCVQRGLHKHLLMAPSDIAGWGIFLKEGAQKNEFISEYCGEII
	p.L674K
_	p.V662CfsX13 p.N675K p.R690C
652	SQDE <mark>A</mark> DRRGK <mark>V</mark> YDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSVNPNCYAKVMMVNGDHRIGIFAKRAI
647	SQDE <mark>A</mark> DRRGKVYDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSVNPNCYAKVMMV <mark>N</mark> GDHRIGIFAKRAI
647	SQDE <mark>A</mark> DRRGKVYDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSVNPNCYAKVMMV <mark>N</mark> GDHRIGIFAKRAI
647	SQDEDDRRGKVYDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSVNPNCYAKVMMV <mark>N</mark> GDHRIGIFAKRAI
649	SQDE <mark>A</mark> DRRGKVYDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSVNPNCYAKVMMV <mark>N</mark> GDHRIGIFAKRAI
661	SQDE <mark>A</mark> DRRGKVYDKYMCSFLFNLNNDFVVDATRKGNKIRFANHSINPNCYAKVMMV <mark>T</mark> GDHRIGIFAKRAI

р.	¥7	33	Lf	sX6

Homo_sapiens	722	QTGEELFFDYR <mark>Y</mark> SQADA <mark>LKYVGIEREMEI</mark> P
Equus caballus	717	QTGEELFFDYR <mark>Y</mark> SQADALKYVGIEREMEIP
Bos_taurus	717	QT <mark>GEELFFDYRY</mark> SQADA <mark>LKYVGIEREMEI</mark> P
Mus musculus	717	QTGEELFFDYR <mark>Y</mark> SQADA <mark>LKYVGIEREMEI</mark> P
Xenopus_laevis	719	QT <mark>GEELFFDYRY</mark> SQADA <mark>LKYVGIEREMEI</mark> P
Drosophila_melanogaster	731	QP <mark>GEELFFDYR</mark> Y <mark>GPTEQLKFVGIEREMEI</mark>

Supplementary Table 1: Characteristics of MDS patients UPN106 - UPN129.

UPN	FAB	wно	IPSS	Karyotype	TET2 mutation
106	RA	RA	int-2	45,XY,-7[6]/46,XY[4]	no
107	RARS	RCMD-RS	int-1	46,XX,t(3;3)(q21;q26)[10]	no
108	BAEB	RAFR-1	int-1	46,XX,del(5)(q12q33), add(7)(q11), del(8)(q22), add(9)(q34), ?10 [6]/	20
100	HALD	HALD-I	III.C-1	46,sl,add(14)(q32), add(19)(p13) [3]/46,XX[1]	110
109	RA	RCMD	int-1	45,X,-Y [4]/46,XY[16]	no
110	RARS	RCMD-RS	int-1	normal	yes
111	RA	5q-	unknown	46,XY, 5q-	no
110	DADO	DOMD DS	int 1	45,XX,del(5)(q13q33),-7 [5]/	
112	nang	NOMD-NS		45,XX,idem,del(4)(q25q31),-17,der(20)t(17;20)(q12;q13.1),+mar[5]/46,XX[11]	10
113	RAEB	RAEB-1	int-2	45,XX, -7 [8]/46,XX[2]	no
114	RA	RCMD	int-2	46,XY,der(7)t(1;7)(p10;q10)[9]/46,XY[1]	yes
115	RAEB	RAEB-2	int-2	normal	no
116	RA	RA	int-1	normal	no
117	RAEB-t	RAEB-2	int-2/high	no metaphases, FISH: no deletion 5/7 or trisomy 8/21	no
118	RA	RCMD	low	normal	no
119	RAEB	RAEB-1	int-2	46,XX,del(5)(q15q33),der(7)del(7)(p11)add(7)(q3?3) [8]/46,XX [2]	no
120	RARS	RARS	low	normal	no
				44~47,XY,-2,-2,-4, del(4)(q31),-5,-7,+15,-17,del(20)(q11), +mar1, +mar2,+mar3 [cp2]/	
121	RAEB	RAEB-1	int-2	44,sl.add(9)(q34),add(12)(p13),-13,-15,-16,add(17)(p13),	yes
				+mar4,+mar5,+mar6,+mar7 [cp6]/46XY [2]	
122	RARS	RARS	low	normal	no
123	RA	RCMD	int-1	46,X,t(Y;11;20)(q11;p15;p11)[10]	no
124	RA	5q-	int-1	46,XX,del(5)(q12q33) [7]/46,XX [3]	yes
125	RAEB	RAEB-1	int-1	normal	yes
126	RA	RCMD	int-2	47,XX,-7,+21,+22[5]	no
127	RA	RA	low	normal	no
100	DAED		1-1-0	46,XY,-3,add(5)(q?31),der(9)t(3;9)(q13;q22), add(21)(p11), add(22)(q13),+mar1 [16]/	
128	RAEB	RAEB-1	int-2	47,sl,+mar2 [4]	no
129	RAEB-t	RAEB-2/AML	int-2/high	46,XX,del(5)(q22q33)[9]/46,XX[1]	no

Supplementary Table 2: Primers and probes for allelic discrimination.

UPN	Variant	Assay	Amplification primer and probe	Forward /	Reporter/	Wild-type/	Location
			sequence (5' to 3')	Reverse	Quencher	Variant	
			GAAGCTGACAGAAGAGGGAAAGTG	Forward			exon 17
	c.2025C>A All	Allele-specific	TGCCACATGCAACTCAGGAA	Reverse			intron 17
51	exon 17	probes	TCAACTTGAACAATGGT	Probe	VIC / MGB	Wild-type	exon 17 - intron 17
			TCAACTTGAAAAATGGT	Probe	FAM / MGB	Variant	exon 17 - intron 17
96	c.2068C>T	НРМ	AAATTATTCACTGGGCTGTGCTTACT	Forward			intron 17
	exon 18		TACCTTTTGCATAGCAGTTTGGATT	Reverse			exon 18 - intron 18

Supplementary Table 3: Identified SNPs in the coding sequence and intron-exon boundaries of *EZH2* in MDS patients.

Substitution SNP ID		Type of substitution	Amino acid change	Frequency in	Present in T-cell fraction
				MDS cohort (%)	
c.87C>T		synonymous	p.L29L	1/126 (0,8)	N/A
c.396T>C	rs61732845	synonymous	p.P132P	3/126 (2,4)	N/A
c.553G>C	rs2302427	non synonymous	p.D185H	20/126 (16,0)	N/A
c.623A>G	rs61753264	non synonymous	p.D208G	1/126 (0,8)	N/A
c.933T>C		synonymous	p.Y311Y	1/126 (0,8)	N/A
c.1457C>T		synonymous	p.P486P	2/126 (1,6)	N/A
c.1731G>A	rs41277437	synonymous	p.P577P	7/126 (5,6)	N/A
c.2113-6C >T		non-coding region	non-coding region	2/126 (1,6)	yes
c.2113-9A>G		non-coding region	non-coding region	1/126 (0,8)	yes
c.2276+6T >G	rs41277434	non-coding region	non-coding region	12/126 (9,5)	N/A

Supplementary Methods

Study participants. The characteristics of MDS patients (n = 102), the collection of blood and/or bone marrow after obtaining informed consent and the isolation of cells have been described previously³. The characteristics of 24 additional MDS patients are described in this paper (**Supplementary Table 1** online). Consecutive subjects from the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center (n = 107) belonging to all different WHO and IPSS categories and cytogenetic subgroups were included, if bone marrow was available, as well as a second cohort of karyotypically normal cases from the University Hospital Leuven (n = 19). For control experiments, blood from 250 healthy individuals and bone marrow from two healthy donors was obtained after informed consent. Cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen until further use. For SNP array analysis and genomic sequencing, DNA was extracted from FACS-sorted lymphocyte-depleted bone marrow (n = 41) and FicoII density gradient– isolated mononuclear cells from bone marrow (n = 85). DNA from healthy donors (controls, n = 250) was isolated from total bone marrow as described.

SNP array analysis. The SNP array analysis of genomic DNA from 102 MDS patients was performed and described previously³.

Sequence analysis. DNA was extracted using Qiagen spin-columns and DNA quality was checked by gel analysis and nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer analysis. We conducted bidirectional sequence analysis on PCR-amplified genomic DNA fragments spanning the entire coding region and splice sites (**Supplementary Fig. 3** online). Sequence variations were confirmed by independent PCR-amplification and sequencing of the original DNA. To analyze whether the observed variants were acquired, DNA from cultured non-neoplastic T cells was amplified and sequenced, whenever available (n = 3). To minimize the chance that the remaining putative variants represent SNPs, we compared the observed variants with public databases (NCBI SNP and EST database) and investigated the presence of missense variants in healthy donors (n = 250) by allelic discrimination assays. Detected mutations were described according to the nomenclature explained at http://www.hgvs.org/mutnomen⁵.

Cloning of variant sequences. Subject 96 harbored two heterozygous variants (c.1983delA in exon 17 and c.2068C>T in exon 18) in close proximity, enabling DNA amplification using primers spanning both variants: forward primer 5' - tgtaaaacgacggccagtTGGGAAAGAGAACTTGGCTGTAGT - 3' (intron 16) and reverse primer 5'- caggaaacagctatgaccCTGGTGTCAGTGAGCATGAAGAC - 3' (intron 18). The generated amplicon was cloned into the pDrive cloning vector (Qiagen). To determine whether the variants were mono- or bi-allelic, individual clones were sequenced using primers

- 5' -TGGGAAAGAGAACTTGGCTGTAGT 3' (forward primer, intron 16),
- 5' -CCAGTTCCTTTCAAGCAAGCA- 3' (reverse primer, intron 17),
- 5' -AACAATAGTGTGTTCTTCCAAATGTCA- 3' (forward primer, intron 17) and

5'- CTGGTGTCAGTGAGCATGAAGAC - 3' (reverse primer, intron 18).

T cell cultures. To obtain DNA from non-malignant cells, we conducted in vitro expansion of polyclonal T cells from frozen blood or bone marrow samples. These samples were depleted of myeloid cells by adherence to tissue culture flasks. The remaining cells were cultured for 7-14 days in IMDM (Gibco Invitrogen Corporation) supplemented with human serum (10%, PAA Laboratories GMBH), IL-2 (100 IU/ml) and CD3/CD28 coated beads (Dynabeads, Invitrogen). The purity of T-cells was determined by FACS analysis of CD3+ cells. DNA was extracted from T cells when the purity was > 90%.

Allelic discrimination assay: PCR with allele-specific probes. We examined DNA obtained from 250 healthy donors for the presence of the identified homozygous missense variant c.2025C>A (subject 97). Probes (**Supplementary Table 2** online) were designed for recognition of the variant (FAM-MGB probes, Applied Biosystems) and wild-type (VIC-MGB probes, Applied Biosystems) sequences. Primers (**Supplementary Table 2** online) spanning the site of variation were used in combination with these probes to generate a PCR product of 115 bp using the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). MDS samples without this mutation (subjects 63 and 73) and the MDS sample with this homozygous missense mutation (subject 97) were included in each run in triplicate. Artificial heterozygotes were created by 1:1 mixing of DNA from subject 97 and subject 63 (triplicate) and subject 97 and subject 73 (triplicate). End point VIC/FAM measurements were performed and values were corrected for passive reference ROX values. Corrected values were plotted automatically by the SDS 2.3.2. allelic discrimination program (Applied Biosystems).

Allelic discrimination assay: PCR followed by high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis. We examined the DNA of 250 healthy donors for the presence of the newly identified missense variant c.2068C>T (subject 96). Primers (Supplementary Table 2 online) spanning the site of variation and the MeltDoctor[™] HRM Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) were used to generate a PCR product of 123 bp using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). MDS samples without this mutation (subjects 63 and 73) and the MDS sample containing this heterozygous missense mutation (subject 96) were included in each run in triplicate. Melting temperatures of the generated amplicons were recorded during the subsequent dissociation stage by the 7500 System SDS Software. Variants were auto-called and grouped by the HRMv1.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

RNA isolation and reverse transcriptase reactions. RNA was extracted from total bone marrow or expanded T cells using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) or RNA-Bee (Bio-Connect). Traces of genomic DNA were depleted from the isolated RNA by DNAse I treatment (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcriptase (+RT) reactions on 1 g of RNA were performed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Negative controls containing no reverse transcriptase (-RT) were included to detect remaining traces of genomic DNA.

Confirmation of the c.2195+1G>A mutation (subject 10) at the transcript level. Subject 10 carried a heterozygous donor splice site mutation in intron 19 (c.2195+1G>A). To determine the effect on splicing, we designed PCR primers spanning the affected intron: forward primer 5' - CCAAACTGCTATGCAAAAGTTATGAT - 3' (exon 18/19 boundary) and reverse primer 5' - CAGATGTCAAGGGATTTCCATTTC - 3' (exon 20). PCR reactions were performed on cDNA (-RT and +RT). PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel (2%) electrophoresis and by sequence analysis of products that were cloned into the pDrive cloning vector (Qiagen).

Confirmation of the c.745G>A mutation (subject 10) at the transcript level. Subject 10 carried a heterozygous missense mutation in exon 8 (c.745G>A). To determine the presence of this mutation in RNA transcripts, we designed primers for amplification and pyrosequencing of cDNA, spanning the c.745G>A mutation:

forward amplification primer (exon 7) reverse amplification primer (exon 8) forward pyrosequencing primer (exon 7/8 boundary) 5' - AATGTTTCCAGATAAGGGCACAG - 3' 5' - TTAGCATTTGGTCCATCTATGTTG - 3' 5' - AGGAAAAATATAAAGAACTC - 3'

Confirmation of the c.1505+1G>T mutation (subject 73) at the transcript level. Subject 73 carried a donor splice site mutation in intron 12 (c.1505+1G>T). To determine the effect on splicing, we designed two PCRs. For the 1st PCR, we used primers spanning the affected intron: forward primer 5' - GGACCAAAACATGTAGACAGGTGTA - 3' (exon 11/12 boundary) and reverse primer 5' - GCAGTGTGCAGCCCACAA - 3' (exon 13). For the 2nd PCR, we used primers spanning the entire 3' coding region (exon 11/12 to exon 20): forward primer 5' - GGACCAAAACATGTAGACAGGTGTA - 3' (exon 13). PCR reactions were performed on cDNA (-RT and +RT). PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel (2%) electrophoresis and by sequence analysis of PCR products.

Confirmation of the c.1212-1216delGAAGA mutation (subject 127) at the transcript level. Subject 127 harbored a heterozygous c.1212-1216delGAAGA mutation in exon 10. To assess the presence of this mutation, we designed two PCR assays spanning the affected exon:

forward primer A 5' - GACCACAGTGTTACCAGCATTTG - 3' (exon 9),

forward primer B 5' - AAGAGGACGGCTTCCCAATAA - 3' (exon 10) and

reverse primer 5' - TGTTTGACACCGAGAATTTGCT - 3' (exon 11, coupled to a 5' 6FAM label).

PCR reactions were performed on cDNA (-RT and +RT). PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel (2%) electrophoresis and by sequence analysis of products that were cloned into the pDrive cloning vector (Qiagen). GeneScan analysis was performed using the 6FAM-coupled PCR products in combination with the GeneScan[™] 500 LIZ® Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) on a 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).