natureresearch

David Reich
Corresponding author(s):

| Initial submission | Revised version | Final submission

Iain Mathieson

Life Sciences Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is intended for publication with all accepted life science papers and provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. Every life science submission will use this form; some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on the points included in this form, see Reporting Life Sciences Research. For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Experimental design

1. Sample size

Describe how sample size was determined.

No statistical methods were used to determine sample size.

2. Data exclusions

Describe any data exclusions.

We removed individuals with evidence of contamination based on mitochondrial DNA polymorphism or difference in PCA space between damaged and undamaged reads, a high rate of heterozygosity on chromosome X despite being male, or an atypical ratio of X-to-Y sequences. We also removed individuals that had low coverage (fewer than 15,000 SNPs hit on the autosomes). We report, but do not analyze, data from nine individuals that were first-degree relatives of others in the dataset (determined by comparing rates of allele sharing between pairs of individuals).

No randomization was attempted. Instead, we used principal component analysis,

supervised and unsupervised ADMIXTURE, D-statistics, qpAdm and qpGraph along with archaeological and chronological information to cluster the individuals into

3. Replication

Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.

4. Randomization

Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.

No experimental replication was attempted.

populations for further analysis.

5. Blinding

Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.

No attempt was made to blind investigators to the experimental groups.

Note: all studies involving animals and/or human research participants must disclose whether blinding and randomization were used.

	For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or in the Methods section if additional space is needed).		
n/a	Confirmed		
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc.)		
	A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly		
\times	A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated		
	The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)		
\times	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons		
	The test results (e.g. <i>P</i> values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted		
	A clear description of statistics including central tendency (e.g. median, mean) and variation (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)		
	Clearly defined error bars		
	See the web collection on statistics for biologists for further resources and guidance.		
)	Software		
Poli	cy information about availability of computer code		
7. 9	Software		
	Describe the software used to analyze the data in this study.	Available from https://github.com/DReichLab smartpca (v16000) qpAdm (v650) qpDstat (v712) qpGraph (v6021) Available from https://www.genetics.ucla.edu/software/admixture/ADMIXTURE (v1.3.0)	
		Available from https://github.com/dipetkov/eems EEMS	
		Available from http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net	
		bwa (v0.6.1-r104)	
		Available from https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal.html OxCal (v4.2.3)	
	For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the paper but not yet described in the published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). <i>Nature Methods</i> guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication provides further information on this topic.		
•	Materials and reagents		
Policy information about availability of materials			
8.	Materials availability		
	Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.	n/a	
9.	Antibodies		
	Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species)	n/a	

6. Statistical parameters

10. Eukaryotic cell lines			
a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.	n/a		
b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.	n/a		
 Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination. 	n/a		
d. If any of the cell lines used are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.	n/a		
Animals and human research participants			
Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines			
11. Description of research animals			
Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.	n/a		
Policy information about studies involving human research participants			

12. Description of human research participants Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants.

n/a