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Most of the symbols that are used are those that are very faniljar in
standard theory (Aspects).
NiP = Noun Phrase
VP = Verb Phrase
* = unsrammatical sentence or scmantic anomaly
-X =.a dasi before a linguistic element indicates a suffix morphene.
X- := a prefix morpheme
-x-- = an infix morpheme
asp = aspect norphonc dither perfective or inperfective
pst = past tense
pres = present tense
fut = future tense
pass = passive
rel = relative marker
DO = direct object
IO = indirect object
OO = oblique object
Instr = instrumental
loc = locative
mann = manner
poss = possessor
ass = associative
comp = comparative
ben = benefacerive
    \prime = high tone
N = falling tone
```
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An analysis of Kinyarwanda syntax lends support to the theory of Reiational Grammar (RG) which takes Gramatical Relations (subject of, direct object of, indirect object of)as primjtives to its system in describing transformations instead of using Phrase-iarker configurations (linear order and immediate constituency) as is donc in structural-rienerative gramar. We show that many of the major syntactic processcs in Kinyarwanda are naturally defined takinf subjects and direct objects as their input. The processes we study here are mainly pronotion rules, namely advancements and ascensions. The latter are represented by raisings (subject-to-subject raising, subject-to-object raising and predicate raising) and possessor promotion, whereas the former are illustrated by subject-creating rules and object-creating rules. An investigation of all verbal syntactic dependents shows that subjects, and objects that are direcly introduced to the verb without a preposition, i.e. direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives, underg, many more syntactic
transformations than oblique case NPs. Thus oblique ips are casily distinguishable from subjects and other objects, so the distinction RG makes between terms ( $\mathrm{Su}, \mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{IO}$ ) and non-terms (other major MPs in a clause) receives some justification in Kinyarwanda. We argue, however, that indirect objects and benefactives are not formally distinguishable from direct objects, and conclude that benefactives, indirect objects and direct objects are 'collansed' to form a single grammatical relation, namely direct object, in accordance with the analysis that Gary, J. \& Keenan, E. (1975) propose. The Relational Bierarchy of Kinyarwanda is thus viewed as:

```
RH: Su < DO < LOC < <O
```

We show that the RH correlates well with the degree to which the elements in it are affected by transformations; thus more transfomations affect sutjects than any other term. The most viable catepory is Do (as understood above). Locatives are given a special status because unlike any other oblique case $N P$, they undergo directly some transformations that only subjects and direct objects undergo without having to be advanced to term status.

## Chapter I Relational Grammar

The purpose of this dissertation is twofold:First we intend to provide a description of the major syntactic processes of Kinyarwanda (a Bantu language spoken in Rwanda). We have chosen the framework of Relational Grammar (RG) in which to express the description since many of the major transformations prominent in Kinyarwanda are precisely those that have been studied in $R G$ and in fact seem to affect Grammatical Relations (GR). Second, as the theory of $R G$ is still in its infancy as a theory of generative grammar, we plan to use the data from Kinyarwanda to evaluate various constraints on the form of possible human languages which have been proposed in terms of the primitive categories of RG. In this sectiun, we shall present first a sketch of the basic theory since as at the time of writing, no systematic exposition of the theory of RG is available in literature. Our exposition derives largely from Perlmutter and Postal (1974 \& in preparation) but draws as well from Johnson (1974a \& b), Keenan (1975), Keenan and Gary (1975),...

### 1.1. Definition

RG then is a linguistic theory in which GRs to the verb (subject of, direct object of, Indirect object of) play a central role to state syntactic transformations. This theory differs from structural generative gramar (standard.theory, generative semantics, extended standard theory) in tiat the latter ignores these notions and defines transformations as mapping of P -markers into P -markers only where a P -marker is a formal structure consisting of a set of labelled nodes and the dominance and
linear ordering relations that can be defined on them. In other words, in structural generative gramar:, GRs have a peripheral role such as for semantic interpretation, whereas $P$-markers are the only inputs to transformations. The present theory considers GRs as primitives to its system. Instead of stating grammatical rules as changes induced in the linear order and coninance relations, GRs are used.

To take a very well documented example, Passivization is defined as a. process by which objects and subjects interchange. In relational terms the object has been promoted to the subject status and the subject has been demoted, losing even its term status to the verb.

Keenan (1975), having observed many unrelaced languages, has in fact concluded that for the purpose of Universal Grammar (UG), Passivization should be formulated in relational terms rather than in structural terms because the latcer cannot make any kind of generalization, since Passive is a structurally distinct transformation in structurally distinct languages. The demotion of the subject and the promotion of the object to subject status, which constitute the core of the Passive rule in $R G$, was found to be universal in the Passive rule formation. Languages differ only in the side effects of the rule, i.e. the way to mark the demoted subject, to indicate the passive marker.... To formulate a universal passive rule, GRs (subject of and direct object of) are needed.

### 1.2. Terms vs Non-terms

In any language a verb has a certain number of syntactic dependents. A verbal syntactic dependent is any. NP whose grammatical function in the sentence is defined by the kind of relation it has to the verb. Syntactic
dependents are divided into two types: pure dependents and impure dependents.

Pure dependents include terms and chomeurs, terms being subject, direct object and indirect object whereas impure dependents comprise non-terms: oblique cases such as instrumencals, benefactives, locatives,.... This is schematized in the following tree diagram:
(1). ..


The reason for classifying syntactic dependents into two categories terms and non-terms is that terms are easily distinguished from nonterms by the kind of properties they have. Thus many major transformations and semantic properties belong to terms only. To take our favorite example, Passivization in English is supposed to be a property of terms only: it interchanges subjects and objects. Oblique cases cannot be passivized as the instrumental example (2)c shows.
(2) a. John is writing a letter with pen.
b. A letter is being written by John with a pen.
c. *A pen is being written a letter with by John.

Indirect objects are not directly passivized either. Consider (3)b.
(3) a. John gave a book to Mary.
b. *Mary was given a book to by John.

English, however, has a strategy that advances an indirect object to the direct object status so that it can be passivized. If this promotion to object status takes place, (3)b would be realized as (4):
(4) John gave Mary a book.

In the derived structure, the former indirect object has acquired all the properties of a basic direct object namely (a) the loss of the preposition and (b) the position of the former direct object. Now that Passivization consists of 'interchanging subjects and direct objects', the derived direct object can be passivized, yielding (5), a well-formed surface structure.
(5) Mary was given a book by John.

There is supposed to be a hierarchy among GRs, referred to as Relational Hierarchy (RH), in the form given below:
(6) $\mathrm{PHI}-\cdots \mathrm{Su}<$ DO $<\mathrm{IO}<00$

Where $1 \leqslant$ ' means takes precedence or is greater than. The motivation of this Hierarchy is based on Keenan \& Comrie (1972) Accessability ilierarchy (AII) which expresses certain generalities about grammatical relations in human languages.

The Hierarchy implies that gramatical relations that occur higher in the hierarchy such as subjects will have more properties, either semantic or syntactic, than others whereas those that come on the bottom such as Oblique Objects (00) will have less. To take an example, if a language can passivize an oblique case, it will be able to passivize IOs and DOs also but the inverse doesn't hold true. A language can relativize subjects but may not relativize GRs lower in the hierarchy such as Malayo-Polynesian languages (Keenan 1972, 1975) but languages relativize
subjects if they can relativize Objects.

### 1.3. Deep Structure in RG

There exists two versions of Deep Structure in RG: on the one hand, the one proposed originally by Perlmutter \& Postal (1974 \& in preparation) as well as Johnson (1974a \& b) and on the other hand the one suggested by Keenan \& Gary (1975). In the earlier version, the deep structure of any given sentence in any arbitrary language consists of a verb and a finite set of unordered syntactic dependents. Each syntactic dependent which is a primitive is assigned its status: its relation to the verb whether it is $a \underline{1}(\mathrm{Su})$, a $\underline{2}(\mathrm{DO})$, a $\underline{3}(\mathrm{IO})$ an instrumental (Instr.), a locative (Loc)...
(7) $D S:\left\{V, N P_{1}, N P_{2}, N P_{3}, \mathrm{NP}_{4}, \ldots N P_{n}\right\}$
$\mathrm{ND}_{1}: \quad 1(\mathrm{NP}, \mathrm{V})$
$\mathrm{NP}_{2}: \quad \operatorname{Loc}(\mathrm{NP}, V)$
$\mathrm{NP}_{3}: \quad 3(\mathrm{NP}, \mathrm{V})$
$\mathrm{NP}_{4}$ : $\quad 2(\mathrm{NP}, \mathrm{V})$
$N P_{n}$ : Inst. (NP, V)
Thus the Deep structure of a sentence such as The boy cut meat with a knife for the man is represented either by angle brackets or a tree
diagram as:
(8) DS: $\left\{\right.$ cut. $_{V}$, boy $_{N P}$, meat $\left._{N P}, \operatorname{knif}_{N P}, \operatorname{man}_{I P}\right\}$

NPs: $\{1$ (boy, cut), 2 (neat, cut), Instr. (knife, cut), Ben(man, cut) \}
In a tree diagram, the Deep structure of the above sentence would appear as:


Syntactic Dependents are also complex (i.e. sentential complements or sentential subjects). Thus the deep structure of a sentence such as John said that the woman ate the cake is in angle brackets as follows:
(10). DS: say ${ }_{V}$, John ${ }_{N P}$, that the woman ate the cake ${ }_{N P}$ NPs: 1 (John,say), 2 (that the woman ate the cake, say)

In the tree diagram the deep structure figures as:


Keenan \& Gary's proposal doesn't differ very much from the previous analysis except that, they include what they call semantic relations in the deep structure. In their analysis, 'the underlying structure of a sentence consists of a domain of objects and two sets of relations, Rg and Rs, defined on D.'

Only terms (Su, DO, IO) are mentioned as gramatical relations (Rg). Semantic relations (Rs) are nongramatlical relations that NPs bear to the vert of the domain, such as locatives, instrumentals, benefactives,... Since Rs present semantic relations that NPs bear to the verb, it is required also that Grammatical relations ( Rg ) be assigned semantic relations. For instance, a subject can be semantically an agent or an experiencer.

In this approach then, the deep structure of The boy cut the neat with a knife for the man is:
(12) DS: $\operatorname{cut}_{V}$, boy $_{N P}$, knife $_{N P}, \operatorname{man}_{N P}$
$R_{g}: 1$ (boy, cut), 2 (meat, cut),
$R_{s}$ : agent (boy, cut), patient(meat, cut), Instr(knife, cut), Ben(man, cut)
The motivation of including $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is due to the fact that many transformational rules are sensitive to the semantic relations that ITPs bear to verb, for instance in some languages, the Passive rule doesn't apply if the subject is not an agent.

### 1.4. Derivations in $R C$

Within this theory, transformations are understood as either demotions or promotions. Promotion means the ascension of the $R 1$ and demotion is the inverse process. Promotion rules are classified into two types: Advancement rules and Ascension rules. Advancement rules consist of promoting a GR to a higher status in the same clause whereas Raisings raise NPs from either NP nodes in a sentence such as:
(13) The price of rice went up $-\cdots-\cdots$ Rice went up in price or from embedded sentences in the RH of the upper sentence. Dative shift rule and Passive in English are considered as advancements, subject raising to object belongs to the other type of promotions.

For instance to generate a Passive surface structure in English whose subject is a recipient such as The man was given the book by the woman one has to know that two term changing rules have applied to the output string, ramely Dative shift and Passive rule, Coding properties sucn as word order, assignment of prepositions, terse marking, verbal agreement are later rules in English. In the output of the dative Shift cycle, the indirect object becomes the direct object and the former direct object is realized as a chomeur. When Passivization takes place the derived subject becomes subject and the initial subject
becomes chomeur also.
As we see then, term creating rules consist of converting the deep structure of one string to another. The derivation of the above sentence is realized as:
(14) 1. 1(woman, give), 2 (book, give), 3 (man, give)
2. 1(woman, give), 2 (man, give), chomeur(book,give)
3. l(man,give), chomeur(book, give), chomeur(woman, give)

Looking, at the RH, promotions can be classified as:
(i). Object-creating rules: transformations that make oblique cases direct object, such as the Dative Shift in English. This rule is written as $00,3 \rightarrow 2$
(ii). Subject-creating rules: rules that render oblique objects and direct objects, subjects such as Passive in English, rules which are usually written as $2-\cdots-\cdots 1$

Eut as kieenan \& Gary (1975) have indicated, there is no unified way to treat coding properies in RG since they appear to be language specific. In some languages, coding properties (assigmint of case marking, agreement, word order.) are postcyclic but in some others they are either global rules or they operate on the output of each term changing rule (for detail see Keenan \& Gary 1975)

In this theory of $R G$, some "laws" are stated as possible constraints on human languages. These are drawn from Perlmutter \& Postal (1974\& ir preparation).

We give here only those that are relevant to the present work.

### 1.5. Laws of Grammar

All the laws that are given concern propercies of terms and promotions
(a). Only terms of grammatical relations trigger

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-reflexivization } \\
& \text {-coreferential deletion } \\
& \text {-verbal agreement }
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, the rule claims that NPs that don't have grammatical relations to the verb cannot have the properties mentioned above.
(b). All gramatical rules that create or destroy termhood (object creating rules, subject creating rules) are cyclical.
(c). Only terms of GRs can qualify as hosts of ascensions: the Host Limitation Law. The rule implies that in the case of raising (such as subject to object raising or possessor promotion), the pronoted NP will take the place of the term only, not that of an oblique case or an NP that holds no grammatical relation to the verb.
(d). NPs that are promoted by an Ascension rule assumes the granmatical relation borne by the host out of which they ascend: the Relational Succession Lav.
(e). The Relational Annihilation Law. "When an $N P, N P{ }_{1}$ assumes the
 to bear any grammatical relation whatsoever. Such NTs are called chomeurs." The law implies that in the case of Passive and Dative Shift in English, for instance, the former subject in the passive case and the former Do In the dative shift case cannot undergo any other kind of transformation. (£). The Motivated Chomage Law:

Chomeurs (unemployed) can arise only as a result of the Kelational Annihilation Law.
(g) The Reranking Law:

If within a structure a rule aiters the status of an $\mathbb{P}$, then it must increase the rank of that $N P$ on the RII.

The law rules out the following cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1(\mathrm{Su}) \rightarrow-\cdots\{2,3, \text { Non-term }\} \\
& 2(\mathrm{DO}) \rightarrow-\cdots\{3, \text { Non-term }\} \\
& 3(\mathrm{IO}) \rightarrow-\cdots\{\text { Non-term }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

An $N P$ cannot be lowered in embedded sentence.
(h) The No Regeneration Lav:

No rule can create a term ${ }_{j}$ of a verb without creating a chomeur if the verb had a term ${ }_{j}$ at an earlier stage of the derivation.
(i) The Insertion Boundress Law:

Insertion rules always create choneurs.
(j) The advancee Laziness Law:
"Air NP undergoing an advancement will advance to the lowest point in the hierarchy permitted by universal and language-particular conditions."

That is if the language has ruies such as the following:

```
\(\{\) Non-term, 3\(\} \rightarrow 2\)
    \(2 \quad-\quad 1\)
```

It won't allow

$$
\text { Non-term, } 3 \rightarrow 1
$$

without passing through the intermediate stage nameiy iNon-term, $3 \rightarrow 2$.
(k) The Advancee Tenure Law:

The law states ahat a term derived by an advancement rule cannot be demoted by wother advancement rule.

The law predicts that it is impossible to have an overt 2(DO)Chomeur with the following inistory:
(i) first advanced to 2 by an advancement rule.
(ii) then put en chomage by another advancement rule.

A chomeur is not overt if it is either deleted or pronominalized and incorporated into the verb.
(1) Advancement Priority Law:

If. an $n(1,2,3, n o n-t e r m)$ of $a$ verb advances, no other $N P$ can advance to become the $n$ of that verb.

Thus if a 2 of a verb advances by undergoing Passive, then no other NP can advance to become the 2 of that verb.

### 1.6. Organization

The dissertation is organised in the following manner:
Chapter 2 presents all verbal syntactic dependents that exist in Kinyarwanda.

Chapter 3 exhibits all the semantic and syntactic properties that terms (subject, direct object, indirect object and benefactive) have.

Chapter 4 introduces Objectivization rules (shift of Oblique cases to the DO status). Constraints on these rules are discussed. The functional role of this kind of promotion is analysed for each promoted oblique NF and the properties of the former DOs are examined. Nere the advancee Priority Law and the Advancee Tenure Law are tested since in Kinyarwanda It is possible to advance many Oblique NPs to 2.

Chapter 5 is concerned about Subjectivization rules such as Passive, Stativization and Object-Subject reversal. Properties of derived subjects
and initial subjects are investigated.
Cahpter 6 deals with raisings (a) Subject-to-subject raising, (b) Object-to-Subject raising and raising with (c) causativization. Chapter 7 consists of Pronominalization: The pronominalization piocess is described. Free pronouns, incorporated pronouns and dummes are all examined in detail.

Chapter 8 gives an account of the Topicalization process from NP nodes, complex. NPs and embedded sentences. The constraints on this process are inspected. The syntactic properties and the functional role of both right and left topics are given.

Chap. 9 L: the conclusion which gives a brief summary of the points made in the thesis and some relational laws are modified on the basis of the data presented.

## Chapter II Verbal Sintactic Dependents

A syntactic dependent is any $N P$ whose grammatical function within the sentence is determined by the main verb. Eleven verbal syntactic dependents are found in Kinyarwanda namely subject, direct object, indirect object, benefactive, instrumental, locative, manner, temporal, goal, associative and comparative. In some cases the possessor becomes a verbal syntactic dependent also. In this chapter we show how these verbal syntactic dependents are marked. It will be noted that these labels are rather semantic than syntactic for the impure dependents. In fact, it will be evidenced that only subjects, indirect objects and direct objects are distinguishable whereas the nature of other syntactic dependents is only inferential; that is only the concext can tell the gramnatical function of the $N P$ in question.

The direct object and the indirect object collapse syntactically. Instrumentals, associatives and manners are marked by the preposition na. Locatives, temporals and some manners share the same prepositions which are either kly or mu. The goal case is marked by ku. The comparative preposition is nka and the possessive case is introduced by the morpheme -a proceded by the class marker of the possessed NP.

### 2.1. Subjects

Subjects are easily differenciated from other verbal syntactic dependents because they always precede the verb and agree with it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1). Abáana ba-ra-som-a ibitabo. } \\
& \text { Children they-pres-read-asp books } \\
& \text { 'The children are reading books.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

No other verbal syntactic dependent can precede efther the verb or the subject.

### 2.2. Direct Objects

Direct Objects follow the verb immediatcly withour any preposition. If, however, the verb has an indirect object also, the latter comes after the verb and the direct object follows it. .
(2) Umugóre a-teets-e inyama.

W'oman she-cook-asp meat
'The woman is cooking meat.'
(3) Umugabo $y$-a-haa-ye unugóre igitabo. main he-pst-give-asp woman book.
'The man gave a book to the woman.'

### 2.3. Indirect Objects

Whether there is a direct object in the sentence or not, the Indirect Object always follows the verb.
(4) Umugóre y-i̇m-ye abáana ibiryo. woman she-refuse-asp children food
'The woman refused food to the children.'
(5) Umugabo y-eerets-e abáana.
man he-show-asp cnildren
'The man showed (something) to the children.'
A. we see there is no formal way to distinguish Direct objects from

Indirect Objects. In face it will be disclosed laver on, that these two share all the syntactic properties. It is only sementic features such as animacy and verbal selectional restrictions thet can help one to teil

### 2.4. Benefactives

The p:esence of a benefactive NP is signalled by the benefactive suffix -ir- although it's not its sole function as we will see later.
(6) Umugóre a-rá-kor-ex-a umugabo. woman she-pres-work-ben-asp man
.. 'The woman is working for the man.'
If the verb has a direct object also, the benefactive NP follows the verb and the direct object comes last.
(7) Umukoôbwa a-ra-som-er-a Ėuhuûngu igitabo. girl she-pres-read-ben-asp boy book
'The girl is reading a book for the boy.'
Usually it's impossible to have both full NPs Indirect Object and benefactive. The reason for this is because enough information won't be conveyed if the direct object is missing. It's possible sometimes to have 3 objects within the same sentence, but it's impossible when the Benefactive is present.

It's possible however as shown in Chapter 6 to have more than two object incorporated pronouns and it's the benefactive pronoun that always precedes the verb stem.
(8) Umugabo y-a-ki-ba-ny-éerek-e-ye. man he-pst-it-them-me-show-ben-asp
'The man showed it to them for me.'

### 2.5. Instrumentals

The instrumental $N P$ is marked by either the preposition na or the
suffix -iish-.
(1)a. Umukoôbwa a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n'ílkarámu. girl she-pre-write-asp letter with pen 'The girl is writing a letter with a pen.'
b. Umukoôbwa a-ra-andik-iish-a íbarúwa íkaránu. girl she-pres-write-instr-asp letter pen 'The girl is writing a letter with a pen.'
(2)a. Umubooyi a-ra-kat-a inyama n'ícyúuma. cook he-res-cut-asp meat with knife 'The cook is cutting meat with a knife.'
b. Umubooyi a-ra-kat-iish-a inyama icyúuma. cook he-pres-cut-instr-asp meat knife 'The cook is cutting meat with a knife.'

Instrumental NPs are distinguished from other cases introduced by the preposition na such as agentives, manners, associatives by semantic features: minus human, minus abstract and plus concrete. (3)a is not an Instrumental but just a conjoined NP because the noun is plus human and (3)b is not an instrumental either but a manner NP because the head noun is abstract. (3) $c$ is the only instrumental because it is concrete.
(3)a. Umugóre a-ra-andik-a n'úmugabo. woman she-pres-write-asp with man
'The woman is writing and the man also.'
b. Umugóre a-ra-andik-a n'fingoga. woman she-pres-write-asp with speed
'The woman is writing fast.'
c. Umugóre a-ra-ankik-a n'íímashîini. woman she-pres-write-asp with typewriter
'The woman is typing.'

### 2.6. Locatives

Locative NPs are marked by either the prepositions $\underline{i}$, ku and mu, the suffix -ir- or the suffixes -ho or -1:0. The meaning of the preposition is given by the semantics of the verb itself. With verbs of 'coming' these prepositions translate as from, with verbs of 'going' the mean to, torards, into; they are interpreted as on, at, in, inside, if the nain verb is a 'locational' verb.

The noun that follows these prepositions lose the initial vowel. The vowel in ku and mu is lencthened beforc nouns berinnine with nasal clusters, vowel lengthening before nasal clusters being a reneral phonological rule of Kinyarwanda.

## 2.6. a The Ese of $i$

The preposition $\underline{I}$ is nomally used tefore names of geographical places only (cities, toms, villages....)
(1)a Yohani a-tuu-ye 1 igali.

Jolm ne-live-esn in Kigali
'John lives in Kigali.'
b. Yohaâni y-à-gi-iye i Kigáli.

John he-go-asp to Kigali
'John went to Kigali.'
c. Yohaâni y-a-vuu-ye i Kigáli

John he-pst-come-asp from Kigali
'John came back from Kigali.'
The same preposition is found in many adverbs of place such as:
(2) íno 'here' i-muhíra 'home' i-nyuma 'behind'
i-yo 'there' i-mbere 'in front' i-bwaâmi 'at the king's'
1-búryo 'right' i-bumóso 'left' i-kaambére 'in the livingroom'
i is sometimes used interchangeably with mu before names of countries. and continents. No generalization can be made in this instance since its use seems to be arbitrary.
(3) Maríya a-ba $\left\{\begin{array}{c}i \\ m u\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Buruûndi } \\ & \text { Bugaánda }\end{aligned}$

Mary she-be in Rwaanda
Buraaya: Europe
Koôngo
Kénya
'Mary is in
i cannot be used,however, in some instances as (4) illustrates:
(4) Yohaâni y-a-gi-iye * i Budâge 'Germany'

John he-pst-go-asp to Bubirigi 'Belgium'
'John went to Bwoongeréza 'England'
$\pm$ is never used before the names that start with a vowel; mu is used in this case.
(5) *A-tuu-ye i Ameríka
he-live-asp in America
'He lives in America.'
(6) ※Yohaâni a-turuk-a i Angóla

John he-come from-asp from Angola
'John comes from Angola.'

### 2.6.2. The Use of mu

mu has the meaning of 'in', 'into', 'inside', 'among', 'between',
'depending on the verb. mu becomes muri before names of countries and continents that start with the prefix bu-, before pronouns and nouns that are preceded by some determinatives such as demonstratives.
(7) a. Yohaâni a-ri muu nzu. John he-be in house
'John is in the house.'
b. Yohaâni a-gi-iye mu Bushínwa 'China'

John he-go-asp to Buhíĺnde 'India'
'John goes to Bwoongeréza 'England'
c. Yohaâni a-gi-iye múri Koôngo 'Congo'

Rusíya 'Russia'
Taanzaníya 'Tanzania'
Azfya 'Asia'
d. mari mweébwe among you
e. múri icyi gitabo in this book

### 2.6.3. The Use of ku

ku has the meaning of 'on', 'at' when used with locational verbs. It conveys the meaning of 'to' and 'from' if it occurs after 'movement' verbs with the idea of 'from the direction of' and 'tuwards the direction of '. Compare (8) a and (8)b, (9) a and (9) b.
(8) a. Umwáana y-a-gi-iye kw'ishuûri. child he-pst-go-asp to school
.. 'The child went to school.'
b. Umwáana y-a-gi-iye mw'ishuûri. child he-pst-go-asp to school
'The child went to class.'
(9)a. Umuhuûngu y-a-vuu-ye ku nyaanja.
boy he-pst-come from-asp to ocean
'The boy cane back from the ocean.'
(8) a means that the child went towards the direction of the school, whereas (8)b implies that the boy went into the classroon (to study). (9)b implies also that the boy swam but (9)a doesn't. The suffix $-r i$ is added to $\underline{k u}$ as in the case of mu- if it precedes a pronoun or a determinate.
(10) Umwáana y-a-andits-e kúrí icyi gitabo.
child he-pst-write-asp on this book
'The child wrote on this book.'

### 2.6.4. The use of the suffice -ir-

The benefactive suffix -ir- is added to the verb stem to signal the presence of a locative NP in the sentence. When it is used it marks the emphasis on the locative. Compare a sentences and $\underline{b}$ sentences below.
(11)a. Unuhuûngu y-a-boon-ye umukoôbwa mu muhaânda. boy he-pst-see-asp girl in street 'The boy saw the girl in the street.'
b. Umuhuûngu y-a-bon-e-ye umukoổba mu muhâ̂nda. boy he-pst-see-ben-asp girl in street 'The boy saw the girl in the street.'
(12)a. Abáana ba-ra-kin-ir-a amakaráta ku mééza. children they-pres-play-asp cards on table
'The children are playing cards on the table.'
b. Abáana ba-ra-kin-ir-a amakaráta ku mééza. chfldren they-pres-play-ben-asp cards on table.
'The children are playing cards on the table.'
The semantic difference between a sentences and bententences with the suffix -ir- is that in the former everything is new information whereas in the latter everything is old information except the locative NP. The -ir- suffix is always used in sentences that answer wh-questions that ask where the action took place.

Three verbs namely -éegam-,'lean on', -iicar- 'sit down' and -ryáam- 'lie down' can take the -ir- suffix without the locative preposition or the suffix -ho or -mo on the verb.
(13)a. Umugabo y-iica-ye kuú ntebe. man he-sit-asp on chair
'The man is sitting on the chair.'
b. Unugabo Y-iica-yé-ho íntebe.
man he-sit-asp-on chair
'The man is sitting on the chair.'
(14) a. Uriwáana a-ryaam-ye mu gitaânda:
child he-lie-asp in bed
'The child is lying in the bed.'
b. Umwáana a-ryaam-yé-mo igitaânda. child he-iie-asp in bed
c. Umwáana a-ryaam-i-ye igitâ̂nda.
child he-lie-ben-asp bed
(15)a. Unugóre y-eegam-ye ku ruugi.
woman she-lean-asp on bed
'The woman is leaning on the bed.'
b. Umugóre y-eegam-yé-ho uruugi.
woman she-lean-asp-on door
c. Umugóre y-eegam-1-ye uruugi. woman she-lean-ben-asp door

Unlike other verbs, the 3 verbs mentioned above cannot take both the benefactive suffix -ir- and the locative preposition. It was shown in (11) and (12) that when the benefactive suffix is used, there is emphasis on the locative complement. The 3 verbs don't get this reading when the benefactive morpheme is added to the verb. In facr (13) c, (14) c and (15) c cannot be qualified as unswers to Wh-questions that ask where the action took place, but they may answer the question 'what' instead. Semantically, tiacn the locative NP of these verbs has a patient meaning.

### 2.6.5. The use of the suffixes tho $\&-$ mo

Instead of being marked by the location prepositions, the locative Nis can be signalled by the suffixes either -ho or -mo. -ho corresponds to the preposition ku whereas -mo corresponds to mu. For the respective
functional role of suffixes and prepositions see Chapter 4.
(16) Umugabo y-iica-yé-ho íntebe. man he-sit-asp-on chair
'The man is sitting on the chair.'
(17) Umugóre a-ra-geend-er-á-mo ímódóka. woman she-pres-go-ben-as-in car
'The woman is driving the car.'

### 2.6.7. Complex locatives

Complex locatives embed Possessive NPs. In fact some of them can occur independently of head nouns. If they accompany any head noun, they must be put in possessive constructions. These kinds of prepositions are given in (18).


The use of some of these prepositions is illustrated below.
(19) Umugóre a-ri inyuma $y^{\prime \text { 'înzu. }}$ woman she-be behind of house.
'The woman is behind the house.'
(20) Igitabo cyi-raambits-e heejuru y'ámééza. book it-lie-asp over of table
'The book is lying over the table.'
(21) Amafaraanga a-hiisn-e hagátí y'ímpapuro money it-hide-asp middle of papers
'The money is hidden between the papers.'
There are other locatives, when segmented show the structure of a locative preposition plus a locative prepositional phrase; these are found before the days of the week, the months and the expression that means 'at somebody's'.
(22) ku waa mbere 'Monday'2 mu kwaa mbere 'in January'

| on of one |  | in of one |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ku wá kâbiri | 'Tuesday' | mu kwa' kâbiri | 'in February' |
| ku wá gâtatu | 'Wednesday' | mu kwá gâtatu | 'in March' |
| ku wá kâne | 'Thursday' | mu kwá kâne | 'in April' |
| on of four |  | in of four |  |
| ku wá gataanu | 'Friday' | mu kwá gataanu | 'in May |
| ku wá gataándatu | 'Saturday' | mu kvá gataándatu | $u$ 'in June' |
| on of six |  | in of six |  |
| ku cy' úmwéeru | 'Sunday' | mu kwá kârilndwi | 'in July' |
| on of white |  | in of seven |  |

The preposition that accompanies the expression 'at somebody's' is ku which is realized as kwa before proper names or nouns belonging to class 1 a and 2 a .

Before personal pronouns, the preposition is $i$ as shown in (25).
(23) k'umuvaandímwe 'at a friend's house' on brother
k'umutwâ̂re 'at a chief's house' on chief
(24) kwá Yohaâni 'at John's house'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kwa Petero 'at Peter's house' } \\
& \text { kwá nyógókuru 'at my grandmother's' } \\
& \text { (25) ivá mubyaara we 'at his cousin's' } \\
& \text { at of mé njye 'at my place' } \\
& \text { íwáá we 'at your place.' } \\
& \text { at of you } \\
& \text { í we } \\
& \text { at of him } \\
& \text { i wââ cu } \\
& \text { at of us } \\
& \text { i wat our nouse' } \\
& \text { at of you } \\
& \text { i waâ bo }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.7. Temporals

The same prepositions $\underline{k u}$ and $\underline{m u}^{4}$ used to introduce locative cases mark the temporal NPs also. Ku is used before the names of the day as shown in (1), mu is found before names of months, seasons and years as illustrated in (2), otherwise their respective use seems to be quite arbitrary as indicated in (3).
(1) ku waa mbere 'Monday'
on of first
ku wá kâbiri 'Tuesday'
on of two
(2) mú ki 'in the summer'
in Summer
mu kwaa mbere 'in January'
in of first
(3) ku mánywa 'in daytime'
on day
mu gitóondo 'in the morning'
ku mugoroba 'in the evening'
on evening
mu gícuku ' 'midnight'
in middie of night

### 2.8. Goals

The goal case is marked by the preposition ku or the benefactive suffix -ir-. Sometimes it is shown by the possessive construction.
(1) Unugóre a-kor-er-a umugabo ku mafaraariga.
woman she-work-ben-asp man for money
'The woman works for the man for money.'
(2) Umwáalímu a-r-iig-iish-a abáana ku búsa.
teacher he-pres-study-caus-asp children for zero
'The teacher is teaching the students for nothing.' If the verb has only the goal NP as its complement the suffix -ir-must be obiigatorily used:
(3) Umuhuûngu a-ririfmb-ir-a umusháhara múnini.
boy he-sing-ben-asp salary big
'The boy sings for a big salary.'
(4) Umugabo a-kor-er-a ibíryo.
man he-work-ben-asp
'The man works for food.'

The benefactive suffix -ir- is used also if the goal case is an infinitive verb.
(5) Abaantu bi-iig-ir-a kumenya ubwéenge.
people they-study-ben-asp to know knowledge
'People study in order to learn.'
(6) Abaantu ba-kor-er-a kúbáho people they-work-ben-asp to live
. 'People work in order to survive.'
The possessive construction is used with infinitives of 'purpose, goal'.
(7) Umwáana a-ra-shaak-a igitabo cyó gusoma. child he-pres-want-asp book of to read
'The child wants a book to read.'
(8) Umugóre y-a-guz-e ibíryo byó kúrya woman she-past-buy-asp food of to eat
'The woman bought food to eat.

### 2.9 Manners

The manner NP is either introduced by the preposition na ${ }^{5}$ or the suffix -an-.
(1)a. Umuhuû̃ngu a-ra-ririimb-a n'íshávu.
boy he-pres-sing-asp with sorrow
'The boy is singing with sorrow.'
b. Umuhuûngu a-ra-ririimb-an-a ishávu.
boy he-pres-sing-with-asp sorrow
'The boy is singing with sorrow.'
(2) a. Umugabo a-rá-kor-a n'íngofero. man he-pres-work-asp with hat
'The man is working with a hat on.'
b. Umugabo a-rá-kor-an-a ingofero. man he-pres-work-with-asp hat
'The man is working with a hat on.'
The manner NP is never human. It is either concrete or abstrat. If the manner NP introduced by the preposition is concrete, there is no syntactic or structural way that can help one to distinguish it from the instrumental case NP, except just real world knowledge. Compare (3) a, $b$ and $c$.
(3) a. Umugore a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n't́karámu i-sháa-je. woman she-pres-write-asp letter with pen it-be old-asp 'The woman is writing with a used pen.'
b. Unugóre a-ra-andik-a n'íbyishiño byî̂nshi. woman she-pres-write-asp with joy much 'The woman is writing with lots of joy.'
c. Umugóre a-ra-andik-a n'f́gitabo muu ntoke. woman she-pres-write-asp with book in hand 'The woman is writing with a book in her hand.' In the above sentences, (3) a is not a manner case NP, because as we know pens are used to write with; it is then an instrument. (3)b and (3)c are manners, however, because ' in (3)b the oblique NP is abstract and (3)c cannot be an instrument but has to be manner instead, since books are never used to write with. The manner case NP marked by the suffix -an- is more frequent than the one marked by the preposition ná.

### 2.10. Associatives

The tem associative is used as a cover term to mean both accompaniment and reciprocity. Like the manner and the instrumental case NPs, the associative case is also indicated by the preposition ná. What distinguishes the associative case from other oblique case NPs marked by the preposition ná is the suffix -an- with a reciprocal meaning added to the verb stem.
(1) Yohaâni a-kuund-an-a ná Maríya.

John he-love-rec-asp with Mary
'John and Mary like each other.'
(2) Umuhuûngu a-ra-ririimb-an-a n'úmukoôbwa.
boy he-pres-sing-rec-asp with girl
'The boy and the girl are singing together.'
(3) Umugabo y-a-jya-an-ye n'保mbwa.
man he-pst-go-rec-asp with dog
'The man went with his dog.'
As we see associative case NPs, as opposed to other oblique cases, involve. a transformation, namely the -an- suffixation. Associative case NPs are always animate as opposed to instrumentals and manners. It will be shown later on (chapter 4) that associative NPs are promoted directly to subject status without any intermediate stage.

### 2.11. Comparatives

The comparative morpheme is nka which is realized as nko before infinitives and locatives. ${ }^{7}$
(1) Abaantu bámwe ba-ry-a nk'íngurube. people some they-eat-asp like pigs
'Some people eat like pigs.'.
(2) Yohaâni a-geend-a nká Karoôli. ${ }^{8}$

John he-walk-asp like Charles
'John walks Iike Charles.'
Complex comparatives are made of locative NPs only; other oblique NPs are not found in the complex construction of comparatives.
(3) CPx comp NP $-\cdots \rightarrow$ (NP) nká loc iNP
(4) Umugóre a-shobor-a kúba y-â-gi-iye nkó kwá muğaanga. woman she-may-asp to be she-pst-go-asp like to doctor 'The woman nay have gone to the hospital, perhaps.'
(5) Kwá Karoôli ha-me-ze nkọ kwá Yonaâni. at Charles it-be like-asp like at John 'Charles' house is like John's.'

### 2.12. Possessor

In Kinyarwanda, the possessor $N P$ is shown either by the morpheme -a preceded by tho class marker of the possessed $N P$, by the benefactive suifix -ir- added to the verb or by nothing.

### 2.12.1 Use of -a

The possessive morphene -a is semantically parallel to the use of the genitive case in Latin in many respects. It can mean possession, identification, description, classification, order, destination......(For detail on the use of this construction see Nkusi in preparation.)
(1)a. Igitabo cy-ą Karoôli.
book AG of Charles
'The book of Charles '
b. Ibíryo by-ó kúrya
food AG of to eat
'Food to eat'
c. Umwáana $\underline{w}^{\prime}$ úmuhuûngu
child of boy
'A boy'
d. Unugabo w'úmukéne

- man of poor
'a poor man.'
e. Ukwéezi kwaa mbere month AG of one
'January'
The possessive construction renders the modifier meanings (color, size, shape....) that other languages such as English express by adjectives. ${ }^{16}$


### 2.12.2 Use of -ir-

The possessor is also expressed by the morpheme -ir- added to the verb stem.
(2) a. Umugabo a-ra-som-Er-a umugóre igitabo. man he-pres-read-ben-asp woman book
'The man is reading the book of the woman.'
(3) Umukoôbwa a-ra-hanagur-ir-a umugóre ímódóka. girl she-pres-clean-ben-asp woman car
'The girl is cleaning the woman's car.'
As in the case of benefactive and indirect object NPs, the possessor comes immediately after the verb and before the possessed $N P$, when the morpheme -ir- is present.

If the possessor is a pronoun, the benefactive suffix cannot be added to the verb; except for incorporated pronouns. For detail on the Possessor marked by the suffix -ir- see Chapter 4 .
(4) a. Umugóre a-ra-som-a igitabo cy-aa-njye.
woman she-pres-read-asp book of me
'The woman is reading my book.'
b. *Umugóre a-ra-som-er-a jye igitabo.
woman she-pres-read-ien-asp me book
'The woman is reading my book.'
c. Umugóre a-ra-n-som-er-a igitabo.
voman she-pres-me-read-asp book
'The woman is reading my book.'

### 2.12.3 Use of Zero

Inalienable possessions are indicated by the lack of marker. The possessor NP follows the verb as in the cases above.
(5) Umugóre a-rá-sokoz-a umwáana umusatsi.
wornan she-pres-comb-asp child hair
'The woman is combing the hair of the child.'
(6) Umuhuûngu y-a-vun-nye íntebe ukuguru.
boy he-pst-break-asp chair leg
'The boy broke the leg of the table.'
When parts of tine body occur after the possessive mozpheme $-a$, they are ambiguous as to whether they are allenable or inalienabie. Thus the possessed NPs in (7) and (8) may dave two meanings and the most natural one would be the alienable onc.
(7) Umugóre a-rá-sokoz-a umusatsi w'úmwáana:
woman she-pres-comb-asp hair of child
'The woman is combing the child.'
'The woman is combing the hair of the child.' (his toy's hair, for instance)
(8) Umuhuûngu y-a-vun-nye ukuguru $\underline{k}$ 'úmukoôbwa.
boy he-pst-break-asp leg of girl
'The boy broke the girl's leg.' (her right or left leg)
'The boy broke the leg of the girl' (that she had just bought) The possessor of an inalienable possessive can be pulled out of a locative phrase, otherwise it has an ambiguous meaning as in the examples that we have just presented.
(9) a. Umukoôbwa y-a-koz-e mu mufuka w'úmuhuûngu. girl she-pst-touch-asp in pocket of boy 'The girl touched the pocket of the boy.'
b. Umukoôbwa y-a-koz-e umuhuûngu mu mufuka.
girl she--st-touch-asp boy in pocket
'The girl touched in the boy's pocket.'
(10)a. Umwáana y-a-shyiz-e ibitabo ku maguru Z 'úmugóre.
child he-pst-put-asp books on the legs of the woman.'
b. Umwáana y-a-shyiz-e umugóre ibitabo ku maguru.
child he-pst-put-asp woman books on legs
'The child put books on the legs of the woman.'
In (b) sentences we have inalienable possession readings, whereas in (a) two readings are possible. Umufuka in (9)b has to belong to some cloth that the girl is wearing.

With certain verbs such as those that mean to steal, to rob, to take away,
the possessor may not be marked, but other types of constructions where the possessor is marked are possible also.
(11)a. Umujura y-iib-ye igitabo cy-aa-njye.
thief he-steal-asp book of ne
'A thief stole my book.'
b. Umujura y-a-ny-iib-i-ye igitabo.
thief he-pst-me-steal-asp book
'The thief stole my book.'
c. Umujura $y-a-n y-i i b-y e$ igitabo
thief he-pst-me-steal-asp book
'The thief stole my book.'
(12) a. Umukoôbwa y-a-twaa-ye amafaraanga y'úmugabo.
girl she-pst-take-asp money of man
'The girl took the money of the man.'
b. Umukoôbwa y-a-twaar-ye umugabo amafaraanga.
girl she-pst-take-ben-asp man money
'The girl took the money of the man.'
c. Umukoôbwa y-a-twaa-ye umugabo amafaraanga.
girl she-pst-take-asp girl money

### 2.12.4 Complex Possessives

Complex possessives occur with locative NPs only; that is, the head noun of the complex possessive is the same as the head noun of the embedded locative NP.
(13) CPx POSS NP $\rightarrow-\rightarrow N P$ of loc prep. NP
(14) Yohaâni y-a-taah-an-ye igitabo cyó mw'ishuûri.

John he-pst-go-home-with-asp book of in school
'John took home the book that belongs to the school.'
(15) N-a-huu-ye n úmwaana wó kwá Karoôli.

I-pst-meet-asp with child of at Charles
'I met with the Charles' child.'
Some complex possessives are embedded in complex locatives:
(16) CPx LOC NP $--\rightarrow$ loc prep.NP of loc. prep. NP
(17) Umugabo y-a-boon-ye umugóre mu rugo rwó kwá Karoôli. man he-pst-see-asp woman in compound of at Charles 'The man saw the woman in John's compound.'
(18) Umukoôbva y-iica-ye kuú ntebe yó mw'iishuûri. girl she-sit-asp on chair of in school
'The girl is sitting on the school's chair.'
In complex locatives the possessive phrase and the locative phrase can interchange places but in this case the possessive morpheme is deleted.
(19)a. Inzira i-nyur-a i mbere y'úrúgo rwó kvá Yohaâni. road it-pass-asp in front of compound of at John 'The road passes in front of John's compound.'
b. Inzira i-nyur-a kwá Yohaâni imbere y'úrúgo. road it-pass-asp at John in front of compound 'The road passes in front of John's house.'
(20) a. Inzira i-nyur-a imbere $y$ 'úrúgo. road it-pass-asp in front of compound 'The road passes in front of the compound.'
b. Inzira i-nyur-a urúgo imbere. road it-pass-asp compound in front 'The house passes in front of the compound.'

Note however that compound and front cannot be interchanged in (19)b.
(21) *Inzira i-nyur-a kwá Yohaâni urúgo imbere.
road it-pass-asp at John compound in front
We will be talking about this kind of possessor 'shift' in more detall in Chapter 4.

Note also that in some instances, the possessor head noun takes a locative preposition when the possessed $N P$ and the possessor $N P$ interchange.
(22)a. Ubwáato bu-gez-e hagáti y'ínyaanja.
boat it-arrive-asp in the middle of lake
'The boat has arrived in the middle of the lake.'
b. Ubwáato bu-gez-e mu nyaanja haǵáti.
boat it-arrive-asp in lake in the middle
(23)a. Amafaraanga a-hish-e muunsi y'úmúseģo w'ígitaânda. money it-hide-asp under of pillow of bed
'The money is hidden under the plllow of the bed.'
b. Amafaraanga a-hish-e ku gitaânda muúnsi y'úmúsego. money it-hide-asp on bed under of pillow

It has been observed also (Ikusi, 1976) that in simple possessive constructions, the possessed $N P$ can undergo the shift if it has a plural descriptive meaning such as crowd of, mass of... Again this shift always causes the deletion of the possessive marker.
(24) a. H-a-j-e urugaâmba rw'abásore.
it-pst-cone-asp crowd of young men
'It's a group of people who came.'
b. H-a-j-e abásore urugââmba.
it-pst-come-asp young men group
(25)a. Úno mugóre a-fit-e ikívu cy'ámafaraanga.
this woman she-have-asp lake of money
'This woman has a lots of money.'
b. Úno mugóre a-fit-e amafaraanga ikávu.
this woman she-have-asp money lake
(26)a. Yohaâni a-ra-shaak-a gutúunga ubúshyo bw'fínka. John he-pres-want-asp to possess troops of cows
'John wants to possess troops of cows.'
b. Yohâ̂ni a-ra-shaak-a gutúunga ínka ubúshyo. John he-pres-want-asp to possess cows troops

We will be chowing later on that this possessive is a kind of promotion as defined in Chapter 4, because it feeds the possessor to syntactic transformations that it cannot undergo otherwise. To sum up, we have noted that there exist 12 granmatical functions for NPs in Kinyarwanda. Only subjects, direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives are not marked by prepositions. Locatives, temporals and goals share the same prepositions: ku or mu. The preposition ná marks instrumentals, associatives and manners. It's only the context that can tell one the functional status of the oblique case $N P$ in such a circumstance. There doesn't exist any fixed word order among oblique cases. It is the new information that always comes last. Look at the following sentences:
(27) Umwáana y-a-ci-iye igitabo mu gitóondo n'íícyuuma. child he-pst-tear-asp book in morning with knife 'The child tore up a book in the morning with a knife.'
(28) Umwáana y-a-ci-iye igitabo n'íf́cyuuma mu-gitóonco. child he-pst-tear-asp book with knife in morning
'The child tore up a book with a knife in the morning.'
In (27) everything is old information except the instrumental case 'knife';
in (28) only the temporal phrase' in the morning' is new information. In Kinyarwanda, there are no headless prepositions. For instance, some of the movement transformations that move the head of a prepositicnal phrase always leave a resumptive pronoun behind. Topicalization of object NPs triggers agreement on the verb as in (29), that of oblique cases leaves a pronoun after the preposition as seen in (30).
(29)a. Ünuhuûngu y-a-boon-ye umukoôbwa.
.. boy he-pst-see-asp girl
'The boy saw a girl.'
b. Umukoôbwa, umuhuûngu y-a-mu-boon-ye.
girl boy he-pst-her-sec-asp
'The girl, the boy saw her.'
(30) a. Unukoôbwa a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n'ffkarámu.

Eirl she-pres-write-asp letter with pen
'The girl is writing a letter with a pen.'
b. İkárámu, umukoôbwa a-ra-ndik-a íbarúwa ná yo. pen girl she-pres-write-asp letter with it.
'The pen, the student is writing a letter with it.'
c. łíkárámu, umukoôbwa a-ra-andik-a farúwa na. pen girl she-pres-write-asp letter with

In some cases two or more oblique cases (NPs marked by prepositions) are not tolerated; for instance, consecutive ná NPs such as an instrumental followed by either an associative, a manner or an agentive. In (31) we have two na NPs: an instrumental and an associative; (32) exhibits an instrumental and a manner.
(31)* Umukoôbwa y-a-men-nye ídirfshya n'úmwáana. girl she-pst-break-asp window with stone with child
'The girl with the child broke the window with a stone.'
(32)* Umugóre y-a-kas-e inyana n'íícyúuma n'ísuku. woman she-pst-cut-asp meat with knife with cleanliness
'The woman cut clerly the meat with the knife.' Gramaticality in the above sentences is obtained by marking either one of the oblique cases by a suffix on the verb (Promotion cfr Chap. 4). (31) would be realized as either (33) a or $b$ and (32) has to be either (34) a or b.
(33) a. Umukoôbwa n'úmuhuûngu ba-men-an-nye fdıríshya n'íbuyc. girl and boy they-break-rec-asp window wit: 'The girl with the boy broke the window with the stone.' b. Umukoôbwa y-a-men-eesh-eje ídiríshya ibuye n'úmuhuûngu. girl she-pst-break-instr-asp window stone with boy
(34) a. Umugóre y-a-kat-iish-ije inyama icyúuma n'ísuku. woman she-pst-cut-instr-asp meat knife with cleanliness
b. Umugóre y-a-kat-nn-ye inyama isuku n'ícyúuma. woman she-pst-cut-rec-asp meat cleanliness with linife 'The woman cut cleverly the meat with the knife.'

In the following chapters we will show that among the 12 grammatical functions that NPs can have in Kinyarwanda, there exists a hierarchy. More precisely it will be noted that those NPs that are introduced by prepositions have a different grammatical status than those that don't have the prepositions such as subjects, direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives.

## Footnotes

1. The morpheme na has many semantic functions: it marks the demoted subject in passive constructions (agentive marker). It introduces associative, manner NPs . It also means and and also.
the verb to 'beat' -kubit- doesn't take any instrumental marker:
(a) Unugóre y-a-kubis-e umwáana inkoni.
woman she-pres-beat-asp child stick
.. 'The woman hit the child with a stick.'
(b) Umugóre y-a-kubit-iish-ije unwáana inkoni.
woman she-pst-beat-instr-asp child stick
(c) Umugbre y-a-kubis-e umwana n'inkoni.
voman she-pst-beat-asp child with stick
2. Note that the class marker that precedes the possessive morpheme refers to umuunsi 'day'.
$K$ 'umuunsi waa mbere
With the names of the months, the class marker refers to ukwezi 'month' ruu (kweezi) kwaa mbere.

This is the historical explanation of possessive agreement with days and months.
3. Note that the prepositions hâ̂fi, hiêno, hákuno, haģti, heejuru, haákurya, hiirya are composed of the dumny ha--
4. ni (probably the historical copula ni) is used in some expressions as a temporal prepositions:

```
    ni mugoroba 'in the evening'
    ni muunsi 'in the evening'
    in day
    ni'(n)joro 'in the night'
```

5. ku and mu are used sometimes to mark manner case NPs cfr. 2.6. gufata mu mutwe
to hold in head
'to memorize'
kugeenda ku maguru
to go on feet
'to go on feet'
kuvuga mu cyoongereza
to say in English
'to talk in English'.
6. Verbs that intrinsically reciprocal have been lexicalized with the suffix - - an-
ex. -rwaan- 'fight' -toongaan- 'quarrel'
-saamban- 'comit adultery' -kiiran- 'orestle'
The following two verbs are the only ones that don't take the -ansuffix.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text {-huur- } & \text { 'meet with' } \\
\text {-geniir- } & \text { 'talk with' }
\end{array}
$$

7. Some verbs such as:
```
-se- 'resemble'
-ngan 'be as big as or old as'
-reeshy- 'be as tall as'
```

use na also as a comparative morpheme.
(a) Uno mukoôbwa a-sa na nyina. this girl she-resemblelike her mother
'This girl looks like her mother.'
(b) Yohaâni a-reeshy-a ná Karoôli.
'nká
John he-be as tall as asp like Charles.
'John is as tall as Charles.'
8. Kimyarwanda doesn't have the comparative morpheme of either superiority or inferiority to express 'more than or less than', the verb -rut- or -rush- 'surpass' is used instead. When the verb -rush- is used; there are two types of constructions possible: -rush- is eitheras the main verb of the sentence or it occurs in the infinitival form before the compared $N P$.
(a) Yohâ̂ni a-ririimb-a néza kurusha Maríya.

John he-sing-asp well to surpass Mary
'John sings better than Mary.'
(b) Yohaâni a-rush-a Maríya kuríriimba nééza.

John he-surpass-asp Nary to sing well
'John sings better than Mary.'
An adjective becomes a noun when the verb -rush-is promoted to the main verb status.
(c) Uyu muhuûngu ni mwiíza kurusha uyu mukoôbwa.
this boy be beautiful to surpass this girl
'This boy is more beautiful than this girl.'
(d) Uyu muhuûngu a-rush-a uyu mukoôbwa ubwlíza. this boy he-surpass-asp this girl beauty
'This boy is more beautiful than this girl.'
The verb -gir- 'have' may be optionally deleted when the verb -rushbecomes the main verb.
(e) Abagabo ba-gir-a imbarága kurusha abagore. man they-have-asp strength to surpass women
'The men have more strength than the women.'
(i) Abagabo ba-rush-a abagore (kugira) imbarága. men they-surpass-asp women to have strength
9. When the possessive construction has a modifier meaning (description), identification) the possessor noun and the possessed NP can take different calss prefixes without affecting the meaning.
(a) Umwåana w'úmukoôbwa. child of girl
(b) Unwáane w'ágakoôbwa. child of little girl 'a iittle girl'
(c) Akáana k'úmukoôbwa'
little child of little girl
10. Kinyarwanda has only 9 non-derived adjectives, the possessive construction or the relative clause formation are the ways to render adjectival meanings (Wilkins \& Kimenyi (1975))

This chapter presents the major syntactic properties of terms: subject of, direct object of, indirect object of. They are distinguished from non-terms (oblique case NPs) in that they are transformationally more potent in a variety of ways. Thus many major transformations such as relativization, clefting, pseudo-clefting, wh-questioning, passivization, reflextivization, ...apply only to terms. Indirect objects and benefactives are shown to be formally similar to direct objects and to share the same syntactic properties with them. It is concluded then that the Relational Hierarchy of Kinyarwanda, for the purpose of Relational Gramar is: $S<D O<O O$ rather than $S<D O<I O<00$. Properties that belong to subjects only are presented first. Then follow the properties of indirect objects and benefactives. The properties that all terms share are given last.

### 3.1. Properties of Subjects

The normal word-order of Kinyarwanda is as follows: subjects appear at the leftmost side of the sentence (except when there is a left topic in the sentence which always precedes the subject), indirect objects follow the verb and the direct object comes last. (1) illustrates the normal word-order in the Kinyarwanda sentence.
(1) Umuhuûingu y-a-haa-ye unukoôbwa igitabo.
boy he-pst-give-asp girl book
'The boy gave a book to the girl.'

### 3.1.1 Agreement

Besides topics (see Chapter 8), subjects are the only NPs that trigger agreement on the verb. Subject agreement is obtained by prefixing the class marker of the head noun to the verb.
(2) Ibitabo bi-ri ku mééza.
books they-be on table
'The books are on the table.'
(3) Ubúuki bu-ra-ryóoh-a.
honey it-pres-taste-asp
'Honey tastes good.'
Verbal subjects and sentential subjects, however; take bi- agreement (class 8) whereas locative and temporal subjects receive ha- agreement, instead of getting the class marker of their head noun.
(4) Ku-ririimb-a bi-ra-kome-ye.
to-sing-asp it-pres-be difficult-asp
'To sing is difficult.'
(5) Kú-ba y-á-garuts-e by-aa-n-shiim-iish-ije.
it-be he-pst-comeback-asp it-pst-me-thank-caus-asp
'The fact that he came back made me happy.
(6) Muu nzu ha-ra-shyúush-ye.
in house it-pres-be warm-asp
'it's warm in the house.'
The only verb which seems to be an exception to agreement (probably in all Bantu languages) is the copul.ar ni.
(7)a. Ki-no gitabo ni gíshya.
this book be new
'This book is new.'
b. 关Kíno gitabo ki-ni gíshya.
this book it be now
(8)a. Báno báana ni beéza.
these children be nice
'These children are nice.'
b. *Báno báana ba-ni beéza.
these children they-be nice
The same copula ni is used in cleft constructions but still no agreement is found on it.
(9) N'abáana ba-gi-íye.
be children they-rel-go-asp
'It's the children who are going.'
It is noted also that dummy or impersonal pronouns (see Chapter 7), those that don't have any semantic meaning, such ba- 'unspecified human', bi- 'unspecified non-human, ha- and bi- can appear as subjects only.
(10) B-a-mw-íib-ye.
they-pst-him-rob-asp
'They robbed him.'
(11) Bi-ra-kome-yc.
it-pres-be difficult-asp
'It is difficult.'
(12) Ha-ra-shyứush-ye.

It-pres-be warm-asp
'It is warm.'
(13) Bu-ra-góroob-ye.
it-pres-get dark-asp
'It is getting dark.'

There are no object or oblique dummies in the language.

### 3.1.2. Dummy-insertion

Subjects alone allow dummy-insertion. The only dumny that is inserted in such a case is ha- which causes the subject to shift after the verb. The sentence acquires then a cleft meaning (this is not the only construction that applies in Kinyarwanda, for another alternative see section 3.4.2). This construction really has a cleft meaning since like other cleft constructions, negating the sentence doesn't alter in any way the truth-value of the VP constituent but rather denies the subject to be the actor.
(14) a. Umwáana a-ra-lir-a.
child he-pres-cry-asp
'The child is crying.' .
b. Ha-ra-lir-a umwáana.
it-pres-cry-asp child
'It's the child who is crying.'
c. Nti-ha-1ír-a umwáana.
neg-it-cry-asp child
'It's not the child who is crying.'
(15)a. Umugóre y-ar-íib-w-e.
woman she-pst-rob-pass-asp
'The woman was robbed.'
b. H-iib-w-e- umugóre.
it-rob-pass-asp woman
'It's the woman who got robbed.'
c. Nti-h-iíb-w-e umugóre. neg-it-rob-pass-asp woman
'It's not the woman who got robbed.'
No other NPs such as direct objects, indirects... can undergo the kinds of transformation just presented.

### 3.1.3 Semi-agreement

Some manner words such as -te? 'how', -tyo 'like that', -tya 'like this' can take either ku- agreement (spelled as gu- because of Dalh's law) or they can agree with the subject head noun.

woman she-pst-say-asp how
'How did the woman say?'
(17) Abagabo b-a-bi-giz-e

men they-pst-do-asp like that
'The men did it that way.'
(18) Mw-aa-n-shubij-e $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ĝu}-t y a \\ \underline{\text { mû}}-t y a\end{array}\right\}$
you-pst-me-answer-asp like this
'You answered me like this.'
As illustrated in (19), this kind of agreement is a property of subjects only. The sentence would be ungrammatical if the agreement referred to the direct object.
(19) Yohâ̂ni y-a-boon-ye Mariya *á-tyo

- ĝutryo.

Mary she-pstsee-asp Mary like that
'John saw Mary like that.'
If the manner word were referring to the subject; the sentence would be okay of course. If the direct object is the subject of a lower sentence, however, the manner word can agree with it.
(20) N-a-saanz-e abáana b bâ-kor-a $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { bâatyo } \\ \text { g } \hat{u}-\text { tyo }\end{array}\right\}$

I-pst-find-asp children they-work-asp like that.
. 'I found the children working like that.
(21) Mu-r-úumv-a mû-mez-e $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{\text { 人 }}{u}-t e ? \\ \text { nu-te? }\end{array}\right\}$
you-pres-feel-asp you-feel-asp how
'How do you feel?'
Agreenent of manner words must be a new process in the language if indeed, agreenent occurred much later in Bantu languages.

### 3.1.4 Intensifier and Quantifier use

Subjects and topics (see Chapter 8 for more properties of topics) have special properties that other NPs don't have when they are constructed with certain intensifier and quantifier words such as:
(i) Pro: class marker plus -0 , which is used for contrast when it follows an NP.
(ii) ubwaa +pro: 'in person'
(iii) gusa: 'only'
(iv) pro + nyine: 'alone'

The first two are only saturally used after topics and subjects. (13) shows the use of pro and (14) illustrates the use of ubwaatpro.
(22)a Abagabo bó b-a-haa-ye abáana ibitabo. men them they-pst-give-asp children books
'As for the men, they gave books to the children.'
b Abáana, bó, abagabo b-a-ba-haa-ye ibitabo. children them men they-pst-them-give-asp books 'As for the children, the men gave them the books.'
c ? Abagabo b-a-haa-ye abáana bó ibitabo. men they-pst-give-asp children them books
(23)a Abáana, ubwaâbo, b-a-sii-ye. children themselves they-pst--go-asp 'The children themselves left.'
b Igitabo, ubwaâcyo, umváalímu y-a-gi-som -ye. book itself teacher he-pst-it-read-asp
'The book itself, the teacher read it.'
c ?Umváalímu y-a-som-ye igitabo ubwaâcyo. teacher he-pst-read-asp book itself
'The teacher read the book itself.'
(22)c and (23)c are bad because the modified elements are neither topics nor subjects, but objects.

Pro + nyine 'alone' and gusa 'only' have special constructions when they modify the subject head noun. In the usual case they imnediately follow the NP (object or oblique) that they escort.
(24) Umukoôbwa a-ra-som-a iģitabo $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gusa } \\ \text { cyonyínc }\end{array}\right\}$
girl she-pres-read-asp book only
'The girl is reading the book only.'
When the two words modify the subject, the dumny-insertion (3.1.2) or the cleft construction (3.4.2) is preferred to the construction in (24).
(25)a Abáana b-a-gii-ye.
children they-pst-go-asp
'The children left.'
b Abáana ní bo b-a-gií-ye. children them they-pst-rel-go-asp
'The childzen are the ones who left.'
c Abáana ní bo bonyíne b-a-gíi-ye. children be them alone they-pst-rel-go-asp
'Only the children left.'
d ㅍ--a-gii-ye abáana bonyíne.
it-pst-go-asp children alone
'Only the children left.'
e. *Abáana bonyíne b-a-gii-ye. children alone they-pst-go-asp
(4)e is starred because gusa and pro + nyine are modifying the subject which, in this case, has to undergo either the dumny-insertion transformation or the cleft construction but hasn't.

### 3.1.5 Definitioness

Subjects, indirect objects and benefactives are always either definite or generic. In other words, they are always familiar (known) to both hearer and speaker.
(26) Unwáana a-ra-lir-a.
child he-pres-cry-asp
'The child is crying.'
'*A child is crying.'
(27) N-a-haa-ye umwáana igitabo.

I-pst-give-asp child book
'I gave the book to the child.'
'*I gave the book to a child.'
Note that the direct object is ambiguous in terms of definiteness and indefiniteness. Indirect objects and benefactives are always animate also. Indefinite subjects, benefactives and indirect objects are introduced by the existential hari plus a relative clause formation. (For detail see 3.4.5).
(28) Ha-ri umwáana ú-rir-a.
it-be child he-rel-cry-asp
'A child is crying.'
(29) Ha-ri umwáana n-a-haá-yc igitabo. it-be child I-pst-rel-give-asp book
'I gave a book to a child.'
Because of this construction it is not possible in Kinyarwanda to have both an indefinite subject and an indefinite indirect object or benefactive such as 'A man gave the book to a girl' or both an indefinite indirect object and indefinite benefactive.

### 3.1.6 Argumenc presence

In general all sentences have to have subjects or would be ungrammatical without one. There is only one exception to this, namely some uses of the copular ni.
(30) Ni byif́za.
be good
'It's good.'
(31) Mí we. be him
'It's him.'
Cleft constructions (3.4.2) don't have subjects either.
(32) N'umugabo w-a-gií-ye. be man he-pst-rel-go-asp
'It's the man who left.'
(33) N' ${ }^{\text {abáana ba-mén-nye ídiríshya. }}$ be children they-pstprel-break-asp 'It's the children who broke the window.'

Some verbs (2-argument verbs) such as: -ha 'give', -eerek- 'show', -bwiir- 'tell' .... and manipulative verbs such as order, command, prevent..... have to have the indirect object expressed.
(34)a. *Yohaâni y-a-haa-ye igitabo.

John he-pst-give-asp book
'John gave the book.'
b Yohaâni y-a-haa-ye umváana igitabo.
John he-pst-give-asp child book
'John gave the book to the child.'
(35) a . UUmugóre y-a-bwii-ye ibinyóma.
woman she-pst-tell-asp lies
'The woman told lies.'
b Unugóre y-a-bwii-ye umuhuûngu ibinyóma.
woman she-pst-tell-asp boy lies
'The woman told lies to the boy.'
(36) a *Umwáalímu y-cerets-e amashusho. teacher he-show-asp pictures
'The teachershowed ictures.'
b Umuwáalímu y-eerets-e abanyéeshû̂ri amashusho.
teacher he-show-asp students pictures
'The teacher showed pictures to the students.'
Remark that the direct object doesn't have to be mentioned at all.
(37) Unuwáalímu y-eereta-a abanyéeshuûri:
teacher he-show-asp students
'The teacher showed to the students.'
(38) Yonaâni y-a-haa-ye abáana.

John he-pst-give-asp children
'John gave to the children.'
Benefactives have to be present also and as in the above examples, the absence of the direct object doesn't render the sentence ungrammatical as shown by (39) and (40).
(39) 夫Umugabo a-ra-som-er-a igitabo. man he-pres-read-ben-asp book
'The man is reading the book for somebody.'
(40) Umugabo a-ra-som-er-a umugóre. man he-pres-read-ben-asp woman.
'The man is reading for the woman.'

### 3.1.7 Semantic roles

The subject assumes more semantic roles than any other NP. The subject can be a patient, a recipient, an agent, a locative and a temporal.
(41) Unwáana a-ra-rwáa-ye. (patient)
child he-pres-be sick-asp
'The child is sick.'
(42) Umwáana a-rá-bon-a ijuru. (recipient) child he-pres-see-asp sky
'The child can see the sky.'
(43) Umwáana a-rá-kúbit-a f́mbwa. (agent)
child he-pres-beat-asp dog
'The child is beating the dog.'
(44) Muu nzu ha-ra-shyúush-ye.
in house it-pres-be warm-asp
It's warm in the house.'
There are some other transformations that are properties of subjects only, such as right topicalization when the verb has a sentential complement (this is described in detail in Chapter 8) and raising to subject and object position. This process is discussed in great detail in Chapter 6. The present section has presented a confirmation of the primacy of subjects over other terms; direct objects and indirect objects. Evidently subjects possess syntactic and semantic properties that other terms don't have.

In the next section, properties that all terms share will be given and it will be shown that indirect objects and benefactives behave the same way as direct objects.

### 3.2 Properties of Direct Objects

Direct Objects are distinguished from non-terms by the fact that they are the only ones that (i) are introduced to the verd without a preposition.
(!i) undergo subjectivization rules (passivization, stativization and Object-Subject reversal). These rules are
presented in Chapter 5.

```
(iii) undergo pronoun incorporation: this process is discussed
    In Chapter 7.
    (iv) are reflexivizable.
```


### 3.2.1 Reflexivization

The reflexive marker is the infix -ii- (which is realized as -iy- if the verb stem begins with a vowel). It always follows other infixes. -•
This process applies if the direct object or the indirect object or the benefactive NP is coreferential with the subject.
(45) a *Umukoôbwa ${ }_{x}$ a-ra-reeb-a umukoôbwa ${ }_{x}$ gizl she-pres-watch-asp girl
'The girl is watching the girl.'
b Umukoôbwa a-r-íi-reeb-a.
girl she-pres-refl-watch-asp
'The girl is watching herself.'
(46) a *ümuhư̂ingu $x^{a-r-e ́ e r e k-a ~ u m u h u u ̂ n g u ~}{ }_{x}$ amashusho. boy he-pres-sinow-asp boy pictures
'The boy is showing the boy pictures.'
b Umuhuûngu a-r-íy-eerek-a amashusho.
boy he-pres-refl-show-asp pictures.
'The boy is showing pictures to himself.'
Reflexivization is an internal clause process, it cannot apply across clause boundaries.
(47) Umugabo ${ }_{x} y$-a-tegets-e umugóre kú-mu ${\underset{x}{x}}^{\text {(4a }}$ igitabo.
man he-pst-order-asp woman to-him-give book
'The man ordered the woman to give him the book.'

Oblique cases coreferential with the subject cannot be directly reflexivized. Objectivization rules have to take place first (sce Chapter 4 for detail on promotion to object status). (47) gives an example of a locative pronoun coreferential with the subject and (48) gives an example of a possessive.
(48) a Umbáana ${ }_{x} y$-a-shyiz-e amabuye kúrí we ${ }_{x}$. child he-pst-put-asp stones on him 'The child put stones on himself.'
b *Úmáana y-íi-shyiz-e amabuye kúrí we. child he-refl-put-asp stones on him
c Umwaana y-í-shyiz-é-ho amabuve. child he-refl-put-asp-on stones 'The child put stones on himself.'
(49) a Abagabo ${ }_{x}$ ba-r-úubak-a inzu yáa bo ${ }_{x}$ men they-pres-build-asp house of them 'The men are building their house.'
b *Abagabo ba-r-íy-uubak-ir-a inzu yáa bo. men they-pres-refl-build-asp house of them
c Abagabo ba-r-íy-uubak-ir-a inzu. men they-pres-refl-build-asp house 'The men are building their own house.'

Scmetimes the reflexive morpheme -ii- is used with the benefactive morpheme -ir-. In this case, it has a different semantic role. It indicates the subjective attitude of the speaker about the action being undertaken by the subject.
(50) Umugabo a-r-if-ryaam-i-ye. man he-pres-refl-slecp-ben-asp
'The man is sleeping.'
(51) Umuhuûngu a-r-íy-iig-ir-a.
boy he-pres-refl-study-ben-asp
'The boy is studying.'
The constructions in (50) and (51) would be used if the subject were expected to do something else.

### 3.3. Properties of Indirect Object and Benefactives

Formally there is no way to differentiate indirect objects and benefactives from direct objects. They exhibit the same properties that distinguish direct objects from other NPs (position, incorporation, reflexivization and passivization). Usually when direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives appear in the same sentence the benefactive NP comes closer to the verb, then follows the indirect object and the direct object comes last as seen in (52).
(52) Umugabo y-eerek-e-ye abagóre abáana amashusho. man he-show-ben-asp women children pictures
'The man showed pictures to the children for the women.' Like direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives are connected to the verb without a preposition and they can occur in the sentence without expressing the direct object. This is illustrated below.
(53) a Umuhuûngu y-a-sab-ye amafaraanga.
boy he-pst-ask-asp money
'The boy asked for the money.'
b Umuhuûngu y-a-sab-ye umukoôbwa.
boy he-pst-ask-asp girl
'The boy asked the girl.'
c Umuhuûngu y-a-sab-i-yc abáana.
boy he-pst-ask-ben-asp children
'The boy asked for the children.'
d U'muhuûngu y-a-sab-i-ye abáana umukoôbwa amafaraanga. boy he-pst-ask-ben-asp children girl money
'The boy asked the girl for the money for the children.' Besides semantic factors indirect objects and direct objects are the same wịth respect to the position they occupy in the sentence and with respect to the case marking which is signalled by a zero morpheme in both cases. Benefactives are the only ones that are case marked by the suffix -ir-, but they behave like direct objects and indirect objects in other respects.

In the next examples, it is shown that indirect objects and benefactives are passivized, incorporated and reflexivized the same way as DOs. Passivization:
(54) a Umugóre a-ra-hé-er-a umugabo ímbva ioíryo.
woman she-pres-give-ben-asp man dog food
'The woman is giving food to the ciog for the man'.
b Ibíryo bi-ra-hé-er-ew-a umugabo ímbwa n'úmugóre.
food it-pres-give-ben-pass-asp man dog by woman
'The food is given to the dog for the man by the woman.'
c Ínbwa i-ra-hé-er-w-a umugabo ibíryo n'úmugóre.
dog it-pres-give-ben-pass-asp man food by woman
'The dog is given food for the man by the woman.'
d Umugabo a-ra-hé-er-w-a ímbwa ibíryo n'úmugóre. man he-pres-give-ben-pass-asp dog food by woman
'The man is given food for to the dog by the woman.'
(55) a Umugóre a-rá-bi-he-er-a unugabo ímbwa. woman she-pres-it-give-ben-asp man dog
'The woman is giv: ing it to the dog for the man.'
b. Umugóre a-rá-yí-he-er-a umugabo ibíryo woman she-pres-it-give-ben-asp man food 'The woman is giving food to it for the man.'
c Umugóre a-rá-mu-he-er-a ímbwa ibíryo. woman she-pres-him-give-ben-asp dog food 'The woman is giving food to the dog for him.'
d Umugóre a-ra-bi-yí-mu-he-er-a woman she-pres-it-it-him-give-ben-asp 'The woman is giving it to it for him.'

## Reflexivization

(56) a *Umugóre $x^{\text {a-ra-reeb-a unugóre }}{ }_{x}$ muu ndorerwámo. woman she-pres-watch-asp woman in glass 'The woman is watching the woraan in the glass.' b Umugóre a-r-íi-reeb-a muu ndorervámo. woman she-pres-refl-watch-asp in glass 'The woman is watching herself in the glass.'
(57) a Umuhuûnguxa-r-eerek-a umuhuûngu $x^{\text {amashusho. }}$
boy he-pres-show-asp boy pictures
'The boy is showing pictures to the boy.'
b Umuhuûngu a-r-íy-eerek-a amashusho.
boy he-pres-refl-show-asp pictures
'The boy is showing pictures to himself.'
(58) a. *Umugabo $x^{\text {a-ra-hé-er-a umugabo }} \mathrm{x}$ ímbwa ibíryo. man he-pres-give-ben-asp man dog food
'The man is glving food to the dog for the man.'
b Umugabo a-r-íi-he-er-a ímbwa ibíryo. man he-pres-refl-give-ben-asp dog food 'The man is giving food to the dog for himself.' Gary \& Keenan (1975) have proposed that indirect objects and benefactives be treated formally as direct objects since syntactically they behave the same way. It is the same position that is taken in this thesis because it cannot be proposed that indirect objects and benefactives have been advanced to DO since the Relational Annihilation Law doesn't apply and secondly because benefactive NPs and indirect object NPs with prepositions are not attested in the language.

In the next section, properties that all terms, subjects and direct objects (direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives) share are given. These are relativization, clefting, pseudo-clefting, wh-questionIng, existential insertion and exclusive insertion.
3.4. Properties of terms
3.4.1 Felativization

Relativization as mentioned above is a property of subjects, direct objects, indirect objects and benefactives only. Other oblique NPs are not directly relativizable. Relativization is marked by a high tone which undergoes tone shift rules (Kimenyi, in preparation). The relative clause that has an antecedent subject of class 1 has always the prefix $\underline{\text { u }}$ instead of a-.
(59) a Umugabo a-gii-ye ku kazi. man he-go-asp to work
'The man is going to work.'
b N-dá-bon-a unugabo u-gíi-ye ku kazi.
I-pres-see-asp man he-rel-go-asp to work
'I see the man who is going to work.'
(60)a Abagabo b-a-garuts-e.
men they-pst-come back-asp
'The men came back.'
b N-dá-bon-a abagabo b-a-gáruts-e.
I-pres-sce-asp men they-pst-rel-come back-asp
'I see the men who came back.'
Antecedent objects precede the subject.
(61) a Umuhû̂ngu y-a-haa-ye umukoôbwa igitabo.
boy he-pst-give-asp girl book
'The boy gave the book to the girl.'
b N-a-boon-ye igitabo umuhuûngu y-a-haá-ye umukoôbwa.
I-pst-see-asp book boy he-pst-rel-give-asp girl
'I saw the book that the boy gave to the girl.'
c N-a-boon-ye umukoôbwa umuhuûngu y-a-haá-ye igitabo.
I-pst-see-asp girl boy he-pst-rel-give-asp book
'I saw the girl to whom the boy gave the book.'
Locatives can be relativized without promotion to object status. But in this instance, it is the whole locative phrase that is being relativized not its head. There are two alternatives to accomplish this: (i) first, just moving the prepositional phrase at the left of the clause and putting the relative high tone on the verb or (ii) doing the same thing as in (i) but adding the suffix, efther -mo or tho to the verb.
(62)a Umváana a-ryaam-ye mu_fitaanda. child he-sleep-asp in bed
'The child is sleeping in the bed.'
b Mu gitaânda umwáana a-ryaám-yé-(mo) ha-ra-shyúush-ye. in bed child he-sleep-asp-in it-pres-be warm-asp 'The bed in which the child is sleeping is warn.'

Note that this kind of relativ ization of locatives cannot leave the locative preposition behind by its own.
(63) *İGitaânda umugóre a-ryaám-ye múri (cyo)...
bed woman she-sleep-asp in it
Other prepositional phrases such as instrumentals, associatives, manners,... cannot be relativized even by moving the whole prepositional phrase as in the case of locatives or by leaving a resumptive pronoun behind. Objectivization rules have to apply first in order for this transformation to take place. (see Chapter 4 for this.).
(64) a Ưmáana a-rá-ry-a inyama n'íkánya.
child he-pres-eat-asp meat with fork
'The child is eating meat with the fork.'
b *iN-a-guz-e ikánya umwáana á-ry-a inyama ná (yo)
I*pst-buy-asp fo $k$ child he-rel-eat-asp meat with it
c $\times$ N-a-guz-e n'íkánya umwáana á-ry-a inyama.
I-pst-buy-asp with fork child he-rel-eat-asp meat
d N-a-guz-e ikánya umbáana a-ri-iísh-a inyama.
I-pst-buy-asp fork child he-eat-instr-asp meat
'I bought the fork with which the child is eating meat.'
The 'action focus' marker -ra- or -a- never appears in relative clauses and other construcrions that take the relative tone marker.
(65) a Umwáana a-ra-som-a igitabo.
child he-pres-read-asp book
'The child is reading the book.'
b ※̇N-kuund-a umwáana úra-som-a igitabo.
I-like-asp child he-pres-rel-read-asp book
c N-kuund-a umwaana ú-som-a igitabo.
I-like-asp child he-rel-read-asp book
'I like the child who is reading the book.'
Temporal clauses are realized as relative clauses also and the verb of the te mporal clauses takes an optional benefactive narker -ir-.
(66) Sií-n-zi igihe a-záa-garuk-(ir)-a.
neg-I-know time he-fut-rel-come back-ben-asp
'I don't know when he will cone back.'
Another point worth mentioning is that non-restrictive relative clauses occur with antecedents which are unique referents only such as proper names. First, these types of relatives are found after perception verbs only such as see, understand, hear, feel.....
(67) U-rá-bon-a iri zúuba ri-tá-va: you-prs-see-asp this sun it-neg-shine you see this sun that is not shining 'Oh no, the sun is not shining:'
(68) U-r-íy-uumv-iish-a Karoôli w-iíg-a mur'aya masâha! you-pres-refl-understand-cau-asp Charles he-rel-study-asp

In these hours
'Can you imagine Charles studying at this moment:'
These kinds of non-restrictive relative clauses are used to express a
surprise. Non-restrictive relative clauses are also used to show a cause and effect relationship.
(69) Yohâ̂ni, ú-ǐor-a cyaane, a-ra-nanir-w-a vuba. John he-rel-work-asp much he-pres-tire-pass-asp quickly
'John is going to get tired quickly since his is working hard.'
(70) Iri shuûri ri-t-eémer-er-a abanyéeshuûri beênshi, ri-zaa-fuung-w-a.
this school it-neg-rel-accept-ben-asp students many it-fut-close-pass-asp
'This school will be closed since it doesn't accept many students.' ${ }^{\prime}$

Non-restrictive relative clauses always appear after subject head nours only. Object antecedents are never found.

The transformations that are presented next follow the same pattern as the relative clause formation: the head noun precedes the subject, the 'action-focus' marker is deleted and the verb carries the relative high tone.

These processes are clefting, pseudo-clefting, wh-questioning, existential insertion and the exclusive insertion.

### 3.4.2. Clefting

Cleft sentences resemble relative clauses very much except that the former are always introduced by the copular ni 'be' or its negative counterpact si 'not to be'. There are two types of cleft constructions henceiorth referred to as 'cleft 1 ' and 'cleft 2'. The firsc type is just the copular plus a relative clause. The second construction puts the copular after the ante-cedent head noun with a pronoun referring to it. Athourh
both constructions are only used as answers to wh-questions in both cases the predicate being old information nad the antecendent being the new information, they bear different functions: contrast and new information.

## a. Cleft 1

Cleft type 1 which is used to answer 'who' and 'what' questions seems to have less constraints than cleft type 2 . Not only does this transformation apply to terms (71\&72) but to oblique objects also (73 \& 74) except possessives (75).
(71) a Abáana b-a-gii-ye kw'iishuûri.
children they-pst-go-asp to school
'The children went to school.'
b N'abáana b-a-gif́-ye kw'iishuûri.
be children they-pst-rel-go-asp to school
'It's the children who went to school.'
(72) a Unukoôbwa y-a-haa-ye umwáana fkarámu.
girl she-pst-give-asp child pen
'The girl gave the pen to the child.'
b N'umwáana umukoôbwa y-a-háá-ye Ikarámu.
be child girl she-pst-rel-give-asp pen
'It's the child that the girl gave the pen to.'
c N'ííkarámu umukoôbwa y-a-haá-ye umwáana.
be pen girl she-pst-rel-give-asp child.
'It's the pen that the girl gave to the child.'
Oblique cases don't have to be advanced to direct object status in order to undergo this transformation but as in the case of locatives when they are relativized or passivized, the whole prepositional phrase has to
move without leaving the preposition behind. The examples given below are instrumentals and manners, respectively.
(73) a Umubooyi a-ra-kat-a inyama n'fcyúuma.
cook he-pres-cut-asp meat with knife
'The cook is cutting meat with the knife.'
b Ni n'ícyúuma unubooyi a-kat-a inyama.
be with knife cook he-rel-cut-asp meat
'It's the knife that the cook.is cutting the meat with.'
c *N'ícyúuma umubooyi a-kat-a inyana na (cyo)
be knife cook he-rel-cut-asp meat with (it)
(74)a Umugabo y-a-gii-ye ku maguru.
man he-pst-go-asp on feet
'The man went on foot.'
b Ni ku maguru umugabo y-a-gif́-ye. be on fact man he-pst-rel-go-asp
'It's on foot that the man left.'
c *N'amaguru umugabo y-a-gií-ye kúrí (yo) be feet man he-pst-rel-go-asp on then

Possessives are the only ones in the category of onlique cases that cannot be clefted by moving with their prepositions. They are never clefted directly.
(75) a Unuhuûngu y-a-som-ye igitabo cy 'úmukoôbwa.
boy she-pst-read-asp book of girl
'The boy read the book of the girl.'
b ※ivi cy'úmukoôbwa umuhuûngu y-a-sóm-ye igitabo. be of girl boy he-pst-rel-read-asp book
c *${ }_{n}$ 'umukô̂bwa umuhuûngu y-a-sóm-ye igitabo cyâ (e) =cye be girl boy he-pst-rel-read-asp book of (her)

Possessors have to be objectivized to be able to cleft. 'The girl's' clefted would be realized as:
(76) Ni'umukoôbwa unuhuûngu y-a-sóm-e-ye igitabo. be girl boy he-pst-read-asp book 'It's the girl's book that the boy read.'

## b Cleft 2

Cleft 2 is used to mark a contrast after a wh-question. It answers 'which' questions. This construction is inapplicable with oblique cases, locatives excepted. As it was pointed out above, cleft 2 differs formally from type 1 in that it is effected by putting the copula and a pro form arter the head noun, as shown in (77) and (78) where the subject and the object are clefted.
(77)a Abáana b-a-cii-yc igitabo. children they-pst-tear-asp book 'The children tore up the book.'
b Abáana ní bo b-a-cif-ye igitabo. children be them they-pst-rel-tear-asp book 'It's the children who tore up the book.'
(78) Igitabo ní cyo abáana b-a-cií-ye. book be it children they-pst-rel-tear-asp 'It's the book that the children tore up.'

In (79) an instrumental $N P$ is clefted to 11lustrate that oblique NPs cannot undergo this type of transformation directly.
(79) a Umugabo a-ra-andik-a íbarúva n ${ }^{\text {fííkarámu. }}$ man he-pres-write-asp letter with pen
'The man is writing the letter with a pen.'
b $\%$ N'if $k a r a ́ m u ~ n i ́ ~ y o ~ u m u g a b o ~ y-a a ́ n d i k-a ~ i b a r u ́ w a . ~$ with pen be it man he-rel-write-asp pen
c *Íkarámu ní yo umugabo y-aándik-iish-a íbarúwa. pen be it man he-rel-write-asp with (it)
d Íkaránu ní yo umugabo y-aándik-iish-a íbarúwa. pen be it man he-rel-write-instr-asp letter 'The pen is the one the man is writing the letter with.' In (79)b the whole prepositional phrase is moved, in (79)c the preposition is left behind with or without a resumptive pronoun; but all of them are wrong because this kind of transformation belongs to terms only. This is confirmed by the fact that (79)d is okay since the instrumental $\mathbb{N P}$ has been advanced to DO before clefting.

### 3.4.3 Pseudo-clefting

While cleft sentences are used as answers to wh-questions, pseudo-clefted sentences are used as answers to pseudo-cleft questions such as 'tho is the one who?' In this respect, pseudo-cleft sentences are more presuppositional than cleft sentences because a pseudo-cleft question cannot have a negative answer as in the case of wh-questions. Syntactically the pseudo-cleft sentences are obtained by
(i) shifting the head noun at the end of the sentence.
(ii) putting the copula ni 'be' or si 'not be' before the head noun.
(iii) having a proform referring to the cleft noun at the beginning of the sentence in a relative clause form.
$X \quad$ (V) $\quad N P \quad$ (V) $Y \longrightarrow P$ Pro $X \quad V \quad R e l \quad Y$ ive $N$

The parentheses in the formula mean that there is one verb which will occur either before the noun phrase or after it deperding of course on Whether the NP is subject or object.

If the pseudo-clefted $N D$ is subject, the (verbal) subject prefix is preceded by the preprefix (the vowel that precededs the class marker) of that noun.

The proform of object NPs is -o preceded by the 'second' agrement of the head roun.
(80)t shows a pseudo-clefted subject and (80)b gives the case of a pseudo-clefted object.
(80) a Unugóre y-a-boon-ye umugabo.
woman she-pst-see-asp man
'The woman saw the man.'
b U-w-a-bóon-ye umugabo $n$ ' Gnugóre.
pro-he-pst-rel-see-asp man be woman
'The one who saw the man is the woman.'
c Úwo umuicóre y-a-boon-ye n' úmugabo pro woman she-pst-rel-see-asp be man 'The one that the woman saw is the man.'

Locatives and temporals can be pseudo-clefted but for the latter the word igihe 'tine' is used instead of having a proform.
(81) a Umugabo y-a-shyiz-e igitabo ku mééza. man ne-pst-put-asp book on table
'The man put the book on the table.'
b Áho umugabo y-a-shyíz-e iģitavo ni ku mééza. pro man he-pst-pur-asp book be on table
'The place where the man put the book is the table.'
(82)a Maríya y-a-gii-ye ku mánywa. Mary she-pst-go-asp on daytime
'Mary left during the day.'
b Igihe Maríya y-a-gií-ye ni ku mány̧va. time Mary she-pst-go-asp be on daytime 'The time when Mary left was the daytine.' Kemark that the so-called 'proinorm' of locatives is a dummy since it doesn't agree with the head noun of the moved prepositioned phrase.

### 3.4.4 Th-questioning

Wh-questions occur in either one of the following 3 constructions:
(i) yes-no question construction
(ii) cleft construction type 1 (3.4.2.)
(iii) pseudo-cleft construction (3.4.3)

Wh-question words are
(83) íki? 'what -nde? 'who'
-te? 'how' -he (ehe)?' 'where'
xyáári? 'when' 'he? 'which one'
-ki? 'what'
-nde is used for humans only. -he, $-\underline{k i}$ and te have to agree with the head noun.

In (34) and (85) below, (a) sentences illustrate yes-no question constructions with wh-question words, whereas (b) sentences show the cleft construction type 1 and (c) pseudo-cleft constructions.
(84) a Umugabo y-a-shaak-aga ndê?
man he-pst-want-asp who
'Who was the man looking for?'
b Niínde umugabo y-a-shaák-aga? be who man he-pst-rel-want-asp
'Who the man was looking for?'
c Ĺwo urnugabo y-a-shaák-aga nií nde? pro man he-pst-rel-want-asp be who 'The one the man was looking for is who?'
(85)a Abáana b-a-boon-ye íki? children they-pst-see-asp what
'What did the children see?'
b K'ifki abdana b-a-boón-ye?
be what children they-pst-rel-see-asp
'What did the children see?'
c Ícyo abáana b-a-boón-ye n'ilki? pro children they-pst-rel-see-asp be what
'What the children saw is what?'
Notely the yes-no question construction is only marked by the intonation (consisting of rising pitch at the sentence final position) which distinguishes it from simple declarative sertences.
If the wh-question word occurs as the subject of a yes-no question sentence, the main verb has to take the dummy agrement (ha-).
(86) a E-a-gii-ye abaâhe?
it-pst-go-asp which ones
'Which ones left?'
b N'abaâhe b-a-gií-ye?
be which ones they-pst-rel-go-asp
c A-b-á-gil-ye n'aabaâhe?
pro-they-pst-rel-go-asp be which ones
(87) a Ha-rá-kora baánde?
it-pres-work-asp who
b Ni baánde ba-kór-a?
be who they-rel-work-asp
c Á-bá-kor-a ni baánde?.
pro-they-rel-work-asp be who
'Who is working?'
Oblique wh-question words can occur in yes-no question constructions but cannot be used in the other wh-question constructions (clefting and pseudo-clefting) but as it was observed in cleft constructions (3.4.2), they can be moved with their prepositions.
(88) a U'mukoôbwa y-a-andits-e íbarúva n'ílki?
girl she-pst-write-asp letter with what
'What did the girl write the letter with?'
b Ni n'ííki umugóre y-a-ándits-e íbarúva? be with what woman she-pst-rel-write-asp letter
'What did the woman write the letter with?'
c *N'iáki umugóre y-a-ándits-e íbarúwa ná (cyo)?
be what woman she-pst-rel-wrice-asp letter with (what)
d "Ícyo unugóre y-a-ándits-e f́barúwa ná (cyo) n'ifiki?
pro woman she-pst-rel-write-asp letter with it be what If the oblique wh-question word were objectivized, it would then be able to undergo both cleft and pseudo-cleft constructions.

### 3.4.5 Existential and Exclusive Constructions

The existential morpheme $1 s$ hari 'there is' and the exclusive one is nta ${ }^{2}$ 'there is no'. The sentence that appears in both existential and
exclusive constructions is•relativized (3.4.1) as seen in (89)b and $c$.
(89) a Abáana ba-ra-rir-a.
children they-pres-cry-asp
'The children are crying.'
b Hari abfana ba-rír-a.
there is children they-rel-cry-asp
'Children are crying.'
'There are children who are crying.'
c Nta báana ba-rír-a.
no children they-rel-cry-asp
'There are no children who are crying.'
The existential hari is always used to introduce indefinite NPs (subjects, indirect objects and benefactives). It cannot be used with definite NPs or unique referents such as proper names. Tine exclusive nta can be used, however, in such environments.
(90) a Umuhuûnģu y-a-boon-ye Maríya. ${ }^{3}$
boy he-pst-see-asp Mary
'The boy saw Mary.'
b *Hari Maríya umuhuûngu y-a-boón-ye.
there is Mary boy he-pst-rel-see-asp
c Nta Marfya umuhuûngu y-a-boón-ye.
No Nary boy he-pst-rel-see-asp
'is for Mary, i'e boy didn't see her.'
The exclusive construction is used to put emphasis on the absence of the argument NP. Only terms (subjects and objects) can undergo both the exclusive and the existential constructions. Oblique N's cannot. If they have to, they first undergo object-creating rules, introduced in Chapter 4.

To sum up, it has been shown all along this chapter that indeed a hierarchy exists among NPs as suggested by Keenan and Comrie (1972) as what they termed the Accessability Hicrarchy (AC) and by Perlmutter and Postal (1974) as what is called the Relational Hierarchy (RIi). Subjects have more syntactic and semantic properties than benefactives, indirect objects and direct objects. In turn, benefactives and indirect.objects have nore semantic properties than direct objects. This seems to contradict both AC and Rll since both approaches put the direct object higher in the hierarchy. Since benefactives and indirect objects are distinguished from direct objects by semantic factors only but share all the syntactic properties with them, all three are given the same syntactic label: direct objects. Relational Grammar in dividing NPs in two different categories: terms and non-terms, is independently justified because indeed terms are the only ones that have gramatical relations to the verb and urdergo major transformations than non-terms. Non-terms are always introduced to the verb by a preposition and the language, as shown in Chapter 4 and 5, has strategies that promote them to term status where they have to bear some transformations.

## Footnotes

1. If they don't have a dative argument, the verbs 'give' and 'show' are realized as -táang- and -éerekan-, respectively.
a. Umugabo y-a-taanz-e amafaraanga.
man he-pst-give-asp money
'The man gave the money.'
b. Umugabo a-r-éerek-an-a amashusho.
man he-pres-show-assoc-asp pictures
'The man is showing the pictures.'
The verb to 'tell' is realized as vug- when it doesn't have an indirect object.
c. Umugabo y-a-vuz-e ibinyóma.
man he-pst-say-asp lies
'The man told lies.'
2. The exclusive marker nta comes probably, histurically from the contraction of the copula $\underline{n i}$ and the negative morpheme ta.
? nitta $\rightarrow$ nta.
3. There are three ways to negate this sentence:
a. Umuhuûnge nti-y-a-boon-yc Maríya
boy neg-he-pst-see-asp liary
'The boy didn't see Mary.'
b. Niaríya, umuhuûngu nti-y-a-mu-boon-ye.

Mary boy neg-she-pst-her-see-asp
'Mary, the boy didn't see her.'
c. Nta Maríya umuhuûngu y-a-boón-ye. no Mary boy he-pst-rel-see-asp
'As for Mary, the boy didn't see her.'
d. Maríya ntâ we umuhuûngu y-a-boón-ye.

Mary no her boy he-pst-rel-see-asp
'As for Mary the boy didn't see her.'
The first construction is the most common one. The second is like the first except that it refers to the topic. The third and the last are the same except that in the latter the exclusive morpheme follows the head noun and it is itself obligatorily followed by the proform of the head noun. We have not been able to establish their respective functional role.

In this chapter we present five rules which advance non-terms to object. In each case, (i) we show that the derived object does, in fact, possess the properties generally characteristic of DUs in Kinyarwanda, (ii) we present syntactic and semartic constraints on the advancement of the non-term to DO, (iii) we demonstrate that in only some cases does the initial DO fully lose its complement of DOs properties, and thus motivate * a revision in the Relational Annihilation Law. In the next section of this chapter, we consider the interaction of the advancement rules both with each other and with other rules. The functional role of advancenient rules to $D 0$ is discussed in this respect. The last section examines the zeneral semantic and pragmatic properties of advancement processes.

### 4.1. Objectivization of Instrumentals

The existence of pairs of sentences like (la,b) as well as (2a,b) below constitute a prime facie case for the existence of a transformation of the form $00--->2$.

Instr $\rightarrow$ DO
(1) a Umwáalímu a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n'ííkaramu. teacher he-pres-write-asp letter with pen 'The teacher is writing a letter with the pen.'
b Umwáallmu a-ra-andik-iish-a, Ibarúwa íkard́mu. teacher he-pres-write-Instr-asp letter pen 'The teacher is writing a letter with the pen.'
(2) a Umwáana y-a-nyoo-ye anata n'úmuhéha. child he-pst-drink-asp milk with straw
'The child drank milk with the straw.'
b Umwáana y-à-nyw-eesh-eje amáta umuhéha. child he-pst-drink-instr-asp milk straw
'The child drank milk with the straw.'
As we see, the side effect rules of promotion of instrumentals to DO consist of deleting the preposition na and adding the suffix -iish- to the verb sten, a suffix which will be seen later to be used also for causativization. ${ }^{1}$
..
Usually there are no semantic or syntactic constraints on the advancement of instrunentals to DO. For instance, an Instrumental $\mathbb{N P}$ can be advanced to DO even if there is no DO in the sentence as (3) illustrates.
(3) a Un:ᄁnáana a-ráary-a n'íkánya.
child he-pres-eat-asp with fork
'The child is cating with the fork.'
b Utmáana a-rá-rí-iish-a ikánya. child he-pres-eat-instr-asp folk 'The child is eating with the folk.'

Instrumentais can be advanced to DO even if the verb has two Objects with the verbs such as give, show... as shown in (4) and (5).
(4) a Umugbre y-a-ha-ayc umwáana amáta n'ínkoongooro. woman she-pst-give-asp child milk with wooden cup
$\because$ 'The woman gave the child milk in the wooden cup.'
b Unugbre y-a-he-eesh-eje unvdana andata inkoongooro. woman she-pst-give-instr-asp child milk vooden cup 'The woman gave the child milk in the wooden cup.'
(5) a Unugabo y-eerets-e abর́ana amashusho n'ímashin̂ni. man he-show-asp cinildren pictures with machine
'The n:an showed pictures to the children with the machine.' b Umugabo y-eerek-eesh-eje abáana amashusho imashî̂ni. man. he-show-instr-asp children pictures machine
'The man showed pictures to the children with the machine.' Instrumentals advanced to DO by the Instr. rule acquire all the properties of initial DOs: Passivization, Reflexivization, Pronoun Incorporation. They get all the properties of terms: Relativization, Clefting, Pseudonclefting, Exclusive Insertion, Existential Insertion. As we recall Instrumentals marked by the preposition na don't have the properties just mentioned.

Passive (6)a Umugabo a-ra-ankik-a íbarúwa n'íikarámu. ${ }^{2}$ man he-pres-write-asp letter with pen 'The man is writing a letter with the pen.'

- Umugabo a-ra-ancik-iish-a f́barúva íkarámu. man he-pres-write-instr-asp letter pen
c *fkarâmu i-ra-andik-w-a íbarúva n'úmugabo. pen it-pres-write-pass-asp letter by man 'The pen is used to write by the man.
d fkarámu i-ra-añlik-iish-w-a íbarúva n'úmugabo. pen it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp letter by man.

Pronoun incorporation
(7) a Unwáalímu a-ra-y-aandik-iish-a lbarúwa. teacher he-pres-it-write-instr-asp letter 'The teacher is writing a letter with it.' b *Umwáalímu a-ra-y-aandiik-a íbarúva na. teacher he-pres-it-write-asp letter with
(8) a Íkarámu $x^{i-r a-a n d i k-a ~ I ́ b a r u ́ w a ~ n ' i ́ i k a r a ́ m u ~}{ }_{x}$ pen it-pres-write-asp letter with pen
b *fkarámu i-r-íy-andik-a íbarúwa ná.
pen it-pres-refl-write-asp letter with
'The pen is writing a letter by itself.'
c Íkarámu i-r-íy-andik-iish-a íbarúwa. pen it-pres-refl-write-instr-asp letter 'The pen is writing a letter by itself.'

## Relativization

(9) a Dore íkaránu unugabo y-áandik-iish-a íbarúwa. this pen man he-rel-write-inscr-asp letter 'This is the pen that the man uses to write the letter.' b riDore íkarámu umugabo y-aándik-a íbarúva ná (yo). this pen man ne-rel-write-asp letter with (it)

## Clefring

(10) a i'ííkarámu umugabo y-aándik-iish-a íbarúva. be pen man he-rel-write-instr-asp letter 'It's the pen that the man uses to write the letter.'
b 刘'ííkarámu umugabo y-aándik-a íbarúwa ná (yo). be pen man he-rel-write-asp letter with (it) Pseudo-clefting
(11)a Ícyo umuğabo y-aándik-iish-a íbarúva n'ílkarámu. What man he-rel-write-instr-asp letter be pen 'What the teacher is writing a letter with is the pen.' b *Ícyo umugabo y-aándik-a íbarụ́wa ná (cyo) n'ííkarámu. what man he-rel-write-asp letter vith (it) is pen

## Existential insertion

(12) a Hia-ri íkarámu unugabo y-aándik--iish-a íbarúva.
it be pen man he-rel-write-instr-asp letter
'There is a pen that the man is using. to write the lecter.'
b *Ha-ri íkarámu umugabo y-aándik-a íbarúwa ná (yo). it be pen man he-rel-write-asp letter with (it)

Exclusive insertion
(13) a Ntá karámu uniuģabo y-aándik-iish-a íbarúwo.
there is no pen man he-rel-write-instr-asp letter
'There isn't any pen that the man is using to write the letter.'
b ANitá karánu umugabo y-áándik-a íbarúwa ná (yo).
there is no pen man he-rel-write-asp letter with (it).
When Instrumentals are advanced to DO, the initial DO keeps its DO's properties contrary to what is claimed by the Relational Annihilation Law which contends that NPs whose gramatical relation has been taken over by another NP by a promotion rule is put "en chomage". In fact the initial DO can still undergo Passive, Pronoun Incorporation, Reflexive, Relativization, Clefting... For convenience we repeat the example in (14) to show that 'letter' which is supposed to have lost its grammatical relation to the verb can still undergo the processes we mentioned above.
(14) Umugabo a-ra-andik-iish-a íbarúwa íkarámu. man he-pres-write-instr-asp letter pen 'The man is writing a letter with a pen.'
(15) Íbarúwa i-ra-andik-iislı-w-a fkarámu n'úmugabo. letter it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp pen by man 'The letter is written with a pen by the man.'
(16) Íbazúwa i-x-íy-andik-iish-a íkarámu. letter it-pres-refl-write-instr-asp pen
'The lotter is writing itself with a pen.'
(17) Umugabo a-ra-y-aandik-iish-a íkarámu. man he-pres-it-write-instr-asp pen
'The ran is writing it with a pen.'
(18) N̄g'ríyi íbarúwa umugabo y-aándik-iish-a ikarámu.
that this letter man he-rel-write-instr-asp pen
'Here is the letter that the man is writing with a pen.'
(19) N'ííbarúwa umugabo y-aándik-iish-a fkarámu.
be letter man he-rel-write-instr-asp pen
'It's the letter that the man is writing with a pen.'
The reason why the RAL doesn't apply here is because Zinyarwanda, as Keenan \& Gary have argued, has two DOs and therefore the advancement of an oblique $I T$ to DO doesn't take on the granatical relation of the former DO but rather 'doubles' the DO relation to the verb.

### 4.2. Objectivization of Manners

As in the previous case two kinds of rules appiy to objectivize manner NiPs:
core rules: manner $\rightarrow$ no
Side effect rules, which delete the preposition na and add the suffix -an- to the verb stem.
(1)a Bâno báana ba-rá-kor-a n' 'íryyambaro i-shda-je. these children they-pres-work-asp with clothes they-be old-asp
'These children are working in old clothes.'
b Bớno báana ba-rá-kor-an-a imyaambaro i-sháa-je.
these children they-pres-work-mann-asp clothes they-beold-asp 'These children are working in old clothes'
(2) a Umugóre a-rát-vug-a n'ágahiinda. woman she-pres-say-as! with sorrow
'The woman is talking with sorrow.'
b Umugóre a-rá-vug-an-a agahiinda. woman she-pres-say-mann-asp sorrow
'The woman is talking with sorrow.'

## Constraints

If the head noun of a manner phrase is a body part (leg, ann, head, back...) the manner NP cannot be advanced to DO.
(3) a Umwáana y-a-gi-iye n'ámaguru.
child he-pst-go-asp with less
'The child went on foot.'
b *Umwana y-a-jy*an-ye amaguru.
child he-pst-go-mann-asp legs
(4) a Umunyéeshuûri y-a-fash-e amasómogn 'jumútwe
student he-pst-hold lessons in head
'The student memorized the lessons.'
b *Ununyécshuûri y-a-fat-an-yc amasómo umútwe student he-pst-hold-mann-asp lessons head

In this particular case manner NPs cannot advance to do because their advancement creates a semantic shift. Thus body parts lose their inalienable possession property if they are advanced to DO. In (3)b and
(4) b 'legs' and 'head' are not inalienable possessions of the subjects anymore, which makes the sentences ill-formed semantically. (3)b means "The child went with the legs" -- "he took the legs with him" and (4)b means "The student held the lessons with a head". DOs derived by the manner rule get almost all the properties of former DOs except Reflexivization, Existential Insertion and Pseuco-clefting. Otherwise they can passivize as shown in (6), incorporate (7), relativize (8), cleft (9).
-
(5) a Umugabo a-ra-som-a lbarúwa n'íbyishiimo. man he-pres-read-asp letter with joy 'The man is reading a letter with joy.' b Umugabo a-ra-som-an-a f́barúwa ibyishiimo. man he-pres-read-mann-asp letter joy
(6) Ibyishiimo bi-ra-som-an-w-a f́barúwa n'úmugab.
joy it-pres-read-mann-pass-asp letter by man
'It's the man who is reading a letter with joy.'
(7) Umugabo a-ra-bi-som-an-a íbarúwa.
man he-pres-it-read-mann-asp letter
'The man is reading a letter with it.'
(8) N-da-tekerez-a ibyishiimo umugabo a-sóm-an-a lbarúwa. I pres-think-asp joy man he-rel-read-mann-asp letter
'I am thinking of the joy with which the man is rading the letter.'
(9) N'ibyishiimo umugabo a-són-an-a Íbarúwa. be joy man he-rel-read-nann-asp letter
'It's with joy that the man is reading the letter.'
But as mentioned above Reflexivization, Existential Insertion and

Pseudo-clefting cannot apply to derived objects as (10), (11) and (12) indicate.
(10) *Ibyishiimo bi-ri-íi-son-an-a f́barúwa. joy it-pres-refl-read-mann-asp letter
'Joy is reading a letter by itself.'
(11) *Ha-ri ibyishiimo a-sóm-an-a íbarúwa. it be joy he-rel-read-mann-asp letter *'There is joy with which he reads the letter.'
(12) *íbyo a-sóm-an-a íbarúva n'ibyishiimo.
what he-rel-read-mann-asp letter be joy
'What he is reading the letter with is joy.'
The constraints on non-reflexivization, non-esistential insertion and non-pseudo-clefting are semantic. These kinds of transformations are properties of animate or 'animated' objects only. In fact we notice that non abstract objectivized manners can undergo the threc types of transformations that abstract cannot undergo.
(13) Umugabo a-rák-kor-an-a akazi inkweeto.
man he-pres-work-mann-asp work shoes
'The man is working in his shoes.'
(14) Inkwecto zi-r-fi-kor-an-a akazi.
shoes they-pres-refl-work-mann-asp work
'The shoes are working by themselves.'
(15) Ha-ri inkwecto umugabo a-i $\delta$ br-an-a akazi.
it be shoes man he-work-mann-asp work
'There are some shoes that the man works with.'
Former DOs keep their initial DO properties; they can still passivize, incorporate, relativize, cleft, pseudo-cleft,......

For illustration let's take 'letter' in (5)b.
(16) Íbarúva i-ra-som-an-w-a ibyishiimo n'únugabo. letter it-pres-read-mann-pass-asp joy by man 'The letter is read with joy by the man.'
(17) Umugabo a-ra-yi-som-an-a ibyishiimo. man he-pres-it-read-mann-asp joy
'The man is reading it with joy.'
(18) xg 'íy 1 ĺ barḱva umugéabo a-sóm-an-a ibyishiimo. that this letter man he-rel-read-mann-asp joy 'Here is the letter that the man is reading with joy.'
(19) $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ ífibarúwa umugabo a-sóm-an-a ibyishiimo. be letter man he-rel-read-mann-asp joy 'It's the letter that the man is reading with joy.'
(20) Ícyo umugabo a-sóm-an-a ibyishiimo n'íbarúva. what man he-rel-read-mann-asp joy be letter 'What the mar is reading with joy is the letter.' These facts again contradict the Relational Annihilation Law.

### 4.3. Objectivization of Goals

As it was indicated earlier in Chapter 2, the preposition that shows the goal case is ku. Objectivization takes place by deleting the preposition ku and by adding the benefactive suffix -iv- to the verb sten.
(1)a Múshiki wá Yohaâni a-ririimb-a ku mafaraanga gusa. sister of John she-sing-asp for moncy only
'John's sister sings for money only.'
b Mưshiki wá Yohâ̂ni a-ririimb-ir-a amafaraanga gusa. sister of John she-sing-ben-asp money. only
'John's sister sings for money only.'
(2) a Karoôli y-a-fash-ije abaantu ku busa.

Charles he-pst-help-asp people for nothing
'Charles helped people for nothing.'
b Karooli y-a-fash-ir-ije abaantu ubusa.
Charles he-pst-help-ben-asp people nothing
'Charles helped people for nothing.'

## Constraints

Advancement of Goals to DO doesn't apply if there is already a benefactive $N P$ in the sentence.
(3)a Úno mukoôbwa a-ra-rírimb-ir-a abahuûngu ku mafaraanga. this girl she-pres-sing-ben-asp boys for money
'This girl is singing for boys for money.'
b *Úno mukoôbwa a-ra-rlrimb-ir-a abahuûngu anafaraanga.' this girl. she-pres-sing-ben-asp boys inoney 'This girl is singing for boys for money.'

There are two plausibie explanations as to why a benefactive case blocks the advancement of a goal NP to DO. (i) case markers are never doubled: remember the benefactive case is marked by the suffix -ir- also, and if -ir- is not doubled, the one already present in the verb would more naturally refer to the benefactive $N P$ which is more basic than the goal case, (ii) when objectivized with the presence of a benefactive NP in the sentence the new object acquires the maning of a basic DO. (3)b thus means "This girl sings money for the boys" which is of course non-sensical and violates the meaning-preserving constraint. Objectivized Goals acquire all the properties of initial DOs: pronoun
incorporation, passivization, relativization, clefting, pscudo-clefting...
Look at the word 'what' of (4), which undergoes the above mentioned transformations.
(4) a Umugóre y-a-kubis-e umwáana kú ki? woman she-pst-beat-asp child for what
'Why did the woman beat the child?'
b Umugóre y-a-kubit-i-ye umwáana íki? woman she-pst-beat-ben-asp child what
'Why did the woman beat the child?'
(5) Siĺ-n-zi ícyo umugóre y-a-kúbit-i-ye umwáana. (Re1) neg I know what woman she-pst-beat-ben-asp child
'I don't know why the woman beat the child.'
(6) Umu̧̧óre y-a-bi-kubit-i-ye umwáana. (l'ron. Incor.)
woman she-pst-it-beat-ben-asp child
'The woman beat the child for that.'
(7) N'iíki umugóre y-a-kúbit-i-ye umwáana? (Cleft) be what woman she-pst-beat-ben-asp child
'It is for what that the woman beat the child?'
(8) Ícyo umugóre y-a-kúbit-i-ye umwáana n'iíki? (Pseudo-cleft) what woman she-pst-beat-ben-asp child be what
'What's the reason why the woman beat the child.'
N. B. Passivization doesn't apply to 'what' because it is a wh'question word. The advancement of Goals to DO doesn't cause the initial DO to becone chomeur since it retains its former DOs properties, as the word 'child' in (4)b illustrates: it still passivizes, incorporates, relativizes, clefts,.....
(9) Umwdana y-a-kubit-i-w-e íki n'ứmugóre? (Pass)
child he-pst-beat-ben-pass-asp what by woman
'Why was the child beaten by the woman?'
(10) Umugóre y-a-mu-kubit-i-ye íki?
(Incorporation)
woman she-pst-him-beat-ben-asp what
'Why did the woman beat him?'
(11) Dore umwáana umugóre y-a-kúbit-i-ye ibyo.
look child woman she-rel-beat-ben-asp that
'This is the child that the woman beat for that.'
(12) N'umiofana umugórc y-a-kúbit-i-ye ibyo.
be child woman she-pst-beat-ben-asp that
'It's the child that the woman beat for that.'
Later on we will explain why advancement rules don't create chomeurs.

### 4.4. Objectivization of Locatives

Core rules of locative objectivization are the same as in other oblique cases that undergo object-creating rules: Loc $\rightarrow$ DO. Side effect rules consist of suffixing the underlying locative preposition to the finite form of the main verb. Underlying $i$ is spelled as -vo, mu as-mo and ku is realized as -ho. In (1)b and (2)b below, the locative NP is objectivized and the underlying prepositions mu and ku are suffixed to the verb, after agreement and tense are marked.
(1) a Umughre y-oohere-je umubooyi kw-iisóko. woman she-send-asp cook to market
'The woman sent the cook to the market.'
b Umugóre y-oohere-jé-ho isóko uniubooyi. woman she-send-asp-to market cook
'The woman sent the cook to the market.'
(2) a Umiváana y-a-taa-ye igitabo mu máazi.
child he-pst-chrow-asp book in water
'The child has thrown the book into the water.'
b Ümwdana y-a-taa-yé-mo amáazi igitabo.
child he-pst-throw-aso-in water book
'The child has thrown the book into the water.'
The locative prepositions instead of being added to the verb, they can appear after the promoted locative, spelled the same way they appear as suffixes to the verb. The other way of expressing (1)b and (2)b would then $\mathrm{te}(3)$ and (4), respectively.
(3) Umugóre y-oohere-je isóko hô umubooyi. woman she-send-asp market to cook
'The woman sent the cook to the market.'
(4) Unuváana y-a-taa-ye amáazi nố iģitabo. child he-pst-throw-asp water in book
'The child has thrown the book into the water.'

## Constraints

Locatives cannot undergo object-creating rules if :
(i) the locative preposition is i or ku (kwa) 'at somebody's'
(ii) the verb is used in the its 'absolute' sense: without a DO.
(iii) the DO is a pronoun.

With the exception of the i preposition, it will be shown that for all the cases, locatives are not objectivized if they violate the 'meaning preserving constraint."

## a. i\& ku (=kwa) Prepositions

(5) $b$ is ungrammatical because, there is a general rule in Kinyarwanda that prevents.locative NPs from advanc ing to DO if the underlying preposition is i.
(5) a Umugabo y-oohere-je ibiintu i muhíra.
man he-send-asp things to home
'The man sent things home.'
b *Umugabo y-oohere-jé-yo muhíra ibiintu.
man he-send-asp-to home things
(6)b and (7)b are bad since the locative prepositions here moan 'at somebody's'. Objectivization in this instance creates a semantic shift of the'preposition which in the new structure means 'on'.
(6) a Yohaấni a-rá-kor-a akazi k'úmutwâ̂re.

John he-pres-work-asp work at cinief
'John is working at the chief's house.'
b *Yohaâni a-rá-kor-a-ho umutwâ̂re akazi.
John he-pres-work-asp-at chief work
c ryohâ̂ni a-rá-kor-a umutwâ̂re ho ab:azi
John he-pres-work-asp chief at work
(7)a Naríya y-a-jy-an-ye ibitabọ kwa Karô̂li.

Mary she-pst-go-with-asp books at Charles
'Mary took books to Charles' house.'
b : Mlarfya y-a-jy-an-yé-ho Karoôli ibitabo.
Mary she-pst-go-with-asp-at Charles books
The derived sentences are non-sensical not being semantically related to the (a) sentences at all. The derived sentences in (6) mean "John works on chief" and (7)b is interpreted as "Mary carries books on Charles."

Locatives are not objectivizable if the main verb doesn't have a DO .
(8) a Umuhuûngu a-r-íig-ir-a kw'ishuûri.
boy he-pres-study-ben-asp at school
'The boy is studying at school.'
b *Unuhuûngu a-r-iig-ir-á-ho ishuûri.
boy he-pres-study-asp-at school
(9) a Abáana ba-ra-rí-ir-a ku mééza. children they-pres-eat-ben-asp on table
'The children are eating on the table.'
b *Abáana ba-ra-rí-ir-ir-á-ho anééza.
children they-pres-eat-ben-asp-on table
'The children are eating on the table.'
There are two reasons for the oddity of (3)b and (9)b: (i) the ambivalent function of the locative suffix which either stands for the pronoun (as indicated in the footnote) or marks objectivization of a locative IVP; (ii) the derived DO assumes the semantic properties of the basic DO. When there is no DO, after promotion the locative suffix is interpreted as a pronoun. (8)b and (9)b read as "?The student is studying school on it." and "The children are eating table on it."

If (8) a and (9) a had DOs, the derived structures would be okay, which we observe in (10)b and (11)b.
(10) a Umuhuûngu a-r-fig-ir-a imibáre $\mathrm{kw}^{\prime}$ ishuûri.
student he-pres-study-ben-asp mathematics at school
'The student is studying mathematics at school.'
b Umuhuûngu a-r-íig-ir-á-ho ishuûri imibáre. boy he-pres-study-ben-asp-at school mathematics
'The student is studying mathematics at school.'
(11) a Abd́ana ba-ra-rí-ir-a ibíryo ku mééza.
children they-pres-eat-ben-asp food on table
'The children are eating food on the table.'
b Abáana ba-ra-rí-ir-á-ho amééza ibíryo
children they-pres-eat-ben-asp-on table food
Promotion rules are sensitive to the semantic features of the locative head -iicar- 'sit down', -egain' 'lean on'.... for which objectivization of the locative NP is inapplicable if the head noun is bigger than the expected size.
(12) a


The children are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sitting } \\ \text { lying } \\ \text { leaning }\end{array}\right\}$ on the $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { table. } \\ \text { bed } \\ \text { chair }\end{array}\right\}$
b Abánna
children


If the head noun of the locative phrase is larger in size like 'playground', 'mountain', 'beach'.....advancements to DO don't apply.
(13)a Abáana b-iica-ye ku músozi.
children they-sit-asp on mountain
'The children are sitting on the top of the mountain.'
b *Abáana b-iica-yé-ho umúsozi.
children they-sit-asp-on mountain
What is going on here is the distinction between the locative phrase as a tool and the locative phrase as a place. Locative NPs can be objectivized after stative verbs only if they are accepted in the real world as things that can be used for some specific purpose arter these kinds of verbs.

## c. The Underlying 10 is a Pronoun

If the underlying $D O$ is a pronoun, the locative $\mathbb{A P}$ is not objectivized.
(14) a Umunyéeshuûri y-a-cy-oohere-je kw'ishuûri. student he-pst-it-send-asp to school
'The student sent it to school.'
b :Umunyćeshuûri y-a-cy-ooherc-jé-ho ishuûri. studen. he-pst-it-send-asp-to school
(15)a Abáana ba-rá-bi-ri-ir-a ku mééza. children they-pres-it-eat-ben-asp on table
'The children are eating it on the table.'
b *Abáana bu-rá-bi-ri-ir-á-ho amééza. children they-pres-it-eat-ben-asp-on table

This type of constraint on objectivization of locatives when the underlying DO is a pronoun is a semantic preserving one. It just happens
that after the derivation, the deep DO and the derived one interchange semantic roles. The semantic shift is due to the ambivalent function of the losative suffix, which as it was pointed out earlier either marks objectivization of locatives or stands for a pronoun.

The infix pronoun and the locative suffix are neutralized to one, semantically. Given the fact that the locative suffix and the infix pronoun constitute one semantic unit, the semantic interpretation gives the locative meaning to the deep $D O$ and an accusative reading to the derived one. The derived sentences are of course anomolous: thus (14) reads as "?The student sent the school on $1 t$ " and (15) is interpreted as "?The children are eating the table on it."

DOs derived by the Loc rule acquire all the properties of basic DOs: passivization, pronoun incorporation, reflexivization, relativization, clefting, pscudo-clefting.....

Passivization:
(16) a Umwáalímu y-oohere-je igitabo $\mathrm{kw}^{\prime} 1$ shuûri. teacher he-send-asp book to school 'The teacher sent the book to school.'
b Umwáalímu y-oohere-jé-ho ishuûri isitabo. teacher he-send-asp-to school book
c Ishû̂ri ry-oohere-j-w-é-ho igitato n'úmváalínu. school it-send-asp-pass-asp-to book by teacher 'The school was sent the book by the teacher.'

## Incorporation

(17) Umwáalímu y-a-ry-oohere-jé-ho igitabo. teacher he-pst-it-send-asp-to book
'The teacher sent the book to it.'
(18) a Abáana $x^{\text {ba-rá-shyir-a ibitabo kuri bo }}{ }_{x}$. children they-pres-put-asp books on them
'The children are putting books on themselves.'
b Abáana ba-r-íi-shyir-áho ibitabo. children they-pres-refl-put-asp-on books
'The children are putting books on themselves.'

## Relativization

(19) Umugabo y-a-tw-eerets-e ishuûri unwáallmu y-oóhere-jé-ho if,itabo. man he-pst-us-show-asp school teacher he-rel-send-asp-to book 'The man showed us the school to which the teacher sent the book.'

## Clefting

(20) N'iishû̂ri unwáalímu y-oóhere-jétho igitabo. be school teacher he-rel-send-asp-to book
'It's the school that the teacher sent the book to.' The Loc rule doesn't allow doubling of NOs as in the other cases that we have examined so far. As predicted by the Relational Annihilation Law, when the Loc rule takes place, the initial DO is put en chomage since it loses both its term status properties and its Do properties. In fact it cannot passivize, relativize, incorporate, reflexivize, cleft, pseudocleft.....anymore, as the examples given below indicates. For convenience we test the initiai DOs of sentences (16) through (20). Paasive
(21) *Igitabo cy-oohere-j-w-é-ho ishuîri n'úváalímu. book it-send-asp-pass-asp-to school by teacher
'The book was sent to school by the teacher.'

Incorporation
(22) ※Umwáalímu y-a-cy-oohere-jého ishuûri. teacher he-pst-it-send-asp-to school
'The teacher sent it to school.'

## Relativization

(23) *Y-a-tw-eerets-e igitabo umwallmu y-oóhere-jé-ho ishuûri. he-pst-us-show-asp book teacher he-send-asp-to school
. 'He showed us the book that the teacher sent to school.' Clefting
(24) 放'igitabo unwåalímu y-oóhere-jé-ho ishû̂ri. be book teacher he-rel-send-asp-to school
'It's the book that the teacher sent to school.'
When these transformations are applied on the former $D O$ it is interpreted as the locative NP. For instance, the reading that can be given to (21) is "The book was sent the school" which of course doesn't make any sense.

### 4.5. Objectivization of Possessors

There exist two ways of objectivizing possessor NPs in Kinyarwanda:
(i) put the possessor $\mathbb{N P}$ in the object position and delete the possessive marker or (ii) put the possessor $\mathbb{N P}$ in the $D O$ position and add the benefactive suffix -ir- to the verb sten. The ascension of the possessor $\mathbb{N}$ to $D O$ without any overt marker is a property of inalienable possessions and verbs that mean 'to take' such as 'rob', 'take away'....
(1) a Umugóre y-a-vun-nye ukúboko k'úmwáana. woman she-pst-break-asp arm of child
'The woman broke the arm of the child.'
b Umugóre y-a-vun-nye umwaana uluboko.
woman she-pst-break-asp child arte
'The woman broke the child's arm.'
(2) a Umugabo y-a-boon-ye anáaso y'úmugóre.
man he-pst-see-asp eyes of woman
'The man saw the eyes of the woman.'
b Umugabo y-a-boon-ye umugóre amáaso.
man he-pst-see-asp woman eyes
'The man saw the woman's eyes.'
Below we present examples of possessor ascension with verbs that have the meaning of take.
(3) a Umuhuûngu y-a-twaa-ye igitabo cy'únukoôbwa.
boy he-pst-take-asp book of girl
'The boy took the book of the girl.'
b Umuhuungu y-a-twaa-ye umukoôbwa igitabo.
boy he-pst-take-asp girl book
'The boy took the girl's book.'
(4)a Unujuura y-iib-ye amafaraanga $y^{\prime}$ 'umunyéeshû̂ri.
thief he-rob-asp money of student
'The thief. stole the money of the student.'
b Umujuura y-iib-ye um,unyéeshuûrl amafaraanga.
thief he-steal-asp student money
'The thief stole the student's money.'
When other types of possessors are ascended to the DO position, the verb takes the benefactive suffix -ir- as just mentioned above.
(5) a Unuhû̂ngu a-ra-som-a igitabo cy'úmukoôbwa.
boy he-pres-read-asp book of girl
'The boy is reading the book of the girl.'
b Umuhuû̃gu a-ra-som-er-a umukoôbna igitabo.
boy he-pres-read-ben-asp girl book
'The boy is reading the girl's book.'
(6) a Abáana ba-rá-kubit-a ímbwa y'úmuģabo. children they-pres-beat-asp dog of man
'The children are beating the dog of the man.'
b Abáana ba-rá-liubit-ir-a umugabo ínowa. children they-pres-beat-ben-asp man dog
'The children are beating the man's dog.'
Note also that possessors of intransitive and stative verbs can be promoted to the DO status even though the verb never has a Do.
(7) a Umukoôbwa a-ri muu nzu y'úmuhû̂nou. sirl she-be in house of boy
'The girl is in the house of the boy.'
b Umukoôbwa a-be-er-eye umuhuûngu muu nzu. girl she-be-ben-asp boy in house 'The girl is in the boy's house.'
(8) a Umugóre a-r-iicar-a kuú ntebe y'úmugabo. woman she-pres-sit-asp on chair of man 'The woman is sitting on the chair of the man.'
b Umugóre a-r-iicar-ir-a umugabo kuú ntebe. woman she-pres-sit-ben-asp man on chair
'The woman is sitting on the man's chair.'
What we observe in the above examples is that the promoted possessor
doesn't ascend to the status of the NP immediately dominating it but rather goes directly to the DO status, which of course is predicted by the Host Limitation Law which states that only terms of GRs host NPs promoted by either advancement rules or ascension rules. In Kinyarwande only subjects and DOs can function as hosts, since these are the only terms that exist in the language.

## Double Possessor Objectivization

If there are both inalienable and allenable.possessions in the sentence, their respective possessors can both be ascended to DO and this is made possible by the fact that the promotion of the inalienable possessor doesn't leave any marker:
(9) a Umugabo y-a-vun-nye ukuguru $\underline{k}^{\prime}$ úmwáana $\underline{v}^{\top} u ́ m u g o ́ r e . ~$ man he-pst-break-asp leg of child of woman 'The man broke the leg of the woman's child.'
b Umugabo y-a-vun-nye umwáana w'úmugóre ukuguru. man he-pst-break-asp child of woman leg
'The man broke the leg of the noman's child.'
c Umugabo y-a-vun-i-ye umugóre umwáana ukuguru. man he-pst-break-ben-asp woman child leg
'The man broke the woman's child's leg.'
(10) a Umukoôbwa a-rá-sokoz-a umusatsi w'únugabo wá Maríya. girl she-pres-comb-asp hair of husband of Mary 'The girl is combing the hair of the husband of Mary.'
b Umukoôbwa a-rá-sokoz-a umugabo wá Maríya umusatsi. girl she-pres-comb-asp husband of Maxy hair 'The girl is combing the hair of Mary's husband.'
c Unukoôbwa a-rá-soko-re-z-a ${ }^{3}$ Maríya umugabo umusatsi. girl she-pres-comb-ben-()-asp Mary husband hair 'The girl is combing Mary's husband's hair.'

Of course two alienable possessors cannot be promoted to $D O$ in the same sentence since they take the same case marker -ir- which cannot be 'doubled' because of the Surface Constraint which doesn't allow two identical case markers in the same sentence.

Objectivized Possessors inherit all the properties of initial DOs that we presented in Chapter 3: passivization, pronoun incorporation, reflexivization, relativization, clefting, existential insertion...... We observe that even oojectivized possessors of intransitive and stative verbs are easily passivizable, as the derived DO of the copula 'be' illustrates in the following example.
(11) a Abanyéeshuû̀ri ba-ri mu biro by'úmváalínu. students they-be-in office of teacier
'The students are in the teacher's office.'
b Abanyéeshuûri ba-be-er-eye umwáalínu mu biro. ${ }^{4}$ students they-be-ben-asp teacher in office
c Umwáalinu a-be-er-ew-e mu biro n'ábanyéesnuûri. teacher he-be-ben-pass-asp office by students 'It's the students who are in the teacher's office.'

Pronoun incorporation is obligatory whenever a possessive pronoun is ascended to DO status.
(12) a Umuhuûngu y-a-son-ye ibitabo by-áa-cu. boy he-pst-read-asp books agr-of-us 'The boy read our bocks.'
b *U'muhuûngu y-a-som-e-ye twê ibitabo. boy he-pst-read-ben-asp us books
'*The boy read our books.'
'The boy read the books for us.'
c Umuhuûngu y -a-du-som-e-ye ibitabo.
boy he-pst-us-read-ben-asp books
'The boy read our books.'
If the possessor is identical to the subject of the sentence, reflexivization which never applies across clause boundaries but only in the same clause if the subject and the direct object are identical, takes place when promotion of the possessor NP to DO occurs.
 children they-pres-put-asp books near of them
'The children are putting the books near tiemselves.'
b Loáana ba-r-ii-shyir-a ibitabo i ruháande. children they-pres-refl-put-asp books at side 'The children are putting books at their side.'

The examples given below show that objectivized possessors acquire all types of relative constructions.

## nelativization

(14) a Ingurube z-a-ri-iye ibíryo by'ábáana. pigs they-pst-eat-asp food of children 'The pigs ate the food of the children.'
b Ingurube z-a-ri-ir-iye aháana ibíryo. pigs they-pst-eat-ben-asp children food
c Dore abáana ingurube z-a-rífir-iye ibíryo. look children pigs they-pst-rel-eat-ben-asp food
'These are the children whose food was eaten by the children.' Clefting
(15) N'abdana ingurube z-a-rf-ir-iye ibíryo. be children pigs they-pst-rel-eat-ben-asp food 'It's the children that the pigs ate the food of.' Pseudo-clefting
(16) Ábo ingurube z-a-rí-ir-iye ibíryo n'abáana. the ones pigs they-pst-rel-eat-ben-asp food be children 'The ones that the pigs ate food of are the children.' Existential insertion
(17) Ha-ri abáana ingurube z-a-rf-ir-iye ibíryo. it-be children pigs they-pst-rel-eat-ben-asp food 'rThere are children that the pigs ate the food of.'

Exclusive insertion
(18) İta báana ingurube z-a-rí-ir-iye iblryo no children pigs they-pst-rel-eat-ben-asp food '*There are no children that the pigs ate the food of.' A distinction is made, however, with regard to the properties of the former DOs in inalienable possessions and alienable ones. DOs derived by the ascension of alienable possessors and inalienable possessors as we observed get all the properties of initial DOs; their respective initial DOs behave differently as we will see. Intial DOs of alienable possessors lose all grammatical relations to the verb but those of inalienable ones keep their former DO properties, except reflexivization and relativization. The word 'food' in (14)b cannot be passivized, incorporated, relativized, clefted etc. since it has been ousted by 'children' after its ascension:
(19) *IbÍryo by-a-ri-ir-iw-e abáana n'íngurube (passivization) food it-pst-eat-ben-pass-asp children by pigs 'The food of the children was eaten by pigs.'
(20) ̇Ingurube z-a-bi-ri-ir-iye abáana. pigs they-pst-it-eat-ben-asp children '*The chfldren ate it of the children.'

The word 'hair' in the follwing sentences can still be passivized, incorporated, relativized, clefted, pseudo-clefted.... after the promotion of the possessor $\mathbb{N P}$ to $D O$, because it is an inalienable possession.
(21)a limưóre y-a-shokoj-e umusatsi w'únugabo. ${ }^{5}$ woman she-pst-comb-asp hair of man 'The woman combed the hair of the man.'
b Umugóre y-a-shokoj-e umugabo umusatsi. woman she-pst-comb-asp man hair 'The woman combed the man's hair.'

## Passivization

(22) Umusatsi w-a-shokoj-w-e umugabo n'úmugore. hair it-pst-comb-pass-asp man by woman
'The woman's hair was combed by the woman.'

## Incorporation

(23) Umugóre y-a-wu-snokoj-e umugabo.
woman she-pst-it-comb-asp man
'?The woman combed it the man.'
Relativization never applies to inalienable possessions since they are unique and the functional role of relativization being that of identification. Unique referents don't need to be identified.

Reflexivization doesn't apply to inalienable possessions either, since
the possession and the subject are never identical.

## Clefting

(24) N'umusatsi umugóre y-a-shókoj-e unugabo. be hair woman she-pst-rel-comb-asp man 'It's the hair that the woman combed the man.' Pseudo-clefting
(25) Ícyo umugóre y-a-sh6koj-e umugabo n'umusatsi. what woman she-pst-rel-comb-asp man be hair 'What the woman combed the man is the hair.'

Existential Insertion also doesn't apply to inalienable possessions because this process is a property of indefinite NPs only, whereas inalienable possessions are always definite. However, exclusive Insertion applies to inallenable possessions in the case of unique referentsathat was shown in Chapter 3.
(26) Nta musatsi umugóre y-a-shókoj-e umugabo. no hair woman she-pst-rel-comb-asp man
'The woman didn't comb the hair of the man at all.'
So far we have found out that the Relational Annibilation Law is confirmed by the advancement or Locatives and the ascension of alienable possessors but disproved by the advancement of instrumentals, manners, goals and the ascension of inalienable possessors. Ne have to look more closely into the grammar in order to modify this law.

In the case of 'double possessor objectivization', however, the inalienable possession becomes chomeur. For convenience, sentence (9) c is given again, in (27).
(27) Umugabo y-a-vun-i-ye umugóre unwáana ukuguru. man he-pst-break-ben-asp wonan child leg
'The man broke the woman's child's leg.'
The NP 'woman' has got all the properties of an initial DO as predicted by the Relational Succession Law; the ITP 'child' doesn't bear any grammatical relation to the verb contradicting the Advancee Tenure Law which states that NPs that have been promoted cannot be put en chomage, and now 'leg' has become chomeur maybe because it has been ousted by two ascension rules. Details on this are given in the next section.

### 4.6. Objectivization of Multiple Oblique Case NPs

Usually three 'syntactic' DOs are not very frequent in Kinyarwanda and this depends largely on perceptual strategies. For instance it is easy to have 3 DOs in a row being benefactives, recipients and patients respectively. The correct semantic interpretation is, in this case, facilitated by the word order which in this instance, has to be fixed.
(1) Umugabo y-a-he-er-eye abaçre abiana ibitabo. ${ }^{4}$ man he-pst-give-ben-asp women children books
'The man gave the books to the children for the women.'
It was indicated earlier also that three DOs are allowed in the advancement of any oblique $A P$ in a sentence that has a dative-oriented verb: Give, show, ask.....
(2) a Umugóre y-a-ina-aye umbáana amáta n'ínkoongooro. women she-pst-give-asp child milk with wooden cup
'The woman gave milk: to the child in a wooden cup.'
3 Unugóre y-a-he-cesh-cje umwáana amáta inkooncooro. woman she-pst-give-instr-asp. child milk wooden cup

The 'double' possessor objectivization rule as we saw creates three DOs also.
(3) a Umwáana y-a-ci-iye umufuka w'íshâ̂ti y'úmugabo. child he-pst-tear'asp pocket of shirt of man 'The child tore the pocket of the man's shirt.'
b Umwáana y-a-ci-iye ishaâti y 'úmugabo umufuka. child he-pst-tear-asp shirt of man pocket
c Umwáana y-a-ci-ir-iye unugabo ishaâti umufuka. shirt it-pst-tear-ben-asp man shirt pocket

The correct semantic interpretation is possible in the above (2) and (3) sentences because of real word knowledge only. In (2) we know that 'cup' is an instrument and 'milk' patient; in (3) 'pocket' is an Inalienabie possession whereas man is the possessor.

In instances in which pragmatics are not defined, the former no is usually deleted when two NPs have been promoted to DO .

Pairs of many objectivized oblique MPs that are found are:
(a) Instrumental \& Locative, (b) Instrunental and Coal, (c) Instrumental
$\&$ Possessor, (d) Locative \& Possessor (e) Alienable Possessor \& Inalienable possessor, (f), Benefactive \& Locative.

### 4.6.1 Locatives \& Instrumentals

Then both locative and instrumental are advanced to DO, only the DO derived by the Loc rule gets the properties of former pos. The Do derived by the Instr rule and the initial DO lose all gramnatical relations to the verb since none of them can undergo any kind of transformation.
(4) a Umwáalímu y-a-andits-e imibáre ku kibaho n'ííngva. teacher he-pst-write-asp math on blackboard with chalk 'The teacher wrote math on the blackboard with chalk.'
b Umwáalímu y-a-andits-é-ho ikibaho imibáre n'íngwa. teacher he-pst-write-asp-on blackboard math with chalk
c Umwáalifnu y-a-andik-iish-ije imibáre íngwa ku kibaho. teacher he-pst-write-instr-asp math chalk on blackboard
d Umwáalímu y-a-andik-iish-ijé-ho ikibaho imibáre íngwa. teacher he-pst-write-instr-asp-on board math chalk

In the (4)d sentence above, only 'blackboard' behaves as a DO since it. passivizes, incorporates, reflexives, relativizes, clefts,...Others don't.

## Passivization

(5) a Ikibaho cy-a-andik-iish-ij-w-é-ino tmibáre ínọwa n'úmáalímu. blackboard it-pst-write-instr-asp-pass-asp-on math chalk by teacher
'The blackboard was written math on with chalk by the teacher.' b KÍņ̧a y-a-andik-iish-ij-w-é-ho ikibaho imibáre n'ûmwáalímu. chalk it-pst-write-instr-asp-pass-asp-on blackboard math by teacher
'The chalk was used to write math on the board by the teacher.'
c *Imibáre y-a-andik-iish-ij-w-é-ho ikibaho ingwa n'úmwáalímu. math it-pst-write-instr-asp-pass-asp-on blackboard chalk by teacher
'Math was written on the blaclboard with a chalk by the teacher.' Incorporation
(6) a Umvéalaínu y-a-ey-andik-iish-ijé-ho imibáre íngwa. teacher he-pst-it-write-instr-asp-on math chalk 'The teacher wrote math on it with chalk.'
b *Umwáalímu y-a-y-andik-iish-ijé-ho ikibaho íngwa. teacher he-pst-it-write-instr-asp-on blackboard chaik 'The teacher wrote it on the blackboard with chalk.' c *Üwáalimu y-a-y-andik-iish-ijétho illibaho imibáre. teacher he-pst-it-write-instr-asp-on blackboard math 'The teacher wrote math with it on the blackboard.'

## Reflexivization

(7) a Umwdalímu ${ }_{x} \mathrm{y}$-a-andits-e imibdre kuri wê $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ 'ífngwa. teacher he-pst-write-asp math on himself with chalk 'The teacher wrote math on himself with chalk.'
b Umwaalimu y-iy-andik-iish-ijé-ho inibáre Ingwa. teacher he-refl-write-instr-asp-on math chalk 'The teacher wrote math on himself with chalk.' Relativization
(8) a Dore ikibaho unwáaĺmu y-aándik-iish-ijé-ho imibáre fnçwa. look board teacher he-rel-write-instr-asp-on math chalk 'This is the blackboard that the teacher wrote math on with chalk.'
b *Dore imibáre umvalalmu y-ad́ndik-iish-i.jé-ho ikibaho íncwa. looik math teacher he-rel-write-instr-asp-on board chalk 'Look at the math that the teacher wrote on the board with chalk.'
c *Dore íngwa umwáalimu y-aándik-iish-ijé-ho ikibaho imibáre. look chalk teacher he-write-instr-asp-on board math 'Here is the chalk that the teacher wrote math with on the blackboard.'

### 4.6.2 Instrumental \& Goal

When both the instrumental and the goal NPs are advanced to $D O$, the former DO gets deleted.
(9) a U-ra-andik-a f́barúwa n'fyó karámu kú ki? you-pres-write-asp letter with that pen for what
'Why are you writing the letter with that pen?'
b U-ra-andik-iish-ir-iza íyó karámu íki? ${ }^{6}$ you-pres-write-instr-ben-asp that pen what
'Why are you writing with that pen?'
c *U-ra-andik-iish-ir-iza íbarúwa íyó karámu íki?
you-pres-write-instr-ben-asp letter pen what
'Why are you writing the letter with the pen?'
It's the instrumental NP only that gets the properties of basic DOs when both instrumental NP and goal NP are advanced, while the goal NP gets the properties of terms namely relativization, clefting, pseudoclefting....
(10) a Sif-n-zi ícyc w-aándik-iish-ir-iza íkaránu. not-I-know what you-write-instr-ben-asp pen (Relativization) 'I don't know why you're writing with the pen.'
b Sifi-n-zi íkarámu w-aándik-iish-ir-iza ibyo. not-I-know pen you-rel-write-ben-asp that
'I don't know the pen that you're writine with for that.'
(11)a N'iíkarámu w-áándik-iish-ir-iza ibyo. be pen you-rel-write-instr-ben-asp that (Clefting)
'It's the pen that you're writing with for that.'
b N'iíki .w-afndik-iish-ir-iza íkarámu?
be what you-rel-write-instr-ben-asp pen
'It's for what that you're writing the pen with?'

### 4.6.3 Instrumental \& Possessor

The objectivization of both instrumental and possessor doesn't. delete the initial Do as it seems to be the case in many cases.
(12) a Umuhuûngu y-a-andits-e fibarúva n'ííkarámu y'úmulioôbwa. boy he-pst-write-asp letter with pen of girl 'The boy wrote the letter with the girl's pen.'
b Umuhuûngu y-a-andik-iish-ije íkarámu y 'úmukoôbwa. boy he-pst-write-instr-asp pen of girl 'The boy wrote the letter with the girl's pen.' c xUmunuûngu y-a-andik-i-ye fbarúwa umukoồwa n'ifkarámu. boy he-pst-write-ben-asp letter girl with: pen 'The boy wrote the letter with the girl's pen.' d Umuhûụngu y-a-andik-iish-ir-ije umukoôbwa lbarúwa íkarámu. boy he-pst-write-instr-ben-asp girl letter pen 'The boy is writing the letter with the girl's pen.' Notice that advancement of instrumental NP takes place first before the possessor NP can be objectivized, thus the ungranmaticality of (12)c. Only the objectivized possessor behaves like a real DO whereas the former DO and the objectivized instrumental go into chomage.

## Passivization

(13)a Unukoôbva y-a-andik-iish-ir-ij-w-c ikaránu íbarúna n'úmuhuângu. girl she-pst-write-instr-ben-pss-asp pen letter by boy 'The girl was used her pen to write a letter by the boy.'
b *Íbarúwa y-a-andik-iish-ir-ij-w-e unukoôbwa f̂karámu n'úmuhuûiç. lerter it-pst-write-instr-ben-asp-pass-asp girl pen by boy
'The letter was written with the girl's pen by the boy.' c *Íkaránu y-a-andik-iish-ir-ij-w-e umukoôbwa ́́barúwa n'úmuhuûngu. pen it-pst-write-instr-ben-asp-pass-asp-girl letter by boy 'The pen of the girl was used to write a letter by the boy.' Pronoun Incorporation
(14) a Unuhuûnģ y-a-mv-aandik-iish-ir-ije íbarúwa íkarámu.
boy he-pst-her-write-instr-ben-asp letter pen
'The boy wrote a letter with her pen.'
o *Unuhuûngu y-a-y-aandik-iish-ir-ije umukô̂bwa íkarámu. boy he-pst-it-write-instr-ben-asp giri pen
'The boy used the girl's pen to write it.'
c *Umuhuûngu y-a-y-aandik-iish-ir-ije umukoôbwa íbarúwa. boy he-pst-it-write-instr-ben-asp girl letter 'The boy wrote the letter with the girl's one.'

## Relativization

(15) a ǐtuund-a unukoôbwa unuhuûngu y-a-ấndik-iish-ir-ije íbarúva I-like-asp girl boy he-pst-rel-write-instr-ben-asp letter ikaramu.
pen
?'I like the girl that the boy wrote the letter with the pen of.'
b N-kuund-a íbarúwa umuhuûngu y-a-ándik-iish-ir-ije umuloôbwa I-like-asp ietter boy he-pst-rel-write-instr-ben-asp girl íkarámu.
pen
'I like the letter that the boy wrote with the girl's pen.'
c *N-kuund-a Íkarámu umuhuûngu y-a-ándik-iish-ir-i.je umukoôbwa I-like-asp pen boy he-pst-write-instr-ben-asp girl fbarúva.

## letter

'I like the pen that the boy wrote the letter with for the firl.'

### 4.6.4 Locative \& Possessor

There is no fixed order to advance a locative and a Possessor in the same sentence since whatever is advanced first makes no dirference in the gramaticality of the sentence.
(16) a Umwdana y-a-andits-e Izina rye mu gitabo cy 'úmugabo. child he-pst-write-asp name of him in book of man
'The child wrote his name in the man's book.'
b Umváana y-a-andits-é-mo igitabo cy'úmugabo Ízina ryê. child he-pst--write-asp-in book of man name of him 'The child wrote his name in the man's book.'
c Unwáana y-a-andik-i-ye umugabo mu gitabo ízina ryê. child he-pst-write-ben-asp man in book name of him 'The child wrote in the man's book his name.'
d Umwáana y-a-andik-i-yé-mo umugabo igitabo ízina ryê. child he-pst-write-ben-asp-in man book name of him

Like in the case of advancement of Instr. \& Poss. advancement, it's the Possessor only that acquires the propertics of the initial DO whereas the former DO and the objectivized locative become chomeurs, as the examples of passivization, incorporation and relativization indicate below.
(17)a Umugabo y-a-andik-i-w-é-no igitabo 1 zina n'úmwáana. man he-pst-write-ben-pass-asp-in book name by child ?'The man was written a name in his book by the child.'
b *Igitabo cy-a-andik-i-w-é-mo umugabo ízina n'únwdana. book it-pst-write-ben-pass-asp-in man name by child 'The book of the man was written a name in by the man.'
c +Ízina ry-a-andik-i-v-é-mo umugabo igitabo n'únváana. name it-pst-write-ben-pass-asp-in man book by child
'The nane was written in the man's book by the child.'
(18) a Umváana y-a-mw-aandik-i-yé-mo igitabo Ízina. child he-pst-him-write-ben-asp-in book name 'The child wrote a name in his book.'
b *Umwáana y-a-cy-aandik-i-yé-mo umugabo ízina. child he-pst-it-write-ben-asp-in man name 'The child wrote in the man's a name.'
c *Umwáana y-a-ry-aandik-i-yê-mo umugabo igitabo. child he-pst-it-write-ben-asp-in-man book 'The child wrote it in the man's book.'

## Relativization

(19) a N-a-boon-ye umugabo umwáana y-a-ándik-i-yé-mo igitabo I-pst-see-asp man child he-pst-rel-write-ben-asp-in book Izina.
name
?'I saw the man that the child wrote the name in the book of.' b *N-a-boon-ye ízina umwáana y-a-ándik-i-yé-mo umugabo igitabo. I-pst-see-asp name child he-pst-rel-write-ben-asp-in man book 'I saw the name that the child wrote in the man's book.'
c *i-a-boon-ye igitabo umwhana y-a-ándik-i-yé-ino unugabo ízina. I-pst-sec-asp book child he-pst-write-ben-asp-in man name
'I saw the man's book that the child wrote the name in.' One can draw the conclusion by stating that the ascension of Possessor IVP to DO demotes other DOs whether basic or derived, which is of course a disconfirmation of the Advancee. Tenure Law which states that derived DOs cannot be put en chomage by the promotion of another NP to DO.

### 4.6.5. Benefactive \& Locative

We will call the benefactive marking on the verb an advancement also even though there isn't any preposition in the language that stands for the benefactive case as in other oblique NPs that undergo promotion rules. Thus a verb would always be added the suffix -ir- whenever a benefactive NP occurs in the sentence. Usually the appearance of a benefactive IP in the sentence blocks the advancement of other oblique NPs to do status. For instance, such pairs as Den. \& Goal, Ben. \& Mann., Ben. \& Poss., Instr. \& Ben are not attested in the language, the only pair that is found is Ren. \& Loc. The rule doesn't apply howevar if the main vero is transitive.
(20) a Unisáana y-iicar-i-ye umugabo kuú ntebe. child he-sit-ben-asp man on chair 'The child is sitting on chair for the man.'
b Umwáana y-iicar-i-yé-ho íntebe umugabo. child he-sit-ben-asp-on chair man 'The child is sitting on the man's chair.' (20) a is ambiguous as to whether the benefactive morpheme stands for the benefactive case or the possessor. (20)b has a benefactive reading
only because the locative NP comes before it, which is the opposite if it is a possessor. NP.

Of course the advancement of locative to DO, in this case makes it acquire the $D O$ properties and it doesn't demote the benefactive NP since this one keeps all its former properties. Thus botn 'chair' and 'man' in (20)b can passivize, incorporate, relativize, clert... Passivization

S21)a Íntebe y-iicar-i-w-é-ho umugabo n'umwáana. chair it-sit-ben-pass-asp-on man by child
'The chair is sat on for the man by the child.' b Umugabo y-iicar-i w-é-ho íntebe n-úmáana. man he-sit-ben-pass-asp-on chair by child
'Its the child who sat on the chair for the man.'

## Incorporation

(22) a Unwdana a-mw-iicar-i-yé-ho fritebe. child he-him-sit-ben-asp-on chair
'The child is sitting on the chair for him.'
b limwáana a-y-iicar-i-yé-ho umugabo.
child he-it-sit-ben-asp-on man
'The chiid is sitting on it for the man.'

## Relativization

(23)a ī-dá-bon-a íntebe umwdana y-if́car-i-yk-ho unugabo.

I-pres-see-asp chair child he-rel-sit-ben-asp-on man
'I see the chair that the child is sitting on for the man.'
b N-dá-bon-a umugabo umwáana y-ifcar-i-yé-ho íntebc.
I-pres-see-asp man child he-rel-sit-ben-asp-on chair
'I see the man that the child is sitting on the chair for.'
(24) a N'ilntebe umwáana y-iícar-i-yé-ho umugabo.
be-chair child he-sit-ben-asp-on man
'It's the chair that the child is sitting on for the man.' b N' umugabo umwáana y-iícar-i-yé-ho íntebe. be man child he-rel-sit-ben-asp-on chair
'It's the chair that the child is sitting on for the man.' To sume up; this section has shown that Kinyarwanda has 6 'double' objectivization rules namely, inalienable possessor $\&$ alienable possessor objectivization, loc. \& inst. objectivization, loc. \& poss.objectivization, instr. \& goal objectivization, instr. \& poss. and benefactive \& locative objectivization. Other possibilities such as loc.\& mann., loc.\& goal, poss. \& mann.... don't exist. It was observed also that in some cases the advancement or ascension of an oblique case Np causes the former DO to go in chomage. In some others, the advanced $i P$ can be put en chomage by the promotion of another NP. All these facts contradict the 3 laws of grammar namely, the Relational Anninilation Law, the Advancee Tenure Law and the Advancee Priority Law. Obviously these laws need modification with regard to the datn presented iuy Kinyarwanda. In the next section of this chapter, we'll try to reformulate these laws. The Relational Annihilation Law (RAL)

The RAL as originally stated by Permutter \& Postal claims that "if an $\mathrm{NP}_{j}$ takes over the grammatical relation of an $\mathrm{NP}_{i}$, (where $j \neq i$ ) then irP $_{i}$ loses its gramatical relation to the verb." The law, according to the way it is worded has been disconfinmed by the dato of Kinyarwanda. Keenan \& Gary have proposed a weaker version which simply says that "an NP ${ }_{i}$ will be outcast by the advancement of an NI $j$ only if the promotion
of $N P_{j}$ to $N P_{i}$ exceeds the number of $N P_{i}$ allowed in the language." Since Kinyamwanda has syntactic DOs as we have shown all along the thesis and as convincingly argued by Keenan \& Gary (1975), the advancement of an oblique NP to DO is not going to put the initial DO in chomage. The weak version, hovever, doesn't explain why the advancement of locative to $D O$ and the ascension of possessor to DO, doesn't behave like the promotion of other oblique NPs since the former create chomeurs. Since it is not possible to predict which advancement rules are going to create chomeurs and which ones are not, it should be wiser to say that 'rules that promote an $N P$ to the $\underline{n}$ of the verb may outcast the ND that holds the in status.'

Evidently, the former Do loses all grammatical relations to the verb if two object-creating rules take place in the same sentence.. One might argue that thisispredicted by the RAL as formulated by Gary \&Keenan, since in this case the rule derives a number of DOs that exceeds the numioer allowed, which is two. We observe, however, that the advancement of an oblique NP that adds a third DO to two underlying ROs, doesn't in any way cause anyone of the former DOs to become chomeur.
(25) a Umwh́ana y-a-sab-ye umugóre ibíryo n'íntoki. child he-pst-ask-asp woman food with hands 'The child asked the woman food with hands.'
b Unwáana y-a-sab-iish-ije umugóre iblryo intoki. child he-pst-ask-instr-asp woman food hands
'mhe child asked the wowan food with hands.'
The verb 'ask' takes two DOs, a recipient and a patient. The objectivization of the instrumental NP 'hands' has nct outcasted any of the underlying DOs since all of them can still undergo all the major transform-
ations that only DOs undergo. As an example, we see that both 'voman' and 'food' still passivize and relativize.
(26) a Umugore y-a-sab-iish-ij-w-e ibíryo intoki n'úmwáana. woman she-pst-ask-instr-asp-pass-asp food hands by child
'The woman was asked food with hands by the child.'
b Ibíryo by-a-sab-iish-ij-w-e umurobre intoki n'úuwáana.
food it-pst-ask-instr-asp-pass-asp woman hands by child
'The food was asked the woman with hands by the child.'
c Intoki z-a-zab-iish-if-w-c umugóre ibfryo n'úmwáana.
hands they-pst-ask-instr-asp-pass-asp woman fooci by child
'The hands were used to asked the woman food by the child.'
(27) a Dore umųóre unwáana y-a-sáb-iish-ije ibíryo intoki.
look woman child he-pst-rel-ask-instr-asp food hands
'llere is the woman that the child asked food with hands.'
b Dore ibíryo umwáana y-a-sáb-iish-ije unugóre intoki.
look food child he-pst-rel-ask-asp woma hands
'Here are the food that the child asked the woman with ninds.' c Dore intoki unwáana y-a-sáb-iish-ije umucóre ibíryo.
look hands child he-pst-rel-ask-asp woman food
'These are the hands that the child asked the woman food with.' The data in both (26) and (27) disconfirm the RAL as phrased by keenan $\&$ Gary since the number of DOs that occur in the underlying structure is exceeded; none of them has lost its DO status.

While not denying the validity of the RAL, since all initial DOs don't lose their DO status when objectivization rules take place but all go into chomage when a second objectivization rule applies, we can modify the law in this respect.

It appears that the demotion of the initial DO depends largely on the nature of the NP that has been advanced and on the number of advancements that have taken place: all DOs are demoted if a second advancement rule applies. We don't know if the demotion of do caused by the promotion of Possessor results from the kind of promotion that the possessor undergoes: ascension or just from the fact itself that it is a Poss that is promoted.

Demotion occurs as a result of a promotion rule. Languages might differ on what kind of promotion rules create chomeurs as to whether they are advancements or ascensions. A hierarchy similar to Accessability liferarchy might be involved also and the number of promotion rules to the $\underline{n}$ of the verb that has occurred.

The Advancee Tenure Law (AIL)
The Advancee Tenure Law claims that a term derived by an advancement rule cannot be put en chomage by another advancement rule. This seens to be the opposite of what happens in Kinyarwanda. In Kinyarwanda as it was indicated in the previous section all derived DOs are demoted if another advancement rule takes place.

NPs promoted by ascension rules can be demoted also by any kind of promotion rule.

The Advancee Priority Law (APL)
The Advancee Priority Law states that a term of a verb cannot be taken over by the advancement of another NP if it has itself been promoted by an advancement rule. In English, for. instance, when a DO becomes a Subject by Passivizarion, the Indirect Object cannot advance to DO. This law has another weaker version which says that derived terms cannot be taken over by the advancement of other N2s.

The strong version is contradicted by the Kinyarwanda facts because this law holds only where the Relational Annihilation Law happens to function. The locative NP cannot be advanced to DO when the initial DO has been subjectivized by passivization, but other oblique NPs are easily objectivized if the initial DO advances to Subject.
(28) a Umugbre a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n'íikarámu. woman she-pres-write-asp letter with pen 'The woman is writing the letter with a pen.'
b Íbarúwa i-ra-andik-w-a n'ílikaramu n'úmugóre.' letter it-pres-write-pass-asp with pen by woman 'The letter is being written with a pen by the woman.'
c Íbarúwa i-ra-anilik-iish-w-a íkarámu n'úmugóre. letter it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp pen by woman 'The letter is written with a pen by the woman.' Notice, of course, that the locative NP cannot be objectivized as mentioned above if the former DO has been advanced to Subject by the. Passive rule.
(29) a Unugóre a-ra-andik-a imibáre ku kibaho. woman she-pres-write-asp math on blackboard.' 'The woman is writing math on the blackboard.'
b Imibáre i-ra-andik-w-a ku kibaho n'úmugóre. math it-pres-write-pass-asp on blackboard by woman 'Mathematics is written on the blackboard by the woman.' c *Imibóre i-ra-andik-v-á-ho ikibaho n'Úmugóre. math it-pres-write-pass-asp-on by woman 'mathematics is written on the blackboard by tine woman.' The ungrammaticality of (29) c is predicted by the Ril. In fact, we observe that Passivization of chomeur 'math' in (30) sives exactly the
same output as (29)c.
(30)a Umugóre a-ra-andik-a imibáre ku kibaho. woman she-pres-write-asp math on blackboard 'The woman is writing math on the blackboard.'
b Unugóre a-ra-andik-á-ho ikibaho imibáre. woman she-pres-write-asp-on blackboard math 'The woman is writing math on the blackboard.' c *Imibáre i-ra-andik-w-á-ho ikibaho n'úmugóre. math it-pres-write-pass-on blackboard by woman
'Mathematics is written on the blackboard by the woman.' The weak version of the APL is ruled out also since derived DOs can be taken over by the advancement of other NPs when they are promoted to the subject position.

Thus in (31) 'blackboard' has been advanced to Do by the loc rule and then promoted to Subject by the Passive rule, but 'chalk' has taken its position by the Instr rule, thus contradicting the APL.
(31)a Unwáalímu a-ra-andik-a amasómo ku kibaho n'íngwa. teacher he-pres-write-asp lessons on blackboard with chalk 'The teacher is writing lessons on the blackboard with chalk.'
b Umwáalímu a-ra-andik-á-ho ikibaho amasóno n'fíņwa. teacher he-pres-writc-asp-on blackboard lessons with chalk 'The teacher is writing on the blackboard with chalk.'
c Ikibaho cyi-ra-andik-w-d-ho amasómo n'î́ngwa n'únwáalfímu. blackboard it-pres-write-pass-asp-on lesscns wit: chalk by teacher.
'The blackboard is written lessons on with chalk by the teacher.'
d Ikibaho cyi-ra-andik-iish-v-a-ho amasomo ingwa n'umbaalimu. b.lackboard it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp-on lessons chalk by the teacher
'The blackboard is written lessons on with chalk by the teacher.'
If we advance the instrumental $N P$ first to $D 0$, passivize it and then objectivize the locative IP, we obtain an ungramnatical sentence, not because of the APL but rather the RAL as we had noted before.
(32) a Umwhalfmu a-ra-andik-iish-a amasomo íngwa ku kibaho. teacher he-pres-write-instr-asp lessons chalk on blackboard 'The teacher is writing lessons with chalk on the blackboard.' b Íngwa i-ra-andik-iish-w-a amasómo ku kibaho n'úmváalímu. chalk it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp lessons on blackboard by teacher
'The chalk is used to write on the blackboard by the teacher.' c tíngwa i-ra-andik-iish-w-á-ho ikibaho amasóno n'úmwâalímu. chalk it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp-on blackboard lessons by teacher
'The chalk is used to write on the blackboard by the teacher.' The validity of the APL depends on the validity of the RAL and, therefore, is not needed since it only creates redundancies formulated by another Law.

## Footnotes

1. It is in Kinyarwanda and Kirundi only that this suffix is used for both causatives and instrumentals. In other Bantu languages, this suffix is used for causativization only.
2. The word for pen is 'íkaramu'. The initial vowel is lengthened because it has a high tone and it is preceded by a word which, itself ends in a vowel with a high tone. (ná + íkarámu). Usually when there are two vowels, the preceding one is always deleted and there is no other effect.
3. This is a case of metathesis: note that there are two metathesis rulesin the same word. First the benefactive morpheme is realized as -re- instead of -er-, second the benefactive morpheme is inserted In the verb stem before the final consonant $-z$. Whenever the verb stem ends by the consonant -2 , the metathesis rule applies.
4. Because of the coalescence rule $a+i \rightarrow e$, verb stems whose last segment is -a- undergo the rulc. ex. 'be' -ba- 'be for' be-er'cause to be' -be-eesh- 'give' -ha-, 'give for' -he-cr-, cause to Give' -he-eesi-.
5. The word for 'comb' is -sokoz- which is realized nere as -sokoz-, because of the palatal assimilation at distance. Usually a sibilant gets palatalized $(s, z \rightarrow s, t)$ if the next syllable contains a palatal consonant.
ex. arasasa $-\cdots-->$ arašašc
he is making he just finished making the bed the bed

Sometime, the palatal consorant doesn't have to he too ciose as illustrated by another exanple:
barasohoye ----> barašohože
'they arrive'
'they make arrive'
6. Whenever both instrumental and benefactive morphemes occur in the verb, an extra suffix -iz- appears in the verb, we don't know where it really belongs to; it may belong to the instrumental marker, the benefactive or the aspect marker.

## Chapter V Subjectivization Rules

Kinyarwanda has 4 subject-creating rules namely (i) passivization, (ii) stativization, (iii) object-subject reversal, and finally (iv) subjectivization of comparatives and associatives. The first three rules have the same semantic effect: topicalization of the object whereas the latter applies to feed some transformations such as relativization, clefting, topicalization,.....which cannot apply to the underlying associative and comparative NPs.

This chapter describes the mechanism and the conditions of applicabilicy of rhese rules. The properties of the 'derived' subjects and the 'initial' ones are examined.

### 5.1. Passivization

Passivization is a process that promotesdirect objects to subject status because oblique cases, locatives excepted, cannot be directly Passivized but have to be objectivized first before they can undergo passivization. When passivization applies the suffix - $\mathbf{w -}$ is added to the verb immediately preceding the aspect marker.

The 'demoted' subject is preceded by the preposition na which is realized as no if it precedes a prepositional phrase or an infinitive.
(1) a Umugabo y-a-boon-ye umugóre.
man he-pst-see-asp woman
'The man saw the woman.'
b Umugore y-a-boon-y-w-e n'umugabo Woman she-pst-sec-asp-pass-asp by man 'The woma was seen by the man.'

In order to undergo passivization, object NPs have to be either definite or generic. Thus (1)b cannot ever mean "A woman was seen by the nan.' All objects that are directly introduced to the verb without a preposition: indirect objects and benefactives are passivizable if they are definite.
(2) a Unugabo y-a-inaa-ye unugóre igitabo. man he-pst-give-asp woman book
.. b $I_{B}$ itabo cy-a-haa-w-e umugóre'n'úmugabo. book it-pst-give-pass-asp woman by man 'The book was given to the woman by the man.'
c Umugóre y-a-haa-w-e igitabo n'úmugabo. woman she-pst-give-pass-asp book iby man 'The woman was given the book by the man.'
(3) a Emuhuûngu a-ra-andik-ir-a umukoồiwa f́barúwa. boy he-pres-write-ben-asp girl letter 'The boy is writing the letter for the girl.'
b Íbarúwa i-ra-andik-ir-w-a umukoôtwa n'úmuhuûngu. letter it-pres-write-ben-pass-asp girl by boy 'The letter was read for the girl by the boy.'
c Umukoôbwa a-ra-andik-ir-w-a íbarúva n'úmuhuûngu. girl she-pres-write-ben-pass-asp letter by boy.' 'The girl was read the book for by the boy.' Kinyarwanda has less constraints than many other languages on the kind of objects that can be passivized. As an example all abstract direct objects are passivizable:
(4)a Umwáana a-fit-e ibyishiimo byî̂nshi. ${ }^{2}$ child he-have-asp joy a lot
'The child has a lot of joy.'
b Ibyishiimo byiÂnshi bi-fit-w-e n'úmwáana.
joy a lot it-have-pass-asp by child
'Auch joy is had by the children. ${ }^{3}$
'It's the children who had a lot of joy.'
There are similar types of constructions in which the abstract object is derived from the verb (cognate object) and still allows passivization: these, are the constructions such as 'to think a thought', 'to die a death', 'to cough a cough', 'to sleep a sleep', 'to be hunger of hunger', 'to be sick of sickness'.....
(5) a Unujuura y-a-pfúu-ye urúpfu ríbi.
thief he-pst-die-asp death bad
'The thief died a bad death.'
b Urúpfu rúbi rw-á-pfuu-w-e n'únujuura. death bad it-pst-die-pass-asp by thief
'A bad death was died (had?) by the thief.'
'It's the thief who died a bad death.'
In Kinyarwanda, unlike in other languages, the subject of the sentence doesn't have to be an agent for the object to be passivizable. Thus objects of verbs such as have, weigh, measure, cost..... can be easily passivized.
(6) a Iki gitabo éi-pim-a ibíro bíne.
this book it-weigh-asp kilos four
'This book weighs four kilos.'
b Ibíro bíne bi-pim-h-a n'íki gitabo.
kilos they-weigh-pass-asp by this book
'Four kilos are weighed by this book.'
'It's this book that weighs four kilos.'
(7) a Ishâti i-fit-e ibifuungo bibiri.
shirt it-have-asp buttons two.
'The shirt has two buttons.'
b Ibifuungo bibiri bi-fit-w-e n'íshaâti.
buttons two they-have-pass-asp by shirt
'Two buttons are had by the shirt.'
'It's the shirt that has a buttons.'
As mentioned earlier oblique cases, except locatives, cannot be directly passivized: they have to undergo objectivization rules first as illustrated in (8), (9), (10) and (11), representing instrumentals, manners, goals and possessives, respectively.
(8) a Umugabo a-ra-andik-a n'ifkarámu.
man he-pres-write-asp with pen
'The man is writing with the pen.'
b *Íkarámu i-ra-andik-iv-a ná n'umugabo.
pen it-pres-write-pass-asp with by man
c Íkarámu i-ra-andik-iish-w-a n'únugabo.
pen it-pres-write-instr-pass-asp by man
'The pen is used to write by the man.'
(9) a Umugóre a-ra-vuģ n'ágahiinda.
woman she-pres-write-asp with sorrow
'The woman is speaking with sorrow.'
b ingahiinda ka-ra-vug-v-a ná n'úmugore.
sorrow it-pres-say-pass-asp with by woman
c Agahiinda ka-ra-vug-an-w-a n'úmugóre. sorrow it-pres-say-assoc-pass-asp by woman
?'Sorrow is spoken with by the woman.'
'It's the woman who is speaking with sorrow.'
(10)a Yohaâni a-ra-ríriimb-a ku mafaraanra.

John he-pres-sing-asp for money
'John sings for money.'
b *Amafaraaņ̧a a-ra-ríriinb-w-a ku ná Yohaâni. money it-pres-sing-pass-asp for by John
c Amafaraanga a-ra-ríriimb-ir-w-a ná Yohaâni. money 1t-pres-sing-ben-pass-asp by John ?'The money is sung for by John.'
'It's John who sines for the money.'
(11) a Umunyéeshuûri a-ra-som-a igitabo cy 'úmváalínu. student he-pres-read-asp book of teacher 'The student is reading the teacher's book.'
b 末umúalímu a-ra-som-v-a iģitabo cye n'úmunyécshû̂ri. teacher he-pres-read-pass-asp book of him ioy student
c Unれáalímu a-ra-som-er-w-a if̧itabo n'úmunyeshuûri. teacher he-pres-read-ben-pass-asp book by student 'The teacher is having, his book read by the student.' Locatives as seen in the previous chapter can be directly passivized. In this case, however, as in other instances such as relativization, clefting, .... the head noun noves with its preposition and the verb instead of agreeing with the head noun, takes the locative arreement ha-.
(12) a Umugabo y-ooherej-e íbarúva kw'i posita. man he-send-asp letter to post office 'The man sent a letter to the post office.'
b Kw $^{\prime} i p \delta s^{\prime}$ ta h-ooherej-w-e íbarúwa n'úmugabo. ${ }^{4}$
to post it-send-pass-asp letter by man
'The post office was sent a letter by the man.'
c Ipósita y-ooherej-w-é-ho íbarúwa n'úmugabo.
post it-send-pass-asp-to letter by man
'The post office was sent a letter to by the man.'
There might be a semantic difference between the locative phrase subjects and the objectivized subjects, but this study has not been able to establish it.

## Constreints on Passivization

Certain types of incorporated pronouns such as reflexives or recipient pronouns don't get passivized or let the other objects of the verb -incozporated or full NPs-- undergo passivization. Thus the direct object ofareflexive pronoun or a recipient pronoun cannot passivize as shown below.
(13)a Umukoôbwa y-a-ba-sab-ye amafaraanga. girl she-pst-ask-asp money
'The girl asked them for the money.'
b *Amafaraanga y-a-ba-sab-w-e n'úmukoôbwa.
money it-pst-them-ask-paa-asp by girl
'The money was asked from them by the girl.'
(14) a Abahuêngu b-ii-haa-ye ibitabo.
boys they-refl-give-asp books
'The boys gave the books to themselves.'
b *Ibitabo by-ii-haa-w-e n'ábahuûng̣u.
books they-refl-give-pass-asp by boys
'The books were given to the boys by themselves.'

One might argue that the impossibility of passivizing the direct object of incorporated pronouns is due to the Relational Annihilation Lav. In fact the Promotional Analysis claims that the recipient (indirect object) has been advanced to 2 (direct object) and has ousted the initial 2 which has become a chomeur. This analysis isn't correct, because Kinyarwanda doesn't have a rule that advances 3 (indirect object) to 2(direct object); and further, as observed in the previous chapters and as arfued convincingly by Gary $\&$ Keenan (19.75) in what they call the Two Object Analysis (IOA), there is no fomal difference between the Direct Object and the Indirect Object in Kinyarwanda. In fact when the recipient is a full NP , passivization applies, as shown below.
(15) a Umukoôbwa y-a-bwii-ye umuhuûngu ibinyóma.
girl she-pst-tell-asp boy lies
'The girl told lies to the boy.'
b Umuhû̂ngu y-a-bwii-w-c ibinyôma n'úmukoôbwa.
boy he-pst-tell-pass-asp lies by girl
'The boy was told lies by the girl.'
c Ibinyóma by-a-bwii-w-e umuhuûngu n'úmukoôbwa.
lies they-pst-tell-pass-asp boy by girl
'Lies were told to the boy by the girl.'
However, other syntactic transfomations such as relativization, clefting, wh-questioning,.... still apply to the direct object of reflexive and the recipient pronoun, which shows that the object of this type of construction is not in fact on chomare. Relativization (16) and exclusive insertion are used for illustration.
(16) I-fit-c amafaraanga umukoobwa y-a-ba-sáb-ye. I-have-asp money girl she-pst-rel-ask-asp
'I have the money that the girl asked them.'
(17) Nta bitabo abahuûngu b-ii-háa-ye.
no books boys they-refl-rel-give-asp
'The boys didn't give themselves any books.'
The reason why incorporated recipient pronouns block passivization but allow other transformations is given in Givón (1976). 1'assivization is a promotion process and other transformations are not. 'The higher topicality of pronouns stops further promotion of other constituents to the top topic position.'

If the verb has two incorporated pronouns only the recipient can passivize.
(18) a Umugabo y-a-ki-mu-haa-ye. man he-pst-it-hin-give-asp
'The man gave it to him.'
b $\because$-a-gi-haa-w-c n'úmugabo. he-pst-it-give-pass-asp by man
'He was given it by the man.'
c *Cy-a-mu-haa-w-e n'úmugabo.
it-ps.t-him-Give-pass-asp by man
'It was given to him by the man.'
If the recipient pronoun is a reflexive, none of these pronouns passivizes:
(19) a Umuróre y-a-by-íy-eretis-e.
woman she-pst-them-refl-show-asp
'The woman showed them to herself.'
b *By-iy-erets-w-e n'ímugóre.
them-refl-show-pass-asp woman
'They were shown to the woman by herself.' c Y-a-by-cerets-w-e n'úmugóre. 4
he-pst-them--show-pass-asp by woman
'klimself was shown them by the woman.'
'lle was shown them by the woman.'
If the verb has more than two infixes, noneof them can be passivized.
(20)a Abagabo b-a-ki-mu-gú-he-er-eye. ${ }^{5}$
men the-pst-it-hiri-you-give-ben-asp
'The men gave it to him for you.'
b * $\mathrm{Cy}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{mu}-g \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{he}-e r-e v-e \mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ ábagabo. ${ }^{4}$
it-pst-him-you-\%ive-ben-pass-asp by men
'It was given to him for you by the men.'
c *Y-a-ki-gu-he-er-cti-e n'ábagabo.
he-pst-it-you-give-ben-pass-asp by men
'he was riven it for you by the men.'
d NW-a-ki-mu-he-er-ew-e n'ábagabo.
you-pst-it-him-give-ben-pass-asp by men
'For you, he was given it by the men.'
Note that passivization of the infix pronouns is not ruled out by the Relational Annihilation Law. In fact, one might be tempted to say that accusative pronouns don't passivize because of the advancement of the dative pronoun which is in turn ousted by the advancement of the benefactive pronoun. If it were so, one would like to know why the 'advanced' benefactive pronoun doesn't passivize as predicted by the Relational Succession Law.

We notice also that multiple full $\operatorname{kPs}$ that have the same semantic function as the incorporated pronouns can, all of them, passivize as
indicated in (21).
(21) a Umugabo y-ecrek-e-ye umugóre abáana ibitabo. man he-show-ben-asp woman children books
'The man showed books to the children for the woman.'
b Ibitabo by-eerek-e-w-e unuģóre abáana n'úmugabo. books they-show-ben-asp woman children by man
'The books were shown to the children for the woman by the man.'
c Abáana b-eerek-e-w-e umugóre ibitabo n'úmugabo. children they-show-ben-pass-asp woman books by man 'The children were shown books for the woman ty the man.'
d Linugóre y-cerek-e-w-e abáana ibitabo n'únugabo. woman she-show-ben-pass-asp children books by man 'The woman had the children shown the books by the man.' The facts in (21) suggest that the non-passivization of (20) is a property of incorporated pronouns and thus doesn't have anythine to do with the Relational Annihilation Law. Pronouns differ very much from full NPs. Here again, it is the 'higher topicality' of pronouns that blocks further promotion.

## Propercies of Derived Subjects

NPs subjectivized by passivization keep all the properties of terms. such as relativization, clefting, wh-questioning,... and they also acquire the properties of initial subjects: they are definite, they trigger agreement on the verb; they trigger dummy-insertion (ha-). The only properties of basic subjects that they don't obtain are (a) reflexivization, (b) raising to object position, and (c) coreferential deletion. The coreferential deletion never applies since in :inyarwanda this transformation is only fed by raising.

Reflexivization and raising are illustrated in (22) and (23) respectively.
(22) a Umuhû̂ngu a-r-ecrek-a umukoôbwa ${ }_{x}$ umukoôbwa mw'iffoto. boy he-pres-show-asp girl girl in picture 'The boy is showing the girl, to the girl in the picture.'
b Umukoôbwa $x^{a-r-e e r e k-w-a ~ u m u k o ̂ ̂ b w a ~} x^{m}$ 'íífoto n'úmuhuûnen. girl she--pres-show-pass-asp girl in picture by boy 'The girl is shown the girl in the picture by the boy.'
c xUmukoôbwa a-r-íy-erek-w-a mw'fífoto n'úmuhuûngu. Girl she-pres-refl-show-pass-asp in picture by boy
'The girl is shown her self in the picture by the boy.'
(23) a U'mugabo y-a-tegets-e kó abáana ba-sóm-a ibitabo. man he-pst-order-asp that children they-rel-read-asp books 'The man ordered that the children reac the boolis.'
b Umugabo y-a-tegets-e kó ibitabo bi-sóm-w-a n'ábáana. man he-pst-order-asp that books they-rel-read-pass-asp by children
'The man ordered that the books be read by the children.'
c dUnurabo y-a-tegets-e ibitabo gusomwa n'áháana.
man he-pst-order-asp books to be read by children
d Umugaioo y-a-tegets-e abd́ana gusoma ibitabo.
man he-pst-order-asp children to read books
'The man ordered the children to read the books.'
The impossibiltiy of reflexivizing derived subjects is just due to the formal constraint on this rule which applies only if the objects are coreferential to the basic subject of the same clause, whereas that of raising is a functional constraint. In fact, radsing in Kinyarwanda, which is a property of manipulative verbs only creates a direct
interaction between the agent subject and the raised subject. Since verbs of command, request embed agent subjects only (Givón, 1974), derived subjects cannot raise, for they are passive, i.e. incapable of performing any activity.

## Properties of Initial Subjects

Initial subjects lose their grammatical relation to the verb when passivized. The demotion of the former subject is affected by either one of the following side-effect rules: the demoted subject is marked by the preposition ná 'by' or (ii) the demoted subject is deleted. The demoted subject is marked by ná if the speaker wants to stress or contrast the agent. In this case, then, the sentence has the same meaning as a cleft sentence.
(24) Umwáana y-a-kubis-w-e n'úmugóre. ${ }^{4}$
child lie-pst-best-pass-asp by woman
'The child was beaten by the woman.'
The demoted subject is deleted if it is unspecified, if it is definite enough(known by both speaker and hearer) or if the dummy ha- (not to be confused with the existential ha- or the locative ha-), which creates a cleft meaning . is inserted. When the dumm is inserted, the subject shifts to the right side of the verb.
(25) a Umuhuûngu a-rí-men-a ídiríshya.
boy he-pres-break-asp window
'The boy is braking the window.'
b Ídiríshya ri-rá-men-w-a n'úmuhuûngu. window it-pres-break-pass-asp by boy
'The window is being broken by the boy.'
c ※Ha-rátmen-w-a ídirfshya n'úmuhuûngu.
it-pres-break-pass-asp. window by boy
d Ha-rá-men-w-a ídiríshya
it-pres-break-pass-asp window
'It's the window that is being broken.'
e lia-rá-men-a ídiríshya umuluûngu.
it-pres-break-asp window boy
'It's the boy who is breaking the window.'
Non-agent subjects or initial subjects of verbs that have connate or abstract objects cannot be deleted by demorion.
(26) a U'mwáana a-rwaa-ye ínkoróra.
child he-be sick-asp cough
'The child has a cough.'
b *Ínkoróra i-ra-rwáa-w-e.
cough it-pres-be sick-pass-asp
':The cough is had.'
(27) a U'mukoôbva y-a-roos-e inzozi mbi.
girl she-pst-dzeam-asp dreams bad
'The girl had a nightmare.'
b $\dot{x}$ Inzozi míni z-a-roos-w-e.
dreams bad they-pst-dream-pass-asp
'\%Nightmares were dreamt.'
In Givon's explanation (personal comnunication) abstract and cognate objects are relational. and make no sense without their 'possessors'.
rithout their former subjects, the derived sentences don't convey ary kind of information at all. This the reason why (26)b and (27)b are bad: each sentence has to bear some information.

## Functional Role of Passivization

As suggested by Givon (1976), passivization is used to tonicalize the object. That is, the object becomes old information; the topic thot is being commented on. In fact like its active counterpart, the $\because . . \because$ Sentence has degrees of presupposition also.
(a) If the sentence has neither an object or an agent, the predicate is the comment (new information) and the cerived subject is the topic.
(b) If the sentence has a direct object without an agent, this one only is the new infornation in the whole sentence unlike active sentences in which toth the predicate and the complenent can be new information.

Thus (28) can be an answer to two questions but (29) cannot.
(28) Umugóre y-a-hamaga-ye unváana. woman she-pst-call-asp child
'The voman called the child.'
(29) Umwáana y-a-hamaģa-w-e n'Gmu̧̧órè. child he-pst-call-pass-asp by woman 'The child was called by the woman.'
(28) can be an answer: to a question such as "what djed the woman do?' or "what did the voman call?" It only answers "The child was called by who?" In this sense then, the last argument in a passive sentence is the only one to be new information.
(c) If: the passive sentence has an agent, this onc is the only nev information in the sentence. It acquires then, semantically the properties of oblique cases since in active sentences the latter ones are usce as comants. Passivization has two effects tien, narely tonicalization of
the object and empliasis of the agent: by stressing it or contrastinf it.

### 5.2. Stativization

Stativization is a process that gives a passive reading to a sentence by putting a definite or a generic object in the subject position. The former subject is deleted and the verb takes the perfective aspect marker -ye. In past tenses, the auxiliary -ri is used, in future tenses it is - ba that is found before the main verb.
(30) a Umugóre a-kubuu-ye inzu. woman she-clean-asp house
'The woman has just cleaned the house.'
b Inzu i-ra-kubuu-ye. ${ }^{6}$ house it-pres-clean-asp
(31) a Untgabo a-zaa-kiingur-a inzu. man he-fut-open-asp house 'The man will open the house.'
b Inzu i-zaa-ia i-kíinguu-ye. house it-fut-be it-open-asp 'The house will be open.'
(32) a Umukoôbwa y-a-andits-e íbarúwa. girl she-pst-write-asp letter 'The girl wrote the letter.'
b Íbarúwa y-á-ii y-áandits-e. letter it-pst-be $1 t$-cond-write-asp 'The letter was written.'

## Constraints

(i) Animate objects cannot be stativized. Stativizable objects have to be inanimate.
(33) a Abaantu b-iib-ye ibaânki.
people they-rob-asp bank
'People robbed the bank.'
b Ibâanki i-r-íib-ye.
bank it-pres-rob-asp
'The bank is robbed.'
(34) a ibaantu b-iib-ye unucuruzi.
people they-rob-asp businessman
'People robbed the businessman.'
b Umucuruuzi a-r-íib-ye.
businessman he-pres-rob-asp
':The businessman is robbed.'
'The businessman nas just robbed.'
The 'animate object restriction' as Givón has suggested is a prevention of confusion, since animate subjects can only act as agents with transitive veris.
(ii) Direct objects of verbs whose subjects are not agents cannot be stativized. These are verbs such as: think, hope, know, hear, feel, see, like, love, hate,...
(35) a Umwáana a-shaats-e ibíryo.
child he-want-asp food
'The child wants food.'
b ribíryo bi-ra-shaats-c.
food it-pres-want-asp
'Food is wanted.'
(36) a Umukoôbva a-kuunz-e iyf ntebe.
girl she-like-asp this chair
'The girl likes this chair.'
b *Iyí ntebe i-ra-kúunz-e.
this chair it-pres-like-asp
'This chair is liked.'
Since the functional role of stativization is to show the "state of patient resulting from action", the object of non-afentive verbs cannot be stativized because there is no actor or action in the sentence. The subject of these types of verbs is a recipient and thus cannot affect the direct object.
(iii) If the verb has two objects (a direct object and an indirect object, or a direct object and a derived object....) stativization does not apply.
(37) a Umukoôbwa a-ra-andik-iish-a íbarúva íkaránu.
girl sne-pres-wrice-1ustr-asp detter pen
'The girl ls writing the letter with the pen.'
b Íbarúva i-ra-andik-iish-ije *íkarámu.
letter it-pres-write-instr-asp pen
'The letter is written (with the pen).'
 pen it-pres-write-instr-asp letter
'The pen is used to write (the letter.)'
(33) a Umuhuûngu a-haa-ye umukoôbwa igitabo.
boy he-give-asp girl book
'The boy sives the book to the girl.'
b ${ }^{2} I_{g}$ itabo gi-haa-ye umukoôbwa.
book it-give-asp girl
'The book is given to the girl.'
Two-argument verbs such as give, show, ask.... and manipulative verbs never allow stativization at all, since as it is shown in Chapter 2, these verbs always require the presence of the 'recipient N'. It is not possible to have (38) c in which the recipient NP is not appearing.
(38) c *Igitabo ki-ra-háa-ye.
book it-pres-give-asp
'The book is given.'
The restriction on the stativization of veribs that have two objects is again due to the role confusion since the derived structure of a verb with 2 objects looks like the underlying svo structure and therefore is interpreted as the basic one.
(iv) Objectivized oblique objects (locatives, manners, goals, possessives), instrumentals excepted, cannot undergo stativization.
(39) a Umugóre a-kor-an-ye inyaambaro myiiza. woman she-work-man-asp clothes nice
'The woman is working in her nice clothes.'
b HIrayaambaro myifza i-rá-kor-an-ye.
clothes nice they-pres-work-mann-asp
'The nice clothes are worn in the work.'
(40) a Umuhuûnģ a-ra-ríritinb-ir-a amafaraanga.
boy he-pres-sing-ben-asp money
'The boy is singing for money.'
b *Amafaraanga a-ra-ríriimb-i-ye.
money it-pres-sing-ten-asp
'The money is sung; for.'
(41) a Umváalímu y-iica-ye kuú ntebe.
teacher he-sit-asp on chair
'The teacher is sitting on the chair.'
b Umwalalimu y-iica-yé-ho íntebe. teacher he-sit-asp-on chair
c *Íntebe y-iicayé-ho
chair it-sit-asp-on
'The chair is sat on.'

There isn't at the moment any explanation for the non-stativization for derived objects. In this case then stativization seems to be a properiy of basic objects only.

## Properties of Derived Subjects

Subjects derived by stativization acquire almost all the properties of inftial subjects: definiteness, verbal afrement, dumy-insertion. keep their term properties: relativizatior, cleftinf, pscudo-clefting, wh-questioning, exclusive insertion, existential insertion, etc. Eut like subjects derived by passivization, they cannot control coreferential deletion, since its structural description is never met. They cannot trigger reflexivization and they cannot raise to object position, since these operations indicate an activity whereas, stativiaation shows the state of the patient object.
5.3. Object-Subject (0-S) Peversal

Object-subject reveral is a syntactic process that oives a passive reading to a sentence by just reversing the object and the subject as shown bclow.
(42) a Umuhuûngu a-ra-som-a igitabo. boy he-pres-read-asp book
'The boy is reading the book.'
b Igitabo cyi-ra-som-a umuhuungu. book it-pres-read-asp boy
'The book is being read by the boy.'
As in the normal passive rule, oblique case NPs, cannot directly undergo this kind of transformation, they have to be objectivized first.
(43) a Umwáalímu a-ra-andik-a n'ífkarámu.
teacher he-pres-write-asp with pen
'The teacher is writing with a pen.'
b 倓karámu i-ra-andik-a n'úmwáalímu.
pen it-pres-write-asp with teacher
c Íkarámu i-ra-andik-iish-a umváalímu. pen it-pres-write-instr-asp teacher 'The pen is used to write by the teacher.'
(44)a Umwáana y-a-rir-aga n'ágahiinda keênshi. child he-pst-cry-asp with sorrow much 'The child was crying with too much sorrow.'
b Agahiinda reênshi k-a-rir-aga n'úmwâna. sorrow much it-pst-cry-asp with child
c Aganiinda kênshi k-a-rir-an-aga umwana. sorrow much it-pst-cry-mann-asp child
'It's the child who is crying with too much sorrow.'
As in many instances that have been presented in this thesjis, locatives behave like direct objects because they can be reversed without having to advance to DO. In this case also, the verb takes the locative
agreement ha- instead of agreeing with the locative phrase head noun.
(45)a Umunyéeshuûri y-a-gii-ye kw'ííshuûri.
student he-pst-go-asp to school
'The student went to school.'
b Kw'ííshuûri ha-gii-ye umunyéeshuûri.
to school it-zo-asp student
'It's the student who went to school.'
.. c Ishuûri ry-a-gii-yé-ho ununyéeshuûri.
school it-pst-go-asp-to student
'It's the student who went to school.'

## Constraints

(i) Incorporated pronouns, whether subjects or objects, cannot be reversed.
(46)a Abaantu ba-rá-ki-bon-a
people they-pres-it-see-asp
'Pcople see it.'
b Ki-rá-bon-a abaantu.
it-pres-see-asp people
'It is seen by the people.'
(47)a Isa-ra-gi-som-a.
they-pres-it-read-asp
'They are reading it.'
b Cyi-ra-ba-som-a
it-pres-theri-read-asp
'*It is being read by them.'
'It is reading them.'
The impossibility of reversing incorporated pronouns is perhaps due to the fact that a strict word-order is imposed on then. Subjects cannot
be pronominalized in the object slot and the object cannot be pronominalized in the subject slot either. Subjects and objects that undergo this transformation must be full iPs but cannot be anaphoric.
(ii) If the verb has the reflexive morpheme without the benefactive suffix -ir- 0-S reversal doesn't apply.
(49) a Umugabo y-íi-haa-ye igitabo.
man he-refl-give-asp book
'The man gave a book to himself.'

- Igitabo cy-íi-haa-ye umugabo.
book it-refl-give-asp man
'The book gave itself to the man.'
'The book gave the man to itself.'
(50) a AbCana b-iy-erets-e amashusho. children they-refl-show-asp pictures
'The children showed pictures to themself
b Amashusho y-íy-ercts-e abáana.
pictures they-refl-show-asp. children
'The pictures showed themselves to the children.'
'Ihe pictures showed the children to timenselves.'
'勋解 pictures were shown to the children by themselves.' In formal terms, the non-reflexivization of derived subjects can be predicted by stating reflexivization as a postcyclic transformation, such as pronoun incorporation and verb agreement, which applies only if basic subjects are coreferential to their objects. But as Givón has suggested, this kind of constraint should be more understandable in functional terms rather than by the formalism which, in fact, doesn't explain why reflexivization doesn't apply in particular instance. in many cases reflexi-
vization is an agent property. Recipient subjects allow reflexivization also but patient subjects don't. For reflexivization to take place then, the subject has to have some control in the action of the verb. O-S reveral applies to reflexives that have the benefactive morpheme -ir-, because as it was shown in Chapter 2 , this kind of construction is not a true reflexive.
(51)a Umuhûungu y-íi-ri-ir-a ibíryo byáa cu. boy he-refl-eat-ben-asp food of us
. 'The boy is eating our food.'
b Ibíryo byáa cu by-íi-ri-ir-a umuhuûgr. food of us it-refl-eat-ben-asp boy
'Our food is eatien by the boy.'
(52)a Unubooyi a-r-íi-kor-eesh-er-eza iki cyứna. cook he-pres-ieff-do-instr-ben-asp this knife 'The cook is using this knife.'
b Iki cyúuma cyi-r-íi-kor-ecsh-cr-eza umubooyi. this knife it-pres-refl-do-instr-ben-asp cook 'This knife is being used by the cook.'

If the benefactive suffix stands for the objectivized possessor, 0-S reversal is blocked of course.
(53) a Umugabo a-ra-som-a igitabo cy-ê. man he-pres-read-asp book of him
'The man is reading his book.'
b Unugabo a-r-íi-som-er-a igitaoo. man he-pres-refl-read-ben-asp book 'The man is reading his own book.'
c *Igitabo cyi-r-îi-som-er-a unugabo.
book it--pres-refl-read-ben-asp man
'?His own book is being read by the man.'
(iii) If the object and the subject are in the same semantic category such as humans, animals.... O-S reversal doesn't apply, because of 'role confusion.'
(54) a Umugabo y-a-som-yc umugóre.
man he-pst-kiss-asp woman
'The man kissed the woman.'
b Umugóre y-a-som-ye umugabo. woman she-pst-kiss-asp man
'The woman was kissed by the man.'
'The woman kissed the man.'
It is possible, nowever, for this transformation to apply, in this instance, if the pragmatics are well defined. 'The verbs -hoz- 'console', -vuur- 'cure', -roongor- 'marry' allow in some cases $0-S$ reversal even if they are in the same semantic class. In the Fwandan society only women and children cry, only men marry and only doctors cure. Since these are known facts, reversing if possible.
(55) a Umugabo y-a-hoj-eje unwáana. man he-pst-console-asp child
'The man consoled the child.'
b Unwáana j-a-hoj-eje unugabo.
child he-pst-console-asp man
'The child was consoled by the man.'
(56) a Umuhuûngu y-a-roongo-ye unukô̂bwa núbi.
boy he-pst-marry-asp girl ugly
'The boy married an ugly girl.'
b Umukoôbwa múbi y-a-roongo-ye umuhû̂nรu. girl ugly she-pst-marry-asp boy
'An ugly woman was married by the boy.'
(57) a Umư̧anga a-vuur-a ajarwáayi.
doctor he-cure-asp patients
'The doctor cures the patients.'
b Abarwáayi ba-vuur-a umugaanga.
patients they-cure-asp doctor
'The patients are cured by the doctor.'
(iv) Reversing doesn't apply if the verb has two objects or an oblique case NP.
(58) a limuhû̂ngu y-a-haa-ye umukoôbwa igitabo.
boy he-pst-efive-asy girl book
'The boy gave a book to the rirl.'
b *Igitabo cy-a-haa-ye umuhuûngu umukoôbwa.
book it-pst-give-asp boy öirl
'The book was given to the girl by the boy.'
(59) a Umwáallmu a-ra-andik-a íbarúwa n'ífkarámu.
teacher he-pres-write-asp letter with pen
'The teacher is writing the letter with a pen.'
b *íbaríva i-ra-andik-a umwáalifmu n'ifikarámu.
letter it-pres-write-asp teacher with pen
'The letter is written with a pen by the teacher.'
The Object-Subject reversal rule is very much restricted: it applies only when the verb has two arguments, the subject and the object.

As predicted by the Relational Annihilation Law, initial subjects become chomeurs in Kinyarwanda when $0-S$ reversal takes place. They cannot undergo any kind of transformation whatsoever.

NPs advanced to subject by the $0-S$ reversal rule don't acquire the properties of basic subjects such as raising, deletion under identity and ha- insertion; the only subject property they get is verb arreement. This process is formally a demotion because the derived subject loses even its term status propertics: it cannot relativize, cleft, pseudocleft, undergo existential insertion and exclusive insertion.... This rule is 'frozen' because it cannot feed other transformations. The 0-S reversal rule seems to violate both the Relational Succession Law and the Cyclicity Law, becanse first the derived subject doesn't get the properties of the initial subject as claimed by the first law and secondly this rule is a term-creating one but it is not cyclical, thus contradicting the latter law which states that term-creating rules are cyclical.

## Functional Role of 0-S Reversal Rule

The $0-S$ reversal rule has exactly the same functional role as the regular passive rule. That is, it puts the object in the topic position and the Eormer subject in the "unmarked" focus position. In a question such as 'Mary was beaten by who?', either the nomal passive rule or the O-S reversal rule would be an appropriate answer to the question. We cannot speculate at the moment why two rules with the same functional effect should coexist in the same language. But this phenomenon is not only sp.:cific to Kinyarwanda for Meeussen (1967) reconstructs the two rules for proto-Bantu and maintains that they still coexist in many

Bantu languages.

### 5.4. Subjectivization of Associatives and Comparatives

In Chapter 4, it was shown that oblique case IPs in order to undergo sone transformations such as relativization, passivization, clefting, ... have to be objectivized first. In the case of associative case and comparative case NPs, it's subjectivization rather than objectivization that takes place. One can predict then that some transformations such as passivization, pronoun incorporation, and reflexivization cannot apply to these cases since these types of processes only take direct objects as their input. Comparatives and associatives (=reciprocals) are marked by the prepositions nká (or kimwe ná) and ná, respectively. The latier also have the suffix -an- added to the verb stem.
(60) Uyu mwáana a-ririirab-a nká sc.
this child he-sing-asp like father
'This child sings like his father.'
(61) Maríya a-kor-an-a ná Yohaâni.

Mary she-work-ass-asp with John
'3ary works with John.'
Both associative and comparative KPs can be coordinated with the subject, and this creates a topicality change.
(62) Uyu mwáana ná se ba-ririimb-a kínwe. this child with father that-sing-asp alike 'This child and his $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eather sing alike.' }\end{aligned}$
(62) Maríya ná Yohaâni ba-rá-kor-an-a. ${ }^{6}$

Ma:y with John they-pres-work-ass-asp
'Mary and John work together.'

The difference beween $(60 \& 61)$ and $(62 \& 63)$ is that in the former sentences the oblique IIPs are new information, whereas in the latter it's the predicate that is new information. When subjectivization applies, the comparative preposition nká is changed to kímwe and the associative (reciprocal) preposition ná is deleted.

In both cases, the verb prefix is marked for plurality also.

| (63) I + you or the | tu- 'we' |
| :--- | :--- |
| . you the | mu- 'you' |
| he + he | ba- 'chey' |

Below, examples of subjectivization arc provided. (64) represents an associative NP and (65) a comparative. The transformations that arc involved are relativization, clefting, exclusive insertion and topicalization, respectively.
(64) a N-kor-an-a n'úmukoôbwa. I-work-ass-asp with girl
'I work with the girl.'
b W-a-boon-ye umukoôbwa du-kór-an-a. you-pst-see-asp girl we-rel-w.rk-ass-asp
'You saw with whom I work.'
c N'unukoôbwa du-kór-an-a.
be girl we-rel-work-ass-asp
'It's the girl that I work with.'
d Nita nukoôbwa du-kór-aṇ-a. no girl we-rel-work-ass-asp
'I don't work with any girl.'
e Umukoôbwa, tu-rá-kor-an-a girl we-pres-work-ass-asp
'The girl, I work with her.'
(65) a Unukoôbwa y-a-andits-e nk'úunuhuûngu. girl she-pst-write-asp like boy
'The girl wrote like the boy.'
b W-a-boon-ye umuhuûngu umukoôbwa b-a-ándits-e kíme. you-pst-see-asp boy girl they-pst-rel-write-asp like
'You saw the boy that the girl wrote like.'
c N'umuhuûngu umukoôbwa b-a-ándits-e kímwe. be boy girl they-pst-rel-write-asp like 'It's the boy that the girl wrote like.'
d sta muhuûngu umukoôbwa b-a-ándits-e kímwe. no boy girl they-pst-rel-write-asp like
'There isn't anyboy that the girl wrote like.'
e limuhuûngu, umukoôbwa b-a-andits-c kímwe. boy Eirl they-pst-write-asp like 'The boy, the girl wrote like him.'

The subjectivization of reciprocals, associatives and comparatives is an apparent empirical disconfirmation of the Advancee Laziness Law (see Trithart, 1975 also). The law in fact claims that since Kinyarvanda has rules that advance oblique NPs to the direct object status, then comparatives and associatives should pass by rinis intemediate stage before they can be advanced to subject position but they don't. It seems to be a universal phenomenon for these types of ilps not to undergo objectivization rules. An explanation of this fact can je found by examining the status of the direct object and the functional role of objectivization rules. Direct objects are directly arfected by the predication of the verb. Objectivization rules have this effect: that
is, they make oblique case NPs accessable to the control of the verb. Associatives, reciprocals and comparatives cannot be advanced to object because they accomplish the same role as the subject of the sentence.

## Footnotes

1. The stem of the verb to see is -bon-, but the vowel in the stem is always lengthened before the perfective aspect marker. There are no other verbs in the language that exhibit this phenomenon.
2. The infinitive form of the verb 'have' is -gir-, it is substituted by -fit- in present and past tenses, but remains -gir- in the future tense.
3. Since passivization puts the object in the topic position and the former subject in the focus position, Kinyarwanda passive sentences that are ungrammatical in English will be translated by cleft constructions, for indeed both constructions have the same meanin; in Kinyarwanda.
4. The passive morpheme - - - is inserted in the porfective aspect morpheme is split into the final vowel and the other part. A problen for norphology?
5. The perfective aspect marker, which is reconstructed as -ile for Proto-Lantu may have its reflex in Kinyarwanda as -iye instead of -ye as it was stated in Zimenyi (1973) because verb stems that end in vowel are always lengthened before it and, in some other instances, like when the verb has the benefactive morpheme the aspect morpheme is preceded by a vowci.
6. Givón (1075c) has shown that some Eantu languages have morphological devices to indicate whether the whole $V P$ constituent is new information (VP focus) or whether the complencat is the only new information in the sentence (COMP focus). In Kinyarwanda, the $\operatorname{CO}: \mathbb{P}$ focus is not marked but the VP focus is marked by the morpane -ra- for present and remote past tenses and by -a- for immediate
past. In these examples the object becones topic and the verb has to take the $V P$ focus marker since in fact it is now in focus.

In all cases of stativization, pronoun incorporation and topicalization, the verb has to take this marker because the object is in topic position and the verb in focus.

It is clear then that the morpheme ra- stands for the vp focus not for the present tense as it was suggested in Kinenyi (1973). Note in fact that the habitual tense which is normally marked by zero morpheme has to take the VP focus in all cases where the verb doesn't have a complement.
a. Baríya a-8-kor-a kw'íf́shuûri.

Slary she-hab-work-asp at school
'Mary works at school.'
b. Maríya a-rá-kor-a.

Mary she-VP f.-work-asp
'Mary works.'
c. EMariya a-kor-a.

Mary she-work-asp
'Mary works.'

This chapter investigates another type of promotion: raisins. It differs from the advancement pronotions in that it inserts from an embedded clause an $X \mathbb{P}$ into the Relational Hierarchy of the upper clause or the matrix sentence. Kinyarwanda has 4 rules of this type: one of ther: was presented already in Chapter 4, namely the possessor promotion which raises an NP node. The other three raising rules that are presented in this chapter arc:
-Subject-to-subject raising
-Object-cosubject raising
-Raising by derivational causativization
The same approach used in the previous section is applied here also. The mechanism of the rules and their constraints are described. The semantie effect of this operation is examined and the kind of transformations that "host" NPs and raised MPs can undero are tested.

### 6.1. Subject-to tubject raising

Only modality impersonal verbs, ${ }^{l}$ verbs that take bi- agreament such as birashoboka 'it's possible', biralwiiye 'it's a musi', ni ngoómbua 'it's necessary', birátegetswe, 'it's a rule', birábujijve 'it's forbidden' as well as factive (implicative) verbs such as birábaboje 'it's sad', Dirashiniishije 'it's a pleasure', biráneejeje 'jt brings phensure'. birataangaje, 'it's fascinating'.... allow subject-to-subject raising. Raising to subject position with modality verbs is given in (1) and (2) below.
(1) a Bi-ra-kwíi-ye kó adanyéeshuûri ba-sóm-a ibitabo.
it-pres-must-asp that students they-read-asp books
'It's a must that students read the books.'
b Abanyéeshuûri ba-kwii-ye gu-soma ibitabo. students they-must-asp to read books
'The students must read the books.'
(2) a Bi-rá-bujij-w-e kó abaantu bá-nyw-a itâabi nw'iishuûri. it-pres-forbid-pass-asp tiat people they-smoke-asp in school 'It's forbidden that people smoke in the class.'
b Abaantu ba-bujij-w-e kú-nywa itâ̂bi mw'iishuûri. people they-forbid-pass-asp to ser. in school
'reople are forbiden to smoke in the class.' As the exampies indicate, raising to subject with modality verbs applies by putting the subject of the embedded sentence in the subject position of the matrix sentence and by puting the infinitive marker to the finite verb of the embedded sentence.

Raising with factive verbs is affected differently as shown in (3) and (4).
(3) a By-a-babaj-e unwáalínu kó abanyéeshuûri b-a-t-iíz-e.
it-pst-make angry-asp teacher that student they-psi-neg-study-asp
'It made the teacher angry that the students didn't study.'
b Kúba abanyéeshuûri bâ-t-iiz-e by-a-babaj-e umwéalímu.
to be student they-neg-study-asp it-pst-make angry-asp teacher
'The fact that the students didn't study made the teacher angry.'
(4)a By-a-n-shiim-iish-ije kó w-a-áj-c.
it-pst-me-think-caus-asp that you-pst-come-asp
'it pleased me that you come.'
b Kúba $w-a^{-a j}-\mathrm{e}$ by-a-n-shiin-iish-ije. to be you-pst-come-asp it-pst-me-thank-caus-asp
'The fact that you came pleased me.'
In the sentences above, the embedided sentences which are the logical subjects of the matrix sentence become the suriace subject of the upper sentence when raising takes place. This process is realized, as the example shows, by shifting the whole embedded sentence to the subject position of the matrix sentence. The raised sentence is preceded by the lexical word "kuba" 'to be' and the verb of the raised sentence takes a conditional tense which is marked by a high tone on the subject prefix. Derived sentential subjects such as those présented in (3) and (4) acquire the syntactic properties of subjects. Before raising, they cannot undergo any kind of transformation but oace they have been raised they can passivize like nomal subjects, they can cleft, pseudo-cleft.... The only transformations that they don't undergo are relativization and the "dumy-insertion" ruie (ha-). Sentences (5), (6) and (7) illustrate passivization, clefting and pseudo-clefting of the derived sentential subject of (4) b respectively.
(5) ふーa-shim-iish-ij-w-c nó kúba w-á-aj-e. I-pst-thank-caus-asp-pass-asp by to be you-cond-come-asp
'I was pleased by the fact that you came.'
(6) N'ukúba w-á-aj-e by-a-n-shím-iish-ije. be to be you-cond-come-asp it-pst-me-thank-caus-asp
'It's the fact that you come that pleased me.'
(7) Kúba w-á-aj-e ní byo by-a-n-shím-iish-ije.
to be you-cond-come-asp be it. it-pst-me-thank-caus-asp
'The fact that you came is what pleased me.'

Derived subjects (by passivization, stativization and $\mathrm{s}-0$ reversal) can undergo subject-to-subject raising as evidenced by the following examples.
(8) a Ni ngoómbwa kó abakoôbwa ba-són-a ibitabo. be must that girls they-read-asp books
'The girls must read the book.'
b Ni ngoómbwa kó ibitaho bi-són-w-a n'ábakoôbwa. be must that books they-read-pass-asp by ;irls
'It's a must that the books he read by the oirls.'
c Ibitabo bi-goomb-a g,u-som-v-a n'ábakoôbwa. books they-inust-asp to-read-pass-asp by girls
'The books must be read by the students.'
(9) a Bi-ra-shobok-a kó ibíryo bí-ba bî-tects-e.
it-pres-bc possible-asp tjat Food it-be it-cook-asp
'It's possible that the food is cooring.'
b Ibiryo bi-ghobor-a kú-ba bi-teets-c.
Food it-may-asp to-be it-cook-asp
'The food might be cooking.'
(10) a Ei-ra-kwíi-ye ḳó abáana bá-nyw-a amáta.
it-pres-must-asp that children chey-driak-asp milk
'It's necessary that the children drint: milk.'
b Bi-ra-kwíi-ye kó amáta á-nyw-a abáana.
it-pres-must-asp that milk it-drini-asp childaren
'It's necessary that milk be drunk by the children.'
c Amáta a-kwii-ye kú-nywa abáana.
milk it-must-asp to-drink children
'Milk must be drunk by the children.'

If the upper verb is in the passive form however, only arent subjects derived by passivization can be raised.
(11) a bi-rá-bujij-w-e kó abáana ba-háá-bw-a ibitabo n'ábagóre. it-pres-forbid-pass-asp that children they-give-pass-asp by women
'It's forbidden that the children be given the books by the women.'
b Abáana ba-bujij-w-e gu-háa-bw-a ibitabo n'ábagóre. children they-Forbid-pass-asp to-qive-pass-asp books by wonen 'The children are forbidden to get books from the women.'
(12) a Bi-rá-tegets-w-e kó abáana bá-nyw-a amáta. it-pres-order-pass-asp that children they-drink-asp milk 'It's an order that the children drink milk.'
b Bi-rá-tegets-w-e kó arifla a-nyoó-bw-a n'ábáana. it-pres-order-pass-asp that milk it-drink-pass-asp by children
'It is ordered that the milk be cirunk by the children.'
c *amáta a-tegets-w-e ku-nyóo-bw-a n'ábíana.
miIk it-order-pass-asp to-drink-asp children
(13) a Bi-rá-tegets-w-e ló amáta á-nyw-a abáana.
it-pres-order-pass-asp that milk it-drink-asp children
'It's ordered that the milk be drunk by the children.'
b $\underset{\text { Amáta }}{ }$ a-tegets-w-e liúnyw-a abáana: milk it-order-pass-asp to-drink-asp children

The ungramaticality of (12) c and (13) is due to tiee fact the inanimate subjects have been raised to the subject position of a sentence governed by manipulative veris: the constraint is then semantic rather than syntactic.

Status of the raised subject and the former erabodded rp constituent
The raised subject governs the matrix sentence and the VP constituent
gets the properties of direct objects. In fact, besides relativization, it can undero all types of transformations that are applicable to basic objects: it passivizes, topicalizes, incorporates, clefts, pscudo-clefts...
(14) a Bi-ra-shobok-a kó abáana ba-gki-ha ibitabo.
it-pres-be possible-asp that children they-you-give tooks
'It's possible that the children give the books.'
b Abáana ba-shobor-a kú-gu-ha ibitabo. children they-may-asp to-you-zive books
'The children may give you the books.'
c Kú-gu-ha ibitabo bi-shobor-w-a n'ábáana. to-you-give books it-may-pass-asp by cinildren (Passive) 'To give the books may be done by the children."
d Ku-gyha ibitabo, abáana ba-ra-bi-shobor-a. to-you-give books children they-pres-it-may-asp. (opicalization)
'As to give you the bools, the chilcren can do it.'
e Kú-gu-ha ibitabo ní byo aidáana ba-shóbor-a.
to-you-give books be it children they-may-asp
'Io give you the books is what the children can do.'
The object-1ile properties of V' constituents of the raised subjects are not characteristic of subject-to-subject raising but an attribute of modality verbs such as begin, start, finish, like, dislike, can, must...
(15)a Abana ba-taangi-ye gusoma ibitabo. children they-start-asp to read books
'The children stare reading the books.'
b Gusoma ibicabo bi-taangi-w-e n'ábíana. to read books it-start-pass-asp by chilcien 'nEading the books is started by the children.'
c Gusoma ibitabo, abana ba-rá-bi-tangi-ye. to read books children they-pres-it-start-asp
'As to read the books, the children start it.'
It has been assumed that subject-to-subject raising with modality verbs really exist in Kinyarwanda because oth impersonal and personal
constructions coexist and accomplish the same senantic effect. The structure of the sentence in which raising is supposed to have taken place looks like the structure of any modality verb. Furtier investigation is needed to justify the characterization of this relationship of transformation.

### 6.2. Subject-to-object raising

Subject-to-object raising applies with manipulative verbs only such as command, forbid, allow, promise....

Usuaily these types of verbs allow 2 kinds of constructions either that 'complement' or infinitival complement. These types of constructions are illustrated in (i6) and (17) respectively.
(16) Umuzabo y-a-tcgets-c kó ab\{́ana bá-ry-a inyama. man he-pst-order-asp that children they-eat-asp meat
'The man ordered that the children eat the meat.'
(17) Umugabo $y$-a-tegets-e abáana kú-ry-a inyama. man he-pst-order-asp children to-cat-asp meat
'The man oriered the cinildren to eat meat.'
The semantic difference between (16) and (17) is that in the latter. the $A P$ 'children' is directly manipulated by the subject of the matrix sentence (Givón, 1974) whereas in (16) the children get orders by an intemediate device. The direct object of the upper sentence has ieen
deleted. As a matter of fact, both the direct object and that complement can appear in the sentence as shown in (18).
(18) Unugabo y-a-tegets-e abagóre kó abáana bá-ry-a inyama. man he-pst-order-asp women that children they-eat-asp meat
'The man ordered the women that the chiliren eat neat.'
It is possible also to have both 'that' complement and a direct object which is coreferential to the subject of the embedded sentence, as shown below.
(19) Umuçabo y-a-tcgets-e abáana kó bá-ry-a inyama. man he-pst-order-asp children that they-eat-asp meat
'The man ordered the children to eat meat.'
Arain this sentence is different from (16) with respect to the direct manipulation of the object by the subject of the upper sentence; but it has the same meaning as (17). Since (16) is different from botil (17) and (19) but (17) and (19) share the same semartic erfect, and since the direct object of a manipulative verb may be different from the subject of the embedded sentence, (17) derives fron (19) (but not Erom (16) ) by Equi deletion, for both the object and the subject are identical.

Besides Equi, manipulative verbs allow raising also. Thus 'children' in (13) can be raised to the object position of the matrix sentence.
(20) Unugabo y-a-tegets-e abagóre abáana kú-ryou inyara.
mar he-pst-order-asp women children to-eat nieat
'The man ordered the women that the children should eat meat.'
Note that in this instance, raising creates 'doubling' of the direct object. No anbiquity is created by this process since the enhedded verb still refers to the derived object not to the initial object or
the subject of the matrix sentence. It will be shown later that the derived object becomes only a surface direct object but not a real object because it doesn't get any of the semantic and syntactic properties of Uasic Dos.

Raising with manipulative verbs is also eviderced by the fact that some verbs which normally take that complement becone manipulative once the embedded subject is raised in the matrix sentence. Then the subject is raised, these verbs take the bencfactive suffix -ir- . Sone of these verbs are -cemer- 'accept', aanz- 'refuse', -kuund-' 'like' .... lihen raising takes place these verbs mean: emerer: 'promise', -angir- 'prevent', -kuundir- 'allow'.
(21) a Unugore y-eeme-ye kó abáana ba-sóm-a ibitabo. woman she-accept-asp that children they-read-asp hooks 'The woman accepted that the children read the books.'
b Linugóre y-eamer-e-ye abáana suso-ma ibitabo. voman she-accept-ben-asp children to read books
'The woman allowed tile children to read books.'
(22) a Umváalímu y-aanz-c kó abanyćcshuûri ba-sónok-a. teacher he-refuse-asp that students tiey-go out-asp 'The teacher refused that the students fo our.' .
b Unwáalinu y-aang-i-ye abanyécshuûri gusohoka. teacher he-refuse-ben-asp students to go out 'The teacher prevented the students from setting out.' The benefactive suffix creates anbiguity because the enbedded verb can either refer to the matrix subject (coreferential delction) or to the derived object. Thus (23) can be derived from two different underiyinf sources, namely (24) and (25).
(23) Unugabo y-a-kuund-i-ye abáana kugeenda. man he-pst-like-ben-asp children to go
'The man let the children go.'
'The. man, for the children, accepted to go.'
(24) Umugabo y-a-kuund-i-ye abáana kó agcénda. man ine-pst-like-ben-asp children that he go
(25) Umugabo y-a-kuunz-e kó ablana ba-reénd-a. man-he-pst-like-asp that children they-g-asp

In (24) deletion under identity applies and yields (23), in (25) it's maising that gencrates (23).

As expected pronominal prerix subjects get infixed in the verb of anc matrix sentence in case of raising.
(26) a Umugabo y-a-kuunz-e kó ba-geénd-a. man he-pist-like-asp that they-go-isp
'The man accepted that they go.'
b Umugabo y-a-ba-kuund-i-ye kugcenda. man he-pst-them-like-ben-as! to go
'The man let them go.'

## Constraints

Derived subjects by either passivization, stativization or oujectsubject reversal don't raise.
(27) a Unugabo y-eeme-ye kó abagóre ba-kúbit-a abáana. man he-accept-asp that women they-beat-asp children
'The man accepted that the women beat the children.'
b Uimugabo y-ceme-ye kó abáana ba-kúbit-ri-a n'ábagóre. man he-accept-asp that children they-beat-pass-asp by women
'The man accepted that the childran' le tenten by the women.'
c かUmugabo y-ecmer-e-ye abáana gúkubitwa n'ábagóre. man he-accept-ben-asp children to be beaten by women

There are few exceptions, however, which allow raising of derived subjects namely the following verbs kuroongorwa 'get married', kuvuurwa 'get cured' and guhémina 'get paid'.
(28) a U'mugabo y-aanz-e kó umuhû̂ngu a-roóngor-a unukoôbwa wê. man he-refuse-asp that boy he-marry-asp girl his 'The man refused that the boy marry his daughter.'
b U'mugabo y-aanz-e kó umukoôbwa wê a-roónধfor-w-a n'úmuhuûngu. man he-refuse-asp that girl his she-marry-pass-asp by boy 'The man refused that his daughter get married married by the boy.'
c Limugabo y-aang-i-ye umukoôbwa kuroongorwa n'úmulû̂ngu. man he refuse-ben-asp girl his to get married by boy
'The man didn't let his daughter get married to the boy.'
The rason why the subjects of the above passive verbs can raise is that the direct object of these verbs is always human. Note that the subject of (27) is human also. Passivization with the 3 verbs has been lexicalized so to speak.

## Properties of raised NPs

The functional effect of raising is to put the rafsed $X P$ in the control of the natrix subject (Civón, 1975). That is, there is a direct nanipulation between the subject and the eabedded agent. In other cases, the agent gets the orders iy an intermediate device. Raised subjects behave syntactically like basic direct objects since they can passivize, incorporate, relativize, cleft....
(29) a Umugabo y-a-kuunz-e kó abíana ba-són-a ibitabo. man he-pst-like-asp that children they-read-asp books
'The man accepted that the children read the books.'
b Unugabo y-a-kuund-i-ye abáana gusoma ivitabo. man he-pst-like-ben-asp children to read books
'The man allowed the children to read the books.'
c Abáana b-a-kuund-i-w-e gusoma ibitabo n'úmugabo. (l'assive) children they-pst-like-ben-asp to read books by man 'The children were allowed to read books by the man.'
d Umugabo y-a-ba-kuund-i-ye gusoma ibitabo. (Incorporation) man he-pst-them-1ike-ben-asp to read books.' 'The man alloved then to read the books.'
e il-a-boon-ye abáana umugato y-a-kuúnc-i-ye gusoma ibitabo. (Rel.) I-nst-see-asp children man he-pst-rel-like-ben-asp to read books
'I saw the children that the man allowed to read the books.'
f N'abaána umugabo y-a-kuúnd-i-ye gusoma ibitabo.
be children man he-pst-rel-like-ben-asp to read books (clefting)
'It's the children that the man allowed to read the books.' As in the case of subject-to-subject raising, VP constituents of raised subjects acquire the syntactic propertics of initial direct objects also. Thus to read the books in (29)b undergoes all types of transfornations that children undergoes.
(30) a Gusoma ibjtabo by-a-kuund-i-w-e abáana n'úmugabo. ( ${ }^{\text {Gassive) }}$
to read books it-pst-like-ben-pass-asp children by man
'Reading the books was allowed to the children by the man.' b Umugajo y-a-bi-kuund-i-ye abáana. (incorporation) man he-pst-it-like-ben-asp children
'Tie man allowed it to the children.'
c N'ugusoma ibitabo urrugabo y-a-kuúnd-i-ye abáana. (clefting) be to read books man he-pst-rel-like-ben-asp children 'It's to read the books that the man allowed the children.' As mentioned earlier if raising takes place when the matrix sentence has already another object, both the Relational Annihilation Law and the Relational Succession Law don't apply since the initial direct object coesn't go in chomage nor cioes the derived object acquire any object properties. In (31) for instance, initial objects still passive, incorporate, topicalize.... but the derived object doesn't undergo any of these transformations.
(31)a Unugabo y-eener-e-ye umugóre kó abaantu ba-az-a iku-mu-suur-a. man he-accept-ben-asp woman that men they-come-asp to-her-visit-asp
'The man promised the woman that the people will come to visit her.'

- Umugaho y-ecmer-e-je umugóre abantu ku-uz-a ku-mu-suur-a. man he-accept-ben-asp woman people to-come-asp to-her-visit-asp
c Unugóre y-e emer-e-v-e n'únugabo abaantu kuuza kumusuuza. (Pass.) woman she-accept-ben-pass-as! by man people to come visit her 'The woman was promised by the man that the people will come to visit her.'
c :ALaantu b-cener-c-w-e mugóre n'umugabo kuuza kunusuura. poople they-accept-ben-ben-pass-asp woman by man to come to visit her
e Umusabo y-a-mu-eener-e-ye abaantu kuuza kumusura. (Incorpor.) man he-pst-her-accept-ben-asp people to come to visit har 'Tle man promised her that the people will come to visit her.'

E *Unugabo y-a-b-eemer-e-ye unugóre kuaza kumusuura. man he-pst-chem-accept-jen-asp woman to conc to visit her ; Linugóre, umugabo y-a-mw-cemer-e-ye abaantu kuuza kumusuura. (Top.) woman man he-pst-her-accept-ben-asp people to come to visit her 'As to the wonan, the man promised her that people will come to visit her.
in :Abaanti, umugabo y-a-b-eemer-c-ye umugóre kuuza kumusuura. people man-he-pst-them-accept-ben-asp woman to come to visit her It : as observed that raised NPs get a recipient role in the matrix sentence while the enbedded Vp assumes the role of a patient. .

Since two identical serantic roles camot coexist in the same sentence, it follows that the derived object acquircs only a surface object property but keens its role as the subject of the embedded sentence.

### 6.3. Raising by Causativization

Kinyarwanda has both periphrasitic and derivationgl causatives. After having established their functional role, this section will focus on the derivational causatives, since tiese are the ones that involve pronotions. The constraints on this process will be investigated and the properties of derived oojects and initial onjects will be surveyed aliso.

## Periphrasitic Causatives

Periphrasitic causatives are introduced by the verbs -teer- and tun-, both of them meaning 'cause'. The verb -teer- causes an obligatory raising of the enbedded subject to the object position of the matrix: sentence.
(32)a Abáana b-a-̨ii-ye. children they-pst-fo-asp
'The children left. '
b Limugabo y-a-tee-ye abáana ku-geend-a.
man he-psi-cause-asp children to-g-asp
'The man caused the children to go.'
The unspecified subject of the embedded sentence can be deleted if the periphrastic causative verb is -teer-.
(33)a Abaantu ba-ra-bon-a. people they-pres-see-asp
'Pcople see.'
b Kugeendag $\left\{\begin{array}{l}g u \\ b i\end{array}\right\}$ teer-a (abaantu) kubona. to go it cause-asp (people) to sec 'To travel causes to see.'

If the main verb is -gir- 'to have', it can be deteled logether with its subject when causativization takes place with -tcer-.
(34) Akazi keênshi ga-teer-a (abaantu kugira) imbarága.

Wo:k much it-cause-asp (people to have) streryth
'Much work causes strength.'
(35) Amáazi nábi a-teer-a (auaantu kuricira) inďóara. water bad it-cause-asp (people to have) disease 'Bad water causes discase.'

Note that this phenomeron is not peculiar to Kinyarwanda only, since many langtages delete optionally the unspecified subject. iook at the Enclish sentence (36) a and $b$ which mean exactly tize same.
(36)a Ead water causes (people to get) disense.
b Bad water causes disease.
The verb embedied in the periphrasitc causative constructicn with the
the verb -tun- is in the participial mood (cfr Kinenyi, 1973) and its subject cannot be deleted if it is unspecified as in the case of -tum-. There is then no raising or Equi deletion in this case. ,
(37) a N-a-andits-e amábarúwa meênshi.

I-pst-write-asp letters many
'I wrote many letters.'
b Uimukoôbwa y-a-tum-ye n-áa-andik-a amáborúwa mêensini.
girl she-pst-cause-asp I-past-write-aspletters many
'The girl caused to write many letters.'
Both veris -tum- and -teer- exhibit the same semantic properties that Givón (1974) in his paper on 'Cause and Control' has established for the English periphrastic causative 'to cause'. The two verbs allow an 'unintended result' but they don't control the action or the result of the embeded proposition. They are incidental or involuntary. This is evidenced by the fact that the causative cannot be nodified by any constiuction meaning 'force, deliberation....'.
(38) UMugabo y-a-tee-ye abáana kugeenda, ku gianato. man he-pst-cause-asp children to go by force. 'whe ran caused the children to go, by force.'
(39) :Umugoie y-a-tum-ye umukoôbwa a-seend-a, hu bushâke. Woman she-pst-causc-asp firl she-so-asp on will
'tThe woman caused the girl to go, deliberatcly.' Since they don't control the embedded proposition, they cannot be used in the positive imperative and subjunctive moods.
(40) a treera abáana kugeenda.
caus children to go
'?Cause the children to go.'
b :TTuma abáana bâ-gcend-a. cause children they-go-asp
'?Cause the children to so.'
(41) a *Abáalínu ba-túm-e abanyéeshuûri bấ-som-a ibitabo. teachers they-cause-subj students they-read-asp books
'?The teachers shouldrthe students to read the books.'
b *abáalíniu ba-teér-e abanyéeshuûri gusoma ibitabo. teachers they-cause-subj students to read books '?The teachers should cause the students to read the books.' Negative imperatives and subjectives are allowed, as the examplesindicate.
(42)a V-ii-tcér-a abáana kugeenda. ${ }^{4}$
you-neg-cause-asp children to go
'?Don't cause the children to go.'
b $\quad$-ii-tún-a abáana bâ-geend-a.
you-neg-cause-asp children they-go-asp
'? Don't cause the children to go.'
(43) a Ab̧aiímu ntí-ba-tum-c abanyéeshûuri b $\widehat{a}-$ som-a ibitabo. teacher neg-they-cause-subj students they-read-asp books 'The teachers shouldn't cause the students to read the books.' Periphrastic causatives cannot cmbed other periphrastic causatives but they embed the derivational ones:
(44)a Aümugabo y-a-tum-ye umugóre $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { ä-téer-a } \\ \text { á-tum-a }\end{array}\right\}$ abáana $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { kuryáama. } \\ \text { bâ-ryaan-a }\end{array}\right\}$
man he-pst-cause-asp woman she-cause-asp children to sleep
b tUmugabo y-a-tec-ye umugóre $\left\{\begin{array}{l}g u ́ t u m a \\ g u t e ́ e r a\end{array}\right\}$ abáana $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { bâryaama } \\ \text { kuryáana }\end{array}\right\}$
man he-pst-cause-asp woman to cause chiliren to sleep
c U'mugabo y-a-tum-ye umugóre a-ryáam-iish-a àáana.
man he-pst-cause-asp woman she-sleep-caus-asp chilciren
'The man caused the woman to make the children sleep.'
d Unugabo y-a-tee-ye un:ugóre ku-ryáam-iish-a abáana. man he-pst-cause-asp woman to-sleep-caus-asp children
'The man caused the woman to make the children sleep.'
It seems, by looking at the facts of (44), that the object of a causative construction which is at the same time the subject of the embedded causative construction has to have control of the state or the action in the embedded proposition.

## Derivational Causativization

The derivational causative marker is the same as the instrumental morphene -1ish-. ${ }^{4}$ Causatives and instrumentals are in fact drawn from the same structure, the only difference beine that subjects of causatives are alwa;s animate, those of instrume:als are inanimate. Givón (personal communication) has suggested thet this is a diachronic explanation of -iisn-becoming an instrumental marker.

Look at the sentences below:
(45) Umugabo a-ra-andik-iish-a umugabo íbarúva. man he-pres-write-caus-asp man letter
'The man is making the man write a leter.'
(46) Unugabo a-ra-andik-iish-a íkarámu forcúwa. man he-pres-write-instr-asp pen lettor
'The man is writing a letter with a pen.'
In both sentences the -iish- suffix: is translated by 'make': The man is making the nan write a letter and the man is making the pen write a Ietter. Then derivational causativization applies, the embedded subject is either
'demoted' to the direct object position or deleted. The subject becomes object if the embedded verb is intransitive or if the subject of the embedded sentence is not agent and its object not animate.
(47) a Abáana ba-ra-ryáan-yc.
children they-pres-sleep-asp
'Tine children are sleeping.'
b Umusóre a-ryaam-iish-ije abilana
woman she-sieep-cause-asp children
'The woman is puttine the children in bed. i
(48) a Nbáana ba-ra-som-a ibitabo.
children they-pres-read-asp books
'The cinildren are reading the books.'
b Umugavo a-ra-som-eesli-a abáana ibitabo.
man he-pres-read-caus-asp children books
'The man is making the children read the books.'
This kind of 'doublinç' of direct objects by causativization that we notice in (48) i isn't allowed if the embedded sentence has both an agent subject and an animate (human, animal) object. In tinis case the object is retained but the subject deieted.
(49) a Lmugóre y-a-kubis-e abáana. woinan she-pst-beat-asp children
'The woman beat the children.'
 man he-pst-beat-caus-asp woman children
c Cougabo y-a-kubit-iish-ije abúana. man he-pst-beat-caus-asp children
'The man made (somebody) beat the chiidren.'
(50) a Umwáana a-z-iic-a ímbwa. child he-fut-kill-asp dog 'The child will kill the dog.' .
b rưmukoôbwa a-z-iic-a umwáana ínbwa. girl she-fut-kill-caus-asp child do;
c Lnu:oóbwa a-z-iic-iish-a ínbwa. sirl she-fut-kill-caus-asp dos 'The girl will make (somebody) kill the dog.'

Usually Kinyarwanda allows doubling of objects whetler both are animate or not, as (51) indicates.
(51) ibag,
men they-pst-give-asp man woman
'The men gave a woman to the man.'
Raising of verbs such as beat, kill, grect, thank, reard, excuse ... whici always take agent subjects and animate objects ereates a semantic confusion in the derived structure because of the 'case staking' (rivón's explanation: personal comunication). Since the derivational causative -iish- 'to nake' is a deliberate causative (Civón, 1974) and thus is in control of the agent of tic embedded sentence, in is not recessary that the afent shows up on the surface; it is not in control of the predication of the embedded sentence anymore which explains the unfelicity of (49)b and (50)b. Whe se kinds of verbs never allow the deletion of the object wher causativization applies. Other transitive veros allow deletion of either the embedded subject, the object or even both as illustratec in (52) $a, b, c, d, e$, respectively.
(52) a Abaantu ba-r-úubak-a inzu. people they-pres-build-asp house

```
            'People are building the hou:c.'
    b Umugabo a-r-úubak-iish-a abaantu inzu.
        man he-pres-build-caus-asp people house
            'The man is making the people build the house.'
            c Umugabo a-r-úubak-iish-a abaantu.
        man he-pres-build-caus-asp people
            'The man is making the people build.'
    d Umugabo a-r-úubak-iish-a inzun.
        man he-pres-build-caus-asp house
        'Tiee man is making (somehody) build the house.'
            e Umugabo a-r-úubak-iish-a.
        man he-pres-build-caus-asp
            'The man is making (somebody) build (something).
```

The object in (52) is deleted if it is known by both speaker and bearer and then doesn't need to be mentioned. ?he sabject is deleted if. it is unspecified. Loth subject and object are celeted if the above two conditions are met: the subject is unspecified and the object is definice. Direct and Indirect Causation

In some instances the derivational causative -iish- introduces'an indirect causation' and thus behaves like the causative have in Enclish (Givón, 1974) whereas other derivational causatives insert direct causation and are, therefore, similar to make (sic).

This is very well illustrated first by the verbs that take the 'potential' or 'neutral' morpheme -ik- which indicates the state or the potentiality. When such verbs don't have this morpheme, they have a causative meanine. They can take the -ijsh- suffix also but, in this case, the subject of the matrix sentence isn't the direct controller of the enbedded predication.
(53) -reack- 'be broken' -men- 'break' -mencesi-'have break' -vunik- 'be broken' -vun- 'break' -vuniish-'have break' -saduk- ${ }^{6}$ 'be cut' -satur-'cut' -satuz- 'have cut'
(54)a Inkoni i-rá-vunik-a.
stick it-pres-be broken-asp
'The stick is breaking.'
b Émugaho a-rá-vun-a inkoni.
man he-pres-break-asp stick:
'The man is breaking the stick.'
c Umugóre a-rá-vun-iish-a umugabo inkoni.
woman she-pres-break-caus-asp man stick
'The man is having the man break the stick.'
In (54) b, the matrix subject is the direct controler but in (54) ca mediator is used. The control of the matrix subject in the action of the embedded sentence is indirect.

Some verbs such as -shyuuh-'be warm', -amhtik- 'cross'.... use the suffix $-y-7$ to signal direct causation and still use -iish-for indirect causation.
(55) a Anáazi a-ra-shyúuh-a.
water it-pres-be warm-asp
'The water is getting warm.'
b Unugóre a-ra-shyúushoy-a amáazi. woman she-pres-warm-caus-asp water
'The woman is warminis the water.'
c Úmugabo a-ra-shyúuh-iish-a umụóre amáazi. man he-pres-warm-caus-asp woman water
'The man is having the woman warm the water.'
(56) a Ínka i-ra-ambuk-a urúuzi.
cow it-pres-cross-asp river
'The cow is crossing the river.'
b Ưmugabo a-ra-ambut-s-a ínka urúuzi. man he-res-cross-caus-asp cow river
'The man is making the cow cross the river.'
c Úmugabo a-ra-ambuk-iish-a ínka urúuzi.
man he-pres-cross-caus-asp cow river
'The man is having the cow cross the river.'
It is very clear that $b$ sentences indicate direct cause and $c$ sentences show indirect cause.

## Constraints on Derivational Causativization

Some verbs and sone constructions don't allow causativization by the suffix -iish- on the verb. For instance, manipulative verbs, 'twoargument '. verbs such as ask, show, feed ... and sone of the verbs that require agent suijects and animate objects such as grect, thonk, forgive... don't take the causative morpheme but undergo periphrastic causativization only.
(57)a Umu̧abo a-rá-tegek-a abagdre.
man he-pres-conmand-asp women
'The man is commanding the women.'
b *Unwáami a-rá-tegek-eesh-a umgabo abagóre.
king he-pres-comard-caus-asp man women
c limáami a-ra-téer-a umugaho oútcgeka abasóre.
ling he-pres-comand-caus-asp man women
'The king is causing the man to command the women.'
What (57) shows is that some agents of verbs that have animate objects
can be controlled by the subject of the matrix sentence whereas others cannot. Thus verbs such as kill, beat, give can be causativized as indicated in (49) and (50) but none of the manipulative verbs can . This suggests that control of the action of the embedded sentence by the matrix subject depends very much on how much responsibility the embedded subject has in performing the action or putting the event into being. To summarize:
(a) All intransitive and stative verbs can be derivationally causativized.
(b) $£ 11$ transitive verbs with inanimate objects are causativiabble.
(i) Few transitive verbs with agent subjects and animate subjects are causativizable.
(d) None of the manipulative verbs is derivationally causativizable. Reflexive constructions cannot be causativized because in finyarwanda as it was onserved earlier reflexiviation applies only if the rirect Object (whether derived or initial) and the Subject are coreferential within the sane clause. Causativization being a Clause thion rocess keeps the upstairs subject as the subject of the derived sentence and a:nkes the downstairs subject the Direct Dbject of the new sentence or deletes its. The reflexive marker in the derived structure cannot refer to the former subject since it always refers to the subject of the sentence.
(53) a Unugóre a-r-íi-reeb-a. woman she-pres-ref̂-watch-asp
'The woman is watching l:erself.'
b Umugabo a-r-íi-reeb-eesh-a unugóre.
man he-pres-refl-watch-caus-asp moman
'WThe man is making the woman watch herself.'
'The man is watching the woman by himself.'
'The man is making himself watch the woman.'
As the examples in (58) show, the emisedded subject and the matrix subject must be coreferential in order for the reflexive construction to be causativized.

Verbs that have objectivized oblique objects cannot causativized with the suffix.
(59) a Unwáana y-iica-ye kuú ntebe. child he-sit-asp on chair
'The child is sitting on the chair.'
b Umu̧̧óre y-iica-j-e unwáana kuú ntebe. woman she-sit-caus-asp child on chiar 'The wonan made the child sit on the chair.'
c : :! mugóre y-iica-j-é-no íntebe umváana. woman she-sit-caus-asp-on chair child
(60) a Umukoôbwa a-rá-kor-a ku mafaraanga.
girl she-pres-worli-asp for money
'The girl is working for money.'
b traugabo a-rá-kor-eesh-a urrukoôbwa ku mafaraanka.
man he-pres-urik-caus-asp sirl for money
'The man is making the girl work for money.'
c KLmugabo a-rá-kor-eesh-er-eza umukô̂bwa amafaraanģa.
man he-pres-work-caus-ben-asp girl money
'The man is using the girl's money.'
Note, however, that causativization with pronoun goals can take place.
(61) S-i-ńn-zi ícyo umugabo a-ríriinb-iish-ir-iza unukoôbwa. neg-I-know what man he-rel-sing-caus-ben-asp firl
'I don't know why the man is making the firl sing.'
(62) N'iíki umugóre a-ryaám-iish-ir-ije umváana. be what woman she-sleep-caus-ben-asp child
'W'ly the woman is putting the child to bed?'
Some oblique KPs, whether objectivized or not, never let their verbs undergo causativization. These are manners, instrumentals, associatives and comparatives.
(63)a Umunyéeshuûri a-ra-andik-a ne'ílkarámu.
student he-pres-write-asp with pen
'The student is writing with a pen.'
b *ưnwáalímu a-ra-andik-iish-a umunyéeshữri n'ífkaránu.
teacher he-pres-write-caus-asp studene with pen
(64)a CMułoôbwa a-ra-ríriimb-a n'íbyishiimo byî̀ishi.
girl she-pres-sing-asp with pleasure much
'The girl is sirging with a lot of pleasure.'
b ümuhû̂ngu a-ra-rírizimb-iish-a urukoôbva n'íibyi:hiimo byî̂nshi. boy he-pres-sing-caus-asp girl with pleasure much
'The boy is making the girl sing with a lut of pleasure.'
'The boy, with a lot of pleasure, is anking tite girl sing.' That (64)b shows is that manner complenents refer to the subject. of the sentence rather than to the object. It is the same for instrunental xp also.

Objects derived by causativization, whatever their semantic function may be, acquire all the syntactic properties of initial objects and the former object keeps its status alsof it still has ali its object properties.

This is illustrated by the fact that both the derived object and the initial object of (65) can passivize, incorporate, relativize, cleft....
(65) a Abákozi ba-r-úubak-a inzu.
workers they-pres-build-asp house
'The workers are building the house.'
b Umußabo a-r-úbak-iish-a abakozi inzu.
man he-pres-build-caus-asp workers house
'The man is making the workers build the house.'
Passive
(66) a Abákozi ba-r-Kubak-iish-w-a inzu n'úmugrabo. workers they-pres-build-caus-pass-asp house by man 'The workers are made buiid the house by the man.'
b Inzu i-r-úubak-iish-w-a abákozi n'úmèabo. house it-pres-build-caus-pass-asp workers by man 'The house is made build to the workers by the man.'

## Incorporation

(67) a Umugabo a-rá-b-uubak-iish-a inzu.
man he-pres-them-build-caus-asp house
'The man is making them build the house.
b Umugabo a-rá-v-uubak-iish-a abákozi.
man he-pres-it-build-caus-asp workers
'The man is making the workers build it.'
c Umugabo a-ra-yí-b-uubak-iish-a.
man he-pres-it-them-build-caus-asp
'The man is making then build it.'

## Relativization

(68) a si-a-boon-ye abákozi umugabo y-uúbak-iish-a inzu.

I-pst-see-asp workers man he-rel-build-caus-asp house
'I saw the workers that, the man is making build the house.' b N-a-boon-ye inzu umugabo y-uúhak-iish-a abálozá. I-pst-sce-asp house man he-rel-build-caus-asp workers
'I saw the house that the man is making the workers build.'

## Clefting

(69) a in'ahákozi umugabo y-uúbak-iish-a inzu. be-workers man-he-rel-build-caus-asp house 'It's the workers that the man is making build the house.' b N'inzu umuç̣abo y-uúbak-iish-a abákozi. be house man he-rel-build-caus-asp workers
'It's the house that the man is raking the workers build.' In conclusion, Kinyamanda sems to disconfirm Corric's analysis of causativization, which is considered as 'a demotion' ?rocess. ile observes, in fact, that in many languages, downstairs subjects are demoted to the next gramatical function available in the Accessability Fierarchy. Thus in languages such as French, the embedded sibject is either Direct object, Indirect object or Instrumental object depending on whether the emberlded sentence is intransitive, has a dircet oiject or an indirect object. In Kinyamanda, this distinction is not made. All mbedded sunjects, whether they are subjects of intransitive verbs or transitive verbs that have many bjects, are realized as Ios in the derived structure. This is then a case of 'doubling', an analysis that he rejects.

## Footnotes

(1) The terminology used for the semantic classification of verbs such as modality, factive, manipulative, implicative.... is taken from Givón (1973), the reader is referred to him for a better understanding of this classification.
(2) Kinyarwianda has 4 negative morphemes: si- Eirst person simular in independent clauses and nti- for other persons; -ta- is used in dependert clauses: conditionals, relatives that clause... The neg ative marker here is -ii- to signal negative imperative. iote that it looks exactly like the reflexive marker.
(3) Like the instrumental suffix, the causative suffix is also sometimes realized as -any-with verbs that have the associative suffix -an- or -z- if the veri ends with -r-.
(5) Givón (personal commanication) has suggested that the Bantu suffix -iish- really signalled indirect causation, the direct causation Being a latter development since the suftix -vas used for this purpose.

## Chaptcr VII Pronominalization

This chapter presents three types of pronouns: emphatic pronouns, incorporated pronouns and impersonal pronouns. The mechanism of pronominaiization is examined in all cases and the somantic and syntactic properties of pronouns are investigated.

### 7.1. Emphatic Pronouns

The emphatic pronouns are used independently: that is, they oceur by themselves like normal full IPs as opposed to bound morphemes whein are attached to other elements. These pronouns have a different phonetic shape depending on the kind of grammatical function they heve: subject, possessive or oblique (preceded by the prepositions).
subject nossessive oblique

1. jycéwe -njyc njyc 'me'
2. wóve -we we 'you' (sg)
3. tweébwe - -aacu twe 'we
4. mwébrse -aanyu meve 'you' (pl) The third person is realized the same way for all the cases. like the possessive case, the third person emphatic pronoun is always preceded by the class marker of the pronominalized noun. The morpheme that marks the human singular third personal is $-e$, the emphatic pronoun for all other classes is -o.

Ex. cl.1: we;cl.2: bo; c1.3: wo; c1.4:yo, cl.5:ryo; c1.7:cyo; c1.8: byo....
All classes are not given since it is easy to form these pronouns once one knows the class markers.

Sentences (2) and (3) illustrate the use of the pronouns in the three
(2) a iweébre, tu-r-1ig-a.
we we-pres-study-asp
'We, we are studying.'
b A-ra-som-a igitabo cydacu.
he-pres-read-asp book of us
'Ie is reading our book.'
c Umugóre a-rd-kor-an-a nâtwc.
woman she-pres-work-ass-asp with us
'The woman is working with us.'
(3) a Zô, zi-ri hâno.
they they-be here
'Tiney, they arc here.'
b Si-n-if́buk-a amázina yáa zo.
neg-T-remember-asp names of them
'J. don't remember their names.'
c A-mezze nká zo.
he-be-asp like them
'He is 1il:e them.'
Free pronouns are used in either one of the following cases:
(i) to show contrast or emphasis
(ii) after prepositions to refer to nouns mentioned in the previous discourse or to refer to the same noun that occurs in the left side of the sentence.
(iii) En the cleft construction type $2(2,11)$ after the copular ni.
(iv) after the preposition ná that means 'also' and náho meaning 'whereas'.

When they act as emphasis or contrast, free pronouns occur at the right of their antecedents as oppositions.
(4) Abahuûņ̧, ba-ra-kin-a, abakoôbwa, bô, ba-r-íig-a. boys they-pres-play-asp firls they they-pres-study-asp 'The boys are playing; but the girls are studyirs.'
(5) Íkardmu z-á-buz-e ariko ibitabo, byô, bi-ri hâno. pen they-pst-miss-asp but books they they be here
'The pens are nissing but the books are heze.'
When the 1 st person and the 2nd person are used for contract the particle ho is added. The emphatic pronoun doesn't take it.
(6) Tweébwe, tu-ra-andik-a, meébwého mu-ra-izon-a. we wo-pres-write-asp you you-pres-reac-asp
'Uc we writing but you you are readine.'
(7) Wóve v-a-gii-yc, ǰ̌óreho n-d-si;a-ye. you-you-pst-go-asp me I-pst-stay-asp You, you went but I stayed.'

## Oblicue Cases

If an oblique $: \mathbb{P}$ has been topicalized, mentioned or accured at the leftside of the sentence, it is referrec to by a pronoun left in its former position.
(8) Linugbre, n-a-vuig-an-yo ná we.
woman I-pst-say-rec-asp with her
'The woman, I talked to ler.'
(9) Abaantu bakuunda abaantu ba-mez-e nkí :o.
people they-like-asp people they-are-lick then
'Pcople like people who are like tinem.'

## Cleft Constructions

Free pronouns appear in cleft constructions (cfr. 1.12) after the copula ni.
(10)a Umubooyi a-teets-e inyama.
cook he-cook-asp meat
'The cook is cooking meat.'
b Inyama ní zo umubooyi a-teéts-c.
meat be it cook he-cook-asp
'It's the meat that the cook' is cooking.'
(11) a Umugbre a-ra-som-a isitabo. woman she-pres-read-asp book
'The woman is reading the book.'
b Igitabo ní cyo umugore a-sóm-a.
book be it woman she-read-asp
'It's the book that the woman is reading.'
Pronoun use after ne 'also' and naho 'wherens'
The morpheme na can modify an TP , a VP, an adjective of a sentence.
When it modifies a $V P$, an Adjective or a Sentence, the pronoun that follows, is marked by the neutral marker (class 0 -bi-).
(12) a Unukô̂bwa y-a-som-ye isitabo nácvo. girl she-pst-read-asp book also it
'The girl read the jook aiso.'
(13) Uyu mugóre ni mugúfi nábyo.
this voman be short also it
'This woman is short also.'
(14) A-ra-ríriimb-a ná byo.
he-pres-sing-asp also it
'He sings also.'
(15) Umugabo y-a-vuz-c kó a-ta-záa-za ná byo.
man he-pst-say-asp that he-neg-fut-come also it
'The man said that he won't come either.'
In (12) the pronoun refers to book and thus arrees with it: in (13) it refers to the adjective 'short'. In (14) it refers to the verb sing whereas in (15) it refers to the whole embedded sentence.

When the pronoun that occurs after the morpheme na doesn't have a referent in the same sentence, it can only Eunction as a topic (sce next chapter). As shown in the next chapter, verlis always agree bith the topics. This kind of agrement is obliyatory with pronouns that occur alone with the morpheme na.
(16) a Uimugóre y-a-boon-ye abahuûngu ná bo. woman she-pst-see-asp boys al.so them
'The woman saw the boys also.'
b Lmuģ́re y-a-ba-bóon-ye ná bo. woman she-pst-them-sce-asp also them
'The women saw then aslo.'
c "Umuǵŕre y-a-boon-jc ná bo.
woman she-pst-see-asp also them
The morphene náho 'but' introduces an idea expressine the opposite of the preceding statnent. It occurs at the beginning of the sentence and therefore NPs that it introduces are only, either subjects or tonics: objects or oblique cases don't appear in this construction.
(17) Abahû̂ngu ta-rí-iiģa náho abakoôhwa bo ba-ra-ki n-a. boys they-pres-study-asp but girls them they-pres-piay-asp 'The boys are studying whereas the girls are playing.'
(18) Abáana b-a-ri-iye inyana náho imbóßa zo b-a-z-́nanz-c. children they-pst-eat-asp meat but vegetables them they-pst-them-refuse-asp
'The children ate the meat whereas they refused the veretables.' Free pronouns can hadergo all types of transtormations that full rips undergo, depending on the sramatical function they have in the sentence. Oblique pronouns, however, cannot be topicalized. In the usual case, topicalization from a prepositional phrase is effected by sinfting the head noun at the left or the right side of the sentence and by leavine a pronoun behinc. Topicalization is blocked in this case because two coreferential identical forms never appear in the sane sentence.
(19) a Umwdana y-a-vug-an-ye n'únuer,abo.
child he-pst-say-rec-asp with ran.
'The child talked with the man.'
b Umadana y-a-vug-an-ye ná we.
child she-pst-say-rec-asp with him
'The child talked with him.'
c Umu̧̧abo, uniwáana y-a-vug-an-ye ná wo.
man child he-pst-say-rec-asp with hit.
'The man, the child taiked with him.'
d xûe, unwáana y-a-vug-an-ye ná we.
him child he-pst-say-rec-asp with hir.
'As for him, the child talked with him.'
Like unị̣e referents such as proper names, pronouns also have a caisal meaning rather than a restrictive one when they are relativized.
(20) Umugabo y-aang-a tweébwe a-ta-dndik-ir-a. man he-hate-asp us he-neg-rel-write-ben-asp
'The man hates we that he doesn't write to.'
'The man hates us because he doesn't write to us.'

Even though in many cases, free pronouns have the properties of full iPs, they are subjected to some constraints that the latter are not. For instance, a free pronoun cannot occur as an object of a verb that has another object: the pronoun has to be infixed in the verb.
(21)a ?Umưóre y-a-haa-ye wê igitabo. woman she-pst-give-asp him book
'The voman gave him a book.'
b Umupóre y-a-mu-haa-ye igitabo. wonan she-pst-him-óive-asp book 'The woman gave him a book.'

A verb cannot have two free object pronouns, either one of them is infixed or both of them.
(22) a *Unugabo y-eerets-e bô yô. ${ }^{1}$ man he-sinow-them-(rec)-them-(pat)
b Unugaio y-a-y-ecrets-c bô. nan he-pst-thee-show-asp then:
c Umugabo $y$-a-b-eerets-c yô. man he-pst-then-show-asp them
d Umurabo $y-a-y a-b-c e r c t s-e$. man he-pst-them-them-show-asp 'The man showed then (pictures) to them (people).
(23) a $\because$ Unubooyi y-a-tem-ecsh-eje icyo uwo. ${ }^{1}$ cook he-pst-cut-instr-asp it (instr) it (patient)
b Unubooyi y-a-wu-tem-eesh-cje i.cyo. cook he-pst-it-cut-instr-asp it
c Umubooyi y-a-gi-tern-cesh-eje uwo.
cook he-pst-it-cut-instr-asp it
'The cook cut it with it.'
Free pronouns never express coreferentiality with any other Np in the sentence. In the same clause coreferentiality is mazked by the reflexive marker -ii-; it is the rerular incorporated pronoun (sec next section) that indicates coreferentiality across clause boundaries.
 child he-pres-want-asp that you-give-asp him
b Unwáana a-ra-shaak-a kó u-mú-h-a. child he-pres-want-asp that you-him-rive-asp
'The child wants that you give it to inim.'
(25) a *Abáana ba-r-íifuuz-a kó b -cêrek-a bô amashusino. children they-pres-wish-asp that they-show-asp then pictures 'The children wish that they show then pictures.'
b Abáaua ba-r-íifuuz-a kó ba-b-cérek-a arashusho. children they-pres-wish-asp that they-theri-show-asp pictures

### 6.2. Pronoun Incornoration

This section examines the mechanism of pronoun incorporation; it looks at their syntactic properties and their order in the verb. pronoun incorporation, a property of direct objects only, applics if the noun has been mentioned previously in the ciiscourse or if it is coreferential with another non-subject (reflexivization would apply in this case) Op that appears in the left side of the verb that is incorporating. The incorporated direct object pronoun, witich in many cases resembles the class marker of the noun, occurs between the tense marker and the vorb
ster.
(1) N-a-boon-ye Karô̂li ná Yohâ̂ni ná we y-a-mu-boon-ye.

I-pst-see-asp Charles and John also him he-pst-him-sce-asp
'I saw Charles and John saw him also.'
(2) ibáana b-a-ny-erets-e igitabo w-a-ba-gúr-i-yo. children they-pst-rae-show-asp book you-pst-them-buy-ben-asp 'The children showed me the book that you bought for them.' The anaphoric pronoun doesn't have to refer uniquely to an $\because T$ that occurs at the left of the verb, it shares the sane identity of sunse but need not necessarily refer to it.
(3) Ni-a-guz-e igitabo na Yohaâni ná we y-a-ki-guz-e.

I-pst-buy-asp book and John also nim he-pst-it-buy-asp
'I bought a book and Johr bought one also.'
(4) Jimukoôbwa y-a-tan-ye amafaraança, áliko unuhuungu we, Giti she-pst-lost-asp money but boy him nti-y-a-ya-taa-ye. nef-he-pst-it-lose-asp
'The girl lost the money but the boy dicn't lose any.' As we see in the sentences above, the pronomiqul elements don't refer to the same book or the same money, but these nouns have to have a generic reading for the pronoun not to refer to them.

Unlike other Eantu languages, Kinyarvanda doesn't nave relative : $\because$ onouns but uses tones to refer to the antecedent $\lambda$, as observed in the section on relativization.

Oblique cases have to be advanced to object position in order to undergo pronoun incorporation, otherwise a resumptive free pronour appears in the nour slot as indicated earlier.

Temporals never incorporate maybe because they are not in the scope of the main verb, but belong to a higher predicate.
(5) rumugóre y-a-nj-e ku-mánywa n'ábagabo nábo b-a-ha-j-e woman she-pst-come-asp on daytime and nen also them-they-pst-

$$
-\mathrm{t}-\operatorname{come-asp}
$$

'The woman came during the daytime and the men also.' Locatives, ${ }^{\text {nowever, wheh behave syntactically like temporals can be }}$ incorporated even without being promoted to the Direct object position. In this case the incorporated pronoun is the locative infix -ha-.
(6) Ku Eitaânda ha-rí(ho) ibitabo abhana b-a-hazshyíz-e. on ied it-be-on boots childiren tiey-pst-there-nut-asp 'On the bed there are books that the chilciren put.'

If the locative IIP is objectivized, pronoun incorporation applies by eitieer suffixing the verb with the locative preposition as observed in Chapter 4 or by naving both the suffix and incorforated pronoun referinf to the head noun of the locative phrase.
(7) a Ku gitâ̂nda ha-rí-(ho) ibitabo abóana b-a-shyíz-ć-ho. on bed it-be-on books children they-pst-put-asp-on
'On the bed tincre are books that the children put.'
b Ǩu gitâanda ha-rí-(ho) ibitabo abdana b-a-gi-shylz-é-ho.
on bed it-be-on books children they-pst-it-pist-asp-on
'On the bed there are the books that the children put on it.'
Sore chan one object pronoun can be incorporated in the kinyamanca verb as illustrated in (8).
(3) Y-a-ki-mu-ba-he-er-cye. ${ }^{2}$ he-nst-it-him-them-give--ben*asp 'lle gave it to him for then.'
(9) Tw-a-bi-ba-gí-shub-ir-ije.
we-pst-theri-them-you-give-back-ben-asp
'We gave them back to them for you.'
There are, however, some incorporated pronouns that con't allow incorporation of other pronouns: these are soals and possessives.

Goals con't allow incomporation $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ alay other pronoun because, in the first place, no other objectivization rule can arpiy once tie soal wh been advanced to the Birect Object status, and advancement of Coal to D.O. never takes place if there is alrady another D.0. in the sentence, ( 4.5 ). Note however that incorporation of goal is impossible in (ll).
(11)a Umukoôbwa a-kor-er-a amalaranga n'intolit. girl she-work-ber-ass money with hand.
'The rirl torks for money with liands.'
b : timucóre a-ra-2i-ya-kor-eesh-er-cza.
woman she-pres-it-it-work-caus-ben-asp
'The roman works for it with them.'
Incorporated possessive pronouns block incorporation of patient pronouns also, but recipient pronouns can be inco:porated in this case.
(13) a Umugóre y-a-ba-som-v-ye initabo. woman she-pst-them-road-ben-asp book
'Tre woman read their book.'
b Umugóre y-a-ki-ja-son-e-ye. Woman she-pst-it then-read-ien-asn
':The wonan read their it.'
'The woman read it for then.'
(14)a. Umuģabo a-rám-he-er-a abíaria ibitabo. man he-pres-me-give-ben-asp cinildren books
'The man is giving my books to the children.'
b Umugabo a-ra-ba-m-he-er-a ibitabo. man he-pres-them-me-give-ben-asp books
'The man is giving my books to them.'
'The man is giving the books to the children for me.'
c Lmuğabo a-ra-bi-ba-m-he-er-a. man he-pres-them-them-me-give-ben-asp
'The man is giving them to them for me.'
'\%The man is giving my them to them.'
The non-incorporation of patient $\mathrm{IPs}_{\mathrm{s}}$ when the possessive $\operatorname{IT}$ is incorporated is consistent with other constraints inposed on the former Do in case of possessive promotion. We showed earlier that the initial D o is put en chomage then objectivization of a possessive takes place, since it cannot passivizc, cleft, relativize... It is inaccessible to pronoun incorporation because it is a chomeur.

If the verb has four incoporated pronouns, which is usually the maximum number the verb can take, these pronouns must be locative, patient, recipient and benefactive.
(15) Abiana ba-zaa-ha-ki-mu-b-cerek-er-a.
children they-fut-there-it-him-them-show-ben-asp
'Ihe childrenwill show it to him for them there.'
The order of infixed pronouns from the verb stem is (1) bencfactive, (2) recipient and (3) patient. The fixed order of incorporated pronouns prevents any possible ambiguity if the noun class is the same for all of tiacm.
(16) Ba-ra-ba-ba-ba-he-cr-a. they-pres-them-them-them-give-ben-asp
'They are giving then to them for them.'
(17) Tw-a-mu-mu-mu-uz-an-i-ye.
we-pst-him-hini-hin-come-ass-ben-asp
'We brought him to him for him.'
This strict order is not imposed on locative pronouns which can occur any where: near the verb stem, near the tense marker or between the other pronouns.
(18) a Ba-ra-ki-hí-shyir-a.
they-pres-it-there-put-asp
'They put it there.'
b Ba-ra-ha-gi-shyir-a.
they-rres-there-it-put-as;
'They put it there.'
(19) a Ba-ra-zi-tư-gu-he-er-a.
they-pres-them-us-you-give-ben-asp
'They are giving them to us for you.'
b Ba-ra-ha-zi-tú-gu-ie-er-a.
they-pres-there-them-us-you-zive-iben-asp
'They give them to us for you there.'
c Ba-ra-zi-ha-tú-gu-he-er-a.
they-pres-them-there-us-you-sive-ben-asp
'They are giving them to us for you there.'
d Ba-ra-zi-tu-há-guthe-er-a.
they-pres-them-us-there-you-give-ben-asp
e Ba-ra-zi-tu-gholna-he-er-a.
they-pres-them-us-you-there-give-iben-asp
The locative pronoun 'floating' as exemplified in the examples above
doesn't have any functional effect whatsoever. The locative pronoun cannot, however, appear after the first person singular pronoun or after a reflexive pronoun.
(20) a Y-a-ku-ha-gi-ir-iye.

He-pst-you-there-go-ben-asp
'He went there for you.'
b $x y-a-m$-ha-gi-ir-iye.
he-pst-me-there-so-ben-asp
c Y-a-ha-n-gi-ir-iyc.
ile-pst-there-go-ben-asp
'lle went there for me.'
(21)a A -ra-mú-ha-kor-a.
i.e-pres-him-therc-touch-asp
'lle touches him there.'
b *A-r-ifi-ha-kor-a.
he-pres-refl-there-touch-asp
c A-ra-h-íi-kor-a.
he-pres-there-refl-touch-asp
'te touches himself there.'
We don't have any explanation now as to why only the first person singular and the reflexive pronoun don't allow the locative pronoun 'floating.'

### 6.3. Impersonal Pronouns

Impersonal pronouns which are also called 'neutral', 'dumy', or 'empty' are those that don't refer to any $2 P$ either present in the sentence or previously mentioned in the discourse. Kinyaryande has four pronouns of this type: ba-, bi-, bu- and an-.

All of them can occur as subjects only. They cannot have any other kind of grammatical relation to the verb. This section presents their syntactic properties and their respective use.

## a. The Use of ba-

The pronoun ba- usually refers to unspecified human subjects. It is used When the speaker wants to put emphasis on the action of the verb, without paying attention to the agent of the action.
(1) Ba-zaa-tw-iib-a.
they-fut-us-rob-asp
'Somebody will rob us.'
(2) Sii-n-s!aák-a kó inzu yáa cu, ba-yi-seĉny-a. neg-I-want-asp that house of us they-it-destroy-asp
'I don't want our house to be destroyed.'
Note that this pronoun docsn't necessarily have a plural meaning but a sincular one as illustrated in (3) and (4).
(3) Karoôli, b•-a-mi-iirukan-ye ku kazi.

Charies they-pst--him--lismiss-asp on job
'Charles was dismissed of his job.'
(4) Ė-a-mu-ci-ir-iyc urubaânza rwó gúpfa.
they-pst-him-cut-ben-asp sentence of to tie
'He was sentenced to death.'
In (3) and (4) above, it is clear that the agent of the action is only one person: in (3) it may be the boss, in (4) the judge.

This pronoun occurs only with transitive verbs because it always functions as unspecified agent. It cannot appear with stative verbs and neither is it found in passive constructions.
then passivization applies to a sentence whose subject is tie imporsonal
pronoun ba- the pronoun is obligatorily deleted, otherwise it would have a definite reading.
(5) a $\quad$-a-mú-kubis-e. they-pst-him-beat-asp
'Somebody hit him.'
b Y-a-kubis-w-e ná bo. he-pst-beat-pass-asp by them
'lle was hit by them.'
c Y-á-kubis-w-e he-pst-beat-pass-asp 'He was hit (by someone)'

This l:ind of construction is only used in definite des ariptions, that is, the complement has to be either an incorporated pronoun or a topic. If the argument that is being commented upon is a full 2il, then ba- rust have a definite reating.
(6) a İitabo, b-a-i:-íib-ye.
book they-pst-it-steal-asp
'is to the book, somebody stole it.'
'As to the book, they stole it.'
b $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}-1 i_{i b-y c}$ they-pst-it-steal-asp
'They stole it.'
(7) B-iib-ye igitabo.
they steal-asp book
'*Somebody stole the book.'
'They stole the book.'
What we see in the sentences above is that ba- constructed with pronouns
or topics is always ambiguous as to whether it is a definite pronoun referring to some specific human previously mentioned in the discourse or a dumm. In (7)a, ba- cannot be a dumny but has to be a definite subject pronoun since there is no other topic in the sentence.

## b. The Vse of bi-

There are two uses of the impersonal pronoun bi- in Kinyarwanda (1) to stand for an idea already expressed in the previous discourse or to figure as the "apparent" subject of a sentence that has a "real" sentential subject and (2) to express an indefinite time. Sentences (8) through (11) illustrate the first use, while (12) and (13) illustrate the latter.
(8) Bi-ra- shobok-a.
it-pres-be-possible-asp
'It is possible.'
(9) Ri-ra-garagur-a.
it-pres-be-clear-asp
'It's clear.'
(10) Bi-rakwíi-ye kó mu-geénd-a.
it-pres-must-asp that you-mo-asp
$\because$ ou must go.'
(11) Bi-x-úunv-ilc-an-a kó a-ta-záa-̨aruk-a.
it-pres-understand-ncutr.-rec-asp that you-nce-iut-cone back-as!
'It's understandable that he won't come back.'
(12) ivunko bîgecz-e aho, abáana ba-ra-ríriimb-a.
then it-arrive-asp there children they-pres-sing-asp
'At that point, the children started singing.'
(13) E $\hat{i}-m a z-c$ gutiinda cyane, abaantu ba-ra-geenda. it-finish-asp to get late too, people they-pres-go 'As it was getting too late, people started leaving.' Sentences constructed with the bi-manifest similarities parallel to the Latin impersonal construction which has its semantic subject in the dative casc. In Kinyarwanda also, if the verb that has bi- as its subject incorporates a direct object pronoun, the latter functions as the real semantic subject.
(14) BA- du-komer-e-ye, tw-aa-roreer-a.
it-us-be-hard-ben-asp we-conci-quit-asp
'If it became hard for us we would quit.'
(15) IIti-by-ába-shoboic-e-yc.
ner-it-pst-them-be possible-asp
'They couldn't.'
Usualıy full irs cannot appear as objects in the sentences with bisubjects.
(16) a Bíkuunk-i-ye abáana, b-a-az-a.
it-like-ben-asp children they-cond-cona-asp
'If the chiloren could, they would come.'
b Eî-ba-kuund-i-ye, b-a-aza.
it-them-like-ben-as? they-cond-come-asp
'If they could, they would come.'
Note also that the benefactive $u$ uffix -ix- shows up on the verb whenever the verb with the bi- subject ias an incorporated pronoun. c. The Use of lia-

The dumy ha- appears in two uses: (1) it refers to the wather when one is talking about atmosheric conditions and (2) it is inserted in a

## sentence to give a cleft meaning.

(17) Ila-ra-shyứushy-e.

> it-pres-be warm-asp
'It's varm.'
(18) E-a-ri hâ-koonj-e.
it-pst-be it-be cold-asp
'It was cold.'
When the dummy ha- is inserted in a sentence, the subject shifts at the -• right of the verb.
(19) a Univáana a-ra-som-a.
child he-pres-read-asp
'The child is reading.'
b Ha-ra-som-a unváana. ${ }^{4}$
it-pres-read-asp child
'It's the child who is readins.'
(20)a Abagabo ba-ra-geend-a.
men they-ires-go-asp
'The men are going.'
b lia-ra-geend-a abagabo.
it-pres-go-asp men
'It's the men who are going.'
If the sentence that is undergoing the dumy insertion rule has other NPs in the sentence, either direct objects or oblique :1Ps, these ones are obligatorily deleted.
(21) a Unukoôbwa a-ra-som-a igitabo. عirl she-pres-read-asp book
'The girl is reading the book.'
(21) b *ina-ra-som-a umukoôbwa igitabo.
it-pres-read-asp girl book
'It's the girl who is reading the book.'
c lia- ra-som-a umukoôbwa.
it-pres-read-asp girl
'It's the $\beta$ irl who is reading.'
(22) a Umwáana a-ra-andik-a n'ííkarámu.
child he-pres-write-asp with pen
'The child is writing with a pen.'
b *ila-ra-andik-a umwfana n'ífkarámu.
it-pres-write-asp child with pen
'It's the child who is writing with a pen.'
c Ma-ra-andik-a umuáana.
it-pres-write-asp child
'It's the child who is writing.'
Derived suijects by either passivization er stativization can be found in this type of construction.
(23) a Abáana b-á-kubis-w-c.
children they-pst-beat-pass-as?
'The children were beaten.'
b h-a-kubis-v-e abáana.
it-pst-beat-pass-asp children
'It's the children who were beaten.'
(24) a IbÍryo bi-ra-téets-c.
food it-pres-cook-asp
'The Food is cooking.'
b Ha-teets-e ibíryo.
it-cook-asp food
'It's the food that is cooking.'
Subjects derived by $O$ (bject) $-S$ (ubject) reversal cannot, of course, appear in this construction because both the derived subject and the demoted one would lave to be present, which is disallowed in this construction: only subjecte appear.
C. The Use of bu- ${ }^{5}$

The pronoun bu- is only uscd to mark the times of the day: morning, evening, nifint,....
(25) Eu-ríi-je.
it-get dark-asp
'It's getting dar.' (night)
(26) Bu-ra-gorod-ye.
it-pres-be evening-asy
'It's the avening.'
(27) Bu-ra-ké-eye. ${ }^{6}$
it-pres-be dawn -asp
'It's the daylight.'
(28) Bu-ra-ium-an-ye.
it-pres-be blind-rec-asp
'It's completely dark.' (nicht)
Bu- is used with intransitive stative verbs only. ine verbs that have bu- as the subject, describe the state of the tine.

This section has show that Kinyarwanda has timee types of pronouns: impersonal, emphatic and incorporated pronouns. Inpersonal pronouns are distinguished from others by the fact that they exhibit less
syntactic and senantic properties. Their semantic rance is very much restricted since they only tell about unspecified agent-subjects, time and atmospheric conditions. Impersonal pronouns can only function as su'jects. They cannot have any other type of grammatical relation to the verb such as DO or oblique case. 'Dumminess' is a property of subjects as remarked in Chapter 3.

It was indicated that qmphatic pronouns share some properties of full SPe since they have all the erammatical relations to the verb: subject, object, oblique case, and can underco all the major syntactic transformations such as passivization, relativization, clefting... They differ, ionever, from full :Ps on the restrictions of their occurrence in the sentence. It is impossible for instance to have two objects (which is alloned for ©ull EPs ) as independent promouns in the sentence. Incorporated $\ddot{\text { ironows are required if such a situation arises. }}$ Whe number of pronouns alloved for incorporation argues for a Surface Siructure Constraint on hor many objects can appear in the sentence. It wa show in Chapter 4 that it is impossible to have four Eull aps as objects of tio same verb. It is possible hovever io have four incorporatec pronouns in the same verb as seen in this chapterfpronominalization is a late tranformational rule as argued everywhere in renerative literature ard since only direct objects (hasic or derived) allow pronoun incozuoration, it scems that at some staise in the derivation, the verh must have four full $: P$ direct objects in order for bie incorporation to tate ?lace.

Emphatic pronouns accomplish different functional roles han the incorporated amonouns. The formal relationship that exists between them has not been investionted, however. There isn'i, at presenc, any
evidence whether pronoun incorporation and the other kind of prononinalization are different processes or 'cmphatic' pronominalization is son:e kind of pronominal reduction towards incorporation. This probiem that the present work cannot answer requires further study.

## Fontnotes

1. Tivo empiatic pronouns or a full $\operatorname{dP}$ and an enphatic pronoun are not allowed in the object position for communication purposes (Givorn's explanation) because it is not possible to have tro "focus" Ifis in the sentence.
2. Incorporation oif object pronouns is found in few languages such as Kinyarwanda, Kirundi and Kihaya but other Eantu languades such as Swahili incorporate only one object.
3. The inpersonal construction ba- has the same effect created by the refular passive rule and it is even used more frequently than the latter.
4. The ha- construction is not to be confused with the existential construction which is used to introduce indefinite subjects and indirect objects as shom in (3.1.5.)

This one has the same semantic role as the cleft construction (3.4.2). Their functional roles may be different but, at the monent, there is no such indication.
5. Givón (personal commanication) has suggested that the inpersonal bu- may come fron the proto-bantu word ubu-silku 'day'.
6. The hat construction is also used for this expression:
in-ra-ké-cye.
it-pres-be dawn-asp
'It's the daylight.'

## Chapter VIII Topicalization

Topic is a cover tem to mean elements shifted either to the left side of the sentence or the right side of the sentence. For clarity of exposition, preposed elements will be called left topics and pootposed elements right topics.

The first part of this section deals with the mechanism of this syntactic process and some observations are nade especially on the syntactic characteristics common to both richt and left topics. The second part of the section is concerned with the constraints on topicalization and the last part expiores the functional role of this transformation.

## Topicalization oE terms

Topicalization, as mentioned above consists of novint an :in from its iritial position to either the leftriost sice of the sentence (lef: copic) or the rishtmost part of the sentence. Thisi.illustrated in (2) and (3) which are both supposed to have derived Erom (1).
(1) Ümugóre y-a-boon-ye umugabo. woman she-pst-see-asp man
'The woman saw the man.'
(2) Unusabo, umućre y-a-mu-boon-ye. man woman she-pst-inim-sce-asp
'The man, the woman sug him. 'l
(3) Lrocóre y-a-mu=boon-ye, umugabo. woman she-pst-sce-asp man 'The woman saw him, the man.'

The side effect rules of topicalization are anreement of verbs with topicalized SiPs or anaphoric pronouns left in the position formerly leld
by oblique MPs bein; topicalized, and the appearance of 'action-focus.' marker -ra- for present tenses and -a- for past tenses. ${ }^{2}$

MPs with which the verb agree are subject Dos, Io and Benefactives and Locatives (Temporals). Agreement occurs in the pronoun bol slot.
(4) a Unwáana a-som-ye ifitiabo. child he-read-asp book
'The child has just finished reading the book.'
b Igitabo, umbáana a-ra-pi-som-ye. book child he-pres-it-read-asp
'The book, the child has just read it.'
(5) a jmuzóre a-r-Éarek-a abáana amashusho. woman she-pres-show-asp children piccures
'The woman is showing pictures to the chiliren.'

- Abíara, umugóre a-rá-b-eerek-a amashusino. children woman she-pres-them-show-asp pictures 'The children, the woman is showing the pictures to then.'
(6) a Liukoôbwa a-ra-ríriimb-ir~a unuhuûngu. girl she-pzes-sing-ben-asp boy 'The firl is singin; for the boy.'
b U'munûngu, umukoôbva a-rárnu-ririmb-ir-a boy girl she-racs-hir--sing-ben-asp
'The boy, the girl is singing wo: lim.'
Locative and temporal aps take -ha- arement of the suffi: $-\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { too }\end{array}\right\}$ wen they are topicalized wille clauses (infinitives, that clause...) taice -bi-agrecrient.

Examples are given in (7) and (8) to illustrate topicalization of loc
and Ziemp. Examples (9) and (10) show topicalization of clauses.
(7)a Abáana bi-iica-ye kuú ntebe.
children they-sit-asp on chair
'The children are sitting on the chair.'
b Kué ntebe, abdana ba-ra-h-iica-ye.
on chiar children they-pres-there-sit-asp
'The chair, the students are sitting on it.'
c Kuú ntebe, abáana b-iica-yó-ho.
on chair children they-sit-asp-on
'The chair, the children are sittine on it.'
(3) a Abaantu ba-ii muu nzu.
people they-be in house
'People are in the l:ouse.'
b Absantu ba-ra-ha-ri, ruu nzu.
people they-pres-there-be in house
'ieople are in it, the house.'
c Abaantu ba-rí-mo, muu nzu.
people they-be-in in house
'People are in it, the housa.'
(9) a Abáana ba-kuund-a gukina.
children they-like-asp to pley
'Children like to play.'
b Gukina, abaana ba-rá-bi-kuund-a.
to play children theypres-it-lil:c-asp
'To play, children like it.'
(10)a Unugóre y-iibaçjw-e kó abáana b-a-zií-ye. woman she-forget-asp that childre: they post-ro-asp
'The voman forgot that the children have left.'
b Unugóre $y$-a-by-íbaģiv-e, kó abáana b-a-siti-ye.
woman she-pst-it-forget-asp that children they-pst-co-asp
Verbs don't usually agree with temporal IPs when they are topicalized.
(11)a Yohaani a-kor-a mu sitondo.

John he-woris-asp in
'John works in the morning.'
b Mu gitoondo, Yohâ̂ni a-ríl-kor-a.
in mornins John he-pres-work-asp
'In the morning, John works.'
c Yoheâni a-rá-kor-a, mu gitoondo.
John he-pres-work-asp in morninc:
'John works, in the mornins.'
(a)a fimbra j-nok-a mu giculu.
dog it-hark-asp in midnight
'The dog bazks in the middle of the airint.'
b Yu gictlu, Ímbwa i-ra-mok-a.
in midnicht dog it-pres-bank-asp
'In the middle of the nicht, the do: barte.'
c Ímbiva i-ra-mok-a, mi_ gicuku.
dog it-pres-bark-asp in midnight
when
'The reason why agreement doesn't take place/temporals are topicalized is because temporal $\operatorname{ZPs}$ usually act as adverb to the whole sentence and are, therefore, outside the scope of the verl; complenent. When the temporal $A P$ functions as an accusative with sone class of veris, specially emotional ones such as like, be afraiciof, hate...., amreement apyles. This is illustrated by (13) and (14).
(13) a AUdana ba-tiiny-a m'ijoro.
children they-be afraid of-asp in night
'Children are afraid of the night.'
i W'ijoro, abáana ba-ra-ha-tiiny-a.
in nisht children they-pres-there-be afraid of-asp
'The night, the children are afraid of it.'
(14)a a'úshiki wanjye a-kuund-a mu gitoondo.
sister of me she-like-asp in roornine
' Hy sister likes the morning.'
b Múshiki wanjye a-ratha-kuund-a, wu gitoondo. sister of me she-pres-there-like-asp in rorninc.
' $\because$ y sister likes it, the momine.'
: iote, however, that even if agreement can't anply with temporal complement topics as in (11) and (12), the topic is marked ty the "action - focus" marker -ra- or -a- (for detail sce Givon, $1969 \& 1975$ ats veli as Simonyi, 1973), witich is ohligatory. It is inpossible to have sentences sach as (15) a and b in which "in the roornire" is the topic.
(15)a \% gitcondo, Yohầni aukor-a.
in morning John he-work-asp
'In the ::orning, Join works.' is "Yohâ̂ni a-kor-a, zu fitioondo.

John he-work-asp in morning
'John worts, in the morning.'
h.hat makes the above sentences bad is that the "action-focus' marier which signals topicalization is rissing.

Topicalization of Oblique case iTs
Oblique case :IPs that are topicalizable are instrumentals, comparatives
and associatives (reciprocals). As it will be shown in the section on constraints, locatives and goals are not topicaliaed the way other oblique :Ps are. Sanner IPs never topicalizc. Topicalization of Oblique NPs is accomplished by moving the head noun of the prepositional phrase to either the risht side or the left side of the sentence and by leavine a resumptive pronoun in the place prevjously occunied liy the head noun. (16) rives an example of an instrumental, (17) an associative ap and (18) a comparative.
(16) a Li:ukobbwa a-ra-andik-a Ibaruva n'íkarámu. firl she-pres-write-as! letter with nen 'The sirl is writins; a leiter vith a pen.'
b Íkaránu, umu:cốbwa a-ra-andik-a f́barúwa nd vo. pen man he-pres-write-asp letter rith it 'The pen, the girl is writine a letter with it.'
(17) a Umukoôbwa a-rá-kor-an-a a'iazj n'úmuhụ̂ņu. sirl she-pres-work-ass-asn work with boy 'The girl is working with the boy.'
b Unuhuûngu, umukoöbwa a-ráthor-an-a al:azi ná rée. boy Birl she-pres-work-ass-asp work with hiw 'The boy, the girl is borking with him.'
(18) a Úno musóre a-ra-geend-a nk-ibagabo. this wonan she-pres-ralk-asp like men
'This wonan is walking like men.'
u Ahagrabo, úno riugórc a-ra-seend-a nká bo. men this woman she-pres-walk-asp like thom 'Am, this woman waiks like them.'

IE the oblique case if has been advanced to D0, it agrees with the verb just like basic DOs do when topicalization takes place. An instrumental rule is presented in (19), a locative is siven in (20) and a :ond is shown in (21).
(19)a Lnukoôbwa a-ra-ancik-iish-a íbarúva lkarúfu.
firl she-pres-urite-jnstr-asp letter pen
'The firl is writing a letter with a pen.'
b Íkaránu, umuko6bwa a-ra-y-andik-iish-a íharúc: pen girl she-pres-it-krite-jnstr-as: lecter 'The pen, the $\underset{\sim}{ } \mathrm{irl}$ is writing a letter with it..'
(20) a Unwíalfriu a-ra-andik-a iribaíre ku mídar. teachor he-pres-wnite-asp math on takible 'The toacher is writing math on the table.;
b Lim:dalfru a-ra-andik-í-io ancéza ininére. tancher he-rres-rite-asp-on table math 'The teacher is rriting math on the tablc.'
c LMCézza, unwdalımu a-ra-y-andiz-á-ho inibére. table teacher he-pres-it-write-asp-o: rath
'The table, the teacior is vriting matio on it.'
(21)a Laukoôbva a-ra-ríriamb-ir-a abantu lu nafaraanáa.

Mirl she-pres-sing-ben-asp people for money
'rine ;irl is sineine to the people Eor moncy.'

rirl she-pres-singoben-asp roney
'The gitl is singing for money.'
c Ananfarannra, umukóbova a-ráyarririir!b-ir-a. moncy sirl she-pres-it-sing-ben-ase
'The money, the girl is sincing for it.'
As fit was shown in Chapter 4 and 5 , associatives and comparatives are never advanced to no but are mather advanced to sunfoct position. In the associative case, the pronotion $1 s$ oftaned ive delecing the prepesi-

 when tacse cases apear in the topic position. whus (17ji; and (18)b can be expressed by the consuruction of (22) and (23), resuectiveiy.
 bo:' :irl =hey-pres-du-as, -as; ?ark 'ride boy, the firl is horsine rith hio.'
 nea Gins wowan they-vaiz-asp aliae
"The wen, tois woman walks aike thom.'
 uf ctincr cases becatus here these oblicuc cases nare been proroted wo


Consirants on Goncoivetion


(24) Gusóre, umu"abo y-a-nu-i óoz-yo.
noman wan i.u-ist-ina-boc-ase:
'a:e woran, the ana sab her.'

Absumet namer we nuor topicalize. There is only one execption, in Wich the manar compienent always apuars as a iutt topic, amely rato Follurin! roverb.
(2j) ?u cyan yéorfe, inké: i-ra-nváaェー.
In of howledse chichen it-pres-pee-asp
'The chicken pees with discretion.'
aotice as witi temporal complement topics, there is no niruonont but the "action - Eocus" maver -ra-Eirtizes."

The initial be of sentences, were dither woc wo or lossossor abs have been ancendec to mo, cannot be topicalized. This ias to do inth riae
 typcs of promotion havo applied don't undexo any bind of transforantion Watsoever.
 Forrer Wos are noe. Similarily in (27) tise ascended possessor $\because \mathrm{Ol}$ is o!jectivizabice but the initial m is aot.
(26) : 'Gwáana a-rá-shyir-a isitabo du ntóza. ciaic: he-mies-put-asy took an taide

 chil.a he-ares-put-nes tuble on boot
 table child he-pres-itinut-asp-on bonl:
'oue table, the chille is put ine the boost on te. '

bool: cinilu me-prea-put-asp-cia waire.'

Jobn he-pse-read-asy book of ?ary
'Jokn is readin:; :ary's bool.'

John he-mot-read-ben-asp :ary book
'John is reading lary's boolss.'
c Maríga, Yohâni y-n-mu-son-c-ya initaiou.
"ary John he-pse-iner-read-ben-as: boot
'Yary, Joha is readine her book.'

book iohn hepst-it-reachen-ase yar:
 when they have been alvanced to m.
(2i) a Gucóre a-rílior-er-a unamo du hípo.

' We woman is wame ion the mor focu.



Dbictue locatives can be topicaitaci but hen they tomicalize, the



 will sho-Eut-sit-as: on chiair 'ane erl will sit on the chaje'

on chair anl che-int-mer-sizan?
'Whe ehar, the giri wili sit on it.'
c AÍntebe, wuloôma a-n-iticar-a :urí yo.
cibit siel sinc-fut-siti-ass on ie

So far ve have examined how tonicalization operates in the matrix sentence, the next section invesuarates low topicadization runctions in comple: structures.

Tonachization Erore Condra suructurer
 constructions, fion con? aex aps such as relative clauses, and fro cribeciced chauses.

Fonisalization rom rossessive Constructions


$\therefore$ ís moved anci a rasurntive pronoun is leat in its Eoxnor positon.

boy ne-pres road-asp buok of $\because$ ir
'The boy is readine Lio airl's book'

$\therefore$ :y boy bepres-road-as: bool: of ber
'Tise itry, tac boy is readine her look'
 sertence, as (31) indicatus.
 Boy ho-pres-rcac-asp hool at her :nit

 possessor.
 book boy ho-pres-it-ecod-asp oi siri
(33) Joitabo cy' book of birl boy hemres-it-Eend-as?
'The girl's book, tiee boy is reading it.'
 the whole $x$ is touicalizer.

boy hewpes-ic-rodeash bool of rifl
Topicalization $\overline{\text { row relative clouses }}$
"opicalization from relative clanses operatce; alroo: the sabe voy as Erom tie possessive constructions. For instance, wienc jes no rime toricalization and cine hoad noun of che relative chane cannot be move be itsent. Man topicalioution applies on the relative head noun, the wiole rolative clanse nas to move axorwles of tondalizntion are fiven ia (35) anc (36).
 people titey-nst-rel-do-asp rorl whey-jres-core to-nay-nass-ac? 'acople who iid the vori are roine to ab baia'
b AGaz, abantu b-a-a-boz-e ba-ra-aza ru-bócab-r-a.


(06): $\therefore$-a-boon-ye abónna b-at-obt-yo lîno pitano

'i ant hio children vion read thjs book.'

cabs book I-pst-sce-asp chiniren theyonst-je-wel-reat-asp
'This buck, I saw the children who read it.'
 of the relative clatse or as reht tonics , en the atrix sentence, wis
iss illustrated by the mormaticalty of (37) asi and (3ajach.
(37) a *hanatu b-a-gh-loz-e, nkai, ba-ra-aza guhtova.
people they-pst-it-cio-asp worl ther-nes-come to get patd


 I-pat-sec-asp this book cnildren they-ant-it-rel-acad-an?

 fibe posecssive, heods of relathe dauses camot be detached frow their Gependents tut have to move torether.
 they-pst-rai-do-as: wort they-pres-cone to ret paid people


'ohey ate going to mot pain, neonio wh dia be wort.'


 children aboy-pst-rel-read-asp bock I-pst-then-sece-asp
'The children wion read the book, I sab amm.

and not with the vert of the ratri: sentence.
Topicalizatiors [rom 'ciat' clauses
An $\because \mathrm{Bl}$ contained in a sentential complement can be tondealized either at the leftrost or the rigitnost sice of the enbecces sentence, or at the rifitt or the lefe of the higher sentence. Four poseibilities are ihus available as shorn in (4i).
(6:10a Bmáalimu a-ra-sination ló du-aón-a bîno bitabo.
teacher he-ares-want-asi that werel-iead-asp these hooks
'The teacher wants tiat ve read these boois.'
b) Guráalímu a-ra-shatri-a ló tu-bi-són-a, beo bitabo.

e Uruíalíru a-ra-shaali-a ió bêno bitabo, tu-r.j--sćn-a.
teacher he-in res-ant-asp that these boske ve-then-rel-rend-asp




'Hose bocis, the tuacioce watis wis to ract them.'
 cone, the vers of the embedecs sentence arwe with tise tonicalizod up
 Lite clause.
 Girl sho-forcet-asp to-reau-as! letwer
'Sin dirl. forgot to reac tieletter.'
 Jeder girl she-forset-as to-it-renci-ats
'The letter, the sirl forgot to read it.'
 to rad ieter girl she-it-ionget-as?
'ro read the letter, the firl forcot about it.'

 'The letter to reac' it, the riry formot.'
als contrined in the matriz sentence that has a sententiaj omplement cumot occu: as rieht topics of the whole sentence.


'The man vants the children to read the boow.'





 this constraist see lamacker, 1074).




clize : ac-nst-five-asp woman book
'The chind ave the book to ciae wo.an.'

wom book chind he-nat-it-ar-avemap
'The chilu ;ave the look to the woman.
(45) a Lemzabo a-r-cerek-ar-a unucóre andma amashasto. man he-nees-thor-ixm-asp vowan chileren pictures
'Whe man is showing pictures to the ciberon for the worm.'


iven though it's frequent to hase many tonics, it's rare eo bave fore than two right tonics.


'hac wan sove rone: to the soma.'
b Limurabo y a-va-re-ha-ye, amanama, umuór. man he-pst-it-he:-sive-asp money vonา



 Facives precede the veb sten, Indirect nbects com berore the ban-




 vill depend only on the contexi.


'ane ram askei hook from the irls for the wema.
b. Ibitabo, abagóre, ahakoobya, unaba you-bi-bi-ba-sab-i-ye. boots vonen firis man-he-nst-ther--ther-then-ast:-ben-asp Gut of context, it's hard to tell in (47) wheh one is the berenactive, Which one is the recipient.

Lot': rispt topics and left topics can appear in tho sno sentence.
(43) : Cruhuma y-a-ioon-ye wamobiva.

Bey he-nst-sec-asp oirl
'The boy san tiac i,irl.'
: Zulo
firi herpst-sce-as? hoy
We giri, i.e saw her, the boy.'
'The rirl, she saw inm, the boy.'
(4g) a Atana b-a-bunis-c form.
chillron they-pst-sent-asn dos
'The ailiken beut the do;.'


the don, they beat it, the cimbiren.
 is cerated wou the torics belnoe to the sane elass out of content, it
 obfect, as iliustrared in (30).

fico it-pst-cat-as? vulture
The underlyine, structuze of (50) may be eithor (51) or (:2).
(51) Isikéri cy-at-ri-iyc is ikóona.

Eros it-pst-cat-asp vulture

```
'Whe ro% ate the vultur.''
(52) I;ikóona cy-a-ri-iye isitéri.
    vulture it-pst-cat-asp f,og
    'rhe vulture ate the fror,'
Thus (50) :.wy have derived from (51) by left Lopicalizine the subject
and rimh Eomicaliziog the birect ofject, or it nay have doriven frea
(52) by shiftimf the subject to the ema of the sentence nod movin! the
iO to the beginaing of the sentence, Whe richt :atmatic intorprecation
of these constautions is only aiven tog the context.
Monerticson "onics
```



```
by (53).
```





``` he-ru-reas iook
```



```
    zending the book.'
```



```
    woran sha-:ros-man-asy that hoy he-twil-am! man that caild
    un:ómna a-r,i-sóna
    chijec hu-it-ruad
```



```
whon sha-mres-wat asa that bom: bny ha-sell-as" man
    kó umuáma a-ci-sóma:
    that chila he-it-read
```

 bool: woman she-pres-want-asp tiat boy he tell man kó unwáana a-a, i-sómi-a. chat chile he-it-rcad-asp

Wesides this cunacity of beins moved to an $\because$ nister sentence, zonies Camot underi; any bird of tamsfomation whatsoover. They canot be reatavizec, pronominalized, clefted, fuestioned.... iot oniy do they Becone chomeuns (losins frammeical velation to die verb) iat their depencence to the sentence froan wica the are exuracted is destroyed. What we observe, in Eact, is the cacation of a au indencurent cuaso when topicalization takes place, In the dezived structure, the topic becomes superiluus becauic the derived sontence wor, lise any other Ewntence in wisch amaporic pronomanalization has amplicd. In obice vorde, a sencence with a topic at can be anaiysed as a trochanse seatenee because whe topis doesa't have any relation to the otacer
 except that a tonic has buen added to tia lattex.
 :onan she-ast-reac-ben-as! jool 'the :man read his book.'
(55) Ununab, umusóre y-a-ina-son-e-yc isutabo. wonna zonan she-psc-inm-reab-ien-asp book
'the man, the yoman read inis book.'
 topics.

Functional hois of novics
LeEt topice are used for arphasis anc riohb tonjes aro voce for beser-

$$
\because
$$

thougit' as surdested by Givón (1975).
Thas ieft topies ate used as 'echo' ansriers to yosono quosibions or as arguments (abs) abouc which a comment is beind; made
AEer a question such as 'jid whem read me bock', there are wo
 pronoun and 57 in which your book function: as a het tonic. Fotice
 peovinosly mentioned it abther apoears as a wonic or an abohorice pronoun but canaot reoccur in itus romad tamarkei construction.


'Tha man read it.'


Your boos, tio mat read it. ${ }^{\prime}$

aia: Be-pst-read-as;-book of you:
'ille ain read your liooi.,'
Since (i6) and (ij7) convoy the same infowation, it i: monal wo intorneax

 In tiac prominoni position to dime che attcoivon of the hearer to whe

 abow: lix.
(50) lソ rubana, n-da-mu-húund-a.
tisis child r-pres-him-1ike-isp
'Whis child, I like him.'
Risht topics are used for afterthoupht as mentioned above. They are not as impor tant as right topics. Their use, however, puts istress on the action or the event. Fibus the important tessare that is conveyed in (60) is the fact that 'whe wom likes the child woy muci' whereas
'this child' is sumerfuous.
(60) Urunóre a-ma-m-kimad-a, wu móan.
woman shereres-i:in-idec-asp this child
'The woman likes him, thas caiki.'
ij precation, the speaker before he fintanes the sentemoe, adis; an areurent to it in order to prevent misintorpatation for the hearer whon may forget on not kow what he is refertion to.

## Fooinotes

(1) bic nave deliberacely chosen not to translate topies by 'as; for ap' because tonics in Kinyarwanda occur very frecuontly at; ascourse openers. Since it is not possible to start a discuurse by 'as for conseructions', : thought this kind of translation roulan't he appouriate.
(2) Zhe action-igeus marker is not required if the vert has another compiement. Look at the examises below in (i), che action-focus has to aprear because the ver', bas one objuct only, but in (izj it is absent berouse zto.e is anobher object $\because 1$ in the sentence.
(i)a Umugabo y-a-son-ye igitato.
ran be-ist-read-asp bool:
'ti:c man read the book.'

b,o天 man he-pst-it-raci-asi
'rhe book, the wan reaci $i t$. '
c *Igi:abo, wasabo y-a-g-som-yc. book man he-pst-it-rcas-ati?
(ii) a murabo y-a-son-e-yo umugore i.: itauo.
man he-pst-zand-oun-asp wornan boos
'ine aun read the bouk fox iba vonan. ${ }^{\prime}$

Book man bu-pst-it-reat-bo:-asp wonan
'ilie boori, the man read it for the woman.'
c *ínitabo, umuráo y-a-rä-ai-son-a-ye unnsóre. bock man he-pst-foc-if-read-ben-amp roana
(3) Givón (nersonal comunication) has surecsted that the resson shy manner ins con't usually topicalize or don'i arree, when they do, is because they are miversally indefinito. Ifs explanation is inded confimed by the fae that ony definite ars are tonicaliabic. In (25) there is no arocenent ather, hecause aymentert is one wa of definitaing in Bentu Lananges aic.)

We have shown that the notions subject of, direct object of ylay a very important role in Kinyarwanda grammar, since most of the transformations are stated in terms of them. It has been indicated that tidis lanruage has strateries that give term status to nongramatatical relations thereby allowing them to undergo certain transformations. The fact that languages promote non-grammatical relations to term status in order to fecd"other transformation is of course natural within the theory of Relational Gramav but Structural-Generative gramar doesn't surgest this as a natural rule type in natural languages. The fact that Kinyarwanda is more aclequately described in gramnacical relation terms argues for the supremacy of RG over the rtandard theory. Li, C. and ت'hompson, S. (1975) have claimed, however, that "topic comant" languaçes such as Chinese cannot be described in eeams of pramatical reiations, since in these types of languages, the suivect is not a clear-cut notior. In the "subject predicate"languarses typified by linyarwanda, it is clear that topic is not a primitive entity. fn fact the topic is never used to start a discourse. I.ike pronouns, topics are al:ays either anaphoric, refer to arguments proviously mentioned in the disconrse or presuppose shared infomation between hearer and speaker and ate thus more marked than subjects. In some intuitive sense, they canaot be taken as primitives but involve transfomations. If Li C. \& Thompson S. analysis is correct either the notion of subject has to be redefined or we have to accept their claim that some languages are renlly "tonic comment" languages and others "subject predicate" languages and thus
reconnize tia topic in the gramatical relations, micin is oí course a probien for Relational Grammar.

Also it is not clear whether the Indirect Object is really a term or a mon-tema since it doesm't exist in kinyorvanda as we heve aroued in the thosis. In other kancu lanouaces that have it, the indirect object behaves juse like any other obingue case dib. Tt shares the same proposition vitin some other oblique aps and undergoes the same kind or advancement roies like other oblique case ats and should thos bo classified amore then. Subject and Direct cujects s'ould majoc be the only ores to be treated as terms because in ail lanpuases zioy oue the only ones that can 'host' non-terms wen they are promoted but in any iamobage Ios are never promoted into.

Ourther ressarch shou?d be devoted to locatives in Bontu ?anoures. Thus

 oblique case ans. In some cases they behave like taras, in some others
 suEfix like ary other mon-tern wien advanced vo remastatus. Locatives
 tem:s ais it j.s cone in ehis thesis.

 Keenan (19750) anc conifmed by kimeny (i976a) dorivec verra are likejy to açuize the codins prupercies o! basio wers (such as position in the sentence, case marking, arfecment) but they may fil to acquire the Exansfomational propertics characteriatic ot basie sems. Perived

 derived tems are cistinguished from the basic ones by tine ind of suffizes that appear on the verb. The reIational Euceession Intu simuld be furmu1.ted in such a ray that it rofocts this fact.

 advancancont of oblicus ane ars to 2 always outcast the inioial 2 , but in lijuarwanda it is only the advancement of the locative to 2 and the ascension of the alienable possossor to 2 when rorote theromer ?







(i) The police siout at hac erimina?.
(b) wac molice mot the crininal.


 tioc erininal but they nissod bis." It is not possibue bowover to bave Gins comant on (h) sentenee siace it boula become seantically anomalous
 risssec nim.:"

Hancuaros misfit diEfer on the conception of how many azoments ube action of the verb can afiect. Thus in ingitsh, tion action if Lie verb can
affect one arsurent only. This is shown by the fact that two-ar,ument veris such as give, send, show... affect the direct objest only but cease to do it once the indirect object has been objectiviaed thus becoming the onty affected arcument. This explains my the initial object beconea chomeur in this case, since it is not afiected by tio action of the verh anyore.

Ia some other Iancuases, such as Kinyamonda, both fhe indirect objec and the direct object are equally affectex by tio action of the verb: it is thon indeiy tart languages mich have the acvancenene of indirect

 Well illustrated by the fact that $[n$ anyamanda, the promotion of the alionable posscssor outcasts Ene initial bo but tan us tie inalienable

 du...oさed.

Thas kind of caplanation is of course speculation anc aneds some supportEn'; evistuce Eron experinentai pyotolony

Denci.:

## Class yarsore

 is diviced in three parts: the propreix, the profje and the ston. illements tiat nocify the nour such ats adjectives, versa, denonstratives,
 as the noun they morify but thoy don't ate the proprofize "eriss aneve




| I | --- | n- | -in (y) - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| you (6:\%) | --- | U- | -i:\% |
| you (21) | --- | nu* | -ba- |
| row | --- | tu- | - $21-$ |
| cl. 1 | u-:u- | $\therefore-$ | - |
| ci.: | a-ba- | Sr- | $\cdots$ - ${ }_{\text {an- }}$ |
| c3.3 | い-:nu- | (1- | -?:14- |
| c. .4 | . $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ta}$ - | i. | $\cdots{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}-$ |
| -1.5 | i-f- | I:- | -ii- |
| c. $\mathrm{c}^{6}$ | a-ra- | a- | $\because \mathrm{O}$ |
| ci. 7 | i- $\because$ i- | ! $\mathrm{i}-$ | --i:i.. |
| c.l. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | i-hi- | Bi. | -hi- |
| cl. 9 | S-n- | S- | $\because \because i-$ |
| c1.13 | i.-1?- | $\because \mathrm{i}$ | -rij- |
| c. 11 | し-20 | $\mathrm{ru-}$ | -ru- |
| c.1.12 | $\therefore-\therefore 1-$ | !n- | -iai- |
| c1.1j | $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{tu}-$ | "!- | -tu- |



| ci. 14 | u-bu- | Su- | -bu- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. 1.15 | u-1\%-- | :u- | -1:11- |
| ci. 26 | a-ha- | i:\%- | - $-1 \mathrm{a}-$ |


 ex. á-no muantu
this porson
u-rifiya madan
that childi
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