## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Structure of the heterotrimeric membrane protein complex FtsB-FtsL-FtsQ of the bacterial divisome

Hong Thuy Vy Nguyen<sup>1,2,3,8</sup>, Xiaorui Chen<sup>1,8</sup>, Claudia Parada<sup>4</sup>, An-Chi Luo<sup>3,4</sup>, Orion Shih<sup>5</sup>, U-Ser Jeng<sup>5,6</sup>, Chia-Ying Huang<sup>7</sup>, Yu-Ling Shih<sup>3,4\*</sup>, Che Ma<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup> Chemical Biology and Molecular Biophysics program, Taiwan International Graduate

Program, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Biochemical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup> National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30044, Taiwan

<sup>7</sup> Paul Scherrer Institute, Forschungsstrasse 111, Villigen-PSI, 5232, Switzerland

\* Corresponding author: e-mail: <u>ylshih10@gate.sinica.edu.tw</u> (Y.L.S.) and cma@gate.sinica.edu.tw (C.M.)

<sup>8</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work: Hong Thuy Vy Nguyen, Xiaorui Chen.



# Supplementary Fig. 1: The FtsB-FtsL coiled coil drapes along FtsQ in the heterotrimeric complex.

Top, bottom and side views of the FtsBLQ complex showing FtsB (ribbon), FtsL (ribbon) and FtsQ (surface) colored in blue, pink, and white respectively. The interface between them is colored in yellow on FtsQ, with newly defined FtsB-FtsQ interface is highlighted with an orange star. The straight length of each branch of the V-shaped complex structure is also shown.



#### Supplementary Fig. 2: Structural analysis of FtsQ and mutagenesis studies.

**a**, The superimposition of the full-length FtsQ structure (this study, yellow) with previously published FtsQ structures without the TM domain (PDB: <u>2VH1</u> in light pink, <u>5Z2W</u> in light purple, <u>6H9N</u> in light green). The region with highest flexibility is highlighted in a box. **b**, The full-length FtsQ structure colored by B-factors in the same view as in (**a**). Red indicates the higher B-factors and blue the lower. **c**, An enlarged view of the boxed region in (**a**) and (**b**) showing interactions between FtsQ-TM and the periplasmic  $\alpha$  domain involving  $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$  linker,  $\beta 2$ - $\beta 3$  linker and TM- $\beta 1$  linker. **d**, The representative raw SDS-PAGE gel image of the co-purification of His-FtsB, FtsL and FtsQ for both the wild-type and mutants in each lane as listed. Corresponding bands of His-FtsB, FtsL and FtsQ are labeled with blue, pink, yellow stars respectively. The middle bands are: the partially degraded FtsQ (~27kD), the undissociated and partially degraded FtsBL (~18kD) as determined by LC-MS/MS. This experiment was done with three independent replicates that showed similar results. **e**, The cartoon model of the FtsBLQ complex inserted into the membrane in the tilted orientation (left) and the FtsBLQ complex with a possible domain rearrangement (right) disengaging the new interface (orange star). FtsB: blue. FtsL: pink. FtsQ: yellow.



## Supplementary Fig. 3: Crystal packing of the FtsBLQ complex and the spatial compatibility with its recruiter FtsK.

a, Crystal packing of the FtsB-FtsL-FtsQ complex reveals three inter-asymmetric-unit contact sites.FtsB: blue. FtsL: pink. FtsQ: yellow. The symmetry mate of contact site B is colored in dark grey

for highlighting. Other symmetry mates are colored in light grey. **b**, Side view of crystal packing relative to (**a**). **c**, The electron density map of the transmembrane helices of FtsB, FtsL and FtsQ (crystal site B as in **a**, **b**). **d**, Distances measured from the membrane plane to the potential interacting residues of FtsQ (yellow) in the same orientation as (**b**), and to the potential interacting residues of FtsK (wheat). Residues are shown as red C $\alpha$  spheres. The N-terminal TM domain of FtsK was predicted by AlphaFold Monomerv2.0, updated on Dec 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021. **e**, The topology of FtsK-TM domain in secondary structural prediction. Cylinders indicate TM helices. The N- and C-termini and the start and end of each TM helix are labeled.



#### Supplementary Fig. 4: Possibility of FtsB-FtsL-FtsQ hexameric conformation.

**a**, The representative SDS-PAGE (left) and native PAGE (right) results of the FtsBLQ complex for both wild-type and mutants as listed. FtsB, FtsL, FtsQ corresponding bands are labeled with blue, pink, yellow stars, respectively. This experiment was done with three independent replicates that showed similar results. **b**, The SEC-MALS profile of the purified FtsBLQ wild-type complex in detergent solution (Cymal-5). The MALS trace (short line) on top of the SEC curve indicates a molecular mass of  $187.8 \pm 3.7$  kDa. **c**, The averaged *ab initio* envelope calculated from SAXS for the FtsBLQ complex in different views, with two trimeric crystal structures of FtsBLQ (ribbon) manually modelled into the envelop. The potential space for micelles is labeled. **d**, The SAXS curves calculated from the crystal trimeric structure (blue), the crystal-packing hexamer (green) and the modelled hexamer as in **c** (purple), fit with the SAXS experimental data (black). **e**, SEC profiles

of the FtsBLQ complex for wild-type and different TM mutants. FtsBLQ<sup>T37W</sup>: green, FtsBLQ<sup>T33A</sup>: pink, FtsB<sup>Q16A-W20A</sup>LQ: blue, FtsBLQ wild-type: black. **f**, The SEC-MALS profile of the purified FtsBLQ<sup>T37W</sup> mutant in detergent solution (Cymal-5). The MALS trace (short line) on top of the SEC curve indicates an estimated molecular mass of  $389.0 \pm 6.0$  kDa.

## FtsB-TM (18aa)

																	V		
E. coli	04	L	т	Ľ	L	L]	Ľ7	łl	I	٧	W	L	Q	Y	s.	L	W	F	21
Enterobacter sp.	04	L	т	Ľ	L	L]	L7	łΙ	Ί	٧	W	L	Q	Y	s <mark>.</mark>	L	W	F	21
K. pneumoniae	04	L	т	L.	L	L]	17	łΙ	Γ	٧	W	L	Q	Y	s <mark>:</mark>	L	W	F	21
P. aeruginosa	09	L	F	V	V.	LI	E I	ĽP	ł١	ιA	G	L	Q	Y	R	L	W	V	26
Y. pestis	04	L	т	L	L	L]	77	/I	Γ	G	W	L	Q	Y	s <mark>.</mark>	L	W	L	21
S. maltophilia	07	M	L	L,	V.	LZ	71	ΞI	L	G	W	L	Q	Y	R.	F	W	F	24
S. typhimurium	04	L	т	L.	L	L]	ĿZ	łΙ	L	v	W	L	Q	Y	s:	L	W	F	21
V. parahaemolyticus	04	F	V	I	A:	L :	C 1	Ί	Ē	G	W	L	Q	Ϋ́	Т	L	W	F	21
V. anguillarum	09	F	A	V	T	LZ	łI	ΞI	ĿF	'G	L	L	Q	Y	D	L	W	L	26
V. clolerae	04	F	A	L	T :	LS	5 I	ι	L	v	W	L	L	Y١	T I	L	M	W	21
A. baumanii	13	I	L	L	Ľ	V	۲ ک	/I	ν	ΥA	Ι	L	Q	Y	Q	F	W	L	31
N. gonorrhoeae	04	v	т	V	V.	LS	S I	: P	١	٧	С	С	Q	Y	s:	L	W	F	21
B. subtilis	21	F	G	A.	Ľ	VI	F I	ΓĽ	ΓA	I	V	L	A	S	s	V	W	S	58

## FtsL-TM (18aa)

							$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	7			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	,				
E. coli	40	LC:	LΕ	Ι	CI	II	T			AV	Т	VV	T	T.	A	57
Enterobacter sp.	40	LCI	LΕ	Ι	CI	IV	7T			AV	Т	VV	T	T.	A	57
K. pneumoniae	40	LC:	LΕ	Ι	CI	II	T			AI	т	VV	T	T.	A	57
P. aeruginosa	17	LL	LΥ	Ι	G <mark>I</mark>	ΓI	S			AI	A	VA	Y	S	т	34
Y. pestis	25	LI	LL	V	AV	'L]	S			AV	Ľ	VV	Τ	т	A	42
S. maltophilia	07	II	LL	A	SΤ	'V <i>P</i>	<mark>\</mark> S		·	AI	G	VV	F	V	R	24
S. typhimurium	40	LCI	LΕ	Ι	CI	II	T			AV	т	VV	Τ	T.	A	57
V. parahaemolyticus	24	LL	LL	L	LΙ	MZ	AS			AM	[G	VV	Γ	A	т	41
V. anguillarum	24	LI	LL	М	LΙ	FF	<mark>\</mark> S			AM	[G	VV	Έ	Ι	т	41
V. clolerae	25	LLI	LL	V	LΙ	FS	S C			AM	[G	VV	Έ	M	т	42
A. baumanii	22	AVI	MV	A	LV	F]	S			AM	IM	VV	Έ	Q	V	39
N. gonorrhoeae	07	FF	LL	L	AV	۲Ŋ	7s			AF	S	VV	Μ	Q	Q	24
B. subtilis	38	LVI	LΕ	A	AA	VI	S	VS	LI	IV	S	KA	Y	A.	A	59

## FtsQ-TM (22aa)

25 <mark>LA</mark> G I I	- FLL <mark>T</mark>	<mark>VL</mark> T	T <mark>VLV</mark> SGW	VVL 46
28 <mark>LA</mark> GIJ	- <mark>FLL</mark> G	<mark>VLC</mark>	T <mark>VFI</mark> SGW	MVL 49
26 <mark>LA</mark> GI	7-FLLA	<mark>VLF</mark>	T <mark>VLV</mark> SGW	MVL 47
46 <mark>FL</mark> KYI	LAWPL-	<mark>LLA</mark>	VLGYG <mark>A</mark> Y	RG <mark>A</mark> 67
26 LAGV	-FLLM	<mark>VL</mark> G	T <mark>IL</mark> WGGW	VVI 47
<sup>04</sup> VLRIE	VWLL-	<mark>AL</mark> S	VVALPVV	AVV 24
<sup>26</sup> LA <mark>G</mark> II	-FLLT	<mark>VLC</mark>	T <mark>VFV</mark> SGW	VVL 47
23 ILGAI	- FFVV	<mark>vvt</mark>	LISS <mark>VL</mark> Y	SAI 44
22 AAGAI	-FLFV	<mark>VLL</mark>	LISSLIY	STL <sup>43</sup>
22 ACGAS	S-FFLV	<mark>VLL</mark>	LIGG <mark>LL</mark> Y	ST <mark>I</mark> 43
29 LANA	GWVLL	VIAFLVLA	VGIYGLY	K <mark>V</mark> - 55
$^{11}LTR$	WLLV	<mark>M</mark> 1	MAMLLAA	SGL 28
<sup>29</sup> NRR <mark>L</mark> ]	SFIML	<mark>FFI</mark>	MVLIIVY	LQ - 50
	25 LAG II 28 LAG I 26 LAG I 46 FLKYI 26 LAG V 04 VLR I 26 LAG II 23 ILGAI 22 AAGAI 22 AAGAI 22 AAGAI 29 LANAC 11 LTR 29 NRR LI	25 LAGIL - FLLT         28 LAGII - FLLG         26 LAGIV - FLLA         46 FLKYLAWPL -         26 LAGVI - FLLM         04 VLRIFVWLL -         26 LAGIL - FLLT         23 ILGAL - FFVV         22 AAGAI - FFVV         22 LANAGGWVLL         29 LANAGGWVLL         11 LTR WLLV         29 NRRLISFIML	25       LAGIL - FLLTVLT         28       LAGII - FLLGVLC         26       LAGIV - FLLAVLF         46       FLKYLAWPLVLG         26       LAGVI - FLLMVLG         26       LAGVI - FLLMVLG         26       LAGVI - FLLMVLG         26       LAGVI - FLLMVLG         23       ILGAL - FLLTVLG         23       ILGAL - FLFVVLG         22       AAGAI - FLFVVLG         22       AAGAI - FLFVVLG         29       LANAGGWVLLVIAFLVLA         11       LTRWLLVM         29       NRRLIS FIMLFFIN	25 LAGIL-FLLTVLTTVLVSGW 28 LAGII-FLLGVLCTVFISGW 26 LAGIV-FLLAVLFTVLVSGW 46 FLKYLAWPLLLAVLGYGAY 26 LAGVI-FLLMVLGTILWGGW 04 VLRIFVWLLALSVVALPVV 26 LAGIL-FLLTVLCTVFVSGW 23 ILGAL-FFVVVLLISSLIY 22 AAGAI-FLFVVLLLISSLIY 22 AAGAS-FFLVVLLLIGGLLY 29 LANAGGWVLLVIAFLVLAVGIYGLY 11 LTRWLLVMMAMLLAA 29 NRRLISFIMLFFIMVLIIVY

# Supplementary Fig. 5: Sequence conservation of the transmembrane (TM) region of FtsB, FtsL and FtsQ.

Protein sequences are aligned for the TM region of FtsB (top), FtsL (middle) and FtsQ (bottom) from various bacterial species as indicated. All residues are colored based on their hydrophobicity. Yellow: hydrophobic, Cyan: polar. Red triangles highlight key residues in the potential transmembrane interface as in Fig. 2b. The number of residues in each TM domain is also labeled with the protein.



#### Supplementary Fig. 6: Knock-down (KD) of *ftsB* by CRISPRi.

**a**, Illustration of the sequence and the priming site of sgRNA for knocking down *ftsB*. **b**, A schematic view of the *ftsB*-KD experiments by the dCas9-sgRNA system. **c**, Relative expression of *ftsB*, *ispD* and *ispF* in the knock-down cell. Results are shown as the mean value with standard deviation (SD) from three biological replicates. Comparison of the paired samples was performed by two-tailed *t*-test. *P* <0.0001 (*ftsB*, *ispD*, *ispF*). **d**, Morphological changes of the *ftsB*-KD cells (right bottom) in comparison with the control cells. Scale bar 5  $\mu$ m. **e**, Growth curves of the *E. coli* MC1000 strain carrying the empty vector as control and the sgRNA*ftsB* plasmid under non-induction (0.05  $\mu$ g/mL aTc) conditions. Curves are colored as indicated. The

experiment was performed with three biological replicates. **f**, The representative spot assay indicated the bacterial survival after *ftsB* depletion and induction of dCas9 expression at different concentrations of aTc. The dilution factors are labelled below each panel. The experiment was done with three independent replicates that showed similar results.



**Supplementary Fig. 7: Complementation of the** *ftsB* **knock-down (KD) strain. a**, An illustration demonstrates complementation of the *ftsB*-KD strain using an *ftsB*carrying plasmid. **b**, Relative expression of the *ftsB* mRNA levels in the control cells (no KD and no complement, two empty vectors), the *ftsB*-KD cells and the *ftsB*<sup>WT</sup>-complemented cells under

different conditions (0.4% Glc, Nil (no repressor, no inducer) or 15 µM IPTG). The Nil condition of  $ftsB^{WT}$ -complemented cells (grey bar) was chosen for the subsequent experiments. The data are normalized against the control cell. Results are the mean value with SD of three biological replicates. The mean value is shown. c, Comparison of the length (left) and width (right) between cells with endogenous *ftsB* (white) and with the complementing *ftsB*<sup>WT</sup> from the plasmid in the ftsB-knock-down strain (grey). Comparison was performed by two-tailed t-test. Data are presented as median with interquartile range. The median value is shown on bottom. Sample size n = 569(white) and 564 (grey). P < 0.0001 (length, width). **d**, Morphology and fluorescent staining of the control, the KD, and the complemented cells by  $ftsB^{WT}$  (WT) and all mutants in this study. Arrows indicate the unsegregated chromosome (cyan), void of chromosome (white), lack of septum (magenta), and membrane punctate (orange) and the lysed cell (red). PH: phase contrast; Blue: DAPI for staining the genome; Purple: FM4-64 for staining the membrane. Scale bar, 5 µm. e, The ftsB expression levels in the complemented cells by the mutants as in (d). The expression ratios were normalized against the ratio measured in the complemented cells with the basal-level expression of  $ftsB^{WT}$  (grey bar) as in (b). For unknown reason, the expression level of  $ftsB^{R72A}$  was always low even with IPTG induction. Results are the mean value with SD of three biological replicates. Bars colored in blue gradients represent different mutants. Comparison was performed by One-way ANOVA test.



#### Supplementary Fig. 8: The detailed structure of the FtsBL coiled coil.

**a**, Overall structure of the FtsBL subcomplex, highlighting the heterodimer coiled coil with a box. **b**, The 2Fo-Fc electron density map (grey mesh) of the heterodimeric FtsBL coiled coil from the box in (**a**). FtsB and FtsL colored in blue and pink, respectively. **c**, The heptad *abcdefg* residues of FtsB (blue) and FtsL (pink) and the stammer insertion (red "x") of FtsL are illustrated in the helical-wheel projection. The core *a* and *d* positions are highlighted in dark grey. **d**, The Fo-Fc map (red, contoured at  $\sigma$  -3.0) around residue FtsB<sup>E56</sup> of the FtsB<sup>E56A</sup>LQ mutant complex when using the wild-type complex as the ensemble for molecular replacement.



## Supplementary Fig. 9: Structural and biochemical relationship between FtsBLQ and PG synthases.

**a-b**, Key residues of PBP1b (**a**, green) and FtsWI (**b**-left, cyan and purple) that potentially interact with FtsBLQ are used for distance measurements in **Fig. 4d**. Structures are shown in ribbons with PDB: 5HLB for PBP1b (**a**), and 6PL5 (**b**-right) for the PBP2-RodA complex, which is used for

modelling FtsW and FtsI (**b**-left) by Phyre2<sup>1</sup> with 100% confidence. Involved residues are drawn as grey C $\alpha$  spheres and labeled. The membrane is shown as solid lines. The largest possible distance of the key residues in FtsWI was measured by flipping the periplasmic domain of FstI for 90° upwards. **c**, The kinetic binding curves of mutual interactions between FtsBLQ, PBP1b and FtsWI, with the dissociation constants (K<sub>D</sub>) shown above. His-tagged proteins loaded on the biosensor were labeled accordingly. Analytes were serially diluted in the concentration range 0.016  $\mu$ M - 4  $\mu$ M. **d**, The biolayer interferometry curves of the sequential binding of FtsWI (Ass-1) and PBP1b (Ass-2) to the immobilized His-FtsBLQ (blue), with the controls shown in grey and light purple curves. **e**, SEC profiles of the FtsBLQ wild-type complex (black), the FtsB<sup>E56A</sup> (light blue) and the FtsB<sup>D59H</sup> (dark blue) mutant complexes.

	FtsBLO*	FtsB <sup>D59H</sup> LO	FtsB <sup>E56A</sup> LO
Data collection	€		
Space group	<i>I</i> 1 2 1	<i>C</i> 1 2 1	<i>I</i> 1 2 1
Cell dimensions			
a, b, c (Å)	101.09, 53.85, 177.42	199.61, 53.94,	100.29, 54.32 179.26
		101.85	
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 93.93, 90	90, 116.67, 90	90, 94.51, 90
Resolution (Å)	88.50 - 3.10	49.85-2.90	90.33 - 3.30
	(3.32 - 3.10) **	(2.98-2.90)	(3.56 - 3.30)
$R_{\rm sym}$ or $R_{\rm merge}$	0.162 (1.150)	0.126	0.07429 (0.6163)
Ι/σΙ	6.9 (1.6)	9.3	3.2 (1.2)
Completeness (%)	99.34 (99.77)	99.54 (99.79)	99.83 (100.00)
Redundancy	7.4	10.024	3.7
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	34.45 - 3.10	49.85 - 3.04	34.69 - 3.30
	(3.21 - 3.10)	(3.15 - 3.04	(3.42 - 3.30)
No. reflections	17613 (1742)	18951 (1868)	14814 (1476)
$R_{ m work}$ / $R_{ m free}$	0.246/0.260	0.274 /0.302	0.278/0.304
No. atoms			
Protein	3281	3283	3277
Ligand/ion	0	0	0
Water	0	3	0
Ramachandran			
Favored (%)	98.76	94.29	96.53
Allowed (%)	1.24	5.71	3.23
Outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.25
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.28
B-factors			
Protein	109.0	137.0	127.0
Water		95.0	
R.m.s. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.002	0.002	0.004
Bond angles (°)	0.49	0.47	0.93

Supplementary Table 1: X-ray crystallographic data collection and structural refinement statistics.

\*2, 4, 1 xtals were used for FtsBLQ, FtsB<sup>D59H</sup>LQ, FtsB<sup>E56A</sup>LQ, respectively,

\*\*Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.

	FtsL	FtsB	FtsQ
	V119		E225
	V119, V118, L117	V88	
	I117	L87	S250
	I117		V229, L226
	I117, N116, E115, Q114	R86	S250
	E115	Y85	G251, A252, Q233
e II	E115, Q114	F84	Y248
Site	E115	T83	V254
pu		E82	V254, A253, Y243
Ia	L105	P80	
ite	M107	T78	
n S	H109, Q108, Q106	M77	
ctio	H109	\$76	
rac	H94	E74	
nte		N73	Y248
		R72	Y248, D245
	E88 (in coiled-coil)	R70	
		E69	R213, R196
	K104	E68	R247
	I100, V98	L67	
		E65	R196, Q200
	L91	L60	
		D59	R196
		E56	R196, R213
	L84, W81	L53	
		Q52	R213
ćoi	E80	N50	
ed-	W81	R49	
Coil	L77	L46	
	E73	N43	
	L70, V71	Q39	
	L63	V32	
	T60	Y29	
	T56	G25	
	V53	L19	
	I46	L15	
IM	I46	L12	
	L42, P39	L8	
	P39	T5	

Supplementary Table 2: Interacting residues in the FtsBLQ interface.

## Supplementary Table 3: List of oligonucleotides used in this study.

Underlined: sequence of restriction site. Boxed: RBS sequence.

Oligonucleotides	Sequence (5'-3')	Purpose
ftsB-ftsL	GAATTCAATGGGTAAACTAACGCTGCTGTTGCTGGCTA TTCTGGTCTGG	pRSF- FtsBL
sgRNA <i>ftsB</i>	GGGTTGTCTCCTACATCTCAGCA	CRISPRi
Q-F	CGTC <u>AAGCTT</u> AATAATTTTGTTTAACTTTAAG <mark>AAGGAG</mark> ATATACATATGTCGCAGGCTGCTCTG	pRSF- FtsBLQ
Q-R	GATC <u>GCGGCCGC</u> TCGCCTTGATCATTGTTGTTCTG	
W-F W-R	GAAC <u>GGATCC</u> TATGCGTTTATCTCTCCCTC GAGC <u>GAATTC</u> TCGCTTTCCTTGACCACTC	pET-FtsW
I-F I-R	CTTA <u>GACGTC</u> ATGAAAGCAGCGGCGAAAAC GAAT <u>CTCGAG</u> TTACGATCTGCCACCTGTC	pET-FtsWI
BLQ +Thrombin_F	CATCACCATCATCACCACAGCAGCGGCCTGGTGCCGCG CGGCAGCTCAATGGGTAAACTAACGCTG	pRSF- BLQ+ Thrombin
BLQ +Thrombin_R	CAGCGTTAGTTTACCCATTGAGCTGCCGCGCGCACCA GGCCGCTGCTGTGGTGATGATGGTGATG	
sgRNA <i>ftsB</i> -F	<u>TAGT</u> ACGACTCTACATCCTCTGTT	pSOT
sgRNAftsB-R	AAACAACAGAGGATGTAGAGTCGT	357
SalI-PftsB-F	GCAT <u>GTCGAC</u> CGTAACTAATAATGAGATTATGTTC	

ftsB-BamHI-R	AGCT <u>GGATCC</u> CTGATTTATCGATTGTTTTGCC	pSOT 355/356/3 58		
ftsB-Q16A-R	ACCGAACCACAGCGAATAGGCTAGCCAGACCAGAATA GC	pSOT 358		
ftsB-Q16A-F	GCTATTCTGGTCTGGCTAGCCTATTCGCTGTGGTTCGGT			
ftsB-W20A-R	ACCGTTCTTACCGAAAGCCAGCGAATACTGTAGCCAGA C	pSOT 355		
ftsB-W20A-F	TGGCTACAGTATTCGCTGGCTTTCGGTAAGAACGGTAT ACATG			
<i>ftsB-</i> Q16AW20A-R	ACCGAAAGCCAGCGAATAGGCTAGCCAGACCAGAATA GCCAG	pSOT 356		
<i>ftsB-</i> Q16AW20A-F	GGCTAGCCTATTCGCTGGCTTTCGGTAAGAACGGTATA CATGA			
<i>EcoR</i> I-RBS- <i>ftsB</i> -F	ACA <u>GAATTCAGGGGG</u> CAGGATGGGTAAACTAACGC	pSOT 390/360/3		
ftsB-HindIII-R	CTG <u>AAGCTT</u> TTATCGATTGTTTTGCCCCGC	62/ 363/364/3 88		
<i>EcoR</i> I-RBS- <i>ftsB</i> -R72A-F1	CTATGACCATGATTAC <u>GAATTC</u> AGGGGGCAGGATGGGT AAAC	pSOT 414		
ftsB-R72A-R1	GCTGAGTTCATTAGCCGCACGCTCTTCGAGCGC			
ftsB-R72A-F2	GCTAATGAACTCAGCATGAC	pSOT		
<i>ftsB</i> -R72A- HindIII-R2	GGCCAGTGCC <u>AAGCTT</u> TTATCGATTGTTTTGCCC	414		
cysG-F	TTAACCAGATCCCTGCTGCGG	RT-qPCR		
cysG-R	ACCCGAATAGGCAGAGCAAC			
ftsB-F	CAGGATGGGTAAACTAACGC	RT-qPCR		
ftsB-R	GTTTGTAGCTTGCTGTGCC			
ispF-F	CATTGGTGGCGTACGCATTC	RT-qPCR		
ispF-R	GGGAACAGCTTGCCGATATC			
ispD-F	CATGGCAACCACTCATTTGG	RT-qPCR		
ispD-R	GGCAATGACGACACGTTTCAC			

Supplementary Table 4. List of bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Bacterial Strains/ Plasmids	Genotype	Source
<i>E. coli</i> strain K- 12 genome	F+ lambda+	ATCC 10798D-5
OverExpress <sup>™</sup> C 43 (DE3)	F – ompT hsdSB (rB- mB-) gal dcm (DE3)	Sigma
<i>E. coli</i> strain MC1000	araD139 $\Delta$ (araABC-leu)7679 galU galK $\Delta$ (lac)X74 rpsL thi	2
pFD152	Ptet-dCas9 PpflB (BsaI) with RBS-4 oriT in pLZ12	3
pMLB1113	ColE1/pBR/pUC, bla	4
pKD3	oriR6Kγ, bla, rgnB, cat, FRT, bla	5
pRSFDuet	RSF1030, <i>bla</i>	Novagen
pRSF-FtsBL	pRSFDuet PT7/Aac-histag-ftsB, PT7/Aac-ftsL	This study
pRSF-FtsBLQ	pRSFDuet PT7/lac-histag-ftsB, PT7/lac-ftsL, PT7/lac-ftsQ	This study
pRSF- FtsB <sup>D59H</sup> LQ	pRSFDuet <i>P</i> <sub>T7/lac</sub> -histag-ftsB <sup>D59H</sup> , <i>P</i> <sub>T7/lac</sub> -ftsL, <i>P</i> <sub>T7/lac</sub> -ftsQ	This study
pRSF- FtsB <sup>E56A</sup> LQ	pRSFDuet $P_{T7/lac}$ -histag-fts $B^{E56A}$ , $P_{T7/lac}$ -ftsL, $P_{T7/lac}$ -ftsQ	This study
pRSF-BLQ +Thrombin	pRSFDuet PT7/lac-histag-thrombin-ftsB, PT7/lac-ftsL, PT7/lac- ftsQ	This study
pETDuet	ColE1, bla	Novagen
pET-FtsWI	pETDuet PT7/lac-histag-ftsW, PT7/lac-ftsI	This study
pET15b-PBP1b	pET15b PT7/lac-histag-thrombin-ponB	6
pSOT357	pFD152, Ptet-dCas9 PpfiB-sgRNAftsB	This study
pSOT359	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsB	This study
pSOT362	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsBQ16A	This study
pSOT363	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsB <sup>W20A</sup>	This study
pSOT364	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsBQ16A-W20A	This study
pSOT388	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsB <sup>E56A</sup>	This study
pSOT360	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsB <sup>D59H</sup>	This study
pSOT414	pMLB1113, Plac-ftsB <sup>R72A</sup>	This study
pSOT358	pKD3, $P_{fisB}$ -fts $B^{Q16A}$	This study

pSOT355	pKD3, $P_{ftsB}$ -fts $B^{W20A}$	This study
pSOT356	pKD3, $P_{ftsB}$ -fts $B^{Q16-W20A}$	This study

### **Supplementary References**

- 1 Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. E. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols* **10**, 845-858, doi:10.1038/nprot.2015.053 (2015).
- 2 Casadaban, M. J. & Cohen, S. N. Analysis of gene control signals by DNA fusion and cloning in *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol* **138**, 179-207, doi:10.1016/0022-2836(80)90283-1 (1980).
- 3 Depardieu, F. & Bikard, D. Gene silencing with CRISPRi in bacteria and optimization of dCas9 expression levels. *Methods* **172**, 61-75, doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2019.07.024 (2020).
- 4 de Boer, P. A., Crossley, R. E. & Rothfield, L. I. A division inhibitor and a topological specificity factor coded for by the minicell locus determine proper placement of the division septum in *E. coli. Cell* **56**, 641-649, doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90586-2 (1989). Datsenko,
- K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97, 6640-6645, doi:10.1073/pnas.120163297 (2000).
- 6 Sung, M.-T. *et al.* Crystal structure of the membrane-bound bifunctional transglycosylase PBP1b from *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 8824-8829, doi:10.1073/pnas.0904030106 (2009).

### **Uncropped data**





Log<sub>10</sub> 0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6