

INDEX

Sl. No.	Particulars of Document	Page No. of part to which it belongs		Remarks
		Part I (Contents of Paper Book)	Part II (Contents of file alone)	
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)
	Court fee			
1.	O/R on Limitation	A	A	
2.	Listing Performa	A1 - A2	A1 – A2	
3.	Cover Page of Paper Book		A3	
4.	Index of Record of Proceedings		A4	
5.	Limitation Report prepared by the Registry		A5	
6.	Defect List		A6	
7.	Note Sheet		NS1 to ...	
8.	Synopsis and List of Dates & Events	B -		
9.	Special Leave Petition along with Affidavit in support & Certificate.			
10.	APPENDIX			
11.	<u>ANNEXURE P-1</u> Copy of the Public Notice dated 21.12.2019.			
12.	<u>ANNEXURE P-2</u> True Copy of the Writ Petition (C) No. 1568 of 2020 filed by the petitioner in Delhi High Court dated 10.02.2020			
13.	<u>ANNEXURE P-3</u> Copy of the order dated			

	11.02.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (C) No. 1568 of 2020			
14.	<u>ANNEXURE P-4</u> Press report dated 29.02.2020			
15.	<u>I.A. of 2020</u> Application for permission to file special leave petition without certified/plain copy of the impugned order dated 28.02.2020			
16.	<u>I.A. of 2020</u> Application of exemption from filing without certified/plain copy of the order dated 28.02.2020 in LPA No. 119 of 2020.			
17.	VAKALATNAMA			
18.	FILING MEMO			
19.	MEMO OF PARTIES			

SYNOPSIS

1. The Petitioner had a Writ Petition filed in the Hon'ble High Delhi Court being Writ Petition(C) 1568/2020 challenged Public Notice S.O. 4587 E dated 21.12.2019, issued by Respondent No. 2 Delhi Development Authority (DDA), proposing modifications to Zonal Development Plan of Zone 'D' (for plot nos. 1 to 7) and Zone 'C' (for plot no: 8) of Master Plan Delhi 2021 (MPD2021). The said Writ Petition challenged the stated notice as not being in conformity with the Master Plan Delhi 2021 (MPD2021) and relevant statutes.
2. The said Public Notice proposes Change in Land Use (CLU) from recreational open spaces to government offices. The Notice proposes CLU for 105 acres off which over 90 acres which are classified as Public / Semi Public / District Park / Neighborhood Play areas and less than 15 acres as Government office. This will now become 80.5 acres of Government Office; Land for public use will go down from over 86% to less than 9%.
3. The Public Notice intends to modify the Zonal Development Plan for Zone D, without an updated Plan, the last plan being that of the Master Plan 2001. Such a change in land use will deprive Delhi of the open spaces of its most iconic Central Vista, steeped in historicity and known for pomp and

pageantry of the Republic of India. The ill-conceived land use changes without imprimatur will fundamentally alter the emotional connect of all India and Indians with this 3.5 kms living history, and will be extremely detrimental to the area by increased population density, loss green areas, public and semi-public spaces;

4. The stated Public Notice has been published under Section 11A of the Delhi Development Act of 1957; in the first instance itself, the Public Notice is beyond the powers of Respondent No. 2. Section 11A is from Chapter IIIA of the DDA Act of 1957, which inter alia states; “The Authority may make any modifications to the master plan or the zonal development plan as it thinks fit, being modifications which, in its opinion, do not affect important alterations in the character of the plan and which do not relate to the extent of land-users or the standards of population density”.
5. The stated Public Notice contradicts the Master Plan Delhi 2020-21 at many levels, specifically it contradicts Chapters, 8,9, 10, 11, 16 & 17.
6. Chapter 8 MPD 2021 titled ‘Decentralization of Offices’; by increasing Government offices; Chapter 10.0 MPD 2021 ‘Conservation of Built Heritage’ by specifically disregarding heritage: “The stretch with the Rashtrapati Bhawan the India Gate at two ends has tremendous visual

quality and is one of the finest examples of Urban Design and monumentality in planning in the world. The Jama Masjid was visually linked with Parliament House and Connaught Place.” Chapter 16.0 of MPD 2021 ‘Land Use’ plans clearly enunciates Zonal Plans must be prepared within 12 months of approval of MPD 2021; and Chapter 17 of MPD 2021 ‘Development Code’ At the hearing of the aforesaid Writ Petition the Learned Single Judge was pleased to issue notice to the Respondents and directed that if a decision is taken to notify the proposed changes in MPD 2020-21, the DDA (Respondent No.2) will approach the Court before notifying such a decision.

7. The Respondent No.1 thereafter preferred an LPA No. 119 of 2020 which was heard on 28.2.2020. Basis newspaper reports, the Petitioner understands that the Hon’ble Division Bench has vacated the direction given by the Learned Single Judge to approach the High Court in case it decides to notify the proposed changes in MPD 2020-21. The said order was passed ex parte and without giving an opportunity to the Petitioner to respond. A copy of the order is not available yet but the Petitioner apprehends the Respondent will immediately go ahead and notify the proposed changes, and hence the present SLP.

LIST OF DATES AND EVENTS

21.12.2019	Respondent No. 1 Delhi Development Authority (DDA) publishes Public Notice S.O. 4587 E dated
------------	--

	<p>21.12.2019, File No. F.20(12)2019/MP dated 21.12.2019; issued by Respondent No. 1 Delhi Development Authority (DDA), and published in 'The Hindustan Times' of 21.12.2019 regarding proposed modifications by DDA to Zonal Development Plan of Zone 'D' (for plot nos. 1 to 7) and Zone 'C' (for plot no: 8) A true copy of the Public Notice S.O. 4587 E dated 21.12.2019 is enclosed as Annexure P-1 (Pages 14).</p>
4.02.2020	<p>Respondent No. 1 Delhi Development Authority (DDA) publishes Public Notice on 4.02.2020 in continuation to Public Notice S.O. 4587 E dated 4.02.2020. This Public Notice informs public at large Public Hearings will take place on 6th and 7th Feb 2020.</p>
10.02.2020	<p>Writ Petition filed in the Delhi HC challenging the Public Notice dated 21.12.2019. A true copy of the Writ petition dated 10.02.2020 is enclosed as Annexure P-2(Pages 15 to 38).</p>
11.02.2020	<p>Petition heard and Order passed by Learned Single Judge. A true copy of the order dated 11.02.2020 is enclosed as Annexure P-3(Pages 39 to 42).</p>
28.02.2020	<p>LPA preferred by Respondent No.1 against order dated 11.2.2020 and the direction given by the learned Single Judge is vacated ex parte. A true copy of the press clipping dated 29.02.2020 is enclosed as Annexure P-4(Pages 43 to 47).</p>
02.03.2020	<p>Hence this SLP is filed</p>

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. _____ OF 2020

(Arising out of final impugned order and judgment dated 28.02.2020 passed by the
Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in LPA No.119 of 2020)

Position of Parties

In the In this
High Court Court

IN THE MATTER OF :

Rajeev Suri
D-68, Defence Colony,
New Delhi- 11110024 ... Petitioner Petitioner.

VERSUS

1. Union of India

Through Secretary,
Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs(MoHUA)
Nirman Bhawan, C - Wing,
Dr. Maulana Azad Road,
New Delhi -110011. ... Petitioner No.1. Respondent No1.

2. Delhi Development Authority(DDA)

Through: The Vice Chairman
Vikas Sadan, INA
New Delhi-110023. Respondent No. 2 Respondent No. 2

3. Land & Development Officer(L&DO)

Through: Land & Development Officer
Nirman Bhavan
Maulana Azad Road
New Delhi -110002. Respondent No. 3 Respondent No. 3

All are Contesting Respondent

TO,

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA
AND HIS HON'BLE COMPANION JUSTICES
OF THIS HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF
THE PETITIONER ABOVE NAMED

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That this Special Leave Petition (Civil) is filed against the order passed by Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 28.02.2020 in LPA No. 119/2020 by which order passed directions passed by the Learned Single Judge vide orders dated 11th Feb 2020 in Writ Petition(C) No.1568 of 2020, directing the Respondent No.2 to approach the Court before Notifying changes in MPD 2020-21

2. **QUESTIONS OF LAW:**

The following substantial question of law arises for consideration by this Hon'ble Court:

- I. Whether the Court of the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Delhi High Court failed to apply the foremost and most fundamental principle of natural justice 'audi alteram partem' and altered the order of the Learned Single Judge dated 11.02.2020 without serving notice on the Petitioner or hearing the Petitioner, and passed an ex parte order this day 28.02.2020, vacating directions given by the Learned Single Judge order, the, thereby

seriously jeopardizing the rights and remedies that are available to the Petitioner.

- II. That the order the Learned Single Judge Order dated 11.02.2020 was passed after hearing the Petitioner and Respondent Counsel. The Order passed was a well-reasoned order which did not prejudice the rights of the Respondents in any manner and hence overturning this order without hearing the Petitioner is a travesty of Justice.

- III. That the Hon'ble Division Bench of Delhi High Court was under the under Constitutional obligation to ensure both parties are fairly heard and the ends of justice are served. Instead it proceeded on the LPA filed by Respondent No.2 and passed orders *ex parte* setting vacating the direction passed by the Learned the Single Judge. This Special Leave Petition under Article 136 of the Constitution of India is moved to protect the inherent rights of the Petitioner to a fair and equitable process of law, as the Petition filed under Article 226 is in relation to a matter which affects the larger interest of the residents of Delhi and Citizens of India. The Hon'ble Division Bench ought not to have proceeded *ex parte*.

3. DECLARATION IN TERMS OF RULE 3 (2)

The Petitioner states that no other petition seeking leave to appeal has been filed by him against the impugned judgment and order.

4. DECLARATION IN TERMS OF RULE 5

The Annexures P-1 to P-4 produced along with the SLP are true copies of the pleadings/documents which formed part of the record of the case in the court below against whose order the leave to appeal is sought for in this petition.

That aggrieved by the impugned order dated 28.02.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in LPA No. 119/2020 the Petitioner is filing the present SLP on the following main grounds which are in the alternate and without prejudice to each other.

5. GROUNDS

- A. Because the Hon'ble Division Bench vacated the directions passed by the Learned Single Judge order which addressed a matter of great concern to the residents of Delhi and citizens of India on a matter of Change in Land Use of recreational open spaces, public and semi-public lands in the most iconic part of New Delhi the 3.5 Kms of Central Vista exparte without hearing the Petitioner and on the basis of verbal averments of

the Learned Solicitor General and Additional Solicitor General and not on the basis of facts and Merits of the Writ Petition. The Hon'ble Division Bench ought to have passed an order after issuing notice and after hearing both parties.

B. Because the Hon'ble Division Bench ought to have appreciated the provisions of Section 11A of DDA Act of 1957, which clearly spells out powers of DDA for Change in Land Use.

C. Because the Hon'ble Division Bench ought to have appreciated that the Petitioner being a Resident of Delhi has Locus to file the said Writ Petition. In any event, and without prejudice, the issue raised by the Petitioner is of utmost significance and an order protecting the rights of the Petitioner and other residents of Delhi ought not to have been vacated on this ground.

D. Because Hon'ble Division Bench ought not to have vacated the directions when the Respondents had not even filed a reply to the said Writ Petition as directed by the Learned Single Judge.

E. Because the Learned Single Judge of the Delhi High Court, took note of Petition WP 1568/2020 highlighting Public Notice S.O. 4587 of Respondent No. 1 ignored the first and most basic criteria of Section 11A (1) of the DDA Act of 1957 under which the stated Public Notice has been published.

Section 11A of the DDA Act states;“The Authority may make any modifications to the master plan or the zonal development plan as it thinks fit, being modifications which, in its opinion, do not affect important alterations in the character of the plan and which do not relate to the extent of land-users or the standards of population density.”The Respondent No.2 does just the opposite as it proceeds to effect major change land usage and increase population density, when specifically disallowed by Section 11A(1) of the DDA Act of 1957.

F. Because the Public Notice Public Notice S.O. 4587 E dated 21.12.2019, issued by Respondent No. 1 (DDA), is arbitrary, capricious, whimsical, and bad in law published unjustifiably without a Zonal Development Plan for Zone D as a corollary to MPD 2021 and hence is unsustainable and deserved to be quashed.

G. Because the Hon’ble Division Bench did not consider the Writ Petition highlighted contradictions in the Public Notice with Chapter 8 of MPD 2021, ‘Government Offices’ which seeks to decentralize government offices in the NCR region, whereas the stated Public Notice on the contrary seeks to increase government office by diverting public and semi-public and recreational open spaces of Central Vista for increased office buildings and structures.

H. Because the Hon’ble Division Bench did not consider the crucial aspect of ‘Specific heritage complex within Lutyens

Bungalow Zone’ as articulated in Chapter 10 of MPD 2021, ‘Conservation of Built Heritage’; and hence the Change in Land Use (CLU) has to be very carefully considered and not done in an arbitrary manner as Public Notice appears to hastily do. Because it is necessary to preserve iconic heritage of Central Vista.

- I. Because the learned Single Judge issued Notices to Respondents to file replies to the said Writ Petition in relation of Central Vista ‘one of the finest examples of Urban Design and monumentality in planning in the world’ as articulated in Chapter 11 of MPD 2021, Urban Design; and the order of the Learned Judge should not have been interfered with till the replies of the Respondents were received.
- J. Because the Hon’ble Division Bench did not consider the lack of an updated Zonal Plan for Zone D and the lack of current demographic information on land use and land densities, and should have let the Respondents file replies with appropriate data in view of the massive changes in in Delhi’s demographics. The stated Public Notice proceeds to change Land Use without relevant Zonal Plan, which was not considered while vacation the directions passed by the Learned Single Judge order.
- K. Because the Hon’ble Division Bench has not served the cause of Article 14 of the Constitution; *Rule of Law*. The vacation of a well- balanced order strikes at the heart of the principle of

reasonableness as contemplated by Article 14 of the constitution.

- L. The Petitioner craves leave to amend / alter / modify the Petition including the grounds, questions of law and prayers when the signed copy of the impugned order is prepared and made available to the Petitioner.

6. GROUNDS FOR INTERIM RELIEF:

In the event the impugned order dated 28.02.2020 is not stayed, grave harm and irretrievable is likely to be caused as the Respondent is likely immediately notify the proposed changes in MPD 2020-21

7. MAIN PRAYER:

In view of the above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased:

- i) That the petitioner most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to grant special leave to appeal against the order dated 28.02.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in LPA No 119 of 2020.
- ii) pass such other or further order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case

8. PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF:

In these circumstances, the petitioner prays that your lordship be please to;

- a) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the present LPA, the Petitioner most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to stay the effect and implementation of the impugned order dated 28.02.2020.
- b) Pass such other/further orders/direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE PETITIONER AS
IN DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY

Filed by:

(SHIV KUMAR SURI)

Place: New Delhi

Advocate for the Petitioner

Drawn on: 29.02.2020

Filed on: 02.03.2020

Bar and
Bench

INDIAN LEGAL NEWS

MODIFIED CHECK LIST

1.	(i) Whether SLP (CrI) has been filed in Form No. 28 with certificate as per Notification dated 17.6.1997.	Yes
	(ii) Whether the prescribed court fee has been paid.	YES
2.	(i) Whether proper and required numbers of paper-books (1+3) have been filed?	Yes
	(ii) Whether brief list of dates/ events has been filed?	Yes
	(iii) Whether paragraphs and pages of paper books have been numbered consecutively and correctly noted in Index?	Yes
3.	Whether the contents of the petition/appeal, applications and accompanying documents are clear, legible and typed in double space on one side of the paper.	Yes
4.	Whether the petition and the application bear the signatures of the counsel.	Yes
5.	Whether an affidavit of the petitioner in support of the petition/appeal/ application has been filed, properly attested and identified.	Yes
6.	If there are any vernacular documents/portions/lines and translation of such documents are not filed, whether application for exemption from filing Official Translation, with affidavit and court fee, has been filed.	N.A.
7.	If a party in the court below has died, whether application for bringing LRs on record indicating the date of death, relationship, age and addresses along with affidavit and court fee has been filed.	N.A.
8.	(i) Whether the Vakalatnama has been properly executed by the Petitioners/ appellants and accepted and identified by the Advocate and Memo of Appearance filed.	Yes
	(ii) If a petitioner is represented through power of attorney, whether the original power of attorney in English/translated copy has been filed and whether application for permission to appear before the court has also been filed?	N.A.
	(iii) (a) Whether the petition is filed by a body registered, under any Act or Rules?	N.A.
	(b) If yes, is copy of the Registration filed?	N.A.
	(iv) (a) Whether the person filing petition for such incorporated body has authority to file the petition?	N.A.
	(b) If yes, is proof of such authority filed	N.A.
9.	Whether the petition/appeal contains a statement in terms of order XVI/XXI of Supreme Court Rules as to whether the petitioner has filed any petition against the impugned order / Judgment earlier, and if so, the result thereof stated in the petition.	Yes
10	Whether certified copy of the impugned judgment has been filed and if certified copy is not available, whether an application for exemption from filing certified copy has been filed.	Yes
11	Whether the particulars of the impugned judgment passed by the Court(s) below are uniformly written in all the documents.	Yes
12	(i) Whether the addresses of the parties and their representation are complete and set out properly and whether detailed cause title has been mentioned in the impugned judgment and if not, whether the memo of parties has been filed, if required?	Yes
	(ii) Whether the cause title of the petition/ appeal corresponds to that of the impugned judgment and names of parties therein?	Yes

13	Whether in case of appeal by certificate the appeal is accompanied by judgment and decree appealed from and order granting certificate.	N.A.
14	If the petition/appeal is time barred, whether application for condonation of delay mentioning the no. of days of delay, with affidavit and court fee has been filed.	NO
15	Whether the Annexures referred to in the petition are true copies of the documents before the Court below and are filed in chronological order as per list of dates.	Yes
16	Whether the petition/appeal is confined only to the pleadings in the Court/Tribunal below and	Yes
	If not whether application for taking additional grounds/ documents with affidavit and court fee has been filed.	Yes
17	(i) In SLP/Appeal against the order passed in Second Appeal whether copies of the orders passed by the Trial Court and First Appellate Court have been filed.	YES
	(ii) If required copy of the judgment / order / notification / award etc. is not filed, whether letter of undertaking has been filed in civil matters?	N.A.
18	In matters involving conviction whether separate proof of surrender in respect of all convicts or application for exemption from surrendering has been filed (Please see judgment dated 16.6.2006 in CrI. Appeal No.685/2006 entitled Mayuram Subramanian Srinivasan Versus C.B.I) (Copy of surrender proof to be included in the paper books.)	N.A
	Whether in case where proof of surrender/ separate certificate from the jail Authority has not been filed, an application for exemption from filing separate proof of surrender has been filed.	N.A.
19	In case of quashing of FIR whether a copy of the petition filed before the High Court under section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed.	N.A.
20	In case of anticipatory bail whether a copy of FIR or translated copy has been filed.	N.A.
21	(i) Whether the complete listing proforma has been filled in, signed and included in the paper-books?	Yes
	(ii) If any identical matter is pending/ disposed of by Supreme Court, whether complete particulars of such matters have been given?	N.A.

I hereby declare that I have personally verified the petition and its contents and it is in conformity with the Supreme Court Rules 2013. I certify that the above requirements of this Check List have been complied with. I further certify that all the documents necessary for the purpose of hearing of the matter have been filed.

Signature

SHIV KUMAR SURI
AOR Code 1067
Contact No.9810370732

New Delhi;
Date: 02.03.2020

Article 136 in The Constitution Of India 1949

136. Special leave to appeal by the Supreme Court

(1) Notwithstanding anything in this Chapter, the Supreme Court may, in its discretion, grant special leave to appeal from any judgment, decree, determination, sentence or order in any cause or matter passed or made by any court or tribunal in the territory of India

(2) Nothing in clause (1) shall apply to any judgment, determination, sentence or order passed or made by any court or tribunal constituted by or under any law relating to the Armed Forces

PROFORMA FOR FIRST LISTING

Section

The case pertains to (Please tick/check the correct box):

□	Central Act: (Title)	Constitution of India
□	Section:	Article 136
□	Central Rule: (Title)	N.A.
□	Rule No(s):	N.A.
□	State Act: (Title)	N.A.
□	Section:	N.A.
□	State Rule: (Title)	N.A.
□	Rule No(s):	N.A.
□	Impugned Interim Order: (Date)	N.A.
□	Impugned Final Order/Decree: (Date)	28.02.2020
□	High Court:(Name)	High Court of Delhi at New Delhi
□	Name of Judges:	Hon'ble Chief Justice D.N.Patel And Mr. Justice C.Hari Shankar, JJ
□	Tribunal/ Authority: (Name)	N.A.

1 Name of matter: Civil Criminal

2 (a) Petitioner Rajeev Suri

(b) e-mail ID: N.A.

(c) Mobile phone No. N.A.

3 (a) Respondent Union of India & Ors.

(b) e-mail ID: N.A.

(c) Mobile phone No. N.A.

4 (a) Main Category classification: 18

(b) Sub Classification: 1807

5 Not to be listed before: N.A.

6 (a) Similar disposed of matter with citation, if any, & case details: No Similar matter disposed.
 (b) Similar Pending matter with case details: No similar matter pending.

7 Criminal matters: N.A.

(a) Whether accused/ convict has Yes NO surrendered:

(b) FIR No. N.A. Date N.A.

(c) Police Station: N.A.

(d) Sentence Awarded: N.A.

(e) Period of sentence undergone including period of detention/custody undergone N.A.

8 Land Acquisition Matters: N.A.

(a)	Date of section 4 notification:	N.A.
(b)	Date of section 6 notification:	N.A.
(c)	Date of section 17 notification:	N.A.
9	Tax matters: State the tax effect:	N.A.
10	Special Category (first petitioner/ appellant only):	
	Senior Citizen 65 years	X SC/ST X Woman/Child X
	Disabled	X Legal Aid Case X In custody X
11	Vehicle Number (in case of Motor Accident Claim Matters):	N.A.

Date:02 3.2019

(SHIV KUMAR SURI)
AOR for the petitioner
Code No.1067
surishiv@yahoo.com

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2020

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India &Ors.

...Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Rajeev Suri, S/o Late Sh. Rattan Lal Suri, Aged 64 years, R/o D-68 Defence Colony, New Delhi-110024 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as hereunder:

1. I am Petitioner in the abovementioned Special Leave Petition Civil and as such I am well conversant with the facts of the case and competent to swear this affidavit.
2. That I have read and understood the facts stated in the accompanying Synopsis & List of Dates (Pages B to) Special Leave Petition (Pages to), (Para 1 to 8) Accompanying Applications (Pages to) has been read and understood by me and I affirm on oath that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further state that the said Special Leave Petition has been drafted by my counsel at my instructions. No part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed there from.
3. That the Special Leave Petition is confined only to the pleadings before the Court whose order is challenged and documents relied upon in those proceedings. No additional facts, documents or grounds have been urged therein. I also state that the copies of the documents annexed to the Special Leave Petition are true copies of their respective originals and form part of the record of the courts below.

Deponent

VERIFICATION

Verified at on this day of2020 that the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is wrong and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Deponent



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. No. _____ of 2020
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. _____ OF 2020

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri ...Petitioner
Versus
Union of India &Ors. ...Respondents

**APPLICATION SEEKING PERMISSION TO FILE SPECIAL LEAVE
PETITION WITHOUT CERTIFIED /PLAIN A COPY OF THE
IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 28.02.2020.**

TO,

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA
AND HIS HON'BLE COMPANION JUDGES
OF THIS HON'BLE COURT

Bar and Bench
LEGAL NEWS
THE HUMBLE
APPLICANT ABOVENAMED

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That the present Petition is preferred against the Impugned judgment and final order dated 28th of February, 2020 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in LPA No.119 of 2020 by which the said Petition has been allowed. The contents of the Special Leave Petition be read as part and parcel of the present application. The contents of same are not being reproduced for the sake of brevity.
2. To the best of the knowledge of the Petitioner, the order which was dictated till 02.03.2020 has not been signed till date. That the copy of the order dated 28.02.2020 has not been made available. The Hon'ble High Court, however, expressed its inability to immediately release the Order.

3. The Petitioner submits that neither the ordinary nor the certified copy of the order dated 28.02.2020 (impugned in the accompanying Special Leave Petition) has been made available to the Petitioner herein. In view of this, the Petitioner is filing the accompanying Special Leave Petition without the ordinary or the certified copy of the impugned judgment and order dated 28.08.2020.
4. The present application is made bonafide and it is in the interest of justice that the appellant be exempted from filing certified copy of the impugned order.

PRAYER:

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

- a) Allow the Petitioner to file present Special Leave Petition challenging the without certified/plain copy of impugned judgment and order dated 28.02.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in LPA No. 119 of 2020; and
- b) Pass any other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE APPLICANT/APPELLANT
AS IN DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY.

Drawn On: 02.03.2020

Filed On: 02.03.2020

New Delhi

FILED BY:

SHIV KUMAR SURI
ADVOCATE ON RECORD

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. OF 2020

(Against the impugned and final judgment/order dated 28.02.2020 passed in LPA No. 119 of 2020 by the High Court of Delhi, at New Delhi.)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India &Ors.

...Respondents

WITH

I.A. No. of 2020

(APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE SLP)

I.A. No. of 2020

APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPY OF

IMPUGNED ORDER

P A P E R B O O K

(FOR INDEX PLEASE SEE INSIDE)

MR. SHIV KUMAR SURI

ADVOCATE-ON-RECORD FOR THE PETITIONER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. OF 2020

(Against the impugned and final judgment/order dated 28.02.2020 passed in LPA No. 119 of 2020 by the High Court of Delhi, at New Delhi.)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India &Ors.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

1. The present Special Leave Petition is within time against the impugned order dated 28.02.2020.
2. The Special Leave Petition is barred by time and there is delay of days in filing the same against final order dated 28.02.2020 passed by the High Court of Delhi, at New Delhi in LPA No. 119 of 2020 and application for Condonation of days delay has been filed.
3. There is delay of days in re-filing the present appeal and application for Condonation of days delay in re-filing has been filed.

New Delhi

Dated: 02.03.2020

BRANCH OFFICER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. OF 2020

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India &Ors.

...Respondents

CERTIFICATE

Certified that the Special Leave Petition is confined only to the pleadings before the Court/Tribunal whose order is challenged and the other documents relied upon in those proceedings. No additional facts, documents or grounds have been taken therein or relied upon in the Special Leave Petition. It is further certified that the copies of the documents/ annexures attached to the Special Leave Petition are necessary to answer the question of law raised in the Appeal or to make out grounds urged in the Special Leave Petition for consideration of this Hon'ble Court. This Certificate is given on the basis of the instructions given by the Petitioner/persons authorized by the Petitioner whose affidavit is filed in support of the Special Leave Petition.

INDIAN LEGAL NEWS

SHIV KUMAR SURI
ADVOCATE ON RECORD

New Delhi

Dated: 02.03.2020

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**I.A. No. of 2020
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. OF 2020**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Rajeev Suri

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India &Ors.

...Respondents

**APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPY
OF THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 28th FEBRUARY 2020, PASSED BY
HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

TO,

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA

AND HIS HON'BLE COMPANION JUDGES

OF THIS HON'BLE COURT

THE HUMBLE

APPLICANT ABOVENAMED

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

GAL NEWS

1. That the Petitioner has filed the accompanying Special Leave Petition in this Hon'ble Court, against the impugned final order of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in LPA No. 119 of 2020, dated 28th February 2020. The contents of the Special Leave Petition be read as part and parcel of the present application. The contents of same are not being reproduced for the sake of brevity.
2. That the Petitioner/applicant states that as per the rules of this Hon'ble Court, the certified copy of the Impugned Order should be filed along with the Special Leave Petition; however the same is not available with the applicant/petitioner. The petitioner undertakes to file a copy of the same as and when directed by the Hon'ble Court.
3. The present application is made bonafide and it is in the interest of justice that the appellant be exempted from filing certified copy of the impugned order.

PRAYER:

It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

- a) Exempt the petitioner from filing the certified copy of the impugned final order dated 28th February 2020 in LPA No. 119 of 2020, passed by High Court of Delhi.
- b) Pass any other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE APPLICANT/APELLANT AS IN DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY.

Drawn On: 02.03.2020

Filed On: 02.03.2020

New Delhi

FILED BY:

SHIV KUMAR SURI
ADVOCATE ON RECORD